

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
089	01-12-2004	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S SUBMITTAL OF SWORN REBUTTAL DECLARATION REGARDING THE PROPOSED USE OF SOIL SURVEYS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project provides notice of and submits the sworn rebuttal declaration of its expert, Jon Ford, regarding the proposed use of soil surveys in determining the "subflow" zone.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2; Attachments: 9</p>
090	01-12-2004	<p>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S JOINDER IN CITIES' RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION AND LEGAL ARGUMENT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation file their Joinder in Cities' Response to Request for Cross-Examination and Legal Argument.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
091	01-12-2004	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S NOTICE OF FILING REBUTTAL AFFIDAVITS OF T.A.J. GOOKIN AND DR. PETER MOCK</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its Rebuttal Affidavits of T.A.J. Gookin and Dr. Peter A. Mock.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 5; Attachments: 10</p>
092	01-12-2004	<p>CITIES' RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION AND LEGAL ARGUMENT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") respond to the request for cross-examination and legal argument filed by the Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District, the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, the City of Cottonwood and the City of Casa Grande ("Rural Communities") regarding the Arizona Department of Water Resources' ("ADWR") proposal to use soil survey <u>maps</u>.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-37521, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 9</p>
093	01-28-2004	<p>ORDER REGARDING REQUESTS TO CROSS-EXAMINE AND TO PRESENT LEGAL ARGUMENTS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Special Master denies a request to cross-examine a witness from the Arizona Department of Water Resources and grants to present legal arguments on all issues.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
094	02-03-2004	UNITED STATES' NOTICE OF FILING REBUTTAL DECLARATION REGARDING PROPOSAL TO USE SOIL SURVEY MAPS <u>SUMMARY</u> : This is the United States' rebuttal declaration regarding the proposal of the Arizona Department of Water Resources to use certain soil survey maps. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
094	02-03-2004	UNITED STATES' NOTICE OF FILING REBUTTAL DECLARATION REGARDING PROPOSAL TO USE SOIL SURVEY MAPS <u>SUMMARY</u> : This is the United States' rebuttal declaration regarding the proposal of the Arizona Department of Water Resources to use certain soil survey maps. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
095	03-03-2004	<p>UPPER VALLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICTS AND VERDE VALLEY COMMUNITIES' POST-HEARING MEMORANDUM PURSUANT TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S ORDER DATED JANUARY 28, 2004</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, and the City of Cottonwood ("Verde Valley Communities") and Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District and the Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District: ("Central Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the City of Casa Grande submit their post-hearing memorandum pursuant to the Special Master's Order dated January 28, 2004.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U862840, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 18</p>
096	03-03-2004	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S OPENING POST-HEARING MEMORANDUM: IN RE <i>SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</i></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its Opening Post-Hearing Memorandum: In Re <i>Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed</i>.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 38</p>
097	03-03-2004	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S OPENING POST-HEARING MEMORANDUM REGARDING ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project submits its opening post-hearing memorandum containing its legal arguments and positions on the issues arising from ADWR's Subflow Technical Report.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 22; Attachments: 3; Total: 25</p>
098	03-03-2004	<p>CITIES' SUBFLOW POST-HEARING OPENING BRIEF</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Pursuant to the Special Master's January 28, 2004 Order, the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") file this brief regarding subflow issues.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-37521, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 18; Attachments: 56; Total 74</p>
099	03-03-2004	<p>MEMORANDA ON LEGAL ISSUES RE ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Safford, Paloma Irrigation and Drainage District, and Rio Rico Properties Inc. file a pleading concerning legal issues re ADWR's Subflow Technical Report.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U8-63161, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 9</p>
100	03-03-2004	<p>APACHE TRIBES' POST HEARING MEMORANDUM OF LAW RE ADWR'S PROCEDURE FOR DELINEATION OF THE SUBFLOW ZONE</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Apache Tribes' Post Hearing Memorandum of Law Re: ADWR's Procedure for Delineation of the Subflow Zone.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 9</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
101	03-03-2004	<p>JOINDER IN OPENING BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S ORDER DATED JANUARY 28, 2004 FILED BY ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Roosevelt Water Conservation District files its Joinder in Opening Brief in Response to the Special Master's Order Dated January 28, 2004 filed this date by Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-3421, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
102	03-03-2004	<p>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S OPENING BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S ORDER DATED JANUARY 28, 2004</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation file their Opening Brief in Response to the Special Master's Order dated January 28, 2004.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 26</p>
103	03-03-2004	<p>ASARCO INCORPORATED'S, ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF ON SUBFLOW-RELATED ISSUES</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO Incorporated, Arizona Water Company, and Tucson Electric Power Company submit their supplemental brief on subflow-related issues in accordance with the Special Master's Order Regarding Requests to Cross-Examine and to Present Legal Arguments (Jan. 28, 2004).</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U8-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 16</p>
104	03-04-2004	<p>CITIES' SUBFLOW POST-HEARING OPENING BRIEF</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Pursuant to the Special Master's January 28, 2004 Order, the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") file this brief regarding subflow issues.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-37521, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 18; Attachments: 56; Total: 74</p>
105	03-04-2004	<p>OPENING MEMORANDUM OF BELLA VISTA WATER CO., INC., PUEBLO DEL SOL WATER COMPANY AND CITY OF SIERRA VISTA RE: LEGAL ISSUES ON ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Bella Vista Water Co. Inc., Pueblo del Sol Water Co. and the City of Sierra Vista file their Opening Memoranda on legal issues arising from ADWR's Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed pursuant to Special Master's January 28, 2004 Order.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-2739, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 12</p>
106	03-04-2004	<p>UNITED STATES' MEMORANDUM ON ISSUES RELATED TO ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The United States' opening memoranda on the issues related to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 7</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
107	03-23-2004	SALT RIVER PROJECT'S OBJECTIONS TO CITIES' "EXHIBITS" ATTACHED TO POST-HEARING OPENING BRIEF SUMMARY: The Salt River Project submits its objections to the "exhibits" attached to the post-hearing opening brief filed by the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa, and Gilbert on March 3, 2004. CLAIMANT NO: 39-07-1040, et al. PAGES: 8
108	03-23-2004	SALT RIVER PROJECT'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF ITS OBJECTIONS TO CITIES' "ESHIBITS" ATTACHED TO POST-HEARING OPENING BRIEF SUMMARY: The Salt River Project submits its motion for expedited consideration of its March 23, 2004 objections to the "exhibits" attached to the post-hearing opening brief filed by the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa, and Gilbert on March 3, 2004. CLAIMANT NO: 39-07-1040, et al. PAGES: 3
109	03-29-2004	ORDER REGARDING SRP'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF ITS OBJECTIONS TO CITIES' "EXHIBITS" ATTACHED TO CITIES' OPENING BRIEF SUMMARY: The Special Master orders the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa, and Scottsdale to respond to the Salt River Project's Motion for Expdited Consideration of its Objections to Cities' "Exhibits" Attached to Post-Hearing Opening Brief. CLAIMANT NO: None Given

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
110	04-02-2004	<p>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO SALT RIVER PROJECT'S OBJECTIONS TO CITIES' EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO POST-HEARING OPENING BRIEF</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation file their Response to Salt River Project's Objections to Cities' Exhibits Attached to Post-Hearing Opening Brief, dated March 23, 2004. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-34900, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 10</p>
111	04-02-2004	<p>CITIES' RESPONSE TO SRP'S OBJECTIONS TO CITIES' EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO CITIES' POST-HEARING BRIEF</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Pursuant to the Special Master's March 26, 2004 Order, the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") file this response to Salt River Project's ("SRP") Objections to the Cities' Post Hearing Brief Attachments. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-37521, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 11</p>
112	04-06-2004	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS OBJECTIONS TO CITIES' " EXHIBITS" ATTACHED TO POST-HEARING OPENING BRIEF</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project submits its reply in support of its objections to the "exhibits" attached to the post-hearing opening brief filed by the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Scottsdale, and Mesa on March 3, 2004. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 7</p>
113	04-07-2004	<p>ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND OVERRULING IN PART SALT RIVER PROJECT'S OBJECTIONS TO THE CITIES' EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO THE CITIES' OPENING BRIEF</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Special Master grants in part and overrules in part the Salt River Project's objections to the exhibits attached to the post-hearing opening brief of the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa, and Scottsdale. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given <u>PAGES</u>: 5</p>
114	04-12-2004	<p>ASARCO INCORPORATED'S AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSIVE BRIEF ON SUBFLOW-RELATED ISSUES</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: <i>In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed</i> <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U8-62699, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 10</p>
115	04-12-2004	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S RESPONSIVE POST-HEARING MEMORANDUM REGARDING ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project submits its responsive post-hearing memorandum containing its legal arguments and positions on the issues arising from ADWR's Subflow Technical Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 20</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
116	04-12-2004	<p>JOINDER IN RESPONSE TO OPENING MEMORANDA ON ADWR SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT ISSUES FILED BY ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Roosevelt Water Conservation District files its Joinder in Response to Opening Memoranda on ADWR Subflow Technical Report Issues filed this date by Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-3421, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
117	04-12-2004	<p>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO OPENING MEMORANDA ON ADWR SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT ISSUES</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation file their Response to Opening Memoranda on ADWR Subflow Technical Report Issues.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 27</p>
118	04-12-2004	<p>BHP COPPER INC.'S RESPONSIVE BRIEF PER SPECIAL MASTER'S ORDER DATED JANUARY 28, 2004</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: BHP Copper Inc. submits responsive briefing to legal memoranda filed pursuant to the Order of the Special Master dated January 28, 2004.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-0003142, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 18</p>
119	04-12-2004	<p>RESPONSE TO OPENING MEMORANDA ON LEGAL ISSUES ARISING FROM ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Bella Vista Water Co. Inc., Pueblo del Sol Water Co. and the City of Sierra Vista file their response on legal issues arising from ADWR's Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed pursuant to Special Master's January 28, 2004 Order.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-2739, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 12</p>
120	04-12-2004	<p>CITIES' RESPONSE TO SUBFLOW POST-HEARING OPENING BRIEFS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Pursuant to the Special Master's January 28, 2004 Order, the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") file this consolidated response to the subflow post-hearing opening briefs.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-37512, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 13</p>
121	04-12-2004	<p>APACHE TRIBES' CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO CERTAIN PARTIES' POST TRIAL MEMORANDA RE ADWR'S PROCEDURE FOR DELINEATION OF THE SUBFLOW ZONE</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Apache Tribes' Consolidated Response to Certain Parties' Post Hearing Memoranda Re: ADWR's Procedure for Delineation of the Subflow Zone.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 6</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
122	04-13-2004	UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO MEMORANDA ON ISSUES RELATED TO ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT <u>SUMMARY</u> : The United States' response memorandum to the memoranda relating to Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 6
123	04-12-2004	GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S CONSOLIDATED RESPONSIVE POST-HEARING MEMORANDUM: IN RE SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community submits its Consolidated Responsive Post-Hearing Memorandum: In Re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 27
124	04-12-2004	UPPER VALLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICTS, CENTRAL VALLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICTS, CITY OF CASA GRANDE AND VERDE VALLEY COMMUNITIES' POST-HEARING RESPONSE MEMORANDUM <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation District"), and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, and the City of Cottonwood ("Verde Valley Communities") and Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District and the Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District: ("Central Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the City of Casa Grande submit their post-hearing response memorandum. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U862840, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 13
125	04-14-2004	CITIES' NOTICE OF ERRATA TO RESPONSE TO SUBFLOW POST-HEARING OPENING BRIEFS <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") file this Notice of Errata regarding the Cities' Response to Subflow Opening Briefs. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-37521, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 13

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
126	03-08-2004	<p>EXHIBIT WORKSHEET (RECEIVED FROM CLERK'S OFFICE 5/3/04) <u>SUMMARY:</u> Exhibit Worksheet for Hearings on October 21st and October 22nd 2003. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable <u>PAGES:</u> 15</p>
127	05-06-2004	<p>NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS <u>SUMMARY:</u> Counsel for the City of Flagstaff, the City of Safford, Painted Ranches, Paloma Irrigation and Drainage District, Rio Rico Properties, Inc., Rio Rico Utilities, Inc., and Tonopah Irrigation District submit a change of address. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U8-63161, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
128	05-07-2004	<p>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S REPLY TO POST-HEARING RESPONSES <u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation file their Reply to post-hearing responses filed by other parties on April 12, 2004. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-34900, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 15</p>
129	05-07-2004	<p>JOINDER IN REPLY TO POST-HEARING RESPONSES FILED BY ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION <u>SUMMARY:</u> Roosevelt Water Conservation District files its Joinder in Reply to Post-Hearing Responses filed this date by Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-3421, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
130	05-07-2004	<p>CITIES' REPLY TO SUBFLOW POST-HEARING RESPONSE BRIEFS <u>SUMMARY:</u> Pursuant to the Special Master's January 28, 2004 Order, the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") file this consolidated reply to the subflow post-hearing response briefs. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-L8-37521, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 12</p>
131	05-07-2004	<p>REPLY RE ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT <u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Safford, Paloma Irrigation and Drainage District, and Rio Rico Properties Inc. file a pleading concerning legal issues re ADWR's Subflow Technical Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U8-63161, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 9</p>
132	05-07-2004	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S REPLY POST-HEARING MEMORANDUM REGARDING ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Salt River Project submits its reply post-hearing memorandum containing its legal arguments and positions on the issues arising from ADWR's Subflow Technical Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 20</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
133	05-07-2004	<p>APACHE TRIBES' CONSOLIDATED REPLY TO CERTAIN PARTIES' POST TRIAL MEMORANDUM RE: ADWR'S PROCEDURE FOR DELINEATION OF THE SUBFLOW ZONE</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Apache Tribes submit its consolidated reply to certain parties' post-trial memorandum re ADWR's Procedure for Delineation of the Subflow Zone. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 15</p>
134	05-10-2004	<p>UNITED STATES' REPLY TO MEMORANDA ON ISSUES RELATED TO ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The United States' reply memorandum to the responsive memoranda relating to Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given <u>PAGES</u>: 7</p>
135	05-07-2004	<p>UPPER VALLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICTS, CENTRAL VALLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICTS, CITY OF CASA GRANDE AND VERDE VALLEY COMMUNITIES' POST-HEARING REPLY MEMORANDUM</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts") and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, and the City of Cottonwood ("Verde Valley Communities") and Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District and the Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District: ("Central Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the City of Casa Grande submit their post-hearing reply memorandum. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U862840, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 14</p>
136	05-07-2004	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S CONSOLIDATED POST-HEARING REPLY MEMORANDUM: IN RE SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its Consolidated Reply Post-Hearing Memorandum: In Re <i>Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed</i>. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 15</p>
137	05-07-2004	<p>REPLY MEMORANDUM ON LEGAL ISSUES ARISING FROM ASWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Bella Vista Water Co. Inc., Pueblo del Sol Water Co. and the City of Sierra Vista file their response on legal issues arising from ADWR's Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed pursuant to Special Master's January 28, 2004 Order. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-2739, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 8</p>
138	05-18-2004	<p>JOINT SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON MAY 20, 2004</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project, Arizona Public Service Company, and Phelps Dodge Corporation, after consultation with counsel for various parties, submit a proposed schedule for oral argument to be held on May 20, 2004 regarding subflow issues. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 4</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

139 **05-27-2004** **MINUTE ENTRY**

SUMMARY: Minute Entry for May 20, 2004 In Re: oral argument on all the proposed procedures to delineate the subflow zone on. The Special Master advised the parties that he would submit his report by the first two weeks in July, the period for filing objections will go through October, and Judge Ballinger should be ready to consider the report by November.

CLAIMANT NO: Not Applicable

PAGES: 3

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

140	07-16-2004	<p>REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED; MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF REPORT; AND NOTICE OF SUBSEQUENT PROCEEDINGS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Special Master submits a report to the Court under Arizona Rule of Civil Procedure 53 pursuant to an order of reference. The report contains recommendations regarding whether the <i>Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed</i>, prepared by the Arizona Department of Water Resources, should be adopted in whole or in part or modified. Objections to the Special Master's report must be filed with the Superior Court on or before Wednesday, September 1, 2004. Responses to objections shall be filed on or before Friday, October 1, 2004, and replies shall be filed by Friday, October 29, 2004.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 100; Attachments: 4; Total: 104</p>
-----	------------	---

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
141	08-16-2004	<p>NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Co-counsel for the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa, and Scottsdale (the "Cities") submits a change of address.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-37521, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
142	08-18-2004	<p>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY, PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION, AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OBJECTIONS TO SPECIAL MASTER'S SUBFLOW REPORT AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Public Service Company, Phelps Dodge Corporation, and Roosevelt Water Conservation District move for a two-week extension of the current deadlines for filing objections, responses and replies to the Special Master's Report on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed. These parties further request that the Court consider and rule on this motion in an expedited manner to allow all parties to comply with either the current or extended deadlines.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 5</p>
143	08-20-2004	<p>RESPONSE TO ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY, PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION, AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OBJECTIONS TO SPECIAL MASTER'S SUBFLOW REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, the City of Cottonwood (collectively, the "Verde Valley Communities") and the Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), the City of Casa Grande, and the Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District: ("Central Valley Irrigation Districts") join in the Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation's Motion for Extension of Time to File Objections to Special Master's Subflow Report and Request for Expedited Consideration, with the exception that these parties need an extension of 30 days.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-49632, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 5</p>
144	08-23-2004	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OBJECTIONS TO SPECIAL MASTER'S SUBFLOW REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project submits its response to requests for extension of time to file objections to the Special Master's July 16, 2004 report, findings, and recommendations regarding the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 6</p>
145	08-25-2004	<p>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY, PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION, AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Public Service Company, Phelps Dodge Corporation, Roosevelt Water Conservation District file their Reply in support of their Motion for Extension of Time.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 5</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

146 **08-26-2004** **MINUTE ENTRY**

SUMMARY: IT IS ORDERED (1) Granting the requests to extend the period during which parties may file comments or objections to the Report of the Special Master on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report concerning the San Pedro River Watershed. (2) All comments or objections relating to the report shall be filed on or before October 1, 2004; all responses shall be filed on or before November 1, 2004; and all replies shall be filed on or before December 1, 2004.

CLAIMANT NO: Not Applicable

PAGES: 2

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

147	09-30-2004	JOINDER IN AND SUPPLEMENT TO ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S OBJECTIONS TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT ON ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED
-----	------------	---

SUMMARY: The City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, the City of Cottonwood (collectively, the "Verde Valley Communities") and the Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), the City of Casa Grande, and the Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District and Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District: ("Central Valley Irrigation Districts") join in most of and supplement the Arizona Public Service Company's and Phelps Dodge Corporation's Objections to the Special Master's Report On ADWR's Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed.

CLAIMANT NO: 39-49632, et al.

PAGES: 12

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
148	10-01-2004	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S OBJECTIONS TO SPECIAL MASTER'S SUBFLOW REPORT <u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project submits its objections to the Special Master's July 16, 2004 report, findings, and recommendations regarding the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 9</p>
149	10-01-2004	<p>OBJECTIONS TO SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT RE ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT <u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Safford, Paloma Irrigation and Drainage District, and Rio Rico Properties, Inc., file objections to the Special Master's Report regarding ADWR's subflow technical report. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U8-63161, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 11</p>
150	10-01-2004	<p>OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT <u>SUMMARY</u>: Bella Vista Water Co. Inc., Pueblo del Sol Water Co. and the City of Sierra Vista file their objection to the Report of the Special Master on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report ("Special Masters Report"). <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-2739, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 9</p>
151	10-01-2004	<p>APACHE TRIBES' OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT <u>SUMMARY</u>: San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Yavapai-Apache Nation's Objections to the Report of the Special Master on Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 23</p>
152	10-01-2004	<p>CITIES' RESPONSE TO SPECIAL MASTER'S MOTION TO ACCEPT REPORT AND RESPONSE TO SPECIAL MASTER'S SUBFLOW REPORT ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES; SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT <u>SUMMARY</u>: The Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") file their comments to the Special Master's July 16, 2004 Report ("Special Master's Report") on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' ("ADWR") Subflow Technical Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-37521, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 13</p>
153	10-02-2004	<p>ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S JOINDER IN OBJECTIONS TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT ON ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED FILED BY ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION <u>SUMMARY</u>: Roosevelt Water Conservation District files its Joinder in Objections to the Special Master's Report on ADWR's subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed filed by Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-3421, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
154	10-02-2004	<p>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S OBJECTIONS TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT ON ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p>SUMMARY: Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation file their objections to the Special Master's July 16, 2004 Report on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed.</p> <p>CLAIMANT NO: 39-34900, et al.</p> <p>PAGES: 41</p>
155	10-01-2004	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S OBJECTIONS TO AND COMMENTS ON THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its Objections and Comments to the Report of the Special Master on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' <i>Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed</i>.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 27</p>
156	10-08-2004	<p>UNITED STATES' EXCEPTIONS TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The United States' Exceptions to the Report of the Special Master on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 7</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
157	11-01-2004	<p>OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT <u>SUMMARY:</u> Bella Vista Water Co. Inc., Pueblo del Sol Water Co. and the City of Sierra Vista file their objection to the Report of the Special Master on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report ("Special Masters Report"). <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-2739, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 9</p>
158	11-01-2004	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS TO SPECIAL MASTER'S SUBFLOW REPORT <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Salt River Project submits its response to the October 1, 2004 objections filed by various parties to the Special Master's July 16, 2004 report, findings, and recommendations regarding the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 41</p>
159	11-01-2004	<p>CITIES' RESPONSE TO COMMENTS AND OBJECTIONS TO SPECIAL MASTER'S SUBFLOW REPORT ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") file their response to the comments and objections to the Special Master's July 16, 2004 Report ("Special Master's Report") on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' ("ADWR") Subflow Technical Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-L8-37521, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 13</p>
160	11-01-2004	<p>RESPONSE TO VARIOUS PARTIES' OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED <u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, the City of Cottonwood (collectively, the "Verde Valley Communities") and the Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District and Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District: ("Central Valley Irrigation Districts") and the City of Casa Grande respond to the objections of various parties to the Special Master's Report on ADWR's Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-49632, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 17</p>
161	11-01-2004	<p>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT ON ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED <u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation file their response to objections to the Special Master's July 16, 2004 Report on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-34900, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 20</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
162	11-01-2004	<p>ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S JOINDER IN RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT ON ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED FILED BY ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Roosevelt Water Conservation District files its Joinder in Response to Objections to the Special Master's Report on ADWR's Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed Filed by Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-3421, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
163	11-01-2004	<p>APACHE TRIBE'S RESPONSE TO THE OBJECTIONS OF CERTAIN PARTIES TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Yavapai-Apache Nation's Consolidated Response to the Objections of Certain Parties to the July 16, 2004, Report of the Special Master on Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 26</p>
164	11-01-2004	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE TO THE OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its Response to the Objections to the Report of the Special Master on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' <i>Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed</i>.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 43</p>
165	11-01-2004	<p>UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO EXCEPTIONS TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The United States' Response to Exceptions to the Report of the Special Master on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 16</p>
166	11-08-2004	<p>NOTICE OF ERRATA</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Bella Vista Water Co. Inc., Pueblo Del Sol Water Co. and the City of Sierra Vista file their Notice of Errata.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-2739, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
167	12-01-2004	<p>ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S JOINDER IN ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTIONS TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT ON ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Roosevelt Water Conservation District files its Joinder in Arizona Public Service Company's and Phelps Dodge Corporation's Reply in Support of Objections to the Special Master's Report on ADWR's Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-3421, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
168	12-01-2004	<p>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTIONS TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT ON ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation file their reply to responses filed by other parties regarding objections to the Special Master's July 16, 2004 Report on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 36</p>
169	12-01-2004	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS OBJECTIONS TO SPECIAL MASTER'S SUBFLOW REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project submits its reply in support of its October 1, 2004 objections to the Special Master's July 16, 2004 report, findings, and recommendations regarding the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 10</p>
170	12-01-2004	<p>REPLY TO CERTAIN RESPONSES TO OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, the City of Cottonwood (collectively, the "Verde Valley Communities") and the Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District and Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District ("Central Valley Irrigation Districts") reply to certain responses to the objections of various parties to the Special Master's Report on ADWR's Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-49632</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 23</p>
171	12-01-2004	<p>REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Bella Vista Water Co. Inc., Pueblo Del Sol Water Co. and the City of Sierra Vista file their reply to the objections to the Report of the Special Master on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report ("Special Masters Report").</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-2739, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 8</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-103

In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
172	12-01-2004	<p>CITIES' REPLY TO RESPONSES TO COMMENTS AND OBJECTIONS TO SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") file their reply to the responses to the comments and objections to the Special Master's July 16, 2004 Report ("Special Master's Report") on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' ("ADWR") Subflow Technical Report.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-37521, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 15</p>
173	12-01-2004	<p>REPLY RE OBJECTIONS TO SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT CONCERNING ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Safford, Paloma Irrigation and Drainage District, and Rio Rico Properties, Inc., file a reply regarding objections to the Special Master's Report concerning ADWR's subflow technical report.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U8-36161, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 11</p>
174	12-01-2004	<p>APACHE TRIBES' CONSOLIDATED REPLY TO THE RESPONSES OF CERTAIN PARTIES TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Yavapai-Apache Nation's Consolidated Reply to the Responses of Certain Parties to Report of the Special Master on Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 28</p>
175	12-02-2004	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S REPLY TO THE RESPONSES TO THE OBJECTIONS TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its Reply to the Responses to the Objections to the Report of the Special Master on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' <i>Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed</i>.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 20</p>
176	12-06-2004	<p>UNITED STATES' REPLY TO RESPONSES TO EXCEPTIONS TO THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER ON THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The United States' Reply Memorandum to the Responses to the Exceptions to the Report of the Special Master on the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 8</p>
177	12-07-2004	<p>NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Counsel for the Gila Valley Irrigation District and the Verde Valley Communities submits a change of address.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-49632, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>