Clerk of the Superior Court
*** Electronically Filed ***
M. Saldana, Deputy
12/26/2022 7:36:27 AM
Filing ID 15311067

		Filing ID 153110
1	Daniel C. Barr (#010149)	
2	DBarr@perkinscoie.com	
_	Alexis E. Danneman (#030478)	
3	ADanneman@perkinscoie.com	
4	A Vest (Provinces is some	
	AYost@perkinscoie.com Samantha J. Burke (#036064)	
5	SBurke@perkinscoie.com	
6	Perkins Coie LLP	
0	2901 North Central Avenue, Ste. 2000	
7	Phoenix, AZ 85012	
0	Telephone: (602) 351-8000	
8		
9	Abha Khanna* (WA Bar #42612)	
	akhanna@elias.law	
10	ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP	
11	1700 Seventh Ave, Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98101	
	Telephone: (206) 656-0177	
12	101001101101101101101111111111111111111	
13	Lalitha D. Madduri* (DC Bar #1659412)	
13	lmadduri@elias.law	
14	Christina Ford* (DC Bar #1655542)	
1.5	cford@elias.law	
15	Elena Rodriguez Armenta* (NY Bar # 5708367)	
16	erodriguezarmenta@elias.law ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP	
	250 Massachusetts Ave, Suite 400	
17	Washington, D.C. 20001	
18	Telephone: (202) 968-4490	
	* Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>	
19		
20	Attorneys for Contestee Katie Hobbs	
20		
21		PERIOR COURT
22	MARICO	PA COUNTY
22	KARI LAKE,	No. CV2022-095403
23	Plaintiff/Contestant,	110. 6 72022 075405
	V.	GOVERNOR-ELECT KATIE
24	KATIE HOBBS,	HOBBS'S PARTIAL ATTORNEY
25	Defendant/Contestee.	FEE APPLICATION
	Defendant/Contestee.	
26		Assigned to Hon. Peter Thompson
27		
<i>- 1</i>		
28		
'	ı	

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-349, Governor-Elect Katie Hobbs applies for an order awarding her reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in defense of this action. The definite fees and expenses sought to be recovered equals \$83,725.50; the estimated additional fees sought to be recovered equals \$550,210.00. This application is supported by (1) the accompanying motion for sanctions and joinder in the Maricopa County Defendants' memorandum of points and authorities and (2) the attached declarations of Alexis Danneman ("Danneman Declaration") and Abha Khanna ("Khanna Declaration").

Memorandum of Points and Authorities

I. Governor-Elect Hobbs request for attorneys' fees is reasonable.

Arizona courts generally follow the lodestar method for determining the reasonableness of a requested award of attorneys' fees. *See Schweiger v. China Doll Rest.*, *Inc.*, 138 Ariz. 183, 187-89 (App. 1983) (holding that reasonable attorneys' fees are calculated by multiplying a reasonable hourly rate by the number of hours reasonably expended). In determining the reasonableness of the actual billing rates and number of hours expended the Court must consider:

- (1) the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill;
- (2) the character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation;
- (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time and attention given to the work;
- (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived.

Id. at 187, 673 P.2d at 931 (quoting Schwartz v. Schwerin, 85 Ariz. 242, 245-46 (1959)).

Based on the lodestar method, this partial Application seeks \$83,725.50 in definite fees and expenses and an additional \$550,210.00 in estimated fees. The amount of

attorneys' fees is derived by multiplying the rate charged by Elias Law Group LLP and Perkins Coie LLP (adjusted as set forth in the Khanna Declaration), against the number of hours spent by the firms litigating this action. *See id.* at 187-88 (concluding that "the rate charged by the lawyer to the client is the best indication of what is reasonable"). Those rates are commensurate with the rates charged by other attorneys in their respective markets with similar levels of experience, training and responsibility. As demonstrated below, the reasonableness of the fees that Governor-Elect Hobbs requests is underscored by application of the *China Doll* factors.

A. Quality of the Advocates.

In its efforts to resist Plaintiff's accelerated lawsuit, the Governor-Elect retained experienced counsel with significant experience in litigation of election matters. Specifically, the Governor-Elect turned to Abha Khanna at Elias Law Group and Alexis Danneman at Perkins Coie. Ms. Khanna and Ms. Danneman employed colleagues of appropriate expertise and experience to support their work.

B. Character of the Work.

Plaintiff sought extraordinary relief through an election content, which sought to overturn the votes of millions of Arizonans. In response to this request for accelerated relief, the Governor-Elect filed a motion to dismiss and then prepared for and conducted a full trial on the remaining counts, which lasted two days. Each of the steps taken by the Governor-Elect's counsel in response to the actions and strategy of Plaintiff's counsel was reasonable and calculated to achieve the Governor-Elect's goals, while limiting costs.

C. The Work Actually Performed.

In conformance with *China Doll*, the declarations of Khanna and Danneman detail "the type of legal services provided, the date the service was provided, the attorney providing the service . . . , and the time spent in providing the service." *Id.* at 188. This

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

information, which is incorporated herein by reference, demonstrates that the attorneys' fees sought were incurred in connection with the litigation of issues raised in this contest.

D. The Results Achieved.

As a direct result of the efforts of the Governor-Elect's counsel, the Court rejected Plaintiff's contest and confirmed the results of the election. This was a complete victory.

Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, the Governor Elect respectfully requests an award of \$83,725.50 in definite fees and expenses and an additional \$550,210.00 in estimated fees.

Dated: December 26, 2022 PERKINS COIE LLP

By: <u>s/Alexis Danneman</u>

Alexis E. Danneman Daniel C. Barr Austin C. Yost Samantha J. Burke 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2788

Abha Khanna* **ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP**1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100
Seattle, WA 98101

Lalitha D. Madduri*
Christina Ford*
Elena A. Rodriguez Armenta*
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP
250 Massachusetts Ave NW, Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20001

Attorneys for Defendant/Contestee Katie Hobbs

1 2	Original efiled with the Maricopa County Superior Court and served through AZTurboCourt this 26th day of December, 2022:
3	Honorable Peter Thompson
4	Maricopa County Superior Court
5	c/o Sarah Umphress sarah.umphress@jbazmc.maricopa.gov
6	Bryan James Blehm
7	Blehm Law PLLC 10869 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 103-256
8	Scottsdale, Arizona 85254 bryan@blehmlegal.com
9	Kurt Olsen
10	Olsen Law, P.C. 1250 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 700
11	Washington, DC 20036
12	ko@olsenlawpc.com
13	Attorneys for Contestant/Plaintiff
14	Joseph La Rue Joe Branco
15	Karen Hartman-Tellez
16	Maricopa County Attorney's Office 225 West Madison St.
17	Phoenix, AZ 85003 laruej@mcao.maricopa.gov
18	brancoj@mcao.maricopa.gov
19	hartmank@mcao.maricopa.gov c-civilmailbox@mcao.maricopa.gov
20	Attorneys for Maricopa County Defendants
21	D. Andrew Goana
22	Coppersmith Brockelman PLC 2800 N. Central Ave., Ste. 1900
23	Phoenix, AZ, 85004
24	agaona@cblawyers.com
25	
26	
27	
28	
-0	

Sambo (Bo) Dul State United Democracy Center 8205 S. Priest Dr., #10312 Tempe, AZ 95284 bo@stateuniteddemocracy.org Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Secretary of State Katie Hobbs s/ Sharon Neilson