
Clerk of the Superior Court Maricopa County, AZ



PRINTING INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE CASE HISTORY INDEX

The Case History Index has been divided into six sections. You may view or print the entire document or only that section that is relevant for your current needs. The Case History section contains a glossary of terms and several special interest areas for those seeking a more complete view of the history of records in the custody of the Clerk of Court's Office. It also contains specific information on how to research case categories that have exception requirements.

The Adult, Juvenile and Marriage License Index sections are listings of all Superior Court cases by category, number and year, along with their totals and growth percentages from year to year.

The Adult and Juvenile Charts are supplied as visual attachments to the information supplied in the Case History index, offering different interpretations of the data and how some of the Index information relates to Maricopa County population growth.

MARICOPA COUNTY - CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

JEFF FINE

The Clerk of Superior Court's Office was established by the Arizona Constitution as an elected office with specific and special duties, primarily to serve as the official record keeper and financial officer for the Superior Court. The functions of the Clerk of the Court satisfy more than 500 state statutes and court rules. One of the major functions for which the Clerk is responsible is to receive, distribute and preserve official records for all Superior Court actions; i.e., civil, criminal, juvenile, probate, tax, and domestic relations matters.

The Case History Index was originally created to show the yearly growth percentage for cases filed with the Clerk of Superior Court's Office. It has since evolved to include some historical information, and provide location and access information, as well as summary figures, for each case category. Additionally, in late 1997, the Clerk's Office, with Superior Court, developed a Web site for access to the Clerk's Office Docket.

...The office of the Clerk can be traced back to the year 1272 AD in the History of Corporation of Old London. In the 1500's in England there was not only the Towne Clarcke, but also the Clerc Comptroller of the King's Honorable Household. In 1603, there was a Clarke general of the Armie. King Henry VIII had a Clarke of the Spicery.

When the early colonists came to America, they set up forms of local government to which they had become accustomed, and the Office of the Clerk was one of the first established. The colony at Plymouth appointed a person to act as the recorder.

Over the years, Clerks have become the hub of the government, the direct link between the residents and their government. Their Clerk is the historian of the county, for the entire recorded history of the county and its people are in his care.

...reprinted from the IACREOT NEWS, March 1998

On March 21, 2006 Supreme Court Administrative Order 2006-029, Arizona Code of Judicial Administration 3-402: Superior Court Records Retention and Disposition was signed replacing Administrative Order 1991-013. A.R.S. 41-1339 requires Superior Court Clerks to transfer permanent files to the Director of the Arizona State Library, Archives, and Public Records (ASLAPR) at the end of their prescribed retention period pursuant to court rules. Rule 29, Rules of the Supreme Court requires the court to adopt retention and disposition schedules and purge lists for the court records. Extensive background work spanning the course of several years established a more efficient and effective guide for preserving for the official record for all Superior Court actions, as is reflected in this new Administrative Order, as well as becoming the forefront leading towards the electronic court record.

For additional Records information, please contact the Clerk's Office at (602) 372-5375, or through the web page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov

1/1/07 Initiative (Supreme Court Administrative Order 2006-96)

January 1, 2007 was a significant milestone for the Clerk's Office. It was the day that Clerk of the Court, Michael Jeanes, implemented an end to placing paper documents received into hard copy files (adult case types only), eliminating the need for folders to be created and maintained for cases filed on and after 1/1/07. Instead, the paper documents filed are scanned, audited, and then disposed of after a series of quality checks for accuracy are completed. To achieve this major goal, the Office obtained Supreme Court Administrative Order 2006-96 authorizing the Clerk of the Superior Court in Maricopa County to dispose of certain paper filings after their electronic image is captured and maintained, ensuring the Office complied with all minimum standards and requirements for access, availability and retention while maintaining multiple electronic backup systems to access and preserve active and archived court records; thus, recognizing the Electronic Court Record (ECR) as the original. Pursuant to the Order, the "original" document is the electronic image of that document as maintained in the Clerk of the Superior Court's Electronic Document Management System (EDMS).

As a result of this progress, customers who request a file from 2002 to the present date are referred to the Public Access Terminals available at customer service locations to view the record. The hard copy file is no longer pulled from the fileroom, as it would not represent the complete record. Some documents exempt from this process, pending further order of the court, include lower court appeals documents, original administrative records under review, paper bonds, wills, criminal sentencing minute entries, juvenile documents, water documents, and depositions and transcripts.

In accordance with the Administrative Order, the Office disposed of the first box of court documents in March 2007. The box contained 2,500 documents and 6,000 pages. Paper documents placed in hard copy files fill hundreds of shelving units that require a tremendous amount of space and maintenance with required staffing levels to process and transport requested case files and documents to court divisions and various other customers. Disposing of paper documents saves a significant amount of storage space for the Office, along with associated cost for the County. By ending the operational demand of pulling and delivering court case files that are now available electronically, the Office is able to focus its resources on better managing access to the electronic court record and on processing the increasing number of Superior Court filings. "Transporting" these documents or case files is now performed at the click of a button allowing a multitude of customers to access electronic court records simultaneously; a most efficient and effective process for providing access instantaneously and a "win-win" situation for all.

Based upon the continued ongoing success of this initiative, the Clerk received authorization from the AOC to rely on electronic images of court records in lieu of paper case records on September 20, 2011. Accordingly, there is no longer a need for an Electronic Court Record Pilot Project in the Superior Court in Maricopa County.

For further information on Administrative Order 2006-96, you may access the following link:

http://www.supreme.state.az.us/orders/admorder/Orders06/2006-96.pdf

MARRIAGE RULING

The Federal Court ruled that Arizona's constitutional and statutory definitions of marriage as one man and one woman is unconstitutional under the United States Constitution. Effective October 17, 2014, Clerks of Superior Court around the state began issuing marriage licenses regardless of the applicants' gender.

GENERAL STREAM ADJUDICATION (WATER CASE) DIGITALIZATION PROJECT

This initiative, and the extensive amount of work poured into digitizing this massive General Stream Adjudication Case – "The Water Case", contributed most significantly to the ability and position of the Judicial Branch and the State of Arizona in determining water rights, affecting various state agencies and thousands of parties throughout Arizona with a vested interest in these proceedings. In 2019, HB2747 made appropriations to the Clerk of Court of approximately \$250,000 to digitize all paper documents associated with the Water Case, while the Superior Court simultaneously received funds to create an electronic case management system; thus, providing the ability to manage and resolve critical water related issues expeditiously utilizing these newly digitized electronic court records. The Project began in January 2020 and was completed by April 2020 with the primary focus on contested files relating to the San Pedro – Watershed #11 and the W1, W2, W3, W4 Consolidated original case, but included all officially designated contested cases. At this time, digital copies are not available for public viewing; however, copies can be obtained, upon request, by contacting the COC Water Case Clerk.

CLERKS OF THE COURT

YEAR ELECTED/APPOINTED	CLERKS OF THE COURT
1912	W.E. Thomas
1914	James Miller
1918	C.S. Berryman
1926	Walter S. Wilson
1930	Walter S. Wilson
1934	Walter S. Wilson
1938	Walter S. Wilson
1942	Walter S. Wilson
1946	Walter S. Wilson
1950	Walter S. Wilson
1954	Walter S. Wilson
1958	Walter S. Wilson
1962	Robert Miller
1966	W. Don Palmer
1970	W. Don Palmer
1974	W. Don Palmer
1978	W. Don Palmer
1982	Vivian Kringle
1986	Judith Allen
1990	Judith Allen
1994	Judith Allen
1997 (acting)	Michael Jeanes
1998 (appointed in Feb.)	Michael Jeanes
1998 (elected in Nov.)	Michael Jeanes
2002	Michael Jeanes
2006	Michael Jeanes
2010	Michael Jeanes
2014	Michael Jeanes
2018 (appointed in Apr.)	Chris DeRose
2018 (elected in Nov.)	Jeff Fine

GLOSSARY

A & C Additions & Corrections: Process of updating a record previously microfilmed where newer or amended documents will exist on a separate roll of film. Active Records that are considered to have current or recent activity. **ASLAPR** The Arizona State Library, Archives, and Public Records. The facility encompasses the Archives Division and Record Management Division. CCB Central Court Building: 201 W. Jefferson St. Phoenix, Arizona 85003 **CARS** Computer Assisted Retrieval System: A microfilm tracking database that indicates the microfilm roll and frame number on which a specific record is located. Case Transfer Cases can be transferred between satellite courts. For example, if a Downtown case is transferred to SEA, the case is assigned a new case number. Statistically this would slightly inflate the overall growth percentage. CSC Clerk of Court Customer Service Center: 601 W. Jackson St. Phoenix, Arizona 85003. DJC Downtown Justice Center: 620 W. Jackson St. Phoenix, Arizona 85003 FC Family Court With Children, a case category beginning 2/5/01 where minor children are common to the parties involved. FΝ Family Court With No Children, a case category beginning 2/5/01 where no minor children are common to the parties involved. **Fiche** A diminutive of "microfiche". These records have been microfilmed and are case specific to film jacket. The film is in flat format. Unless otherwise noted, files on fiche are viewed at CSC. A diminutive of "microfilm". These records have been microfilmed and are located Film on a roll of film amid other cases. Unless otherwise noted, all files on film can be viewed at CSC. SEA case files on film may also be viewed at the SEA facility. FT2 File Tracking System: database used to locate case files. Location indicator of FT2 denotes case could be on film, hard copy or e-file. Check the FT2 database for current location. Home Location The location which FT2 recognizes as the place where the file resides or the medium in which the record is maintained. The process by which a paper document is scanned electronically and stored on *Imaging* a computer drive or on an optical disc as a digital image that can later be retrieved and viewed through an indexing program. Inactive Records that are considered to have no current or recent activity. JCC-DF Juvenile Court Center – Durango Facility: 3131 W. Durango St. Phoenix, Arizona,

Juvenile Court Center - Southeast Facility: 1810 S. Lewis, Mesa, Arizona, 85210.

85009.

JCC-SE

"Now for then". A term used to describe an order that is effective retroactively, Nunc Pro Tunc

usually when information that had substantial bearing on the case was

inadvertently left out of the record.

NER Northeast Regional Court Center: 18380 N. 40th St. Suite 120, Phoenix, Arizona,

85032. NER files are housed at CSC when not in use.

Northwest Regional Court Center: 14264 West Tierra Buena Lane, Surprise, **NWR**

Arizona, 85374. NWR files are housed at CSC when not in use.

OCH Old Court House: 125 W. Washington St. Phoenix, Arizona, 85003.

Public Access Terminals Computer terminals used to access court files created after 2002; public access

terminals are located at all Clerk of Court customer service facilities.

SEA Southeast Adult Facility: 222 E. Javelina Ave, Mesa, Arizona, 85210.

SCT South Court Tower: 175 W. Madison, Phoenix, AZ 85003

State Archives A Division of Arizona State Library Archives and Public Records, located at the

State Capitol, 1700 W. Washington St. Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

A record created when documents are filed after the original case record has Supplemental File

been microfilmed. They remain in hard-copy until filmed by the Micrographics

Department at CSC, usually on an annual basis. Check with the A & C

Department for current supplemental status.

Temporary Papers Original documents that have been processed through the Clerk's Office and

routed to their home location. The documents are placed in the original file upon

its return.

MARICOPA COUNTY LANDMARK. RENOWNED. AND HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT CASES

MIRANDA CASE: In 1963, Ernesto Miranda was accused of kidnap and rape and was arrested in Phoenix. Miranda was subsequently found guilty and sentenced to 20 years in prison. This conviction was appealed all the way to the United States Supreme Court. In 1966, Miranda and three similar cases were joined and in a landmark decision, the Supreme Court determined that an accused individual has the right to remain silent and that prosecutors cannot use statements made by the accused while in police custody, unless the individual has been advised of their rights. The case numbers for the Miranda case are CR41948. These files are available on microfilm at CSC. Some exhibits are at the State Archives and some are at the U.S. District Court.

WINNIE RUTH JUDD CASE: Also known as the "Trunk Murderess Case", it began in Phoenix in 1931 when Winnie Ruth Judd was accused of killing two women she had known for some time as neighbors and roommates. The purported motive was jealousy over a local businessman named Jack Halloran. The bodies of the murdered women were cut into pieces, packed in trunks and shipped to California where a railroad baggage handler noticed a peculiar odor and saw leakage from the trunks. Winnie Ruth Judd was arrested and stood trial in Arizona. She was found guilty on February 8, 1932, although she maintained that her actions were taken in self defense. Jack Halloran was indicted but not convicted. Judd was committed to the Arizona State Hospital. She escaped twice in 1939, once in 1947, once in 1951, and twice again in 1952. A grand jury recommended that her death sentence be commuted to life imprisonment in 1952. In 1962 she escaped to California where she lived until 1969, when she was discovered and extradited to Arizona. She was pardoned by Governor Jack Williams in 1971. The case numbers involved are CR11333, CR11340, and CR11342. The files are available on microfilm at CSC. Original records and exhibits are available at the State Archives.

KINGMAN FIRE CASES: In July 1973, an explosion of a propane tank car in Kingman, Arizona resulted in many deaths and injuries. As a result over 50 suits were filed in Superior Court. The following are the civil case numbers that correspond to the Kingman Fire Case: C279454, C280110, C280147, C280109, C280366, C280506, C280507, C280753, C280834, C281145, C282642, C282643, C284510, C284997 through C284999, C285304, C285935, C286425 through C286434, C287993, C288731, C289897, C292820, C293537, C295290, C295406 through C295414, C298536, C298537, C302675, C304182, C304183, C307729, C304182, C304183, C307729, C309004, C310857, C314190, C314723 through C314726, C315765, C315798, C315978, C315979, C315980, C316015, C316058, C327435, C333263.

THE GILA RIVER ADJUDICATION (W1, W2, W3, AND W4 CONSOLIDATED): The Water Case, as it is known, became a judicial proceeding in April 1979 when a petition to determine conflicting water rights, filed by the Salt River Valley Water Users' Association, was transferred from a state agency to the Maricopa County Superior Court. The case was initiated in order to resolve the issue of who has what rights to surface water and appropriate groundwater in Arizona. Originally, the only watershed involved was W1, the Salt River Watershed. In November 1981, the following watersheds were consolidated into W1: W2, Verde River; W3, Upper Gila River; and W4, San Pedro River. The full case is *In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source,* W1, W2, W3, and W4 (Consolidated).

Six Indian water rights settlements have been approved: W1-200, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community Water Rights Settlement; W1-201, Fort McDowell Indian Community Water Rights Settlement and W1-202, Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe Water Rights Settlement, W1-204, San Carlos Apache Tribe Water Rights Settlement, W1-207, Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement, and W1-208, Tohono O'odham Nation Water Rights Settlement.

Active Indian water rights matters are W1-203, *In re the Water Rights of the Gila River Indian Community*; W1-205, which has no designated name but is between the U.S. Department of the Interior, Gila River Indian Community, and the Roosevelt Water Conservation District, and W1-206, *In re the Preclusive Effect of the Globe Equity No. 59 Decree on Specified Parties*.

Active contested cases are W1-103, *In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed;* W1-104, *In re State Trust Lands;* W1-105, a case not yet organized but involving certain *de minimis* water rights; W1-11-232, *In re San Pedro Riparian National Conservation Area;* W1-11-605, *In re Fort Huachuca*; W1-11-174, *In re PWR 107 Claims*; and W1-11-3423, *In re Powers Garden Administrative Site.* Several injunctive relief matters, listed under the main docket number, are pending in the Salt and Verde River Watersheds.

The dockets for the cases are at http://clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov/watercase.asp

STATE OF ARIZONA V. JOHN HENRY KNAPP, CR78779: On November 16, 1973, a fire in the Mesa residence of John and Linda Knapp claimed the lives of their two infant daughters. John Henry Knapp was accused of setting the blaze with Coleman fuel and charged with two counts of first-degree murder. He was tried in August 1974 but the jury was unable to reach a verdict. A second trial commenced in October, and on November 19, the jury found Knapp guilty on both counts of murder. On January 6, 1975, he was sentenced to death in the gas chamber and transported to the Arizona State Prison to await execution. His conviction was affirmed by the Arizona Supreme Court on March 9. 1977. After a series of appeals, a fifth petition for post-conviction relief was granted on February 11, 1987, vacating Knapp's conviction and sentence and granting him a new trial. Research over the intervening twelve years had the phenomenon of "flashover", in which the damage from a high temperature fire mimics that of one set using an accelerant. This gave substantial weight to the theory that the fire could have been set accidentally by the girls themselves. However, much of the state's tangible evidence had by that time been destroyed, and the Attorney General's Office was unable to proceed to trial. The case was dismissed with prejudice on December 21, 1987. The case may be viewed on microfilm at CSC. Original documents are at CSC.

THE SOUL CASE, P58416: On January 2, 1946, James Kidd executed a holographic will which states in part: "sell all my property...and have this balance of money to go in a research or some scientific proof of a soul of the human body which leaves at death I think in time their can be a Photograph of soul leaving the human at death." On proof that Kidd has absented himself from Maricopa County for such a period of time as to be presumed dead, the will was admitted to judgment by the bank. Judge Robert L. Myers declared that Kidd's will created a valid, charitable testamentary trust, and set for hearing the petitions of those individuals and organizations who sought distribution of the estate for the purpose of engaging in research under the terms of the will. One hundred thirty three claims were made, and from June through October 1967, over one hundred written and oral testimonies were heard in Superior Court. On November 7, 1967, Judge Myers signed an order appointing the Neurological Sciences Foundation of Phoenix as trustee. At that time the estate was valued at roughly \$200,000. However the decision was appealed to the Arizona Supreme Court who reversed and remanded the Superior Court's decision on January 19, 1971. Judge Myers subsequently ruled that the trust be granted to the American Society for Psychical Research, who as trustee conducted its research on its Hypothesis of Post-Mortem Survival until the funds from the Kidd estate were depleted in May 1975. The case may be viewed on microfilm at CSC.

PUBLIC FIDUCIARY VS ARIZONA STATE HOSPITAL, DEPT OF HEALTH SERVICES (SARNS CASE) CV432355: This case was filed in 1981 as a class action lawsuit alleging that the State and Maricopa County did not fund a comprehensive mental health system as required by A.R.S. §§ 36-550 through 36-550.08. In 1986, the trial court agreed with the class members and entered judgment against the State and County. The Arizona Supreme Court affirmed the judgment in 1989. Since that time, the Court continues to oversee the status of the case, compliance issues raised and the parties' stipulations. There are approximately 18,000 people in Maricopa County with a serious mental illness whom are plaintiffs and affected by this case. The Governor and county officials are defendants. Throughout the years, the issues in the case have been continually reported in the news media. The case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. EVAN MECHAM, CR 1988-000246: Evan Mecham was Governor of Arizona at the time of his indictment on 6 felony charges on January 8, 1988. In the interim, he was impeached by the Arizona House. On June 10, 1988, Mecham was acquitted of all criminal charges. The case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. O'BRIEN, CR2003-016197-001; On June 14, 2003, the defendant was driving eastbound on Glendale Avenue, approaching 19th Avenue when he struck a pedestrian crossing the roadway. The pedestrian died as a result of being hit by the defendant's car, as well as another unidentified vehicle that was apparently following behind the defendant. The defendant failed to stop at the scene of the collision and render aid as required by A.R.S. §§ 28-661 and 28-663. Several witnesses observed the incident and one witness obtained the license plate number of the involved vehicle. Further investigation revealed the vehicle was registered to the Roman Catholic Diocese and was assigned to Bishop O'Brien. When police contacted him at his residence two days after the accident, he stated something had hit his windshield, but he did not know what had happened. He admitted he did not stop after the incident, noting he did not believe there was any reason to do so. He also stated church officials had previously contacted him to inform him his vehicle had been involved in a hit and run fatality. He did not personally contact police, thinking they would contact him. The defendant allowed detectives to inspect his vehicle, and blood, hair, and tissue from the victim were found in and around the damaged areas. The defendant was interviewed further and he was taken into custody. On March 26, 2004, the defendant was sentenced to serve four years supervised probation and perform 1000 hours of community service. The court elected not to require the defendant to serve an initial term of incarceration, but rather deferred a six-month jail term which was ultimately deleted from the defendant's sentence based upon satisfactory performance while on probation. The case may be viewed at CSC.

TEE TIME ARRANGERS. INC VS. VISTOSO GOLD PARTNERS. LLC. CV2004-013105: Tee Time. an Arizona corporation, created and operated a discount golf card program called the Tucson Resort Golf Card from 1991 to 2001, which offered golfers the chance to play "high-end" or elite golf courses at reduced rates during off-peak times. The program utilized an annual written Participation Agreement that included an exclusivity provision that typically allowed for limited exceptions contingent on Tee Time's consent. Ultimately, the management of several of these golf courses formed the Tucson Golf Alliance (TGA), which in turned developed their own discount card (Southern Chapter Card) which was affiliated with and administrated by the Southern Chapter of the Southwest Section of the PGS (SWSPGA). Tee Time was subsequently informed that several of the (TGA) members was considering switching from their discount card to another discount card (the Southern Chapter Card). By late summer 2000, many of TGA members had chosen not to participate in Tee Time's program and instead signed agreements to participate in the Southern Chapter Card program. Although more than a dozen southern Arizona golf courses, including some of the more desirable courses, chose to participate in Tee Time's program for the 2000-2001 golf season. Tee Time maintained that the number of quality participants was insufficient for their discount program to remain viable. On July 7, 2004, Tee Time sued alleging three claims based on violations of Arizona's Uniform State Antitrust Act. On February 13, the court entered judgment in favor of the defendants. On March 7, 2007, Tee Time filed a timely notice of appeal. On February 8, 2008, the trial court's judgment was affirmed by the Arizona Court of Appeals. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. CROTTS; GRABINSKI; HOOVER; FRIEND; ROLFES, CR2001-006183-(A)(B)(C)(D)(E): Defendants William Crotts, Thomas Grabinski, Lawrence Hoover, Harold Friend and Richard Rolfes were prosecuted for fraud related to an investment vehicle called the Baptist Foundation of Arizona (BFA) that collected hundreds of millions of dollars from investors. The case was notorious for the local residents who lost substantial funds in BFA. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DONALD DALE DEARDOFF, CR2001-006926: Defendant Donald Deardoff was prosecuted for fraud related to an investment vehicle called the Baptist Foundation of Arizona (BFA) that collected hundreds of millions of dollars from investors. The case was notorious for the local residents who lost substantial funds in BFA. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JALMA W. HUNSINGER, CR2001-006927: Defendant Jalma Hunsinger was prosecuted for fraud related to an investment vehicle called the Baptist Foundation of Arizona (BFA) that collected hundreds of millions of dollars from investors. The case was notorious for the local residents who lost substantial funds in BFA. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. EDGAR ALAN KUHN, CR2001-006928: Defendant Edgar Kuhn was prosecuted for fraud related to an investment vehicle called the Baptist Foundation of Arizona (BFA) that collected hundreds of millions of dollars from investors. The case was notorious for the local residents who lost substantial funds in BFA. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JOHNATHAN ANDREW DOODY, CR1992-001232: This case captured national and international attention when nine individuals including Buddhist monks, were killed at a Buddhist Temple west of Phoenix. Johnathan Doody, a teenager at the time, was tried, convicted and sentenced to prison for the murders. The case was heard by the U.S. Supreme Court regarding the voluntariness of Doody's confession. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. TIMOTHY STUART RING, CR1995-001754: This case was heard by the U.S. Supreme Court as a representative case, resulting in the "Ring decision," creating the Constitutional requirement that a jury, not a judge, determine whether a convicted defendant is eligible to receive the death penalty. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. MARK GOUDEAU, CR2006-155081: This is the initial criminal court case involving Mark Goudeau, who was charged with a series of crimes that were locally and nationally attributed to "the Baseline Killer". In this case, he was convicted of sexual assault against two sisters who were walking home from a city park and was sentenced to over 400 years in prison on related counts. This case is connected to CR2007-005499, in which Goudeau was convicted of murdering nine victims. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. MARK GOUDEAU, CR2007-005449: This is the criminal court case involving Mark Goudeau, who was charged with a series of crimes that were locally and nationally attributed to "the Baseline Killer". In this case, he was convicted of murdering nine (9) victims. Mark Goudeau was sentenced to nine (9) death counts and 1200 years for all remaining counts. This case is connected to CR2006-155081, in which Goudeau was convicted of sexual assault against two sisters who were walking home from a city park and was sentenced to over 400 years in prison on related counts. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. STEVEN JOHN COY, CR2004-008246-002: Steven John Coy, a former inmate of the Arizona State Prison, overtook a prison watchtower with a fellow inmate on January 18, 2004, holding two security guards hostage for two weeks. He and his accomplice surrendered on February 1, 2004. It is the longest prison stand-off in U.S. history and Steven John Coy was sentenced to multiple consecutive life sentences related to the offenses. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. RICKY WASSENAAR, CR2004-010115: Ricky Kurt Wassenaar, a former inmate of the Arizona State Prison, overtook a prison watchtower with a fellow inmate on January 18, 2004, holding two security guards hostage for two weeks. He and his accomplice surrendered on February 1, 2004. It is the longest prison stand-off in U.S. history and Ricky Wassenaar was sentenced to multiple consecutive life sentences related to the offenses. This case may be viewed at CSC.

ELIZABETH SUKENIC VS. MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2001-003337: Elizabeth Sukenic filed action in February 2001 alleging discrimination, retaliation and reprisal, and a continuous pattern of discriminatory harassment in violation of A.R.S. §41-1461, the Arizona Civil Rights Act. A second amended Complaint was filed in November 2002 adding her spouse Howard as co-plaintiff, and subsequently alleging violations of Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act amended and the Civil Rights Act of 1991. In January 2003, by order of the Court, the case was closed, having been removed to the United States District Court. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH M. ARPAIO VS. JAMES VINCENT COZZOLINO, CV2001-003901: In August 1996, James Cozzolino applied to the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office for a position as a member of the Sheriff's Posse. Based on Mr. Cozzolino's prior history of convictions for a variety of offenses, his application was denied. Over the next several years, Mr. Cozzolino directed hostile and abusive contacts towards the Sheriff. A petition for injunction against harassment was filed and granted in March 2001. A hearing was requested by Cozzolino and based on those findings, a modified injunction was granted in June 2001. A Notice of Appeal was filed in August 2001, however due to non-payment of filing fees, the case was deemed abandoned by the Court of Appeals. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. SCOTT LOUIS FALATER, CR1997-000928A: This is the criminal case involving Scott Louis Falater. On January 16, 1997, Scott Falater murdered his wife and although he does not deny the action, he stated that he was in a state of somnambulistic automatism or sleepwalking, when the murder occurred. Because of these circumstances, this case received local and national attention. He was convicted of murder in the first degree and on January 10, 2000, was sentenced, by special verdict, to serve the remainder of his natural life in prison. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. SAMUEL JOHN DIETEMAN, CR2006-012721-002: This is the criminal case involving Samuel Dieteman. This case was notorious due to Dieteman and co-defendant Dale Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was charged with numerous counts, to include conspiracy to commit first degree murder and arson of an occupied structure. This case is also connected to CR2006-048493, where he was charged with several counts including first degree murder and attempted first degree murder. In both cases, he was sentenced to natural life and life without possibility of parole. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. SAMUEL JOHN DIETEMAN, CR2006-048493-001: This is the criminal case involving Samuel Dieteman. This case was notorious due to Dieteman and co-defendant Dale Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was charged with numerous counts, to include first degree murder and drive-by shooting. This case is also connected to CR2006-012721, where he was charged with several counts including conspiracy to commit first degree murder and attempted first degree murder. In both cases, he was sentenced to natural life and life without possibility of parole. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DALE SHAWN HAUSNER, CR2008-007313-002: This is the criminal case involving Dale Shawn Hausner. This case was notorious due to Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was convicted on numerous counts, to include attempted first degree murder and aggravated assault. This case is connected to CR2006-048493, where he was convicted of murdering two victims, CR2006-012721, where he was convicted of murdering three victims, and CR2008-006364, where he was convicted of murdering one victim. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DALE SHAWN HAUSNER, CR2008-006364-001: This is the criminal case involving Dale Shawn Hausner. This case was notorious due to Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was convicted on numerous counts, to include murdering one victim. He was sentenced to death on this particular count. This case is connected to CR2006-012721, where he was convicted of murdering three victims, and CR2008-007313, where he was convicted of attempted first degree murder and aggravated assault. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DALE SHAWN HAUSNER, CR2006-012721-001: This is the criminal case involving Dale Shawn Hausner. This case was notorious due to Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was convicted on numerous counts, to include murdering three victims. He was sentenced to death on these particular counts. This case is connected to CR2006-048493, where he was convicted of murdering two victims, CR2008-006364, where he was convicted of murdering one victim, and CR2008-007313, where he was convicted of attempted first degree murder and aggravated assault. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DALE SHAWN HAUSNER, CR2006-048493-002: This is the initial case involving Dale Shawn Hausner. This case was notorious due to Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was convicted on numerous counts, to include murdering two victims. He was sentenced to death on these particular counts. This case is connected to CR2006-012721, where he was convicted of murdering three victims, CR2008-006364, where he was convicted of murdering one victim, and CR2008-007313, where he was convicted of attempted first degree murder and aggravated assault. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JEFFERY JOSEPH HAUSNER, CR2008-007313-001: This is the criminal case involving Jeffery Joseph Hausner. As an accomplice provided a distraction, Hausner stabbed the victim several times in the back. Initially unable to identify his assailant, the victim ultimately recognized the accomplice, Dale Hausner, after his arrest in connection with unrelated serial shootings. Jeffery Hausner was eventually identified as the assailant. While incarcerated due to a previous unrelated criminal case, Hausner was charged and sentenced to 18 years on the attempted murder conviction and 11.25 years on the aggravated assault conviction. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JEFFERY JOSEPH HAUSNER, CR2006-168158-002: This is the initial case involving Jeffery Joseph Hausner. In November 2006, Jeffery Hausner was charged with attempted second degree murder and aggravated assault. A plea agreement/change of plea was entered in March 2007 and Hausner pled guilty to aggravated assault. He was sentenced to 7.5 years for this offense. This case may be viewed at CSC.

PHOENIX NEWSPAPERS, INC VS. LISA GRAHAM KEEGAN, THE STATE OF ARIZONA BOARD OF EDUCATION, AND THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, CV1999-021338: In November 1999, upon release of the statewide results of the Arizona Instrument to Measure Standards (AIMS) test, Phoenix Newspaper Inc. (PNI) requested a photocopy of Form A of the test. They were told that they could inspect the test in a controlled environment, but could not have a photocopy. PNI filed a Special Action in December 1999 to enforce A.R.S. §39-121 through §39-121.03 (the Arizona Public Records Law). In April 2000, the Court ordered the contents of Form A, with the exception of the anchor questions, to be disclosed. The Court of Appeals affirmed the lower court's original decision in April 2002. This case may be viewed at CSC.

PATRICIA W. ALSTON VS. PHOENIX COUNTRY CLUB, CV2010-005897: Patricia Alston, a member of Phoenix Country Club (PCC), requested permission to play in member-guest tournaments scheduled from September 2008 through May of 2009, but was told that all member tournaments were specifically stated for men only. The only organized golfing opportunities available for her would be with the PCC's Women's Golf Association, which were the same activities provided for the wives and girlfriends of male members. In May 2010, Ms. Alston filed an amended Complaint alleging Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Breach of Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing. The case settled in December 2010 and was dismissed, with prejudice, in January 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JANE DOE VS. JOE ARPAIO, MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY; MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2004-009286: Jane Doe, pseudonym for a pregnant inmate, desired to terminate her pregnancy and informed the Sheriff's Office of her decision. She was initially told that there was an unwritten policy that prohibits transportation of inmates for any elective medical procedure and that a court order would be needed. The Court ruled that this policy was unconstitutional and the Sheriff was enjoined from directly or indirectly enforcing said policy. The Sheriff's Office appealed the decision, which was affirmed by the Court of Appeals in November 2007. This case may be viewed at CSC.

MARICOPA COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY, BY AND THROUGH ITS CHAIR, THE HON.TOM LIDDY VS. CONSTANTIN QUERARD, DOING BUSINESS AS "REPUBLICAN PRIMARY VOTE-BY-MAIL CENTER," AN UNREGISTERED POLITICAL ADVOCACY ENTITY, CV2004-016012: In August 2004, the Maricopa County Republican Party filed a Complaint against Constantin Querard, alleging that he mailed Early Ballot Request forms to voters in an effort to obtain sensitive voter information for his own political ends. The County Recorder and Election Director filed an Intervention as well. The Court issued a preliminary injunction against Querard to cease the mailings and provide the Election Director with all obtained voter data. The case was dismissed, with prejudice, in August 2005. This case may be viewed at CSC.

THE SEPTEMBER 7, 2004 PRIMARY ELECTION FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE – DISTRICT 20 REPUBLICAN PRIMARY; JOHN MCCOMISH AND ANTON ORLICH, CANDIDATES, CV2004-018181: A petition for automatic recount was filed by Secretary of State Janice Brewer for a recount of the votes cast for candidates John McCormish and Anton Orlich in the 2004 Primary Election for State Representative – District 20 Republican Primary. After the initial vote total, the difference between the candidates was 4 votes. The Court ruled that a recount be conducted and upon those results, John McCormish was declared the winner by a total of 13 votes. The order approving the Recount Results was signed on September 23, 2004. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE OF ARIZONA VS. CHARLES FRANKLIN LONG, CR2002-002674A: This is the criminal case involving Charles Franklin Long and the American Buffalo Soldier Boot Camp. Long established a boot-camp program for at-risk-youths, designed in a military style to assist the youths. The camp participants were subjected to demanding physical activities at times in extreme temperatures. In July 2001, Long physically threatened one youth with a knife and several of the youths were also forced to take dirt into their mouths and to hold it in their mouths until they were told to spit it out. Another youth, after being exposed to direct sunlight in temperatures approaching 112 degrees and higher, became lethargic. He was taken to a hotel room for a shower and to cool off. No immediate medical assistance was requested. The youth later returned to the camp but fell over and hit his head. A 911 was placed and the youth was transported by air to a local hospital where he died later. This case was notorious due to Long having been initially indicted on charges of second degree murder, aggravated assault, and 8 counts of child abuse. He was eventually convicted on charges of reckless manslaughter and aggravated assault and sentenced to an aggravated six-year prison term on the manslaughter conviction and to a concurrent, mitigated five-year prison term on the assault conviction. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE OF ARIZONA VS. DONALD T. STAPLEY, CR2008-009242-001: Donald T. Stapley, a public official, was indicted in November 2008 on numerous counts of Forgery, Perjury, False Swearing and Filing an Incomplete And/Or False Financial Disclosure. Prosecution of the case was eventually taken over by the Yavapai County Attorney's office. All but two of the counts were dismissed by the Court and the State moved to dismiss the remaining charges without prejudice. The State then appealed the Court's order dismissing the misdemeanor charges. The Court's dismissal order was affirmed by the Court of Appeals in March 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. GARY DONAHOE, CR2009-008332-001: Gary Donahoe, a Superior Court judge, was charged in December 2009 on counts of Hindering Prosecution, Obstructing Criminal Investigations, both Class 5 Felonies, and Bribery of a Public Servant, a Class 4 Felony. Judge Donahoe filed an Application for Immediate Stay Pending Resolution of Special Action with the Arizona Supreme Court which was subsequently denied. In January 2013, the case was ordered dismissed with prejudice. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2013. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. MARY ROSE WILCOX, CR2010-005423-001: Mary Rose Wilcox, a public official, was indicted in January 2010 on numerous charges of Conflict of Interest, Perjury, Forgery, and False Swearing. The case was reassigned to Pima County for judicial assignment. The Indictment was ordered dismissed in March 2010. An Order to supplement the record with all minutes, instruments, and pleadings from CR2009-007892-001 was granted in June 2010 by the Court of Appeals. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. MARY ROSE WILCOX, CR2009-007892-001: Mary Rose Wilcox, a public official, was indicted in December 2009 in numerous charges of Conflict of Interest, Perjury, Forgery, and False Swearing. The case was reassigned to Pima County for judicial assignment. The Indictment was ordered dismissed in February 2010. An Order to supplement CR2010-005423 with all minutes, instruments, and pleadings from this case was granted in June 2010 by the Court of Appeals. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DONALD T. STAPLEY, JR., CR2009-007891-001: Donald T. Stapley, a public official, was indicted in December 2009 on numerous counts of Fraudulent Schemes and Artifices, Perjury, Forgery, False Swearing, and Theft. The case was ordered reassigned to Pima County for judicial assignment. In March 2010, the case was ordered dismissed without prejudice. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

BARBARA MUNDELL AND ANNA BACA VS. MARICOPA COUNTY, JOSEPH ARPAIO, JOSEPH AND AVA ARPAIO, DAVID HENDERSHOTT AND ANNA HENDERSHOTT, LISA AUBUCHON AND PETER PESTALOZZI, AND ANDREW P. THOMAS AND ANNE THOMAS, CV2010-032707: Barbara Mundell and Anna Baca, retired Superior Court Judges, filed a Complaint in November 2010, amended in September 2011, claiming abuse of process, wrongful institution of civil proceedings, defamation, slander, libel, false light invasion of privacy, intentional infliction of emotional distress, and constitutional and statutory violations based on 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Initially assigned to Pima County for judicial assignment, a Notice of Removal to U.S. District Court was filed in November 2011. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

KENNETH FIELDS VS. MARICOPA COUNTY, JOSEPH ARPAIO, RICHARD ROMLEY, WILLIAM MONTGOMERY, JOSEPH ARPAIO AND JANE DOE ARPAIO, DAVID HENDERSHOTT AND JANE DOE HENDERSHOTT, LISA AUBUCHON AND JOHN DOE AUBUCHON, ANDREW P. THOMAS AND JANE DOE THOMAS, DOES I THROUGH x; BLACK CORPORATIONS I THROUGH x, ABC PARTNERSHIPS I THROUGH X, CV 2010-032888: Kenneth Fields, a retired Superior Court Judge, filed a Complaint in November 2010, amended in March 2011, claiming abuse of process, wrongful institution of civil proceedings, and defamation, libel, and slander. This case was assigned to Pima County for judicial assignment. In August 2011, an Order to dismiss the case, with prejudice, was signed. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

SANDRA WILSON AND PAUL WILSON VS. SHERIFF JOSEPH ARPAIO AND AVA ARPAIO, ANDREW THOMAS AND ANNE THOMAS, LISA AUBUCHON AND PETER R. PESTALOZZI, DEPUTY CHIEF DAVID HENDERSHOTT AND ANNA HENDERSHOTT, WILLIAM MONTGOMERY, MARICOPA COUNTY AND JOHN DOES I-X, JANE DOES I-X, BLACK CORPORATIONS I-V AND WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I-V, CV2010-032843: Sandra Wilson, a Deputy County Manager filed a Complaint in November 2010 alleging numerous violations of 42 U.S.C. §1983, violations of Arizona Law, Defamation, Libel and/or False Light Invasion of Privacy, Racketeering Violations, and Negligence. Initially assigned to Pima County for judicial assignment, a Notice or Removal to U.S. District Court was then filed in December 2010. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

SUSAN SCHUERMAN VS. SHERIFF JOSEPH ARPAIO AND AVA ARPAIO, ANDREW THOMAS AND ANNE THOMAS, LISA AUBUCHON AND PETER R. PESTALOZZI, DEPUTY CHIEF DAVID HENDERSHOTT AND ANNA HENDERSHOTT, WILLIAM MONTGOMERY, MARICOPA COUNTY AND JOHN DOES I-X, JANE DOES I-X, BLACK CORPORATIONS I-V AND WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I-V, CV2010-032845: Susan Schuerman, a Maricopa County employee filed a Complaint in November 2010 alleging numerous violations of 42 U.S.C. §1983, violations of Arizona Law, Abuse of Process, Infliction of Emotional Distress, Libel, Defamation, and/or False Light Invasion or Privacy, Racketeering Violations, Negligence and Gross Negligence. Initially assigned to Pima County for judicial assignment, a Notice of Removal to U.S. District Court was then filed in December 2010. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

A B C D W HOLDINGS, LLC, VANDERBILT FARMS, LLC, CONLEY WOLFSWINKEL, ASHTON WOLDSWINKEL, AND BRANDON WOLFSWINKEL, CV2009-005990: In January 2009, the Maricopa Sheriff's Office was issued a Search Warrant to search the premises of W Holdings based on allegations that Donald Stapley, a public official, improperly received money or other compensation from the Wolfswinkel Companies. This case was vacated and transferred to the appropriate Justice Court in March 2009 and was dismissed without prejudice in February 2010. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. WILLIAM CRAIG MILLER, CR2005-140129-001: This is the initial criminal case involving William Craig Miller. In December 2005, Miller was indicted on counts of Arson of an Occupied Structure, Fraudulent Fire Insurance Application or Claim, Fraudulent Insurance Claim, and Attempted Fraudulent Schemes and Artifices. He subsequently pled guilty to these charges. This case is connected to CR2006-112056, where he was convicted of several charges to include five counts of First Degree Murder, and CR2006-008155, where he was convicted of four counts of Solicitation of First Degree Murder. This case may be viewed at CSC.

ROOSEVELT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT VS. GOVERNOR JANE D. HULL, CV1999-019062: The Roosevelt Elementary School District filed action in October 1999 against the State to enforce the funding obligations established by the Students FIRST legislation. The plaintiffs contend that underfunding has resulted in the school districts being unable to provide the facilities and equipment necessary to enable their students to meet the state's academic standards. The case was dismissed without prejudice in January 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JONATHAN B. WEISBUCH, MD DIRECTOR, MARICOPA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH VS. ALL PERSONS ARRESTED FOR PROSTITUTION IN MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, CV2001-004963: Doctor Jonathan Weisbuch, Director of the Maricopa County Department of Public Health, filed a petition on March 23, 2001 to require all individuals arrested for prostitution to be tested for syphilis. At that time, a syphilis epidemic was declared in Maricopa County, one of the few counties outside of the southeastern United States where the syphilis rates exceeded the nation goal. On April 12, 2001, the Order was signed allowing the Department of Public Health, upon consent, to draw an individual's blood prior to their normal release from jail. This case may be viewed at CSC.

DAVID SCHWEIKERT, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS TREASURER OF MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA VS. DR. SANDRA DOWLING, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SOLE MEMBER OF THE GOVERNING BOARD OF MARICOPA COUNTY REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 509, MARICOPA COUNTY REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 509, AND JOHN DOES 1-3, BLACK CORPORATIONS 1-3, CV2006-014285: Maricopa County Treasurer David Schweikert filed a Complaint in September 2006 seeking declaratory relief regarding his duties in handling warrants from School District No. 509. He alleged that Superintendent Dowling continually presented warrants that exceeded the District's deposits so that the District had negative balances in its allocated funds. The Board of Supervisors and Superintendent Dowling both filed counterclaims. A final judgment was issued in October 2007. This was appealed to the Court of Appeals which affirmed the judgment in January 2010. This case may be viewed at CSC.

ARIZONA TOGETHER, AN UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATION, AMALIA ANTONIOLA, AL BREZNEY, GLEN CROMER, TERESA HEWITT, PAUL KNOBBE, ALBERT LANNON, KAITLIN MEADOWS, REBECCA MILLER, FRANK MONTOYA, MAXINE PIATT VS. JANICE BREWER, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA AND PROTECT MARRIAGE ARIZONA, AN UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATION, CV2006-010505: Arizona Together a political committee, filed a Complaint in July 2006 alleging that an initiative, Proposition 107, filed with the Secretary of State, encompassed two or more separate constitutional amendments therefore violating Article XXI § 1 of the Arizona Constitution. In August 2006, the Court concluded that Proposition 107 did comply with the requirements of Article XXI. That ruling was appealed and in January 2007, the Court of Appeals affirmed the Court's decision. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. GARY L. BARTLETT, WILLIAM J. ENGLISH, SHIREE L. FOSTER, JAMES A. HARTDEGEN, JESUS R. "CHUY" HIGUERA, ERNEST L. HOFFMAN, DAVID M. HORWITZ, DONALD J. KENNEY, SUZANNE CRAMTON LAYBE, DAVID MANLEY, JAMES HARRIS MEREDITH, BOBBY D. RAYMOND, RICHARD T. SCHEFFEL, GEORGE STRAGALAS III, RONALD G. TAPP, CAROLYN ANN WALKER, CR19991-000997: This is the case that was locally and nationally attributed to the "AzScam" case. In February 1991, 16 individuals, including several Arizona state legislators, were indicted on 100 various counts. All defendants were indicted on Count One, Conspiracy to Commit Illegally Conducting an Enterprise, Bribery of a Public Servant or Party Officer, Offer to Exert Improper Influence of a Public Officer or Employee for Consideration, Money Laundering in the Second Degree, Fraudulent Scheme or Willful Concealment, Hindering Prosecution in the First Degree and Making False Campaign Contribution Statements. Eleven of the defendants eventually struck plea agreements. Two defendants, Tapp and Walker, opted for jury trials. Walker was sentenced in January 1993 on one count and Tapp was sentenced in February 1993 on 12 counts. The cases against Foster and Bartlett were dismissed, without prejudice, in January and April 1993, respectively. The case against English was dismissed, with prejudice, in March 1992. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO, A NEW JERSEY CORPORATION, CV2001-004564: The State of Arizona filed a Complaint in March 2001 alleging breach of contract concerning the original Master Settlement Agreement first created in 1998. That agreement restricted the outdoor advertisement of Reynolds Tobacco products to within a 90 day window of scheduled Winston Cup Series events. In February 2002, the Court awarded summary judgment in favor of the State ordering Reynolds Tobacco to remove signage posted prior to the 90 day window and also awarding costs and attorney fees to the State. Reynolds appealed and in December 2003, the Court of Appeals affirmed the Court's decision. This case may be viewed at CSC.

FRANKLIN BRUCE ROSS VS. KEN BENNETT, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARICOPA COUNTY, HELEN PURCELL, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY RECORDER, AND KAREN OSBORNE, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEFENDANTS AND CITIZENS FOR A BETTER ARIZONA IN SUPPORT OF RC-04-2011, CV2011-0114864: A complaint for Special Action was filed in July 2011 by Franklin Bruce Ross alleging that a petition to recall State Senator Russell Pearce submitted by Citizens For A Better Arizona in Support of RC-04-2011 was not in compliance with the constitutional and statutory requirements for a recall petition. In August 2011, the Court ruled that the Citizens' Motion To Dismiss be granted on all counts. That ruling was appealed to the Court of Appeals, which affirmed the Court's decision in December 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JASON AND SUZIE SCHECHTERLE, ET AL VS. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, LOU GRUBB FORD, LLC, ET AL, CV2003-003427: In March 2001, Phoenix Police Officer Jason Schechterle was stopped at a red light when his Crown Victoria Police Interception (CVPI) was struck in the rear by a taxi cab, which caused the puncture or rupture of the CVPI's gasoline tank. The gasoline ignited, immediately engulfing the passenger compartment of the CVPA in flames. Schechterle sustained severe burns over his face and body. In February 2003, Schechterle filed a Complaint claiming Strict Products Liability, two counts of Negligence, and Negligence, Negligent Hiring/Supervision, Negligent Entrustment. The case was dismissed, with prejudice, in August 2004. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JOHN HARVEY ADAMSON, CR93385: This is the criminal case involving John Harvey Adamson. This case captured local and national attention due to the bombing death of investigative reporter Donald Bolles. Adamson was charged in June 1976 with First Degree Murder. In January 1977, he accepted a plea agreement of Second Degree Murder in exchange for his testimonies in related cases CR 94287, CR94316, CR115272 (consolidated) and CR96127. The Court of Appeals reversed and remanded the CR96127 convictions in 1980 and when Adamson refused to testify for the new trial, the Court ruled that the plea agreement had been breached and reinstated the original Information charging open murder. In October 1980, he was found guilty of first degree murder and in November 1980, he was sentenced to death. He appealed, which affirmed the original conviction. In exchange for his testimony in related case CR1990-013262, he signed a Stipulation and Cooperation Agreement in December 1990 and in March 1995 he formally accepted the reinstated January 1977 plea agreement, which stated he was to serve a calendar term of 20 years and two months with an absolute release date of August 12, 1996. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. MAX ANDERSON DUNLAP AND JAMES ALBERT ROBISON, CR96127: This is the initial criminal case involving Max Anderson Dunlap and James Albert Robison. This case captured local and national attention due to the bombing death of investigative reporter Donald Bolles. Dunlap and Robison were charged in January 1977 with First Degree Murder and First Degree Conspiracy. They were found guilty on both counts in December 1977 and subsequently sentenced to confinement on the conspiracy charge and death on the murder charge. They appealed their convictions and in February 1980, the sentences were reversed and remanded back to Superior Court for new trials. This case is directly related to CR93385, CR1989-012123, and CR1990-013262. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JAMES ALBERT ROBISON, CR1989-012123: This is the criminal case involving James Albert Robison. It is directly related to CR93385, CR96127, and CR1990-013262 which captured local and national attention concerning the bombing death of investigative reporter Donald Bolles. In January 1977, CR96127 was initiated charging Robison and co-defendant Max Anderson Dunlap with First Degree Murder. The subsequent convictions in that case were ultimately reversed and remanded by the Court of Appeals in 1980. In November 1989, this case was initiated charging Robison with First Degree Murder. CR1990-013262, initiated in December 1990 charging Robison and co-defendant Dunlap with First Degree Murder and Conspiracy to Commit Obstructing a Criminal Investigation or Prosecution, Influencing a Witness, Receiving a Bribe by a Witness, was heard as a severed case until March 1992, when the cases were ordered consolidated. Robison was acquitted on all charges in December 1993 and ordered released for these case numbers only. He remained incarcerated due to a conviction on an unrelated matter. This case may be viewed at CSC.

CR MISC, 2007 NT: In August 2007, Special Prosecutor Dennis Wilenchik issued grand jury subpoenas, originally conducted under grand jury file 430-GJ 97, compelling New Times Media, L.L.C. to release various documents concerning nineteen categories of information. The Court ordered the file unsealed in October 2007 stating the motions and hearings held to date revealed nothing about the grand jury proceedings. At a November 2007 hearing, the Maricopa County Attorney's Office (MCAO) disclosed that the special prosecutor created the subpoenas, without prior authorization from the grand jury, which were then stamped and issued by the Clerk of the Court. The MCAO further stated that the subpoenas were issued pursuant to A.R.S. §13-4071(B)(2), which does not require a request by the grand jury or notification to the foreman and presiding judge. The Court ruled that this was an incorrect reading of the A.R.S. paragraph further stating that the county attorney has no common law power to subpoena witnesses and documents before a grand jury without the prior consent of the grand jury. The Court ruled that the subpoenas were not validly issued. Grand Jury file 430-GJ97 was then designated as CR MISC 2007 NT with all original documents now retained under the new case designation. This case may be viewed at CSC.

IN THE MATTER OF PAUL L. GAULT AND MARJORIE GAULT, FATHER AND MOTHER OF GERALD FRANCIS GAULT, A MINOR, FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, CV164769: Paul L. Gault and Marjorie Gault filed a writ of Habeas Corpus with the Arizona Supreme Court in August 1964 seeking release of their son, Gerald who was committed by the Gila County Juvenile Court to the Fort Grant Arizona Industrial School. The Gaults claimed they were not informed of any of their rights to include the right to counsel, to subpoena witnesses, and to a warning of the possible consequences of a finding of delinquency. Earlier that year, Gerald was found delinquent on a different charge and was informed that if he came before the court again and was found to be delinguent, he might be committed. The Gaults further contended that the state juvenile code was unconstitutional because it failed to provide for adequate notice of the alleged act of delinquency and failed to provide for proper notice of a juvenile hearing sufficiently in advance. The Arizona Supreme Court denied the petition and ordered the matter be heard by the Superior Court of Maricopa County. The Superior Court dismissed the petition, discharged the writ, and remanded Gerald to the appropriate authorities at the Fort Grant Arizona Industrial School. In November 1965, the Arizona Supreme Court affirmed the decision. The case was subsequently argued before the United States (US) Supreme Court during the 1966 October Term and in May 1967, the US Supreme Court reversed the judgment and remanded the case back to the Arizona Supreme Court. In June 1967, the Arizona Supreme Court ordered the Superior Court, based on the US Supreme Court's mandate, to hear the case again. The matter rested when Judge Hyder of the Maricopa County Superior Court indicated that he had no authority to mandate the Superior Court of Gila County. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. FALEH HASSAN ALMALEKI, CR2009-007938-001: This criminal case is involving Faleh Hassan Almaleki. In October 2009, Faleh Hassan Almaleki caused the death of his daughter and attempted to cause the death of Amal Khalef with his vehicle. The case received local and national media attention and was known as the "honor killing". In February 2011, Faleh Hassan Almaleki was convicted of court one for second-degree murder, count three for aggravated assault and count four and five for leaving the scene of an accident involving serious physical injury. The defendant filed an appeal and the Court of Appeals affirmed counts one, three and four and vacated count five. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. THOMAS IRVINE, SHUGHART THOMSON & KILROY, P.C. AND RICHARD ROMLEY, CV2002-033193: State of Arizona and County Attorney, Andrew Thomas, filed a petition for Writ of Quo Warranto alleging that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors did not have a statutory authority to substitute the County Attorney's legal representation of the Board with outside legal counsel, Shughart Thomson & Kilroy. In December 2009, the case was voluntarily dismissed by the plaintiff without prejudice. This case is related to CV2008-033194. This case may be viewed at CSC.

ANDREW P. THOMAS, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY, JOSEPH ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SHERIFF OF MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND ITS MEMBERS; FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDREW KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2008-033194: In December 2008, Andrew Thomas filed a complaint alleging that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors did not have legal authority to substitute the County Attorneys legal representation of the Board or the County with outside legal counsel. In September 2009, the court entered a judgment in favor of the Defendants. The Plaintiff appealed to the Court of Appeals. In October 2010, the Court of Appeals affirmed that the Board may, on its own initiative, employ outside counsel to represent and advise the Board whether the County Attorney has a conflict of interest with the Board and if it is appropriate to file actions for declaratory judgment. The Court of Appeals also affirmed that the Board may divest the County Attorney of his duty to represent the County on a case-by-case basis when the County Attorney is unavailable due to a conflict of interest. The case was remanded back to the Superior Court to determine which specific matters have a conflict of interest. A stipulation for dismissal was filed and the case was dismissed in February 2011. This case is related to CV2008-033193. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF AND ANDREW P. THOMAS, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND ITS MEMBERS: FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDREW KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, MARICOPA COUNTY, JANICE K. BREWER, GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, CV2009-006709: Maricopa County Sheriff Joseph Arpaio and Maricopa County Attorney Andrew Thomas filed this complaint to reinstate funds encumbered and transferred to the State by the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors. House Bill 2275, Laws 2008, Chapter 288 of the 48th Legislature, Second Session was signed into law on June 27, 2008, and directed that Maricopa County transfer \$24,168,400 to the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System Administration. Judgment was entered on June 17, 2009 on all claims in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff on June 19, 2009. An Order of Mandate and a copy of the Opinion affirming the superior court's judgment was issued by the Court of Appeals and filed by this office on February 1, 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

CHARLES HOSKINS, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS TREASURER OF MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND ITS MEMBERS; FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDY KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, CV2008-022513: Maricopa County Treasurer Charles Hoskins filed this complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief in response to the seizure by the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors of the Treasure's IT Department and transfer to the Office of Enterprise Technology. This case was dismissed on January 6, 2010 pursuant to a stipulation to dismiss filed on December 31, 2009. This case can be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND ITS MEMBERS: FULTON BROCK, DONALD STAPLEY, MAX WILSON, ANDREW KUNASEK, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, STATE OF ARIZONA AND MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2010-015986: Maricopa County Sheriff Joseph Arpaio filed this special action complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief in response to the issuance by the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors of subpoenas under the authority7 of A.R.S. § 11-218. This case is connected to the criminal indictments filed against Supervisors Donald Stapley (CR2009-007891) and Mary Rose Wilcox (CR2009-007892). Judgment was entered in favor of the Defendants and against the Plaintiff on June 25, 2010. The judgment was appealed by the Plaintiff, and the appeal was ultimately dismissed upon motion of the Plaintiff. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND ITS MEMBERS; FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDREW KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, MARICOPA COUNTY, SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE, IN AND FOR MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2009-013965: Maricopa County Sheriff Joseph Arpaio filed this complaint to obtain an order for transfer of management control of Zone 2 from the Board of Supervisors to the Sheriff, including transfer of supervision of OET employees who work on Zone 2. Zone 2 is a secure criminal justice network used to access criminal justice databases, including NCIC. Citing conflicts of interest, the Plaintiff also requested transfer of this case to the Superior Court in another county. Venue was transferred to Pima County on September 3, 2009. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. TAMMI PETERS SMITH, CR2010-101760-002: This is the criminal case involving Tammi Peters Smith and was known both locally and nationally as the "Baby Gabriel" case. In February 2010, Smith was indicted on two counts: Conspiracy To Commit Custodial Interference and Forgery. In May 2012, she was found guilty on both counts and subsequently was placed on probation in July 2012. Smith appealed the convictions and in April 2014, the Court of Appeals affirmed her conviction and probation term for conspiracy to commit custodial interference, but vacated her conviction and probation term for forgery. This case may be viewed at CSC.

DR SANDRA DOWLING, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA VS. DON STAPLEY, FULTON BROCK, ANDREW KUNASEK, MARY ROSE WILCOX, AND MAX W. WILSON IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, LC2006-000370-001: Sandra Dowling filed a Complaint in June 2006 alleging that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors did not have legal authority to adopt a resolution that would close the Maricopa Regional School District and accommodation schools for homeless children. She said that the Superintendent of Schools has sole discretion to establish an accommodation school. In June 2006, the Court awarded summary judgment in favor of Sandra Dowling. The judgment ordered that the resolution was void because the Board of Supervisors exceeded its legal authority in adopting the resolution and that the superintendent is vested with the power to establish accommodation schools. The Board appealed the ruling. In December 2003, the Court of Appeals affirmed the Court's decision that the resolution was void due to the Board not having the authority to unilaterally declare no services pursuant to §15-308(B) when no monies were needed; however, the Court of Appeals said that the two parties must work collaboratively when monies are needed. The Superintendent has permissive authority to establish accommodation school services and the Board has the authority over the funding and an intergovernmental agreement is necessary. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND ITS MEMBERS: FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDREW KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, AND MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2010-020273: Maricopa County Sheriff Joseph Arpaio filed this complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief to secure a declaration that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors and its in-house law firms have a conflict of interest with the Sheriff, and to prevent the Board from selecting the Sheriff's legal counsel due to this conflict of interest. This case is connected to the criminal indictments filed against Supervisors Donald Stapley (CR2009-007891) and Mary Rose Wilcox (CR2009-007892). This case was dismissed on May 12, 2011 pursuant to a stipulated notice of dismissal filed January 26, 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

ANDREW P. THOMAS VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, LC2010-000090-001: County Attorney, Andrew Thomas, filed a petition for Writ of Mandamus alleging the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors refused to approve the appointment of special prosecutors to prevent criminal prosecution of its Board members. In March 2010, the case was assigned to Judge Andrew W. Gould from Yuma County. In April 2010, the matter was settled and the case was dismissed with prejudice. This case may be viewed at CSC.

LISA M. AUBUCHON AND PETER R. PESTALOZZI, WIFE AND HUSBAND, AND DAVID HENDERSHOTT AND ANNA HENDERSHOTT, HUSBAND AND WIFE VS. SUPERVISOR FULTON BROCK, SUPERVISOR ANDREW KUNASEK, ANDREW KUNASEK AND KIMBERLY KUNASEK, HUSBAND AND WIFE, SUPERVISOR DONALD STAPLEY, SUPERVISOR MARY ROSE WILCOX, SUPERVISOR MAX WILSON, ET AL., CV2011-014754: Lisa M. Aubuchon and David Hendershott, former employees of the Maricopa County Attorney's Office and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Department respectively, filed this case against multiple state and county officials claiming various acts and omissions of the defendants resulted in the destruction of the plaintiffs' reputations and livelihoods. This case is connected to the criminal indictments filed against Supervisors Donald Stapley (CR2009-007891) and Mary Rose Wilcox (CR2009-007892). The complaint was dismissed on May 4, 2012 for lack of a legal or factual basis, and attorney's fees were later awarded to the defendants. The case was dismissed on April 4, 2013. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. GARY DOUGLAS PEEK, CR2002-093788: This is the criminal case involving Gary Douglas Peek. Peek was indicted in June 2002 and upon accepting a plea agreement, was sentenced in February 2003 on two amended counts of Attempted Child Molestation. He received a 10 year sentence on the first count and lifetime probation on the second count to begin after his release from prison. Peek appealed the lifetime probation and in December 2008, the Court of Appeals vacated the second count stating the statutes in effect when the crimes were committed did not authorize lifetime probation for attempted child molestation. The case was remanded back to the Superior Court and in March 2009, Peek was resentenced to five years' probation on count two to begin upon his absolute discharge from prison. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE, EX REL. ATTORNEY GENERAL TERRY GODDARD VS. WESTERN UNION FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC, CV2010-005807: In February 2010, a Settlement Agreement between the State and Western Union Financial Services was approved by the Court announcing Western Union would fund an extensive anti-money laundering undertaking in Arizona as well as participating surrounding states. The original agreement would be in effect for 41 months after implementation. The Order also approved the creation of an independent Monitor, who would evaluate and improve Western Union's anti-money laundering efforts. An Amended Settlement Agreement was approved by the Court in January 2014. In March 2014, the amended agreement was modified stating the participating states may execute separate agreements with Western Union regarding the provision of data for transactions. The modification also extended the agreement for an additional five years. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH M. ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND ITS MEMBERS, FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDREW KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2009-010400; Maricopa County Sheriff Joseph Arpaio filed a Complaint in April 2009 claiming a directive approved by the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors (Board) regarding public records requests—specifically the use of an "Internal Information Request" formviolated Arizona's public records statutes. County elected and/or appointed officials were now required to use this form when requesting information from another department. An Amended Complaint was filed in May 2009. The focus of this case then shifted to a September 2009 videotape detailing the arrest of County Supervisor Don Stapley, which occurred in a County parking garage. The Sheriff's Office, using the Internal Information Request form, requested a copy of the videotape. They were told because of security concernsin particular about the garage itself—the information was not subject to a request, but they were allowed to view the information at the Protective Services offices. The Board also filed a Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction. This motion and subsequent motions and pleadings related to this motion were ordered sealed by the Court. In November 2009, the court granted the Board's motion ruling that the release of the tape posed a threat to the security of persons using the garage; the Sheriff's Office filed a Notice of Appeal. In February 2010, the Court formally signed the Order granting the Board's motion. The Sheriff's Office filed an appeal of the order as well. The Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal, with prejudice, in October 2010. This case may be viewed at CSC.

IN RE: HON BARBARA MUNDELL, PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA, CV2010-003791: Barbara Mundell, the Presiding Judge of the Maricopa County Superior Court, filed a Petition for Special Action with the Court of Appeals in December 2009 to quash a search warrant or stay its execution. After a stay was granted in regards to CR2009-008332 (State v. Donahoe), Judge Mundell then filed a Notice of Stay in January 2010 claiming her counsel and the Maricopa County Attorney's Office (MCAO) had yet to meet as directed by a visiting judge in regards to resolving any issues with respect to the warrant. The MCAO stated in their Response, also filed in January 2010, that the attorneys did in fact meet telephonically in December 2009 and attached an exhibit summarizing their meeting. In February 2010, an Order was signed directing the Clerk's Office to assign a case number to this matter. This case is historically significant based on local public interest, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

GARY DONAHOE AND CHERIE DONAHOE, HUSBAND AND WIFE VS. SHERIFF JOSEPH ARPAIO AND AVA ARPAIO, HUSBAND AND WIFE, ANDREW THOMAS AND ANNE THOMAS, HUSBAND AND WIFE, ET AL., CV2010-032844: Gary Donahoe, a Maricopa County Superior Court Judge filed a Complaint in November 2010 alleging twelve violations of 42 U.S.C. §1983 and of Arizona Law to include Abuse of Process, Defamation, Libel, and/or False Light Invasion of Privacy, Racketeering Violations, and Gross Negligence. In December 2010, the case was then assigned to Pima County for judicial assignment by the Arizona Supreme Court Chief Justice. Later that month, a Notice of Removal to U.S. District Court was filed by the defendants. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of a tumultuous inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. ALESSANDRO GARCIA, CR1992-002096: This is the criminal case involving Alessandro Garcia and was known both locally and internationally as the "Buddhist Temple Murders". In March 1992, Garcia was charged with several counts to include Murder First Degree and Armed Robbery. After signing a plea agreement and in exchange for his testimonies in related case CR1991-001232 and CR1993-91352, an unrelated case, Garcia pled guilty to nine counts of first degree murder and one count of armed burglary. In July 1994, he was sentenced to life for each murder count, to be served consecutively, and 21 years on the burglary count, also to be served consecutively upon completion of the other sentences, This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. ELIZABETH JOHNSON, CR2010-101760-001: This is the criminal case involving Elizabeth Johnson and was known both locally and nationally as the "Baby Gabriel" case. In January 2010, Johnson was indicted on four counts: Kidnapping, Child Abuse, Conspiracy to Commit Custodial Interference, and Custodial Interference. The Court dismissed the Child Abuse charge and in October 2012, a jury found her guilty on the remaining counts, although reducing the Kidnapping charge to the lesser Unlawful Imprisonment. In December 2012, Johnson was sentenced to 1.75 years and 3.5 years respectively concerning Counts 1 and 4, and regarding Count 3, she was placed on probation for four years, which was to begin after her release from prison. This case may be viewed at CSC.

HASH DIVORCES

HASH DIVORCES: In 1973, upon the death of attorney Vivian L. Hash, it was discovered that he had retained a large quantity of divorce decrees in his personal law office instead of filing them with the Clerk of the Superior Court because he was attempting to collect his legal fees. It was found that in each of the cases a trial was held, a divorce was granted, and a divorce decree was signed by the trial judge. An order was filed in P67632 ordering that a judgment be entered, *nunc pro tunc*, in each of the divorce cases found in Hash's office, with certain exception. Said judgment was to be entered as of the date that the trial judge signed each divorce case. In the event that a decree is not found when researching divorces for this time period, check with the following list to determine if the divorce is one of the ones whose decrees Hash retained. Decrees for these cases are on microfilm.

Acosta	C 34845	Clark	C 33084
Alexander	C 30745	Clarton	C 32402
Allen	D 18740	Cline	D 221127
Ames	D 25935	Coker	D 26172
Amlin	C 33415	Colvay	D 4350
Armstrong	D 4249	Conner	D 43082
Autrey	D 15658	Coone	C 32685
Bailey	D 122	Corea	D 1628
Barnett	D 19136	Cowsert	D 2294
Bartlett	D 19745	Cristy	D 6505
Bates	D 9771	Dale	D 249
Batten	D 1449	Davies	D 8589
Beasly	C 30565	Day, Cohen & Bertie	C 37551
Blanton	D 22759	Day, Theodore & Muriel	C 26625
Boca	D 16402	Dewberry	D 32894
Bolin	D 42445	DeWeber	D 7263
Bolton	D 25881	Dillaha	C 29703
Booth	D 2850	Dillingham	D 26040
Bourgeris	D 29584	Dinsen	C 35504
Brady	D 7101	Dunn	C 33822
Bray	D 11672	Edmondson	D 3640
Breland, Won & Pearl	C 30545	Ekiss	D 1218
Breland, Lee & Nova	D 10295	Eubanks	D 12513
Bridges	D 10295	Farr	C 20980
Brinson	D 3614	Felix	D 12141
Brisby	D 28201	Fleemor	D 43259
Brown, Bill & Barb	D 38104	Francis	D 118
Brown Floyd & Faye	D 2320	Franklin	C 33064
Brown Paul & Irene	C 34515	Furman	D 40018
Burke	D 22704	Galbreath	D 2256
Campbell, Allen & Ethel	D 24644	Garcia	C 37399
Campbell,Emmitt&Gladys	D 12507	Gibbons	D 1442
Cannon	D 103	Gill	C 39378
Carpenter	C 21102	Gilmore	D 1435
Choise	D 18889	Golden	D 28212
Churchwell	C 23641	Goodson	D 1464
Green, Fred & Anna	D 25431	Grantham	D 19832
Green, Samuel&Florence	D 25774	Grassie	C 27705
Harper	D 27686	Gray, Daniel & Emma	D 32867
Harris, John & Bertha	D 1212	Gray, Manuel & Doretha	D 38623

Harris, Frank & Lucille	D 27917	Mulkey	D 1122
Harris, Charles & Hazel	D 21224	Mullins	D 11489
Harry	D 186	Murry	C 32078
Hepler	D 38021	Myers, Robert & Elmira	C 36068
Holt	D 29445	Myers, William & Mildred	D 8169
Howard	D 12430	McCorvey	D 530
Huerta	D 4875	McDonald,James&Arnie	D 26394
Jacobson	D 23459	McDonald,Allen&Virginia	D 28069
Johns	D 19652	McFarland	C 27021
Johnson, Noel & Daisy	D 24070	McKee	C 38187
Johnson, Ralph & Eva	D 14065	Oliver	D 31415
Johnston	D 19504	Pacheco	C 36883
Jones	D 26749	Page	D 3674
Judy	D 3040	Payne	D 858
Justus	D 960	Peoples	D 26735
Keough	D 16310	Phillips	D 34629
Ketchum	D 857	Pigg	C 31097
Kilpatrick	D 1058	Poyner	D 828
Kinnison	D 35127	Renn	D 21285
Kissinger	D 22581	Rhyne	C 26111
Klemann	D 40967	Riggins	C 27317
Laird	C 33621	Sayers	D 6433
Lambert	C 30686	Schrimsher,James&Ruella	C 33043
LeFebvre	C 36816	Schrimsher, James & Anna	C 34846
Leonard	D 15450	Schrimsher, James & Anna	D 63
Levy	C 35803	Seabourne	D 3417
Lewis	D 16005	Sexton	D 74
Lilliford	C 26143	Shaughnessy	D 3947
Linder	D 20286	Sherrill	D 10647
Lingo	D 26396	Shine	D 26912
Lovin	C 34345	Shook	D 27002
Lowell	D 20330	Shumway	C 20362
Luker	C 28469	Skaggs	D 2927
Machado	D 35078	Smith, Bruce & Helen	D 2605
Mains	D 38990	Smith, Osborn&Josephine	C 23089
Mann	D 9781	Smith, James & Anna	C 33376
Martinez	D 3742	Snedden	C 36694
Mathews	C 23331	Stewart	D 18080
Mathis	D 27057	Taylor	C 32124
Merrell	D 23779	Thomas	C 32124
Michael	D 7284	Thornbough	D 28951
Milam	D 38135	Threlkeld	D 26253
Mills	D 1739	Valenzuela	29473
Moore	D 5827	Vickers	25796
Moorison	D 1162	Waddell	D 509
Watts	C 26477	Waters	22188
Webster	D 450	Wilson	35128
Weise	C 25953	Windsor	C 30019
Wendt	C 31168	Windstead	1613
Wermer	C 36681	Wold	2681
Williams,Thurman&Arleen	D 24804	Woods, Miller & Lucille	37633
Williams, Roy & Susie	C 29155	Woods, Rufus & Jean	31252
Woolley	D 28	Youngblood	1020
Yranzo	21151	Tourigolood	1020
TIANZU	Z I I Ü I	1	

HASH DIVORCE EXCEPTION CASES: In the case of Alander v. Alander, # 40725, both parties appeared on February 21, 1973 and disclosed that they had requested the decedent not file the decree because they had reconciled. In the cases of Sullivan v. Sullivan, # 8577, Stewart v. Stewart, #18073, and Moody v. Moody, #6450, the court found that the plaintiffs in each case had requested the decrees and that Mr. Hash had advised these clients their divorces would not be valid until the decrees were filed.

IN THE MATTER OF 1980 MARRIAGE LICENSE NUMBERS 80M04612 - 80M04635

The marriage licenses and affidavits for the following Book and Page numbers are unavailable for reproduction from microfilm. These licenses were recorded and inadvertently returned to the parties prior to filming.

The information taken from these licenses was entered into the Clerk's Office L-Index system on April 22, 1980. The names of the parties married, the Book and Page number and the date this data was entered into the L-Index is the only information currently available.

The following list of names and Book and Page numbers provide enough information to allow the Clerk's Office to create an abstract of the marriage certificate. As an abstract is requested by the parties the Clerk will obtain the date and place of marriage from one or both of the parties and enter this information into the Marriage License Database. This process does not affect the validity of the marriages.

NAMES	BOOK & PAGE	NAMES	PAGE
Wayne, Charles D.	80M04612	Cline, Deborah A.	80M04630
Aka Wayne, Charles		Graves, Lemmeth	80M04631
Jenson, Brenda M.	80M04612	Ashley, David R.	80M04631
Hudson, John T.	80M04613	Clark, James A.	80M04632
Forrister, Jan D.	80M04613	Bowen, Sheryl M.	80M04632
Quintana, Demetrio R.	80M04614	Cannizzaro, John B.	80M04633
Yanez, Frances	80M04614	Crum, Pamela A.	80M04633
Paniagua, Rodolfo F.	80M04615	Pigman, Richard H.	80M04634
Arias, Amanda Q.	80M04615	Lecourt, Linda C.	80M04634
Balderson, Raymond H.	80M04616	Weast, David R.	80M04635
Malone, Wilma J.	80M04616	Beard, Jean L.	80M04635
Jaramillo, Rudolph A.	80M04617		
Amparan, Emily P.	80M04617		
Maddox, Clint L.	80M04618		
Harris, Diane	80M04618		
Musgrove, Russell A.	80M04619		
Butler, Michelle A.	80M04619		
Aka Richison, Michelle A			
Thurman, Richard L.	80M04620		
Karman, Geraldine A.	80M04620		
McCrocklin, Charles L.	80M04621		
Hoffman, Lyvina M.	80M04621		
Galindo, Robert	80M04622		
Bravo, Rachel	80M04622		
Truett, William B.	80M04623		
Cook, Natalie M.	80M04623		
Price, James M.	80M04624		
Bernhardt, Catherine	80M04624		
Hoffman, Ralph V.	80M04625		
Stone, Carol S.	80M04625		
Hutchinson, Steven	80M04626		
Murphy, Debra A.	80M04626		
Conklin, Edward M.	80M04627		
Rolfe, Marjorie G.	80M04627		
Watson, Thomas C.	80M04628		
Parrish, Marilyn A.	80M04628		
Archer, Kenneth A.	80M04629		
Bradley, Helen R.	80M04629		
Ashley, David R.	80M04630		

DEATH PENALTY

Information on capital punishment may be obtained by visiting the Department of Corrections web site at www.adc.state.az.us or The Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records Research Library at the State Capital, 1700 W. Washington. Information on death row inmates may also be found in the Attorney General publications "Profiles of Arizona Death Row Inmates". For appeal information on a case by case basis, contact the Arizona Supreme Court death penalty deputy at (602) 542-9396.

DEFENDANTS	CASE NUMBER	SENTENCE DATE	LOCATION
Lauterio, Ricardo	CR 5291	02/11/1920	Film
Roman, Thomas	CR 5354	02/23/1921	Film
Lawrence, William	CR 6826	04/04/1925	Film
Judd, Winnie Ruth	CR 11333	02/24/1932	Film, Archives
Cochran, Roland	CR 12816	02/16/1935	Film
Rascon, Frank	CR 13045	07/30/1935	Film
Knight, David	CR 13274	03/24/1936	Film
Odom, Edwin	CR 14269	10/25/1937	Film
Burgunder, Robert	CR 15304	07/18/1939	Film
Ransom, John	CR 17380	11/29/1943	Film
Coey, Leonard	CR 26745	03/24/1955	Film
Bartholomew, Lester	CR 27306	06/22/1955	Film
Craft, Lonnie	CR 30249	08/20/1957	Film
Robinson, Honor	CR 33460	06/30/1959	Film
Malumphy, Timothy	CR 48919	08/25/1966	Film
Miles, T.	CR 49043	12/05/1966	Film
Doss, Charles R.	CR 77238	05/06/1974	Film
Knapp, John Henry	CR 78779	01/06/1975	Film, CSC
Ceja, Jose	CR 82433	12/19/1974	Film
Treadaway, Jonathan	CR 83446	02/03/1975	Film
Jordan, Paul W.	CR 84940	03/13/1975	Film, CSC
Holsinger, Wilmar	CR 89341	01/16/1976	Film, CSC
McDaniels, Lewis	CR 92128	03/06/1978	Film, CSC
Evans, Larry	CR 92586	09/23/1976	Film, CSC
Adamson, John H.	CR 93385	11/14/1980	Film, CSC
Smith, Joseph C.	CR 95116	08/31/1977	Film, CSC
Mata, Luis	CR 97310	12/09/1977	Film, CSC
Brookover, Robert P.	CR 98231	09/27/1978	Film
Carriger, Paris	CR 101609	10/27/1978	Film, CSC
Smith, Sylvester	CR 101738	10/20/1978	Film, CSC
Gerlaugh, Darrick L.	CR 110814	02/11/1981	Film, CSC
Harding, Donald	CR 112359	11/22/1982	Film, CSC
Routhier, Dennis E.	CR 115186	08/31/1981	Film
Zaragoza, Ruben C.	CR 115197	10/26/1981	Film
McCall, Edward L.	CR 116940	01/11/1982	Film, CSC
Gillies, Jess	CR 117615	09/28/1981	Film, CSC
Hensley, Robert Lee	CR 118347	10/24/1983	Film, CSC

Smith, Roger L	CR 120266	04/20/1982	Film ,CSC
Cruz, Robert C	CR 120721	01/11/1982	Film, CSC
Bracy, William	CR 121686	02/11/1983	Film, CSC
Hooper, Murray	CR 121686	02/11/1983	Film, CSC
Fisher, James C.	CR 122316	07/12/1982	Film, CSC
James, Steven	CR 123711	11/23/1982	Film, CSC
Libberton, Lawrence	CR 123711	11/25/1982	Film, CSC
Roscoe, Kevin S.	CR 127656	02/25/1983	Film, CSC
Tittle, Thomas	CR 129300	05/30/1984	Film, CSC
Nash, Viva L.	CR 130037	01/27/1983	Film, CSC
Williams, Ronald T.	CR 130150	04/23/1984	Film, CSC
Villafuerte, Jose R.	CR 132134	09/09/1983	Film, CSC
Charo, Robert P.	CR 134296	04/20/1984	Film, CSC
Rossi, Richard	CR 135863	06/25/1984	Film, CSC
Beaty,Donald E.	CR 140790	07/20/1985	Film, CSC
Johnson, Mitchell	CR 140808	12/03/1984	Film, CSC
Correll, Michael E.	CR 141125	11/23/1984	Film, CSC
Fulminante, Oreste	CR 142821	02/11/1986	Film, CSC
Serna, John	CR 150464	06/26/1987	Film, CSC
Prince, Larry J.	CR 151033	05/15/1986	Film
Hinchey, John A.	CR 152117	12/08/1987	Film, CSC
Fierro, Jose A	CR 153626	03/06/1987	Film
Romanosky, John T	CR 158333	05/26/1987	Film, CSC
Lopez, Samuel V.	CR 163419	08/30/1990	Film, CSC
Epperson, George	CR 163760	12/11/1987	Film, CSC
Schaaf, Steven R.	CR87 00676	11/03/1989	Film, CSC
Comer, Robert C.	CR87 01352	04/11/1988	Film, CSC
Jiminez, Jesus R.	CR87 02432	01/22/1988	Film, CSC
Cornell, Joe E.	CR87 05604	02/15/1991	Film
Running Eagle, Sean	CR87 11508	02/03/1989	Film, CSC
Herrera, Mickel W.	CR88 06483	12/21/1989	Film, CSC
Herrera, William D.	CR88 06483	12/21/1989	Film, CSC
Herrera, William D. Jr.	CR88 06483	12/21/1989	Film, CSC
Lavers, Alfred E.	CR88 10549	09/11/1989	Film, CSC
Spencer, Clinton L.	CR89 05385	12/27/1990	Film
Ramirez, David M.	CR89 05726	12/18/1990	Film, CSC
Stuard, James W.	CR89 07997	11/30/1990	Film, CSC
Schurz, Eldon M.	CR89 12547	09/21/1990	Film
Milke, Debra J.	CR89 12631	01/18/1991	CSC
Scott, Roger M.	CR89 12631	04/22/1991	CSC
Styers, James L.	CR89 12631	12/14/1990	CSC
King, Eric J	CR90 00050	03/04/1991	CSC
Landrigan, Jeffrey	CR90 00030	10/25/1990	CSC
Gonzalez, Ernest V.	CR90 00000	04/27/1992	CSC
Gallegos, Michael S.	CR90 02471	05/24/1991	CSC
Barreras, Jerry P.	CR91 00182	08/14/1992	CSC
-			
Hyde, David O.	CR91 02152	07/23/1992	CSC
Willoughby, Daniel H.	CR91 10184	10/26/1992	CSC

Hedlund, Charles (A)	CR91 90926	07/30/1993	CSC
McKinney, James E. (B)	CR91 90926	07/23/1993	CSC
Gulbrandson, David	CR91 90974	02/19/1993	CSC
Towery, Robert C.	CR91 92648	11/20/1992	CSC
Krone, Ray M.	CR92 00212	11/20/1992	CSC
Rogovich, Peter C.	CR92 02443	06/09/1995	CSC
Lee, Chad Allen	CR92 04225	06/23/1994	CSC
Lehr, Scott A.	CR92 05731	08/08/1997	CSC
Hurles, Richard D.	CR92 09564	10/13/1994	CSC
Spears, Anthony M.	CR92 90457	03/03/1993	SEA
Laird, Kenneth J.	CR93 01792	04/15/1994	CSC
Djerf, Richard K.	CR93 07792	05/22/1996	CSC
Pandeli, Darrell P.	CR93 08116	07/15/1998	CSC
Medina, Efren	CR93 08378	05/17/1996	CSC
Doerr, Eugene	CR94 08531	11/27/1996	CSC
Hoskins, Aaron S.	CR94 11396	07/18/1997	CSC
Ring, Timothy M.	CR95 01754	10/29/1997	CSC
Murdaugh, Michael	CR95 06472	11/16/2001	CSC
Martinez, Ernesto S.	CR95 08782	08/18/1998	CSC
Harrod, James C.	CR95 09046	05/27/1998	CSC
Adams, James V.	CR96 02618	11/21/1997	CSC
Jones, Antoin	CR96 04691	12/03/1999	CSC
Lamar, Christopher	CR96 11714	06/01/2001	CSC
Carlson, Doris	CR96 12554	03/31/2000	CSC
Cropper, Leroy	CR97 03949	11/03/2000	CSC
Rutledge, Sherman	CR97 05555	04/12/2001	CSC
Hall, Henry	CR97 11695	08/24/2000	CSC
Sansing, John E.	CR98 03520	09/30/1999	CSC
Prince, Wayne	CR98 04885	06/12/2000	CSC
Dann, Brian J.	CR99 03536	02/01/2002	CSC
Tucker, Eugene	CR99 15293	05/03/2005	CSC
Grell, Shawn	CR99 95294	07/09/2001	SEA
Moore, Julius Jarreau	CR99 16742	06/06/2007	CSC
Garza, Ruben	CR1999 17624	01/13/2003	CSC
Glassel, Richard J.	CR2000 06872	01/10/2003	CSC
Anthony, David L.	CR2001 11704	03/10/2004	CSC
Gomez, Fabio Evelio	CR2000 90114	06/05/2003	SEA
Andriano, Wendi E.	CR2000 96032	12/22/2004	CSC
Johnson, Ruben (A)	CR2001 01604	12/22/2003	CSC
Hampton, Tracy Allen	CR2001 08991	01/24/2003	CSC
Newell, Steven	CR2001 09124	02/25/2004	CSC
Velazquez, Juan (A)	CR2001 14970	10/08/2004	CSC
McCray, Frank	CR2001 15915A	11/29/2005	CSC
Carreon, Albert Martinez	CR2001 90195	05/02/2003	SEA
Lynch, Shawn (A)	CR2001 92032	05/23/2006	SEA
Sehwani, Michael (B)	CR2001 92032	05/23/2006	SEA
Roque, Frank S.	CR2001 95385	10/09/2003	SEA
Cromwell, Robert Louis	CR2001 95438	03/06/2003	SEA

Hargrave, Christopher	CR2002 09759	02/21/2006	CSC
Aguilar, Tonatihu	CR2002 06143	06/19/2003	CSC
Speer, Paul Bradley (A)	CR2002 010926	03/29/2007	CSC
Womble, Brian Allen	CR2002 010926	05/08/2007	CSC
Garcia, Alfredo Lucero	CR2002 016160	12/19/2007	CSC
Boggs, Steve A	CR2002 09759	05/13/2005	CSC
Bearup, Patrick	CR2003 024938-001	02/05/2007	CSC
McGill, Leroy	CR2003 05315-001	11/12/2004	CSC
Morris, Cory D.	CR2003 11506-001	07/20/2005	CSC
Chappell, Derek Don	CR2004 037319-001	11/14/2007	SEA
Kuhs, Ryan Wesley	CR2005 138481-001	09/21/2007	CSC
Dixon, Clarence Wayne	CR2002 019595	01/24/2008	CSC
Villalobos, Joshua I	CR2004 005523-001	04/15/2008	CSC
Snelling, Gary Wayne	CR2005 007848-001	06/09/2008	CSC
Gunches, Aaron Brian	CR2003 038541-001	02/14/2008	SEA
Cota, Benjamin Bernal	CR2004 006577-001	08/14/2009	CSC
Delahanty, Donald David	CR2005 114414-001	05/27/2009	CSC
Wilson, Dale Chris	CR2005 114414-002	07/24/2009	CSC
Kuhs, Ryan Wesley	CR2005 138481-001	09/21/2007	CSC
Hausner, Dale Shawn	CR2006 012721-001	03/27/2009	CSC
Patterson, Isiah	CR2006 114651-001	12/03/2009	CSC
Gallardo, Mike Peter	CR2006 175408-001	06/30/2009	CSC
Vanwinkle, Pete J.	CR2008 128068-001	11/17/2009	CSC
Hardy, Rodney Eugene	CR2005 127282-001	08/20/2009	SEA
Reeves, Stephen Douglas	CR2007-135527-001	05/16/2011	CSC
Levis, Dennis Michael	CR2013-002559-001	11/16/2015	CSC
Riley, Thomas Michael	CR2013-002559-002	11/16/2015	CSC
Clayton, James Johnson	CR2010-048824-001	06/29/2016	CSC
Allen, John Michael	CR2011-138856-001	11/16/2017	CSC
Allen, Sammantha Lucille			
Rebecca	CR2011-138856-003	8/17/2017	CSC
Smith, Allyn Akeem	CR2015-106788-001	5/24/2018	CSC

The above list encompasses Maricopa County death penalty cases only. The possibility exists that not every death penalty case has been captured in this action.

	Beginning Case	Ending Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year	Number	Number	of Files	Percent	Location CIVIL	CASES	CASE STATUS
1871	C 1	C 7	7	0%	Film	7	C1 through C16092 are available on microfilm at the
1872	C 8		26	271%	Film	26	Customer Service Center.
1873			26	0%	Film	26	
1874			23	-12%	Film	23	
1875 1876			22 16	-4% -27%	Film Film	22 16	
1877			26	63%	Film	26	
1878			26	0%	Film	26	
1879			25	-4%	Film	25	
1880			25	0%	Film		Divorce actions were filed as civil actions from 1879 until
1881 1882			26 12	4% -54%	Film Film		1933. These actions were filed with both the Legislature and the Court. Historical researchers are directed to the
1883			77	542%	Film	77	State Archives to view originals, or to CSC where they may
1884	C 338		116	51%	Film	116	
1885			111	-4%	Film	111	
1886			138	24%	Film	138	
1887 1888			105 82	-24% -22%	Film Film	105 82	
1889			155	89%	Film	155	
1890			230	48%	Film	230	
1891			155	-33%	Film	155	
1892			148	-5%	Film	148	
1893 1894			271 330	83% 22%	Film Film	271	Sourch Propodures for Deserve Prior to 4000: Deserve to
1894			330	3%	Film	330	Search Procedures for Records Prior to 1930: Requests for copies of records prior to 1930 need to be researched in
1896			273	-19%	Film	273	the Register of Actions index for the category needed. If the
1897	C 2791	C 3019	229	-16%	Film	229	requested document is listed in the Register of Actions and
1898			195	-15%	Film	195	is a specific document (judgment, will, decree of
1899			240	23%	Film		dissolution, order, letters testamentary), there will be a
1900 1901			217 169	-10% -22%	Film Film	217 169	"Book & Page" number assigned to it. View that film with
1901			199	18%	Film		
1903			176	-12%	Film	176	inom the same case will be on that film too.
1904	C 4216	C 4496	281	60%	Film	281	
1905			582	107%	Film	582	
1906			171	-71%	Film	171	
1907 1908	C 5250 C 5455		205 198	20% -3%	Film Film	205 198	
1909			221	12%	Film	221	
1910			306	38%	Film	306	
1911			332	8%	Film	332	
1912			517	56%	Film	517	
1913 1914			558 625	8% 12%	Film Film		* Civil files from C16093 through C30799 are not on film. These files are in their original form and are fragile.
1915			757	21%	Film		Viewing requests should go through the State Archives
1916			696	-8%	Film		where they are stored.
1917			754	8%	Film	754	,
1918			867	15%	Film	867	
1919 1920			1,053 1,280	21% 22%	Film Film	1,053 1,280	
1920			1,855	45%	Film	1,855	
1922			1,714	-8%	*	1,714	
1923	C 17188	C 18942	1,755	2%	*	1,755	
1924			1,671	-5%	*		
1925			2,023	21%	*		their original folders after filming; they are retained at CSC
1926 1927			3,177 1,852	57% -42%	*	3,177 1,852	in their original form pending transfer to the State Archives.
1928			1,882	2%	*	1,882	
1929	C 29548	C 31402	1,855	-1%	*	1,855	
1930			2,229	20%	Film	2,229	
1931			2,517	13%	Film	2,517	
1932			2,424	-4%	Film	2,424	C30800 through C613147 are legated an migrafilm
1933 1934			1,497 1,301	-38% -13%	Film Film	1,497 1,301	C30800 through C613147 are located on microfilm.
1935			1,371	5%	Film		Each year all supplements to a file which has been filmed are
1936	C 42742	C 44175	1,434	5%	Film	1,434	also filmed; the roll number of that supplemental film is then
1937			1,494	4%	Film	1,494	written on the box of film in which the original file is located.
1938			1,271	-15%	Film		Always check CARS for exact film locations of supplements.
1939 1940			1,316 1,201	4% -9%	Film Film	1,316 1,201	
1940			1,201	-9% -5%	Film	1,201	
1942			1,108	-3%	Film	1,108	
1943	C 51707	C 52727	1,021	-8%	Film	1,021	
1944			1,231	21%	Film	1,231	
1945			1,541	25%	Film	1,541	
1946 1947		C 57352 C 59449	1,853 2,097	20% 13%	Film Film	1,853 2,097	
1947	0 01000	09449	۷,097	1370	1 111111	2,097	I

Year Number		Beginning Case	Ending Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	2.22.2
1995 C								CASE STATUS
1950 C 69344 C 69272 2,990 5-96 Film 3,942 1951 1952 C 71280 5.102 35 Film 3,942 1952				,				When Civil cases were filmed in the 1970's many files were
1951 C 68724 C 71286 S 71286 S 71286 S Film S 502 Film S 50	1950	C 65344		,			2,930	Ideclared active at the time of filming. These active files were not
1955 C 7-7384 C 7-7301 C 3623 17% Film 4,182 respect							3,012	filmed; they remained in the file room for filming at a later date.
1956 (78017 () 62006 () 68045 () 4,01 11% Film							3,108	In the early 1980's they were inadvertently destroyed without
1955 C 89246 C 90164 3,319 2695 Film 3,349							3,623 4 188	for a specific case number, the file may be one of the files that
1966 C 9016 C 9746 C 3746 T 322 215 Film T 329								were destroyed.
1968 C				3,319	-28%	Film		
1986 C								
1986 C 112526 C 122467 C 133790 11,334 1434 1434 Film 11,334 1345 Film 11,334 Film 12,346 Film 13,346 Film 1				,				
1981 C								
1992 C								
1964 C	1962	C 133791				Film		
1985 C								
1966 C								
1997 C								
1986 C 207631 C 218008 11,278 5% Film 11,726 11,726 1990 C 230035 C 243008 13,274 19% Film 13,278 1971 C 2430305 C 245308 13,274 19% Film 13,278 1972 C 256931 C 271752 C 256931 C 271751 14,821 9% Film 14,821 1973 C 271752 C 268531 C 271751 14,610 14,610 1974 C 268532 C 305068 18,725 28% Film 14,821 1973 C 271752 C 268531 C 271752 C 268531 K 271753 C 271752 C 268531 K 271753 C 271752 C 271								
1970 C 230035 C 243308 13,274 1996 Film 13,274 Legislation for Joint and Several Liability resulted in a 1971 C 243309 C 256930 G 271751 14,821 996 Film 14,821 1973 C 256931 C 271752 C 286851 14,810 1-976 Film 14,810 1974 C 286302 C 286851 14,810 1-976 Film 14,810 1974 C 286302 C 305006 18,725 2896 Film 18,725 Pilm Pi					-5%	Film	11,278	
1971 C								
1972 C 256931 C 271751 14,821 9% Film 14,871 1973 C 271752 C 28836 14,610 -1% Film 14,610 1974 C 286362 C 305086 18,725 28% Film 18,725 1975 C 305087 C 325684 C 343904 18,221 -12% Film 18,273 such as CV87-(9)0001. 1976 C 325684 C 343904 18,221 -12% Film 18,273 such as CV87-(9)0001. 1977 C 343905 C 301772 C 380339 18,568 4% Film 18,273 such as CV87-(9)0001. 1977 C 343905 C 301772 C 380339 18,568 4% Film 18,688 1979 C 380747 C 28829 25,334 20% Film 25,334 numbers. Of the 10,000 numbers, 152 were assigned. 1980 C 401476 C 428820 25,334 20% Film 25,334 numbers. Of the 10,000 numbers, 152 were assigned. 1981 C 426830 C 451027 24,198 C 53705 C 178 Film 26,500 s not appropriate for determining the number of cases filed 5830 C 477528 C 503075 C 178 Film 28,365 C 1893 C 477528 C 503075 C 578 Film 37,477 1983 C 565822 C 613147 37,477 11% Film 37,477 1987 C 8500001 C 8743177 41,377 11% Film 37,477 1987 C 8500001 C 8743174 37,477 11% Film 37,477 1987 C 8500001 C 868212 2,192 42% Film 48,5181 1980 C 8500001 C 8682039 2,379 9% Film 45,181 1990 C 9000001 C 8692039 2,379 9% Film 45,181 1990 C 9000001 C 8692039 2,379 9% Film 45,181 1990 C 9000001 C 8692039 2,379 9% Film 45,181 1990 C 9000001 C 90000001 C 9000001 C 90000001 C 90000001 C 90000001 C 90000001 C 90000001								
1973 C 27/17/2 C 286361 14,610 1% Film 14,610 1974 C 286362 C 305068 18,725 239% Film 18,725 1975 C 305067 C 325683 20,507 10% Film 20,507 10% 1977 C 345005 C 343904 18,221 1% Film 17,867								substantial increase of civil complaints filed in 1987.
1974 C 286362 C 305086 18,725 28% Film 18,725								
1975 C 305087 C 325683 20,597 10% Film 10,205 Film 18,221 such as CV87-(9)0001 1977 C 343905 C 361771 17,867 -2% Film 18,221 such as CV87-(9)0001 1978 C 343905 C 361771 17,867 -2% Film 18,261 such as CV87-(9)0001 1978 C 343905 C 361771 17,867 -2% Film 18,568 1979 C 380304 C 401475 21,136 14% Film 25,334 mumbers. Of the 10,000 numbers, 152 were assigned. 1981 C 426830 C 451022 25,354 42.95 Film 25,334 mumbers. Of the 10,000 numbers, 152 were assigned. 1981 C 426830 C 457622 25,354 -3% Film 25,394 mumbers. Of the 10,000 numbers, 152 were assigned. 1982 C 451022 C 477527 26,500 10% Film 26,500 such as propriate for determining the number of cases filed 1983 C 477528 C 503705 26,178 -1% Film 26,500 such as propriate for determining the number of cases filed 1983 C 503706 C 532000 28,385 834 Film 26,345 Film								
1976 C 325684 C 343905 C 361771 17,867 -2% Film 17,867 17978 C 343905 C 361772 C 380339 18,668 4% Film 18,658 1979 C 380340 C 401475 21,136 14% Film 21,136 1986, Southeast was assigned a block of 10,000 1990 C 401476 C 426829 25,354 20% Film 21,136 1986, Southeast was assigned a block of 10,000 1990 C 401476 C 426829 24,198 -5% Film 21,136 1986, Southeast was assigned a block of 10,000 1990 C 401476 C 426829 C 451027 24,198 -5% Film 24,198 Subtracting the endipment from the beginning number 1992 C 451028 C 477527 26,500 10% Film 26,178 for the year. 1993 C 451028 C 503705 26,178 1795 Film 26,178 for the year. 1993 C 532091 C 565821 33,731 1795 Film 33,731 1986 C 532091 C 565821 33,731 1795 Film 33,731 1987 CV 8790001 CV 8741377 41,377 11% Film 37,477 1987 CV 8790001 CV 8741377 41,377 11% Film 37,477 1987 CV 8790001 CV 8793804 3,804 0% Film 1987 CV 8800001 CV 8834421 34,421 -17% Film 5889 CV 8800001 CV 8893379 2,379 9% Film 5889 CV 8900001 CV 8939379 2,379 9% Film 5989 CV 9000001 CV 9034977 34,977 -3% Film 5990 CV 9000001 CV 9034977 34,977 -3% Fi	1975 (305087	C 325683	20,597	10%	Film	20,597	
1978 C 361772 C 380339 18,688 4% Film 11,568 1979 C 380340 C 401475 C 1.136 14% Film 21,136 1986, Southeast was assigned a block of 10,000 1980 C 401476 C 426829 25,354 20% Film 25,354 18040 1981 C 426830 C 451027 24,198 5% Film 24,198 Subtracting the ending number for much beginning number of 1982 C 451028 C 477527 26,500 10% Film 25,500 10% Film 23,385 1986 C 503706 C 552090 28,385 8% Film 23,385 1986 C 56822 C 613147 37,477 11% Film 37,477 1987 CV 8790001 CV 873304 3,804 0% Film 37,477 1987 CV 8790001 CV 873304 3,804 0% Film 37,477 1988 CV 8800001 CV 8832192 2,192 4284 Film 38,613 1988 CV 8800001 CV 8832192 2,192 4284 Film 38,613 1989 CV 8900001 CV 8832193 2,379 9% Film 38,610 1990 CV 8900001 CV 8923379 2,379 9% Film 38,670 1990 CV 8000001 CV 9000001 CV 90000001 CV 900000001 CV 900000000000000000000000000000000000							18,221	
1979 C 380340 C 401476 C 426829 25.54 2.0% Film 25.54 1980 C 401476 C 426829 25.54 20% Film 25.54 1980 C 401476 C 426830 C 451027 24.198 5% Film 25.54 1981 24.198 25.00 10% Film 25.00 24.198 25.00 24.198 25.00 24.198 25.00 24.198 25.00 24.198 25.00 24.198 25.00 24.198 25.00 24.198 25.00 24.198 25.00 24.198 25.00 24.198 25.00 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 25.00 26.178 2								
1980 C								In 1986, Southeast was assigned a block of 10 000
1982 C								
1983 C				24,198	-5%		24,198	Subtracting the ending number from the beginning number
1984 C 532090 C 532090 28,385 8% Film 28,385 1985 C 532091 C 565821 33,731 1996 Film 37,477 1987 CV 8700001 CV 8741377 41,377 10% Film 37,477 1987 CV 8700001 CV 8741377 41,377 10% Film 45,181 1987 CV 8700001 CV 8741377 41,377 10% Film 45,181 1987 CV 8800001 CV 8834421 34,421 -1.7% Film 6880 CV 8890001 CV 8892192 2,192 -4.2% Film 6892192 2,192 2,192 2,192 -4.2% Film 6892192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 -4.2% Film 6892192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 -4.2% Film 6892192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 -4.2% Film 6892192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 -4.2% Film 6892192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 2,192 -4.2% Film 6892192 2,							26,500	is not appropriate for determining the number of cases filed
1985 C 52091 C 565821 33.731 19% Film 33.731 1986 C 565822 C 613147 37.771 11% Film 37.477 11% Film 45.181 In 1987, Cases were put on an automated Docket. The first CV SEA 8800001 CV 8834421 34.421 1.77% Film Sea placed on the Docket was CV87-1900. The first CV SEA Sea placed on the Docket was EV87-1900. The first CV Sea placed on the Docket was EV87-1900. The first CV Sea placed on the Docket was EV87-1900. The first CV87 Sea place								for the year.
1986 C								
1987 CV 8793001 CV 8793804 3.804 0% Film 1987, cases were put on an automated Docket. The first CV 1988 CV 8800001 CV 8834421 34,421 1.17% Film case placed on the Docket was CV87-1900. The first CV SEA case placed on the Docket was CV87-1900. The first CV SEA case placed on the Docket was CV87-1900. The first CV SEA case placed on the Docket was CV87-1900. The first CV SEA case placed on the Docket was CV87-1900. The first CV SEA case placed on the Docket was CV87-1900. Access to the Docket via the International Process of the Process of the Docket via the International Process of the Process of the October via								
TOTAL CV CASES 1987	1987	CV 8700001			10%	Film	•	
1988 CV	1987			3,804	0%	Film		
1988 CV 8890001 CV 8892192 2,192 -42% Film	1000			24 424	170/	Eilm	45,181	
TOTAL CV CASES 1988								· ·
1998 CV	1000			2,102	1270		36,613	was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's
1990 CV 9000010 CV 9034977 34,977 33% Film 37,343 1991 CV 9000011 CV 9032487 34,977 33% Film 37,343 1991 CV 9100001 CV 9129004 29,004 -17% Film 37,343 1991 CV 9190001 CV 9129004 29,004 -17% Film 37,343 1991 CV 9190001 CV 9129004 29,004 -17% Film 31,622 1992 CV 920001 CV 9222712 22,712 -22% Film the file was not available at the time of microfilming, the file was incordlined as soon as it was located. If a case within the microfilm roll was not filmed at the time of filming, the box the film is stored in will reflect the exact case location. After 1996 the majority of cases were filmed on an inactive basis. 1994 CV 9400001 CV 9420807 2,077 -23% Film TOTAL CV CASES 1994 1995 CV 9590001 CV 942270 2,777 5% Film TOTAL CV CASES 1995 1996 CV 9590001 CV 9523524 23,524 9% Film TOTAL CV CASES 1995 1997 CV 9590001 CV 973936 23,956 2% Film TOTAL CV CASES 1996 1998 CV 9690001 CV 973936 23,956 2% Film TOTAL CV CASES 1997 1998 CV 9790001 CV 973936 23,956 2% Film TOTAL CV CASES 1997 1998 CV 9890001 CV 973937 3,077 11% CSC TOTAL CV CASES 1998 1999 CV 9890001 CV 982387 23,287 -2% CSC C								. •
1990 CV 9000001 CV 9034977 34,977 -3% Film 1990 CV 9090001 CV 9092366 2,366 -1% Film 37,343 1991 CV 9100001 CV 9129004 29,004 -17% Film 1991 CV 9190001 CV 9129004 29,004 -17% Film 1991 CV 9190001 CV 9129014 2,618 11% Film 1992 CV 920001 CV 9222712 22,712 -22% Film 1992 CV 920001 CV 9222344 2,344 -10% Film 1992 CV 920001 CV 9292344 2,344 -10% Film 1993 CV 930001 CV 9326963 26,963 19% Film 1993 CV 930001 CV 9326963 3,203 37% Film 1993 CV 930001 CV 9328693 3,203 37% Film 1994 CV 9400001 CV 942007 2,470 -23% Film 1995 CV 9500001 CV 942270 2,470 -23% Film 1995 CV 9500001 CV 9525643 21,643 4% Film 1995 CV 9500001 CV 9525643 21,643 4% Film 1995 CV 9500001 CV 9525643 21,643 4% Film 1995 CV 9500001 CV 9523524 23,524 9% Film 1996 CV 9500001 CV 9600001 CV 9600001 CV 9600001 CV 9600001 CV 9600001 CV 9600001 CV 973956 23,956 2% Film 1996 CV 9600001 CV 973956 23,956 2% Film 1997 CV 9700001 CV 973956 23,956 2% Film 1998 CV 9800001 CV 983459 23,699 -1% Film 1998 CV 9800001 CV 983459 23,699 -1% Film 1999 CV 9800001 CV 983450 3,450 9% SEA 1999 CV 9900001 CV 992387 23,287 -2% CSC 1999 CV 9900001 CV 9923870 23,650 23,650 CSC 1999 CV 9900001 CV 9923870 23	1989			2,379	9%	Film		Thtp://www.derkolodurt.mandopa.gov to access the bocket.
1990 CV 9090001 CV 9092366 2,366 -1% Film	1000			3/1 077	20/	Film	38,570	
TOTAL CV CASES 1990								
1991 CV 9190001 CV 9192618 2,618 11% Film 31,622	1200			_,000	. 70		37,343	
TOTAL CV CASES 1991								
1992 CV 920001 CV 9222712 22,712 -22% Film TOTAL CV CASES 1992	1991 (2,618	11%	Film	04 000	Through 4000 all access to the City of the
1992 CV 9290001 CV 9292344 2,344 -10% Film	10021			22 712	_220/-	Film	31,622	
TOTAL CV CASES 1992								
1993 CV				_,0 . 1	1070	!!	25,056	number series on the microfilm roll was not filmed at the time of
1994 CV 940001 CV 9420807 20,807 -23% Film		CV 9300001	CV 9326963					
1994 CV	1993 (3,203	37%	Film	00.455	
1994 CV	1004			20 207	230/	Film	30,166	
TOTAL CV CASES 1994 23,277 1995 CV								
1995 CV 9500001 CV 9521643 21,643 4% Film 1995 CV 9590001 CV 9592607 2,607 6% Film 24,250 1996 CV 9600001 CV 9623524 23,524 9% Film 1996 CV 9690001 CV 9692727 2,727 5% Film 1997 CV 9700001 CV 9723956 23,956 2% Film 1997 CV 9790001 CV 9793027 3,027 11% CSC 1998 CV 9800001 CV 9823699 23,699 -1% Film 1999 CV 9800001 CV 982387 23,287 -2% CSC CSC 1999 CV 9900001 CV 9923287 23,287 -2% CSC CSC 1999 CV 9990001 CV 9993450 3,450 9% SEA remainder.	.554			2,710	-2070	1	23,277	
TOTAL CV CASES 1995 24,250 1996 CV 9600001 CV 9623524 23,524 9% Film 1996 CV 9690001 CV 9692727 2,727 5% Film 26,251 1997 CV 9700001 CV 9723956 23,956 2% Film 1997 CV 9790001 CV 9793027 3,027 11% CSC CSC TOTAL CV CASES 1997 CV 9800001 CV 9823699 23,699 -1% Film Film CV 1998 CV 9800001 CV 9823699 23,699 -1% Film CV 1998 CV 9800001 CV 9823699 23,699 -1% Film CV 1998 CV 1999 100		CV 9500001	CV 9521643					
1996 CV 9600001 CV 9623524 23,524 9% Film	1995 (2,607	6%	Film		
1996 CV 9690001 CV 9692727 2,727 5% Film	1006			22 524	00/	Film	24,250	
TOTAL CV CASES 1996 26,251			CV 9023024					
1997 CV 9700001 CV 9723956 23,956 2% Film 1997 CV 9790001 CV 9793027 3,027 11% CSC TOTAL CV CASES 1997 26,983 CV 9800001 CV 9823699 23,699 -1% Film CV1 through CV98-15779 are microfilmed. Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the 1999 CV 9990001 CV 9993450 3,450 9% SEA Film CV1 through CV98-15779 are microfilmed. Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the remainder.	.000	TOTAL CV CA	SES 1996	-,: -1	0 70		26,251	
TOTAL CV CASES 1997 26,983		CV 9700001	CV 9723956					
1998 CV 9800001 CV 9823699 23,699 -1% Film CV1 through CV98-15779 are microfilmed. Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the year and 6 digits for the 1999 CV 9900001 CV 9923287 23,287 -2% CSC CSC CSC 1999 CV 9990001 CV 9993450 3,450 9% SEA CV1 through CV98-15779 are microfilmed. Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 6 digits for the remainder.	1997 (3,027	11%	CSC		
1998 CV 9890001 CV 9893179 3,179 5% SEA	1000			22 600	10/	Eilm	26,983	CV4 through CV09 45770
TOTAL CV CASES 1998 26,878 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on 1999 CV 9900001 CV 9923287 23,287 -2% CSC Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the 1999 CV 9990001 CV 9993450 3,450 9% SEA remainder.								
1999 CV 9900001 CV 9923287 23,287 -2% CSC Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the remainder. 1999 CV 9990001 CV 9993450 3,450 9% SEA remainder.	1.000			5,175	370	JLA	26,878	5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on
		CV 9900001	CV 9923287					
TOTAL CV CASES 1999 26,737	1999 (3,450	9%	SEA	22	remainder.
		TOTAL CV CA	SES 1999				26,737	

	Be	ginning Case	Er	nding Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year	C) /	Number	CV	Number	of Files	Percent		CASES	CASE STATUS
2000	CV	2000 000001 2000 090001	CV	2000 023029 2000 093453	23,029 3,453	-1% 0%	CSC SEA		
2000	CV	TOTAL CV CA			3,433	0 70	JLA	26,482	
2001	CV	2001 000001	CV	2001 022601	22,601	-2%	CSC	,	All Civil documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged, and
2001	CV	2001 090001	CV	2001 093027	3,027	-12%	SEA		can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase
2002	CV	TOTAL CIVIL C			25 206	12%	CSC	25,628	document retrieval application.
2002	CV	2002 000001 2002 090001	CV	2002 025206 2002 093234	25,206 3,234	7%	SEA		
2002	CV	2002 070001	CV	2002 070575	575	0%	NWR		
,		TOTAL CV CA						29,015	
2003	CV	2003 000001	CV	2003 025099	25,099	0%	CSC		
2003	CV	2003 090001 2003 070001	CV	2003 093318 2003 070840	3,318	3%	SEA NWR		Case Numbers between CV2004 024850 and CV2004
2003	CV	TOTAL CV CA	CV SES 2		840	46%	NWK	29 257	040000 were not used. Case Numbers CV2004 040001 through CV2004 040322 were used out of sequence.
2004	CV	2004 000001	CV	2004 040322	25,171	0%	CSC	20,207	unlough 0 v 2004 0 40022 were used out of sequence.
2004	CV	2004 090001	CV	2004 093422	3,415	3%	SEA		
2004	CV	2004 070001	CV	2004 070794	795	-5%	NWR	00.004	
2005	CV	TOTAL CV CA 2005 000001	CV	2005 019814	19,814	-21%	CSC	29,381	
2005	CV	2005 000001	CV	2005 094121	4,121	21%	SEA		
2005	CV	2005 070001	CV	2005 070867	867	9%	NWR		
2005	CV	2005 050001	CV	2005 053698	3,698	0%	NER		
2000	C\/	TOTAL CV CA			40.000	00/	CSC	28,500	
2006	CV	2006 000001 2006 090001	CV	2006 019833 2006 092704	19,833 2,704	0% -34%	CSC SEA		
2006	CV	2006 070001	CV	2006 070694	694	-20%	NWR		
2006	CV	2006 050001	CV	2006 053567	3,567	-4%	NER		
		TOTAL CV CA		006		Ц		26,798	
2007	CV	2007 000001	CV	2007 024120	24,120	22%	CSC		
2007	CV	2007 090001	CV	2007 093149	3,149	16%	SEA		
2007	CV	2007 070001	CV	2007 070723	723	4%	NWR		
2007	CV	2007 050001	CV	2007 053482	3,482	-2%	NER	24 474	
2008	CV	TOTAL CV CA 2008 000001	CV	2008 033351	33,351	38%	CSC	31,474	
2008	CV	2008 090001	CV	2008 093957	3,957	26%	SEA		
2008	CV	2008 070001	CV	2008 070692	692	-4%	NWR		
2008	CV	2008 050001	CV	2008 055103	5,103	47%	NER		
		TOTAL CV CA	SES 2	008				43,103	
2009	CV	2009 000001	CV	2009 040412	40,412	21%	CSC		
2009	CV	2009 090001	CV	2009 094203	4,203	6%	SEA		
2009	CV	2009 070001	CV	2009 070665	665	-4%	NWR		
2009	CV	2009 050001 TOTAL CV CA	CV	2009 055465	5,465	7%	NER	50,745	
2010	CV	2010 0000001	CV	2010 034184	34,184	-15%	CSC	30,743	
2010	CV	2010 090001	CV	2010 099999	9,999	138%	SEA		2010 -SEA CV cases also initiated with an eight (8) such as
2010		2010 090001	CV	2010 082972	2,972		SEA		CV2010-(8)0001.
2010	CV	2010 070001	CV	2010 070645	645	-3%	NWR		
2010	CV	2010 050001	CV	2010 055157	5,157	-6%	NER		
00	<u> </u>	TOTAL CV CA				<u> 1</u>		52,957	
2011	CV	2011 000001	CV	2011 022499	22,499	-34%	CSC		
2011	CV	2011 090001 2011 090001	CV	2011 099999 2011 081415	9,999 1,415	0%	SEA SEA		
2011	CV	2011 090001	CV	2011 070846	846	31%	NWR		
2011	CV	2011 050001	CV	2011 056916	6,916	34%	NER		
		TOTAL CV CA						41,675	
2012	CV	2012 000001	CV	2012 019000	**30,321		CSC		**Beginning in 2012, new case filings will not be listed by
2012	CV	2012 090001	CV	2012 097861	**		SEA		each individual Clerk of Court location; however, the
2012		2012 070001	CV	2012 070469	**		NWR		cumulative total for the entire Clerk of Court will be posted.
2012	CV	2012 050001 TOTAL CV CA	CV	2012 057295	-^		NER	20 224	Please note that the beginning and ending case numbers are listed.
2013	CV	2013 000001	CV	2013 017257	**28,656		CSC	30,3∠1	**Beginning in 2013, new case filings will not be listed by each individual
2013		2013 000001	CV	2013 017237	**		SEA		Clerk of Court location; due to the Electronic Court Record, case
2013		2013 070001	CV	2013 071030	**		NWR		numbers are not issued sequentially; totals were derived from the Cash Management System. Please note that the beginning and ending case
2013		2013 050001	CV	2013 056000	**		NER		numbers are listed.
		TOTAL CV CA					_	28,656	
2014	CV	2014 000001	CV	2014 015371	**26,059		CSC		
2014	CV	2014 090001	CV	2014 097467	**		SEA		±± 2014-As of March 1, 2014, Civil cases are no longer
2014		2014 070001		2014 ±± 070746	**		NWR		heard at NWR; customers can still file at NWR, but their
2014	CV	2014 050001	CV	2014 056221	**		NER		cases will be heard at other locations.
		TOTAL CV CA						26,059	
2015		2015 000001	CV	2015 015371	**33,230		CSC		
2015	CV	2015 090001	CV	2015 097467	**		SEA		
2015 2015		2015 070001 2015 050001	CV :	2015 ±±070746 2015 056221	**		NWR NER		
2015	υv	TOTAL CV CA			1		NEIX	33,230	
2016	CV	2016 000001	CV		§§ 32,516	-2%	CSC	55,200	
					/				•

Year	Be	ginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
ıedi		TOTAL CV CA		OI I IIES	i Glociit	Location		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were
2017	CV	2017 000001	CV 2017 097008	§§ 32,500	0%	CSC	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	provided by Superior Court/CTS.
	<u> </u>	TOTAL CV CA			20/		32,500	
2018	CV	2018 000001 TOTAL CV CA		§§ 32,438	0%	CSC	32,438	
2019	CV	2019 000001		§§ 32,663	1%	ALL	32,430	
20.0		TOTAL CV CA		33,	.,,,	,	32,663	
2020	CV	2020 000001		§§ 31,492	-4%	ALL		
0004	0) (TOTAL CV CA		Tee	50 /		31,492	
2021	CV	2021 000001 TOTAL CV CA	CV 2021 096095	§§ 32,910	5%	ALL	32,910	
		TOTAL CV CA	IJLJ 2021				32,910	
								Civil index and locations are current through December 31
TOTA	L CIV	/IL CASES					1,730,025	2021.
					(RIMINAL		
1879				6 6	0%	Film		Original Criminal case files from 1879 through 1929 are
1880 1881			CR CR 3	8 2 0 22	-67% 1000%	Film Film		available for research and review through the State Archives. These files can also be viewed on film at CSC.
1881	-		CR 3		-14%	Film	19	Archives. These lifes can also be viewed on tilm at CSC.
1883	CR	50	CR 8	7 38	100%	Film	38	
1884			CR 11		-21%	Film	30	
1885 1886		118 169			70% -33%	Film Film	51 34	
1887		203	CR 24		12%	Film	38	
1888		241			32%	Film	50	
1889 1890		291 338			-6% -23%	Film Film		All Paternity Actions were filed as Criminal Actions until 1971. From 1972 through 1975, they were filed as Civil
1891		374			-23% 89%	Film		Actions. Beginning in 1976, Paternity Actions have been
1892		442	CR 47	9 38	-44%	Film	38	filed as Domestic Relations Actions.
1893		480			95%	Film	74	
1894 1895		554 606			-30% 52%	Film Film	52 79	
1896		685			-18%	Film	65	
1897	CR	750	CR 83		29%	Film	84	
1898		834 888			-36%	Film	54 59	
1899 1900		947			9% 2%	Film Film	60	
1901		1007			0%	Film	60	
1902		1067			-30%	Film	42	
1903 1904		1109 1168			40% -3%	Film Film	59 57	
1905		1225			-12%	Film	50	
1906		1275			86%	Film	93	
1907 1908		1368 1437			-26% 83%	Film	69 126	
1909		1563			3%	Film	130	
1910	CR	1693	CR 190	8 216	66%	Film	216	
1911 1912		1909 2037			-41% 761%	Film	128 1,102	
1912		3139			-79%	Film Film	1,102	
1914	CR	3368	CR 358	3 216	-6%	Film	216	
1915		3584			9%	Film	236	
1916 1917		3820 4093			16% -2%	Film Film	273 268	
1918	CR	4361	CR 467	9 319	19%	Film	319	
1919	CR	4680	CR 500		2%	Film	326	
1920 1921		5006 5320		_	-4% 41%	Film Film	314 442	
1921		5762			-10%	Film	398	
1923	CR	6160	CR 645	4 295	-26%	Film	295	
1924		6455 6767	CR 676 CR 717		6% 31%	Film	312 408	
1925 1926		7175			-13%	Film Film	408 353	
1927	CR	7528	CR 900	2 1,475	318%	Film	1,475	
1928		9003			-60%	Film	595	
1929 1930		9598 10210			3% -3%	Film Film	612 595	
1931		10805	CR 1000		3%	Film	610	
1932	CR	11415	CR 1193	3 519	-15%	Film	519	
1933		11934			-24%	Film	394	
1934 1935		12328 12786			16% 0%	Film Film	458 456	
1936	CR	13242	CR 1375	6 515	13%	Film	515	
1937		13757	CR 1448	1 725	41%	Film	725	
1938 1939		14482			-17%	Film	604	
1202	UΚ	15086	CR 1561	3 528	-13%	Film	528	1

	Beg	ginning Case	E	nding Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year	-	Number		Number	of Files	Percent	Location		CASE STATUS
1940 1941		15614		16128 16634	515 506	-2% -2%	Film Film	515 506	
1941		16129 16635		17089	455	-10%	Film	455	
1943		17090		17499	410	-10%	Film	410	
1944		17500		17799	300	-27%	Film	300	
1945 1946		17800 18219		18218 18758	419 540	40% 29%	Film Film	419 540	
1946		18759		19394	636	18%	Film	636	
1948		19395		20074	680	7%	Film	680	
1949		20075		20810	736	8%	Film	736	
1950 1951		20811		21609	799 1,027	9% 29%	Film Film	799	
1951		21610 22637		22636 23832	1,027	16%	Film		Criminal Transcripts CR37538 through CR90-14345 are on microfilm. These transcripts are not in consecutive order.
1953		23833		25039	1,207	1%	Film		Transcripts from CR69290 through CR90-14345 have been
1954		25040		26596	1,557	29%	Film	1,557	filmed separate from their case files. Transcripts from
1955		26597		28228	1,632	5%	Film		CR91-00001 to present have not been filmed.
1956 1957		28229 29787		29786 31519	1,558 1,733	-5% 11%	Film Film	1,558 1,733	
1958		31520		33423	1,904	10%	Film	1,904	
1959		33424	CR	35097	1,674	-12%	Film	1,674	
1960		35098		37436	2,339	40%	Film	2,339	
1961 1962		37437 39460		39459 41433	2,023 1,974	-14% -2%	Film Film	2,023 1,974	
1962		41434		43483	2,050	-2% 4%	Film	2,050	
1964	CR	43484	CR	45523	2,040	0%	Film	2,040	
1965		45524		48073	2,550	25%	Film	2,550	
1966 1967		48074 50785		50784 53796	2,711 3,012	6% 11%	Film Film	2,711 3,012	
1968		53797		56991	3,195	6%	Film	3,195	
1969		56992		60966	3,975	24%	Film		File numbers are subject to change when transferred to a
1970		60967		66172	5,206	31%	Film	5,206	satellite court. For example, if a Downtown case is
1971		66173		70735	4,563	-12%	Film		transferred to SEA, that case is assigned a new case
1972 1973		70736 74466		74465 79177	3,730 4,712	-18% 26%	Film Film	3,730 4,712	number.
1974		79178		85262	6,085	29%	Film	6,085	
1975		85263	CR	91110	5,848	-4%	Film	5,848	
1976		91111		95946	4,836	-17%	Film	4,836	
1977 1978		95947 100421		100420 105073	4,474 4,653	-7% 4%	Film Film	4,474 4,653	
1979		105074		110237	5,164	11%	Film	5,164	
1980		110238		116832	6,595	28%	Film		Downtown CR1 through CR9110763 are on microfilm
1981		116833		123940	7,108	8%	Film		located at CSC.
1982 1983		123941 130971		130970 137859	7,030 6,889	-1% -2%	Film Film	7,030 6,889	
1984		137860		145226	7,367	7%	Film	,	In 1987 cases were put on an automated Docket. The first CR
1985		145227		154153	8,927	21%	Film	8,927	case placed on Docket was CR87-06300. The first CR SEA case
1986		154154		164862	10,709	20%	Film	10,709	placed on Docket was CR87-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed
1987 1987		8700001 8790001		8711559 8790071	11,559 71	8% 0%	Film FT2		to the Clerk's Office home page address at
1907	CK	TOTAL CR FI			7.1	070	ГІД	11,630	http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for access to the Docket.
1988	CR	8800001		8811760	11,760	2%	Film	,	
1988	CR	8890001		8890045	45	-37%	FT2		
1000	CP	TOTAL CR FI			12 //24	1/10/	Eilm	11,805	
1989 1989		8900001 8990001		8913421 8990121	13,421 121	14% 169%	Film FT2		1
.000		TOTAL CR FI			121	10070		13,542	
1990		9000001		9013505	13,505	1%	Film		
1990	CR	9090001		9090720	720	495%	FT2	44.00=	
1991	CR	9100001		990 9110763	10,763	-20%	Film	14,225	1
1991		9190001		9193423	3,423	375%	FT2		1
		TOTAL CR FI	LES 1	991				14,186	
1992		9200001		9210561	10,561	-2%	CSC		
1992	CR	9290001 TOTAL CR FI		9293476 992	3,476	2%	FT2	14,037	
1993	CR	9300001		9310494	10,494	-1%	CSC	17,037	Beginning in 1987 all SEA cases begin with a (9), as in
1993		9390001	CR	9393755	3,755	8%	FT2		CR87-(9)0001.
400.1	-	TOTAL CR FI			44.555			14,249	Southeast CR87-90001 through CR91-93423 are on
1994 1994		9400001 9490001		9411389 9493961	11,389 3,961	9% 5%	CSC		microfilm at CSC.
1554	UI.	TOTAL CR FI			3,801	370	000	15,350	1
1995	CR	9500001		9512904	12,904	13%	CSC		
1995	CR	9590001		9593652	3,652	-8%	SEA	40	
1996	CB	9600001			12 600	E0/	CSC	16,556	
1996		9690001		9613609 9695006	13,609 5,006	5% 37%	CSC SEA		1
		TOTAL CR FI			0,000	31 70	>=-· \	18,615	1
1997	CR	9700001	CR	9715075	15,075	11%	CSC		Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for
					-	-			·

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
1997				14%	SEA	J3E3	the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000,
	TOTAL CR F	LES 1997	, ,	*		20,773	
1998				20%	CSC		remainder.
1998	CR 9890001 TOTAL CR F		6,145	8%	SEA	24,244	
1999 (18,452	2%	CSC	27,277	*Beginning in 2001 SEA sequential case numbers
1999				4%	SEA		exceeded CR 2001-099999 and were assigned additional
	TOTAL CR F		1	1		24,828	numbers beginning with an (8) as in CR2001-080001.
	CR 2000 000001	CR 2000 019949		8%	CSC		
2000	CR 2000 090001 TOTAL CR F	CR 2000 097510	7,510	18%	SEA	27,459	
2001	CR 2001 000001	CR 2001 019308	19,308	-3%	CSC	21,400	I All Criminal documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged
	CR 2001 090001	CR 2001 080079		34%	SEA		and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the
	TOTAL CR F		1 1	1		29,387	OnBase document retrieval application.
	CR 2002 000001 CR 2002 090001	CR 2002 022188 CR 2002 080848		15% 8%	CSC SEA		*Common coco numbero bagan Fabruary 2002, Criminal
2002	TOTAL CR F		10,040	070	SEA	33,036	*Common case numbers began February 2003. Criminal case numbers are now assigned by defendant using a
2003	CR 2003 000001	CR 2003 025099	25,099	13%	CSC	33,030	three digit suffix (001, 002) to designate each defendant,
	CR 2003 000001	CR 2003 010792		-1%	SEA		and a location suffix SE or DT to identify location. In 2004
•	TOTAL CR F	ILES 2003		•		35,891	
	CR 2004 000001	CR 2004 043955		29%	CSC		sequential case numbers being assigned regardless of the location of the hearing of the defendant (s). There are no
2004	CR 2004 000001	CR 2004 043955	11,000	2%	SEA	40.00-	langer beginning and anding numbers assigned to each
2005	TOTAL CR F CR 2005 005001	ILES 2004 CR 2005 145720	26,935	-17%	CSC	43,335	location.
	CR 2005 005001	CR 2005 145720		-17% 2%	SEA		
_500	TOTAL CR F		11,201	∠ /0	JLA	38,166	1
2006	CR 2006 005001	CR 2006 180377	26,323	-2%	CSC		
2006	CR 2006 005001	CR 2006 180377	10,958	-2%	SEA		
	TOTAL CR F		T			37,281	
	CR 2007 005001	CR 2007 189260	34,355	31%	CSC		
2007	CR 2007 005001 TOTAL CR F	CR 2007 189260	10,353	-6%	SEA	44,708	
2008	CR 2008 005001	CR 2008 181555	25,290	-26%	CSC	44,700	
	CR 2008 005001	CR 2008 181555	9,926	-4%	SEA		
	TOTAL CR F		•	•		35,216	
2009		CR 2009 180430	23,486	-7%	CSC		
2009	CR 2009 005001	CR 2009 180430	9,039	-9%	SEA	20 -0-	
2010	TOTAL CR F CR 2010 005001	CR 2010 180430	24,956	6%	CSC	32,525	
2010		CR 2010 180430	16,099	78%	SEA		
	TOTAL CR F		,			41,055	
2011	CR 2011 005001	CR 2011 *165724	40,394	62%	CSC		
2011	CR 2011 005001	CR 2011 *165724	8,681	-46%	SEA	40.0==	
2012	TOTAL CR F		40 474	7%	CSC	49,075	
2012			43,171 8,131	-6%	SEA		1
_0 12	TOTAL CR F		0,101	-0 70	JLA	51,302	1
2013		CR 2013 *462406	23,944	-45%	CSC		
2013		CR 2013 *462406	9,381	15%	SEA		
0044	TOTAL CR F		04.40=1	0.40/1	000	33,325	
2014 2014		CR 2014 *146921 CR 2014 *146921	31,407 8,181	31% -13%	CSC SEA		-
2014	TOTAL CR F	***	0,101	-1370	JLA	39,588	1
2015		CR 2015 *155951	22,548	-28%	CSC	22,000	
2015	CR 2015 100001	CR 2015 *155591	8,373	2%	SEA		
	TOTAL CR F		100 00			30,921	
2016		CR 2016 212089	§§ 30,917	0%	ALL	***	§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were
2017	TOTAL CR F CR 2017 000001		§§ 29,108	-6%	ALL	30,917	provided by Superior Court/CTS.
201/	TOTAL CR F		33 43,100	-070	ALL	29,108	1
2018		CR 2018 262889	§§ 44,409	53%	ALL	20,100	1
- 1	TOTAL CR F		' '			44,409	1
2019			§§ 41,830	-6%	ALL	-	
	TOTAL CR F		100 0= '			41,830	
2020		CR 2020 999999	§§ 35,308	-16%	ALL	05.000	-
2021	TOTAL CR F CR 2021 000001		§§ 43,997	25%	ALL	35,308	-
2021	TOTAL CR F		33 70,551	25/0	ALL	43,997	
	TOTALORI					70,001	1
	. CRIMINAL CASES						Criminal index and locations are current through December
						4 200 744	31, 2021.

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
					I		
1933	D 1	D 580	580		DIVORCE Film	580	From 1864 through 1879 all Divorce actions were filed with
1934	D 581		717		Film		the Arizona Territorial Legislature.
1935					Film	776	
1936 1937					Film Film	885 1,001	
1938	D 3960	D 4853	894	-11%	Film	894	
1939 1940					Film Film	1,109 1,026	
1941					Film	1,020	
1942				20%	Film	1,486	From 1879 through 1892, both the Arizona Territorial
1943 1944			,		Film Film	1,945 2,143	Legislature and the Court heard petitions for Divorce.
1945					Film	2,856	
1946					Film	3,115	
1947 1948				-15% -6%	Film		Divorce actions can be found as Civil cases from 1878 to 1933.
1949	D 24914		2,402		Film	2,402	1000.
1950					Film	2,573	All Division and All Division D475050
1951 1952			, -		Film Film	2,729	All Divorce category cases - D1 through D175058 - are on microfilm at CSC.
1953	D 35477	D 38328	2,852	0%	Film	2,852	
1954 1955					Film	2,963	
1955			,		Film Film	3,043 3,314	
1957	D 47649	D 50960	3,312	0%	Film	3,312	
1958 1959					Film Film	3,754	
1959					Film	4,170 4,215	
1961	D 63100	D 67638	4,539	8%	Film	4,539	Because case numbers 50001 through 175058 are used in
1962 1963					Film Film		both D and DR case designations, a D* must be entered as the case designation when searching for Divorce cases on
1964					Film		the docket. This will eliminate confusion with the Domestic
1965					Film	5,537	Relations DR case designation.
1966 1967					Film Film	5,873 6,109	
1968					Film	6,619	
1969					Film		Should any case prior to 1973 be missing a decree, please
1970 1971					Film Film	8,023 8,799	refer to the fraction by the first terms in about to
1972	D 131313		9,783		Film	9,783	possible explanation and case list.
1973					Film Film	10,573 12,111	
1974 1975					Film	11,279	
TO:	TAL DIVODOE CASE					475.050	
101	TAL DIVORCE CASE	:5:				175,058	<u> </u>
4050	DD 4	IDD 000	1 000		ROCAL DIV		I r ri
1953 1954		RD 209 RD 621			Film Film		This category was used for, but was not limited to, actions and jurisdictional disputes involving out of state child
1955	RD 622	RD 926		-26%	Film		support, modifications and custody.
1956					Film	450	
1957 1958					Film Film	529 633	
1959	RD 2539	RD 3201	663	5%	Film	663	
1960 1961					Film Film	723 1,135	
1961					Film	1,108	4
1963	RD 6168	RD 7172	1,005	-9%	Film	1,005	
1964 1965					Film Film	1,111 1,364	All Reciprocal Divorce files are on microfilm at CSC.
1965					Film	1,364	
1967	RD 11058	RD 12417	1,360	-4%	Film	1,360	
1968 1969					Film Film	1,389 1,530	4
1970					Film	1,717	
1971	RD 17054	RD 18834	1,781	4%	Film	1,781	
1972 1973					Film Film		This category was discontinued in 1975. All further proceedings of this type were initiated as Domestic
1973					Film		Relations actions.
1975					Film	2,182	
TO	AL RECIPROCAL D	IVORCE CASES:				27,415	
101	AL RECIPROCAL D	IVORUE CASES:				21,415	

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
rear	Number	Number	OI FILES	reiceiii	Location	CASES	CASE STATUS
					TIC RELA		
1975			1,003		Film		Downtown DR50001 through DR86-253054 are on
1976 1977			15,212 17,514	1417%	Film	15,212 17,514	microfilm.
1978			18,545		Film	18,545	
1979			19,388		Film	19,388	All Paternity Actions were filed as Criminal Actions until
1980			20,541		Film		1971. From 1972 through 1975, they were filed as Civil
1981			20,459		Film		Actions. Beginning in 1976, Paternity Actions have been
1982 1983			18,629 16,290	-9% -13%	Film	16,290	filed as Domestic Relations Actions.
1984			15,838		Film	15,838	
1985	DR 213420	DR 233496	20,077	27%	Film	20,077	Active cases from DR87-00001 through DR91-17472 are
1986			19,558		Film	19,558	located at CSC. Inactive cases from DR87-09201 through
1987 1987			16,565 3,061	-15%	Film		DR97-9723997 without children are on microfilm at CSC.
1907	TOTAL DR CA		3,001	0 70	ГШП	19,626	
1988			13,721	-17%	Film	10,000	
1988			3,358	10%	Film		
4000	TOTAL DR CA		45 440	400/	F:1	17,079	0, 1, 1, 1002, 11, 054
1989 1989			15,110 3,770	10% 12%			Starting in 1987 all SEA cases begin with a (9), as in DR8 (9)0001.
1909	DI 0990001	0993110	3,110	1270	1 11111		Southeast DR87-90001 through 97-97082 are on microfiln
	TOTAL DR CA					18,880	at CSC.
1990			15,461		Film		
1990	DR 9090001 TOTAL DR CA		3,899	3%	Film	19,360	
1991			17,472	13%	Film	19,360	In 1987 Domestic Relations Cases were put on an
1991			4,129		Film		automated Docket. The first DR case placed on Docket
	TOTAL DR CA					21,601	was DR87-09200. The first DR SEA case placed on Docke
1992			16,275		Film		was DR87-91500. Access to the Docket via the Internet
1992	DR 9290001 TOTAL DR CA		4,184	1%	Film	20.450	was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at
1993			18,596	14%	Film	20,433	http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for access to the
1993			5,236	25%			Docket.
	TOTAL DR CA					23,832	
1994			22,540	21%			
1995	DR 9490001 TOTAL DR CA		8,093	55%	FIIM	30,633	
1995			22,993	2%	Film	30,033	
1995			7,592		Film		
	TOTAL DR CA					30,585	
1996 1996			22,991 6,968		Film Film		
1990	TOTAL DR CA		0,900	-0 /0	1 11111	29,959	Through 1000, coppe on Docket are entered using 2 digits for
1997			23,997	4%	Film		Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000,
1997			7,082	2%	Film		cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the
4000	TOTAL DR CA		00.000	40/	000	31,079	remainder.
1998 1998			23,699 7,228		CSC SEA		
1330	TOTAL DR CA		7,220	270	OLA	30,927	
1999			22,476	-5%	CSC	,	
1999			7,119		SEA		
2000	TOTAL DR CA		00.700	40/	CCC	29,595	
2000	DR 2000 000001 DR 2000 090001	DR 2000 022799 DR 2000 097815	22,799 7,815		CSC SEA		*Beginning 2/5/01, DR was replaced by FC (Family Court with
550	TOTAL DR CA		7,010	1070	J, (30,614	Children) and FN (Family Court with No Children). All cases
2001	DR 2001 000001	DR 2001 002243	2,243	*	CSC		through 2/4/01 were filed as DR. The new alphabetical prefixes are part of a statewide trend in Family Court nomenclature. The
2001	DR 2001 090001	DR 2001 000733	733	*	SEA		are beneficial because they simplify document flow and case
	TOTAL DR CA	SES 2001				2,976	management for bear and clerks chief and court
	TOTAL	L DOMESTIC RELATIO	NS CASES	•		560 259	Administration. All cases that were filed through February 4, 2001 retain the DR designation.
	IOIA	JJIIO NELATIO	57525	-		500,209	
						CHILDREN	
2001		FC 2001 013627	13,627		CSC		
2001		FC 2001 094471	4,471	0%	SEA	40 000	
2002	TOTAL FC CA FC 2002 000001	FC 2002 013228	13,228	-3%	CSC	18,098	All FC and FN documents filed in 2002 and after are
2002		FC 2002 013228 FC 2002 094969	4,969		SEA		imaged and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using
2002	FC 2002 070001	FC 2002 071048	1,048		NWR		the OnBase document retrieval application.
	TOTAL FC CA	SES 2002				19,245	
2003		FC 2003 015799	15,799		CSC	-	
2003		FC 2003 095473 FC 2003 071460	5,473		SEA		
2003		FC 2003 071460 FC CASES 2003	1,460	39%	NWR	22,732	
2004		FC 2004 016041	16,041	2%	CSC	22,132	
			5,124		SEA		1
2004 2004		FC 2004 005124 FC 2004 071446	0,121		NWR		

	Beginning Case	Ending Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year	Number	Number FC CASES 2004	of Files	Percent	Location	22,611	CASE STATUS
2005		FC 2005 012663	12,663	-21%	CSC	22,011	
2005		FC 2005 004121	4,121	-20%			
2005	FC 2005 070001	FC 2005 071331	1,331	-8%	NWR		
2005		FC 2005 053070	3,070	0%	NER		
2006		FC CASES 2005 FC 2006 009968	0.060	-21%	CCC	21,185	
2006		FC 2006 009968 FC 2006 094423	9,968 4,423		SEA		
2006		FC 2006 071395	1,395		NWR		
2006	FC 2006 050001	FC 2006 054685	4,685		NER		
		FC CASES 2006				20,471	
2007		FC 2007 009639	9,639		CSC		
2007		FC 2007 094697 FC 2007 071503	4,697 1,503		SEA NWR		
2007		FC 2007 071303	4,236	-10%			
		FC CASES 2007	,		I	20,075	
2008		FC 2008 008328	8,328	-14%			
2008		FC 2008 094744	4,744		SEA		
2008		FC 2008 071537 FC 2008 053932	1,537 3,932		NWR NER		
2000		FC CASES 2008	ა,შა2	-1 70	INCIN	18,541	
2009		FC 2009 007688	7,688	-8%	CSC	.0,0-71	
2009	FC 2009 090001	FC 2009 095036	5,036	6%	SEA		
2009		FC 2009 071730 FC 2009 054399	1,730		NWR NER		
2009		FC 2009 054399 FC CASES 2009	4,399	12%	NER	18,853	
2010		FC 2010 008205	8,205	7%	CSC	.0,000	
2010	FC 2010 090001	FC 2010 095201	5,201		SEA		
2010		FC 2010 071811	1,811		NWR		
2010		FC 2010 054503 FC CASES 2010	4,503	2%	NER	19,720	
2011		FC 2011 008381	8,381	2%	CSC	13,720	
2011	FC 2011 090001	FC 2011 095783	5,783	11%	SEA		
2011		FC 2011 071626	1,626		NWR		
2011		FC 2011 053671 FC CASES 2011	3,671	-18%	NER	19,461	
2012		FC 2012 009446	9,446	13%	CSC	13,401	
2012	FC 2012 090001	FC 2012 095868	5,868	1%	SEA		
2012		FC 2012 071855	1,855		NWR		
2012		FC 2012 054431 FC CASES 2012	4,431	21%	NER	21,600	**Beginning in 2013, FC and FN new case filings will not be
2013		FC 2013 009990	**35,649		CSC	21,000	listed by each individual Clerk of Court location and will be
2013		FC 2013 096955	**		SEA		combined; due to the Electronic Court Record, case numbers
2013		FC 2013 072473	**		NWR		are not issued sequentially; totals were derived from the
2013		FC 2013 055129 FC CASES 2013	**		NER	25 640	Cash Management System. Please note that the beginning
2014		FC 2014 009752	**33,394		CSC	35,649	and ending case numbers are listed.
0011	=0 0011000001	FC 2014 097020	**		SEA		
2014	FC 2014 070001	FC 2014 072570	**	-	NWR		
2014		FC 2014 055306 FC CASES 2014	**		NER	33,394	
2015		FC 2015 009876	**35,623		CSC	33,394	
2015		FC 2015 094956	**		SEA		
2015	FC 2015 070001	FC 2015 072650	**		NWR		
2015		FC 2015 055080	**		NER	05.000	
2016		FC CASES 2015 FC 2016 096900	§§ 36,536	20/	ALL	35,623	§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were
2010		FC CASES 2016	33 00,000	370	/ \L L	36,536	
2017		FC 2017 010672	§§ 36,999	1%	ALL	,	p.sss by superior source to.
	TOTAL	FC CASES 2017			•	36,999	
2018		FC 2018 097475	§§ 35,757	-3%	ALL	0	
2019		FC CASES 2018 FC 2019 098537	§§ 34,276	40/	ALL	35,757	
2019		FC CASES 2019	33 07,210	-4%	IVLL	34,276	
2020		FC 2020 099116	§§ 29,679	-13%	ALL	U-1,21 U	
	TOTAL I	FC CASES 2020				29,679	
2021		FC 2021 096452	§§ 30,637	3%	ALL		
	TOTAL	FC CASES 2021				30,637	
-							
							Family Court with Children involved index and locations are
	TOTAL FA	MILY COURT WITH CH	ILDREN CA	SES:		551,142	current through December 31, 2021.
							<u> </u>

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
			FΔI	MILY COLIE	T WITH N	O CHILDRE	
2001	FN 2001 000001	FN 2001 006222			Film	O OTHEDINE	
							Downtown FN2001-000001 through 2001-006222 are on
2001			2,658	0%	Film		microfilm located at CSC. Southeast FN2001-090001 through 2001-092657 are on microfilm located at CSC.
0000	TOTAL FN CA		0.000	440/	1000	8,880	All EQ and EN decreases to find in 0000 and often are
2002 2002	FN 2002 000001 FN 2002 090001	FN 2002 006889 FN 2002 093184			CSC SEA		All FC and FN documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using
2002		FN 2002 070907			NWR		the OnBase document retrieval application.
	TOTAL FN CA				1	10,980	
2003 2003		FN 2003 006199 FN 2003 093140	6,199 3,140		CSC SEA		
2003		FN 2003 071399			NWR		
	TOTAL FN CA	SES 2003				10,738	
2004		FN 2004 005836	5,836		CSC		
2004		FN 2004 092966 FN 2004 071388	2,966 1,388		SEA NWR		
2004	TOTAL FN CA		1,000	-170	IIII	10,190	
2005		FN 2005 005172	5,172		CSC		
2005		FN 2005 092667	2,667	-10%			
2005 2005		FN 2005 071156 FN 2005 052500	1,156 2,500		NWR NER		
2000	TOTAL FN CA		2,000	070	IVEI	11,495	
2006		FN 2006 005087	5,087		CSC		
2006 2006		FN 2006 092500 FN 2006 071221	2,500 1,221		SEA NWR		
2006		FN 2006 071221 FN 2006 052313	2,313		NER		
	TOTAL FN CA	SES 2006		l	l	11,121	
2007		FN 2007 005283	5,283		CSC		
2007		FN 2007 092533 FN 2007 071246	2,533 1,246		SEA NWR		
2007		FN 2007 052260	2,260		NER		
	TOTAL FN CA				•	11,322	
2008		FN 2008 004657	4,657		CSC		
2008 2008		FN 2008 092388 FN 2008 071198	2,388 1,198		SEA NWR		
2008		FN 2008 052673	2,673		NER		
	TOTAL FN CA				1000	10,916	
2009		FN 2009 004509 FN 2009 093101	4,509 3,101		CSC SEA		
2009		FN 2009 071256	1,256		NWR		
2009		FN 2009 053041	3,041	14%	NER		
2040	TOTAL FN CA		4.555	1 40/	1000	11,907	
2010 2010		FN 2010 004555 FN 2010 093863	4,555 3,863		CSC SEA		
2010		FN 2010 071429	1,429	14%	NWR		
2010		FN 2010 053106	3,106	2%	NER		
2011	TOTAL FN CA FN 2011 000001	SES 2010 FN 2011 004555	4,555	n%	CSC	12,953	
2011		FN 2011 094312	4,312		SEA		
2011		FN 2011 071561	1,561		NWR		
2011	FN 2011 050001 TOTAL FN CA	FN 2011 052669 SES 2011	2,699	-13%	NER	13,127	
2012		FN 2012 005062	5,062		CSC	10,127	
2012	FN 2012 090001	FN 2012 094474	4,474		SEA		
2012		FN 2012 071466 FN 2012 052867	1,466 2,867		NWR NER		1
	TOTAL FN CA	SES 2012				13,869	**Beginning in 2013, FC and FN new case filings will not be
2013		FN 2013 005436	**35,649		CSC		listed by each individual Clerk of Court location and will be
2013	FN 2013 090001	FN 2013 094779	**		SEA		combined; due to the Electronic Court Record, case numbers are not issued sequentially; totals were derived from the Cash
2013		FN 2013 071546 FN 2013 053125	**		NWR NER	1	Management System. Please note that the beginning and ending
2013	TOTAL FN CA			I	II.AFIX	**	case numbers are listed.
2014	FN 2014 000001	FN 2014 005773	**33,394		CSC		
2014		FN 2014 095136	**		SEA		
2014		FN 2014 071774	**		NWR		
2014	FN 2014 050001 TOTAL FN CA	FN 2014 053410 SES 2014	^^	<u> </u>	NER	**	1
2015		FN 2015 005974	**35,623		CSC		
2015	FN 2015 090001	FN 2015 094956	**		SEA		
2015		FN 2015 071829	**		NWR		
2015	FN 2015 050001 TOTAL FN CA	FN 2015 054361	**		NER	**	
2016		FN 2016 095003	§§ 36,536	3%	ALL		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were
	TOTAL FN CA		133 50,000	<u> </u>	ı	**	provided by Superior Court/CTS.
2017	FN 2017 000001	FN 2017 006642	§§ 36,999		ALL	**	
	TOTAL FN CA	SES 2017					

	Re	ginning Case		Ending Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year	26	Number		Number	of Files	Percent	Location		CASE STATUS
2018	FN		FN	2018 096802	§§ 35,757		ALL	**	552 51/1100
2310		TOTAL FN CA			33 00,101		I		
2019	FN		FN	2019 096261	§§ 34,276		ALL	**	•
2019	1 11	TOTAL FN CA			33 07,210		IVLL		
2020	-NI				\$\$ 20 670		TALL	**	
2020	FIN		FN	2020 097950	§§ 29,679		ALL		
		TOTAL FN CA			22 00 007			**	
2021	ͰN			2021 095231	§§ 30,637		ALL	**	
		TOTAL FN CA	SES	2021					
									Family Court with No Children involved index and locations
		TOTAL FAMI	LY (COURT WITH NO C	HILDREN		137,498	are current through December 31, 2021.	
16=-					, 1		COURT A		I
1971			LCA		1,443		CSC	1,443	
1972					3,620	151%			1971.
1973		5064			4,106		CSC	4,106	
1974		9170			3,830		CSC	3,830	
1975		13000			3,330	-13%		3,330	
1976		16330			1,642	-51%		1,642	
1977		17972			1,212	-26%		1,212	
1978		19184			1,094	-10%		1,094	
1979		20278			936	-14%		936	
1980		21214			853	-9%	Film	853	3
1981		22067			886	4%	*		microfiche only at CSC.
1982		22953			902	2%	Film	902	*Douglasses I CA224E0 through I C00 00777
1983		23855 24679			824		Film		*Downtown LCA23459 through LC98-00777 are on
1984					1,136		Film		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1985 1986		25815			1,300 1,347		Film		LC91-90093 are on microfilm located at CSC.
1986		27115 8700001		8701477	1,347		Film Film	1,347	In 1987 Lower Court Appeal cases were put on an automated
1987		8790001		8790174	1,477		Film		In 1987 Lower Court Appeal cases were put on an automated Docket. The first LC case placed on Docket was LC87-00800. The
1907	LU	TOTAL LC CA			174	U%	[r mn	1.651	5 110 0FA 1 1 B 1 1 1007 00400 A 1 11
1988	I C	8800001		8801436	1,436	_3%	Film	1,031	Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are
1988		8890001		8890154	154	-11%			directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at
.500		TOTAL LC CA			104	-1170	1	1,590	http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for access to the Docket.
1989	I C	8900001		8901413	1,413	-2%	Film	1,000	
1989		8990001		8990108	108	-30%			
1303		TOTAL LC CA			100	-50 /0	1	1,521	
1990	LC	9000001		9001334	1,334	-6%	Film	1,021	
1990		9090001		9090091	91	-16%			
.500		TOTAL LC CA			01	1070	1	1,425	
1991	LC	9100001		9101193	1,193	-11%	Film	.,0	
1991		9190001		9190093	93		Film		
		TOTAL LC CA					1	1,286	
1992	LC	9200001		9201275	1,275	7%	Film	.,_50	
1992		9290001		9290089	89		SEA		
		TOTAL LC CA				. 70		1.364	Beginning in 1987 all SEA cases begin with a (9), as in
1993	LC	9300001		9300914	914	-28%	Film	.,	LC87-(9)0001.
1993		9390001		9390096	96		SEA		
		TOTAL LC CA					1	1,010	
1994	LC	9400001		9401064	1,064	16%	Film	-,,-	
1994		9490001		9490130	130		SEA		
		TOTAL LC CA					1	1,194	
1995	LC	9500001		9501071	1,071	1%	Film	,	
1995		9590001		9590150	150		SEA		
		TOTAL LC CA						1,221	
1996	LC	9600001		9601207	1,207	13%	Film	,	1
				****	-,		1	1	ı

Beginning Case Ending Case Mumber of Private Mumber of P		Por	ninning Coco	Ending Case	Number	Growth	I	TOTAL	T				
1996 LC 980001 LC 9900177 177 1996 SEA 1,384 1997 LC 970000 LC 9701207 1,207 34 36 36 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Year	ьeí		•	1		Location		CASE STATUS				
1997 IC		_C						07.020	5/62 5//1105				
1997 C. 9780001 C. 9870009 1.999 98 99 1.994 99 1.999	-				<u> </u>		ļ.	1,384					
TOTAL LC CASES 1987 1,444 Through 1990, cases on Docket are enteredularing 2 diple 1998 1,996													
1998 C	1997 l	_C			7 237	34%	SEA						
1996 C	40001		-		4 000	00/	le:	1,444					
TOTAL LC CASES 1998													
1999 C	1990				209	1470	SEA	1 369	, ,				
1999 CC 9990001 C 99900235 235 13% SEA 1.233	1999	С			998	-9%	CSC	1,300	Terrialituer.				
TOTAL LC CASES 1999													
2000 LC 2000 090001 LC 2000 090075 S75 61% CSC 2,419 2001 LC 2001 090001 LC 2001 0900075 875 61% CSC 2,419 2001 LC 2001 090001 LC 2001 0900075 875 61% CSC 2,419 2001 LC 2001 090001 LC 2001 090109 1800 -5.9% SEA 1,555 2002 LC 2002 000007 LC 2001 090109 1,599							ı	1,233					
TOTAL LC CASES 2009	2000 L	_C	2000 000001		2,229								
2001 LC 2001 0000001 LC 2001 0000001 C 2001 000000000000000000000000000000	2000	LC			190	-19%	SEA						
2001 LC 2001 090001 LC 2001 090016 160 .5% SEA .1,655 Seqinning in 2002, all LC cases are assigned to the CSC. 2002 LC 2002 000001 LC 2002 000001 LC 2002 000001 LC 2002 000001 LC 2003 000001 LC 2004 000001 LC 2005 000091 941 396 CSC 341 2005 LC 2005 000001 LC 2005 000091 941 396 CSC 341 2005 LC 2005 000001 LC 2005 0000091 September 2005 Sep	2221					0.10/		2,419					
TOTAL LC CASES 2001													
2002 LC 2002 0000001 LC 2002 000001 LC 2003 000001 LC 2004 000001 LC 2004 000001 LC 2004 000001 LC 2004 000001 LC 2005 0000001 LC 2005 000001 LC 2005 000001 LC 2005 0000001 LC 2005 00000000000000000000000000000000	2001	LC			180	-5%	SEA	1.055	Reginaling in 2002, all I C access are assigned to the CSC				
TOTAL LC CASES 2002	2002	1.0			764	-13%	CSC	1,055					
2003 1002 1002 2003 20000 1 1003 2004 20000 1 1003 2004 20000 1 1003 2004 20000 1 1003 2004 20000 1 1003 2004 20000 1 1003 2005 20000 1 1003 2005 20000 1 1003 2005 20000 1 1003 2005 20000 1 1003 2005 20000 1 1003 2005 20000 1 1003 2005 20000 1 1003 2005 20000 1 1003 2005 20000 1 1003 2005 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 2000000 2000000 200000000	2002	LO			704	-1070	000	764					
TOTAL LC CASES 2003	2003	LC			1.599	109%	CSC	704	•				
TOTAL LC CASES 2004 941 3% (SC					,			1,599					
	2004	LC	2004 000001	LC 2004 000913	913	-43%	CSC						
TOTAL LC CASES 2006								913					
1006 LC 2006 000001 LC 2006 000092 892 5% CSC	2005	LC			941	3%	CSC						
TOTAL LC CASES 2006 827 7% CSC TOTAL LC CASES 2007 2000 LC 2009 000001 LC 2009 000088 888 7% CSC 827 2008 000001 LC 2009 0000088 983 11% CSC 888 2009 LC 2009 000001 LC 2009 0000088 983 11% CSC 983 2010 LC 2010 000001 LC 2010 000008 985 985 985 2011 LC 2010 000001 LC 2010 000008 985 985 2011 LC 2011 000001 LC 2011 0000						=0/		941					
	2006	LC			892	-5%	CSC	000					
TOTAL LC CASES 2009	2007	1.0			027	70/.	CSC	892					
2008 LC 2008 000001 LC 2009 00088 888 7% CSC 70TAL LC CASES 2008 983 11% CSC 983 2010 LC 2010 000001 LC 2010 000985 985 0% CSC 983 2011 LC 2011 000001 LC 2010 00081 C 2010 000985 985 0% CSC 985 2011 LC 2011 000001 LC 2011 000816 816 -17% CSC 70TAL LC CASES 2010 985 2012 LC 2012 000001 LC 2013 000001 LC 2014 000001 LC 2014 000001 LC 2014 000001 LC 2015 0000001 LC 2015 0000000000000000000000000000000000	2007	LC			021	-1 70	CSC	827					
TOTAL LC CASES 2008	2008	LC			888	7%	CSC	021					
2009 C 2009 000001 C 2009 000983 983 11% CSC 583								888					
Description C 2010 000001 LC 2010 000985 985 0% CSC TOTAL LC CASES 2010 816 -17% CSC -13% CSC -120 000001 LC 2011 000001 LC 2012 0000712 712 -13% CSC -120 000001 LC 2012 0000712 712 -13% CSC -120 1000001 LC 2013 000001 LC 2013 000001 LC 2013 000001 LC 2014 000001 LC 2014 000001 LC 2014 000001 LC 2015 000001 LC 2016 000001 LC 2017 000503 \$\$ 503 -7% CSC -70 0000001 LC 2017 000503 \$\$ 503 -7% CSC -70 0000001 LC 2018 1020 000001 LC 2019 114286 \$\$ 443 -44% CSC -70 0000001 LC 2019 000001 LC 2020 0000001 LC 2020 000001 LC 2020 0000001 LC 2020 000001 LC 2020 00001 LC 2020 000001 LC 2020 00001 LC 202	2009	LC	2009 000001	LC 2009 000983	983	11%	CSC						
TOTAL LC CASES 2010								983					
2011 LC 2011 00001 LC 2011 000816 816 -17% CSC TOTAL LC CASES 2011 712 -13% CSC -13% -13% -13% CSC -13% -1	2010	LC			985	0%	CSC						
TOTAL LC CASES 2011	0044				040	470/	000	985					
2012 LC 2012 000001 LC 2012 000712 712 -13% CSC TOTAL LC CASES 2012 712 -13% CSC TOTAL LC CASES 2013 C 2013 000001 LC 2013 **117277 781 10% CSC 781 -10% CSC -10% C	2011	LC			816	-17%	CSC	916					
TOTAL LC CASES 2012	2012	I.C.			712	-13%	CSC	010					
2013 LC 2013 000001 LC 2013 **117277 781 10% CSC 781 100 781 100 CSC 781	2012				7 12	1070	000	712					
2014 LC 2014 000001 LC 2014 000627 627 -20% CSC	2013	LC			781	10%	CSC						
TOTAL LC CASES 2014			TOTAL LC CA	SES 2013			•	781	numbers. Several blocks of case numbers were not issued				
2015 LC 2015 000001 LC 2015 **000543 543 -13% CSC	2014	LC			627	-20%	CSC		for this category.				
TOTAL LC CASES 2015	0045				540	100/	1000	627					
2016 LC 2016 000001 LC 2016 111542 \$\\$ 538 -1% CSC 538 \$\\$ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS. \$\\$ 2017 CD 2017 000001 LC 2017 000503 \$\\$ 503 -7% CSC	2015	LC			543	-13%	CSC	F42					
TOTAL LC CASES 2016 538 \$\$ 2016 538 \$\$ 2016 C 2017 000001 LC 2017 000503 \$\$ 503 -7% CSC TOTAL LC CASES 2017 503 2018 LC 2018 1000001 LC 2018 122060 \$\$ 432 -14% CSC TOTAL LC CASES 2018 432 -14% CSC TOTAL LC CASES 2018 432 -14% CSC TOTAL LC CASES 2018 432 -14% CSC TOTAL LC CASES 2019 443 2020 LC 2020 000001 LC 2019 114286 \$\$ 443 3% ALL TOTAL LC CASES 2019 443 2020 LC 2020 000001 LC 2020 000380 \$\$ 380 -14% ALL TOTAL LC CASES 2020 380 2021 LC 2021 000001 LC 2021 118237 \$\$ 174 -54% ALL TOTAL LC CASES 2021 174 -54% ALL 174 -54% ALL 174 -54% ALL 175 -54%	2016	1.0			88 538	10/	CSC	543	-				
2017 LC 2017 000001 LC 2017 000503 \$\$ 503 -7% CSC	2010	LC			33 000	-170	CSC	538	· ·				
TOTAL LC CASES 2017 503	2017	LC			§§ 503	-7%	CSC						
TOTAL LC CASES 2018		_			,		•	503	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
2019 LC 2019 000001 LC 2019 114286 \$\$ 443 3% ALL TOTAL LC CASES 2019 443 443 443 443 443 443 445	2018	LC			§§ 432	-14%	CSC						
TOTAL LC CASES 2019								432					
2020 LC 2020 000001 LC 2020 000380 \$\\$ 380 -14\% ALL	2019	LC			§§ 443	3%	ALL		-				
TOTAL LC CASES 2020 380	2020	1.0			1000 22	4.40/	I A I I	443					
C 2021 1000001 LC 2021 118237 §§ 174 -54% ALL 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 174 175 CJ 1 CJ 600 600 0% Film 600 1976 CJ 601 CJ 1021 421 -30% Film 421 1977 CJ 1022 CJ 1337 316 -25% Film 316 1978 CJ 1338 CJ 1611 274 -13% Film 274 1979 CJ 1612 CJ 2000 389 42% Film 389 180	2020	LU			33 200	-14%	ALL	200					
TOTAL LC CASES 2021 174	2021	LC			88 174	-54%	ALI	360	1				
Lower Court Appeal index and location is current through December 31, 2021. December 31, 2021. December 31, 2021. December 31, 2021. December 31, 2021. December 31, 2021. December 31, 2021. December 31, 2021. December 31, 2021. December 31, 2021. December	2021				33 '' ⁻	-0-7/0	ı, «	174					
TOTAL LC CASES 64,367 December 31, 2021.								· · · ·	1				
TOTAL LC CASES 64,367 December 31, 2021.													
LOWER COURT ASSESSMENT 1975 CJ													
1975 CJ 1 CJ 600 600 0% Film 600 1976 CJ 601 CJ 1021 421 -30% Film 421 1977 CJ 1022 CJ 1337 316 -25% Film 316 1978 CJ 1338 CJ 1611 274 -13% Film 274 1979 CJ 1612 CJ 2000 389 42% Film 389 attorney: Lower Court Assessment cases are available on microfiche only at CSC. microfiche only at CSC.				TOTAL LC CASE	S	64,367	December 31, 2021.						
1975 CJ 1 CJ 600 600 0% Film 600 1976 CJ 601 CJ 1021 421 -30% Film 421 1977 CJ 1022 CJ 1337 316 -25% Film 316 1978 CJ 1338 CJ 1611 274 -13% Film 274 1979 CJ 1612 CJ 2000 389 42% Film 389 attorney: Lower Court Assessment cases are available on microfiche only at CSC. microfiche only at CSC.	I OWED COURT ASSESSMENT												
1976 CJ 601 CJ 1021 421 -30% Film 421 1977 CJ 1022 CJ 1337 316 -25% Film 316 1978 CJ 1338 CJ 1611 274 -13% Film 274 1979 CJ 1612 CJ 2000 389 42% Film 389 attorney: Lower Court Assessment cases are available on microfiche only at CSC.	1075	<u> </u>	41	CI so					Т				
1977 CJ1022 CJ1337316-25% Film316These cases are receipts of payments for court ordered1978 CJ1338 CJ1611274-13% Film2741979 CJ1612 CJ200038942% Film389attorney's fees for a public defender or a court appointed attorney. Lower Court Assessment cases are available on microfiche only at CSC.													
1978 CJ1338 CJ1611274-13% Film274attorney's fees for a public defender or a court appointed1979 CJ1612 CJ200038942% Film389attorney. Lower Court Assessment cases are available on microfiche only at CSC.						-25%	Film						
1979 CJ 1612 CJ 2000 389 42% Film 389 attorney. Lower Court Assessment cases are available on microfiche only at CSC.													
microfiche only at CSC.													
TOTAL LOWER COURT ASSESSMENT CASES: 2,000									microfiche only at CSC.				
			TOTAL LC	WER COURT ASSES	SMENT CAS	SES:		2,000					

Year	Beginning Case Number		Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
					MEN	TAL HEAL	.TH	
1917		МН	454	454	0%	Film	454	MH1 through MH98-01674 are on film. Please refer to
1918 1919			498 578	44 80	-90% 82%		44 80	CARS for roll number and frame location.
1920			686	108	35%		108	
1921		МН	767	81	-25%		81	
1922 1923			866 943	99 77	22% -22%		99 77	
1924			1022	79	3%	Film		Before 1910, Mental Health cases were filed as Probate
1925			1111	89	13%			cases. Some of these historical records are in the "Matter
1926 1927			1187 1290	76 103	-15% 36%			of Alleged Insanity" or contained "Certificates of Lunacy and Commitment". These records are not numbered, and
1928	MH 1291	МН	1408	118	15%	Film		have been microfilmed. The original records have been
1929 1930			1568 1732	160 164	36%	Film Film	160 164	transferred to the State Archives.
1931			1888	156		Film	156	
1932			2023	135	-13%		135	
1933 1934			2174 2331	151 157	12% 4%	Film	151 157	
1935	MH 2332	МН	2542	211	34%	Film	211	
1936 1937			2706 2869	164 163	-22% -1%		164 163	
1937			3023	154	-1%	Film	154	
1939			3218	195		Film	195	
1940 1941			3406 3611	188 205	-4% 9%	Film Film	188 205	
1942			3830	219		Film	219	
1943			4064	234		Film	234	
1944 1945			4336 4672	272 336	16% 24%		272 336	
1946			5085	413	23%		413	
1947			5505	420		Film	420	
1948 1949			5994 6522	489 528	16% 8%	Film	489 528	
1950	MH 6523	МН	7250	728	38%	Film	728	
1951			7946	696		Film	696	
1952 1953			8682 9172	736 490	-33%	Film Film	736 490	All Mental Health cases are confidential. This is
1954	MH 9173	МН	9638	466	-5%	Film		pursuant to Superior Court Administrative Order 96-007
1955 1956			10131 10587	493 456		Film Film	493 456	signed by Judge Robert Myers on January 23, 1996. This order is retroactive.
1957			11078	491		Film	491	order is retroactive.
1958			11602	524		Film	524	
1959 1960			12212 12971	610 759	16% 24%		610 759	
1961	MH 12972	МН	13720	749	-1%	Film	749	
1962 1963			14528 15250	808 722	8% -11%	Film	808 722	
1964				749		Film	749	
1965	MH 16000	МН	16890	891	19%		891	
1966 1967				768 860	-14% 12%		768 860	
1968				971	13%		971	
1969			20447	958	-1%		958	
1970 1971			21597 22949	1,150 1,352	20% 18%		1,150 1,352	
1972	MH 22950	МН	24030	1,081	-20%	Film	1,081	
1973 1974				1,141 789	6% -31%	Film	1,141 789	
1974				641	-31%		641	
1976	MH 26602	МН	27061	460	-28%	Film	460	
1977 1978			27428 27787	367 359	-20% -2%		367 359	
1979				450	25%		450	
1980			28542	305	-32%			In 1987 Mental Health cases were put on an automated Docket.
1981 1982			28991 29381	449 390	47% -13%		449 390	The first MH case to be placed on Docket was MH87-00035. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997.
1983	MH 29382	МН	29767	386	-1%	Film	386	Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address
1984			30245 30726	478	24%		478 481	at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for access to the Docket.
1985 1986			30726 31165	481 439		Film Film	481 439	
1987	MH 8700001		8700578	578	32%	Film	578	
1988			8800474	474	-18%		474 577	
1989 1990				577 958	22% 66%		577 958	
1991	MH 9100001	МН	9101349	1,349	41%	Film	1,349	
1992	MH 9200001	МН	9201545	1,545	15%	Film	1,545	

	Bec	ginning Case		Ending Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year		Number		Number	of Files	Percent	Location	CASES	CASE STATUS
1993	МН	9300001	МН	9301702	1,702		Film	1,702	
1994		9400001		9401960	1,960		Film	1,960	1
1995		9500001	МН	9501716	1,716	-12%			Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits
1996		9600001		9601052	1,052	-39%			for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with
1997		9700001		9701475	1,475		Film		2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits
1998		9800001		9801674	1,674		Film		for the remainder.
1999		9900001		9901699	1,699		CSC	1,699	lor are remainable
2000		2000 000001		2000 001601	1,601		CSC	1,601	
2001		2001 000001		2001 001658	1,658		CSC	1,658	
2002		2002 000001		2002 001990	1,990		CSC	1,990	
2003		2003 000001		2003 002282	2,282		CSC	2,282	
2003		2004 000001		2004 002005	2,005		CSC	2,005	
2005		2005 000001		2005 001991	1,991	-1%		1,991	
2006		2006 000001		2006 002463	2,463		CSC	2,463	
2007		2007 000001		2007 002230	2,403		CSC	2,463	
2007		2008 000001		2008 002953	2,230		CSC	2,230	
2009		2009 000001		2009 003266	3,266		CSC	3,266	
2010						-11%			
		2010 000001 2011 000001		2010 002913	2,913			2,913	***************************************
2011		2012 000001		2011 003620 2012 004190	3,620		CSC		**Please note the beginning and ending case numbers.
2012					4,190 **4,058				Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this
2013		2013 000001		2013 004127	,		CSC		category.
2014		2014 000001		2014 004369	**4,200 **4,139		CSC	4,200	CC 0040 NOTE: Desire :
2015		2015 000001		2015 004944	**4,138		CSC		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were
2016		2016 000001		2016 006229	§§ 5,288		CSC		provided by Superior Court/CTS.
2017		2017 000001		2017 007547	§§ 6,723		CSC	6,723	
2018		2018 000001		2018 008059	§§ 8,059		CSC	8,059	
2019		2019 000001		2019 050005	§§ 8,584	7%		8,584	
2020		2020 000001		2020 010090	§§ 9,302	8%		9,302	
2021	МН	2021 000001	МН	2021 200307	§§ 10,107	9%	CSC	10,107	
									Mental Health index and location is current through
		TO	TAL I	MENTAL HEALTH	December 31, 2021.				
					MEI	NTALLY IL	L SEXUAL	LY VIOLEN	Т
2003	MS	2003 000001	MS	2003 000019	19	0	CSC	19	Administrative Order 2003-035 ordered this new case type
2004	MS	2004 000001	MS	2004 000024	24	26%	CSC	24	for M entally ill S exually Violent persons, denoted by the alpha
2005	MS	2005 000001	MS	2005 000029	29	21%	CSC	29	prefix MS. This case type started on May 1, 2003, and follows
2006	MS	2006 000001	MS	2006 000016	16	-45%	CSC	16	the same administrative case file confidentiality requirements,
2007		2007 000001	MS	2007 000021	21	31%	CSC	21	case processing and business rules as are currently applied
2008		2008 000001	MS	2008 000019	19	-10%	CSC	19	to MH case types.
2009		2009 000001	MS	2009 000019	19	0%	CSC	19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2010		2010 000001	MS	2010 000023	23	21%	CSC		2011-Minute entry Order received designating the following CV
2011		2011 000001	MS	2011 000011	11	-52%	CSC		cases transferred to MS case type: CV1999-010375; MS1999-
2012		2012 000001	MS	2012 000005	5	-55%	CSC		000001, CV1997-015634;MS1997-000001, CV1999-
2013		2013 000001	MS	2013 000012	12	140%	CSC		008811; MS1999-000002 , CV2000-004613; MS2000-000001 ,
2014		2014 000001	MS	2014 000009	9	-25%	CSC		CV2000-006593; MS2000-000002 , CV2000-009501; MS2000-
2015		2015 000001	MS	2015 000010	10	11%	CSC	10	000003, CV2002-020221;MS2002-000001.
2016		2016 000001	MS	2016 000007	§§ 7	-30%	CSC	7	
2017		2017 000001	MS	2017 000001	§§ 1	-86%	CSC	1	§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were
2018		2018 000001	MS	2018 000002	§§ 2	100%	CSC	2	
2019		2019 000001	MS	2019 000005	§§ 5	150%	CSC	5	provided by Superior Count/C13.
2019		2020 000001	MS	2020 000005	§§ 5	0%	CSC	5	
2020		2021 000001	MS	2021 000003	§§ 4	-20%	CSC	4	
2021	1410	_UZ 1 UUUUU 1	1410	2021 000004	33 →	-ZU /0	300		
-									
									Mental III Sexually Violent index and location is current
-		TOTAL MEN	ΤΔΙΙ	Y ILL SEXUALLY	VIOI FNT C	CASES:		241	through December 31, 2021.
		. O IAL WILL		. ALL SEASALLI					unough December 51, 2021.
-						1	PROBATE		
1884	Р	65	Р	78	14	*	Film	4.4	P1 through P64 range from 1871 through 1899. They were
1885				86	8	*	Film		not assigned consecutively in date order, however they were
		79 87		93	7	*			filmed in numerical order. Additionally, letters were used to
1886						*	Film		designate some of these cases, such as "1-B". These files
1887		94		121	28	*	Film		may be viewed on microfilm at CSC.
1888		122		145	24	*	Film		l -
1889		146		168	23	*	Film		In early Probate files, wills were not filed with the case. There
1890		169		181	13		Film		is no historical information as to the location of the wills or
1891		182		197	16	*	Film		what became of them, however there is some indication they
1892		198		227	30	*	Film		were "kept in a separate book for that purpose" and later
1893		228		262	35	*	Film		filmed. That film was inadvertently destroyed in 1984.
1894		263	Р	299	37	*	Film	37	
1895	Р	300	Р	321	22	*	Film	22	
1896		322	Р	371	50	*	Film	50	
1897		372		417	46	*	Film	46	1
1898		418		491	74	*	Film	74	1
1899		492		541	50	*	Film	50	1
1900		542		650	109	*	Film		Additionally, there is written information that sometime in the
1901		651		724	74	*	Film		mid 1970's a number of Probate files and related materials
1001	·	001		127	1-1		į. m.ii		

	Beginning Case	Ending Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year 1902	Number	Number 786	of Files	Percent *	Location		CASE STATUS were unintentionally destroyed. There is no actual
1902			62 103	*	Film Film		documentation for the information and only second-hand
1904			81	*	Film	81	
1905			81	*	Film	81	
1906			84	*	Film	84	
1907 1908			116 117	*	Film Film	116 117	
1909			114	*	Film	114	
1910			103	*	Film	103	
1911			114	*	Film	114	
1912			134	*	Film		In July 1997, original Probate cases P1 through P1833
1913 1914			170 153	*	Film Film		were transferred to the Arizona State Archives for historical retention. These files are also available on film at CSC.
1915			151	*	Film	151	4
1916			392	*	Film	392	
1917			1	*	Film	1	
1918			263	*	Film	263	4
1919 1920			343 430	*	Film Film		Adoptions were filed in Probate from 1910 to the mid 1940's. Many adoption matters have been removed from
1921			430	*	Film		the Probate files and Probate Docket Books and stored
1922	Р	Р		*	Film		separately. However, many Probate rolls do contain
1923		Р		*	Film	0	adoptions and are not for public viewing. Consult CSC
1924		P		*	Film	0	public records staff for assistance with this matter.
1925 1926		P P		*	Film Film	0	
1926		P		*	Film	0	
1928		Р		*	Film	0	
1929		P 7585		*	Film	0	
1930			575	*	Film	575	
1931 1932			595 534	-10%	Film	595 534	
1933			579		Film	579	
1934			632		Film	632	
1935			655		Film	655	
1936			760		Film	760	
1937 1938			797 752		Film Film	797 752	
1939			763		Film		The actual count reflected in this index was generated by
1940		P 15010	783		Film		an audit and count of the historical Probate files.
1941			857		Film	857	
1942 1943			996	16%	Film	996	
1943			1,014 1,193	18%		1,014 1,193	
1945		P 20283	1,213		Film	1,213	4
1946	P 20284	P 21672	1,389	15%	Film	1,389	
1947			1,266		Film	1,266	
1948 1949			1,371 1,387		Film Film	1,371 1,387	
1949			1,307		Film	1,367	
1951			1,616	14%		1,616	
1952	P 28730	P 30568	1,839	14%	Film	1,839	
1953			1,803		Film	1,803	4
1954			1,816		Film	1,816	
1955 1956			1,996 2,052		Film Film	1,996 2,052	4
1957			2,285	11%		2,285	
1958	P 40521	P 42964	2,444	7%	Film	2,444	
1959			2,609	7%	Film	2,609	4
1960			2,885		Film	2,885	
1961 1962			2,977 3,181		Film Film	2,977 3,181	
1963			3,319		Film	3,319	
1964	P 57936	P 61334	3,399	2%	Film	3,399	4
1965			2,889	-15%		2,889	4
1966			4,519	56%		4,519	
1967 1968			3,864 3,903	-14% 1%	Film	3,864 3,903	4
1969			4,315	11%		4,315	
1970			4,392		Film		When the Probate cases were filmed in the early 1970's
1971	P 85217	P 89654	4,438	1%	Film	4,438	many files were declared active at the time of filming. These
1972			4,344		Film		active files were not filmed and remained in the file room for
1973 1974			4,503 3,499	4% -22%	Film		filming at a later date. Sometime in the early 1980's the files were believed to have been filmed and were inadvertently
1974			3,499		Film	3,499	destroyed without ever having been filmed.
1976			3,768		Film	3,768	
1977	P 109626	P 113415	3,790	1%	Film	3,790	
1070	P 113416	P 117485	4,070	7%	Film	4,070	
1978 1979	P 117486		4,177		Film	4 4	Downtown P1 through PB97-05332 have been filmed and are

	Beginning Ca	se	Ending	Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year	Number		Numb	er	of Files	Percent	Location	CASES	CASE STATUS
1980	P 121	1663	Р	125903	4,241	2%	Film	4,241	available for viewing at CSC. Southeast PB87-90001 through
1981	P 125	904	Р	130380	4,477	6%	Film		PB93-90957 have been filmed and are available for viewing
1982	P 130	381	Р	134896	4,516	1%	Film	4,516	at CSC.
1983	P 134	1897	Р	139321	4,425	-2%	Film	4,425	
1984	P 139	322	Р	143892	4,571	3%	Film	4,571	
1985	P 143	3893	Р	149258	5,366	17%	Film	5,366	Beginning in 1987 all SEA cases begin with a (9), as in
1986	P 149	259	Р	153605	4,347	-19%	Film	4,347	PB87-(9)0001.
1987	PB 8700	0001	PB	8704424	4,424	2%	Film		l ' '
1987	PB 8790	0001	PB	8790736	736	0%	Film		In 1987, Probate cases were put on an automated Docket.
		В СА	SES 1987					5,160	The first PB case placed on Docket was PB87-2300. The first
1988	PB 8800	0001	PB	8804464	4,464	1%	Film		PB SEA case placed on Docket was PB87-90400. Access to
1988	PB 8890	0001	PB	8890840	840	14%	Film		the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997.
	TOTAL PI	В СА	SES 1988					5,304	Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page
1989				8904463	4,463	0%	Film	,	address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for access
1989				8990845	845	1%			to the Docket.
			SES 1989					5,308	
1990		0001		9004624	4,624	4%	Film	-,	
1990				9090893	893	6%			
			SES 1990					5,517	
1991				9104555	4,555	-1%	Film	2,011	
1991				9190831	831	-7%	Film		
			SES 1991	0.0000.		.,,		5,386	
1992		0001		9204832	4,832	6%	Film	2,222	
1992				9290891	891		Film		
			SES 1992					5,723	
1993				9304937	4,937	2%	Film	5,125	
1993	PB 9390			9390957	957		Film		
			SES 1993					5,894	
1994				9405054	5,054	2%	Film	2,22	
1994				9491088	1,088		FT2		
			SES 1994		1,000			6,142	
1995		0001		9505087	5,087	1%	Film	-,::=	
1995				9591186	1,186		FT2		
			SES 1995	0001100	.,	0,0		6,273	
1996				9604057	4,057	-20%	Film	5,210	
1996				9691082	1,082		CSC		
			SES 1996	000.002	.,002		000	5,139	
1997				9705332	5,332	31%	Film	5,100	
1997				9791011	1,011		CSC		
.001			SES 1997	3.01011	1,011	. 70		6,343	1
1998				9805035	5,035	-6%	FT2	0,0-10	1
1998				9891095	1,095		CSC		
.555			SES 1998	300 1000	1,000	570		6,130	1
1999		0001		9905321	5,321	6%	CSC	3,.30	Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits
1999				9991138	1,138				for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with
1000			SES 1999	3001100	1,100	770	300	6 459	2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits
	IVIALII						l	0,700	12000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits

Year	Beg	ginning Case Number	E	Inding Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE ST
2000	PB	2000 000001	PB	2000 005409	5,409	2%	CSC		for the remainder.
2000	РВ	2000 090001	PB	2000 091091	1,091	-4%	CSC		
0004		TOTAL PB CA	_		5.000	40/	000	6,500	
2001	PB PB	2001 000001	PB PB	2001 005602 2001 000965	5,602 965	-12%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 a
2001	ГБ	TOTAL PB CA			900	-1270	030	6.567	active cases from 1994 through be retrieved within the Clerk's O
2002	РВ	2002 000001	PB	2002 005554	5,554	-1%	CSC	0,007	document retrieval application.
2002	РВ	2002 090001	PB	2002 091077	1,077	12%	CSC		1
2002	РВ	2002 070001	PB	2002 070409	409	0%	NWR		
0000		TOTAL PB CA	_		5.400	00/	000	7,040	
	PB PB	2003 000001	PB PB	2003 005128 2003 090916	5,128 916	-8% -15%	CSC		
	PB	2003 070001	PB	2003 070786	786		NWR		
		TOTAL PB CA						6,830	
	PB	2004 000001	PB	2004 004911	4,911		CSC		
	РВ	2004 090001	PB	2004 091055	1,055		SEA		
2004	PB	2004 070001	PB	2004 070908	908	16%	NWR	C 074	
2005	PB	TOTAL PB CA 2005 000001	PB	2005 003551	3,551	-28%	CSC	6,874	
	PB	2005 090001	PB	2005 091048	1,048		SEA		
2005		2005 070001	PB	2005 070837	837		NWR		
2005	PB	2005 050001	PB	2005 050889	889	0%	NER		
		TOTAL PB CA						6,325	
2006		2006 000001	PB	2006 003521	3,521		CSC		
2006 2006	PB PB	2006 090001 2006 070001	PB PB	2006 090851 2006 070887	851 887	-19% 6%	NWR		
	PB	2006 070001	PB	2006 070887	923		NER		
2000		TOTAL PB CA			020	170	i v E i v	6,182	
2007	PB	2007 000001	PB	2007 003377	3,377	-4%	CSC	-,	
	PB	2007 090001	PB	2007 090843	843		SEA		
2007	PB	2007 070001	PB	2007 070896	896		NWR		
2007	PB	2007 050001 TOTAL PB CA	PB	2007 051026	1,026	11%	NER	6,142	
2008	PB	2008 000001	PB	2008 003082	3,082	-9%	CSC	0,142	
	PB	2008 090001	PB	2008 091087	1,087		SEA		
	РВ	2008 070001	РВ	2008 070791	791		NWR		
2008	PB	2008 050001	PB	2008 051116	1,116	9%	NER		
0000		TOTAL PB CA			0.040	4.40/	000	6,076	
	PB PB	2009 000001 2009 090001	PB PB	2009 002642 2009 090906	2,642 906	-14% -17%			
	PB	2009 070001	PB	2009 090900	785		NWR		
	PB	2009 050001	PB	2009 051155	1,155		NER		
		TOTAL PB CA	SES	2009			l.	5,488	
2010		2010 000001	PB	2010 002488	2,488		CSC		
2010		2010 090001	PB PB	2010 091225	1,225		SEA		
2010	PB	2010 070001 2010 050001	PB	2010 070814 2010 051142	814 1,142		NWR NER		
2010	1.0	TOTAL PB CA			1,172	-170	INLIX	5,669	
2011	PB	2011 000001	PB	2011 002461	2,461	-1%	CSC	-,,,,,,	
2011	PB	2011 090001	PB	2011 091422	1,422	16%	SEA		
	PB	2011 070001	PB	2011 070897	897		NWR		
2011	PB	2011 050001	PB	2011 051346	1,346	18%	NER	0.400	
2012	PB	2012 000001	PB	2011 2012 002543	2,543	20/	CSC	6,126	
	PB	2012 000001	PB	2012 002543	1,420		SEA		
	PB	2012 070001	PB	2012 070960	960		NWR		
	PB	2012 050001	PB	2012 051367	1,367		NER		
		TOTAL PB CA						6,290	
	PB	2013 000001	PB	2013 002951	‡‡ 7,829		CSC		‡‡ Beginning in 2013, new case filir
	PB PB	2013 090001 2013 070001	PB PB	2013 091560 2013 071113	##		SEA NWR		individual Clerk of Court location. D
2013	PB	2013 070001	PB	2013 071113	##		NER		Record, case numbers are not issue
20.0		TOTAL PB CA						7,829	
2014	PB	2014 000001	PB	2014 003622	‡‡ 8,263		CSC		beginning and ending case number
2014	PB	2014 090001	PB	2014 091579	‡‡		SEA		
2014		2014 070001	PB	2014 070855	‡‡		NWR		
2014	PB	2014 050001	PB	2014 050538	##		NER	0.000	
2015	PB	TOTAL PB CA 2015 000001	PB	2014 2015 003622	‡‡ 8627		CSC	8,263	
	PB	2015 000001	PB	2015 003022	++0027 ‡‡		SEA		
2015		2015 070001	PB	2015 070855	##		NWR		
2015		2015 050001	PB	2015 050538	##		NER		
		TOTAL PB CA						8,627	1
2016	РВ	2016 000001	PB	2016 091875	§§ 8,692	1%	ALL		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and
		TOTAL PB CA						8,692	provided by Superior Court/CTS
	_								
2017	РВ	2017 000001 TOTAL PB CA	PB	2017 003888	§§ 9,569	10%	ALL	9,569	

CASE STATUS

Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all ctive cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can e retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase

Beginning in 2013, new case filings will not be listed by each dividual Clerk of Court location. Due to the Electronic Court cord, case numbers are not issued sequentially; totals were rived from the Case Management System. Please note the ginning and ending case numbers.

§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were ovided by Superior Court/CTS.

I	Be	ginning Case		Ending Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year		Number	-	Number	of Files	Percent	Locatio		CASE STATUS
2018	PB	2018 000001	PB	2018 095265	§§ 9,387		ALL		
		TOTAL PB CA	SES					9,387	
2019	PB	2019 000001		2019 094710	§§ 9,599	2%	ALL		
		TOTAL PB CA			00 0 4 40	=0/		9,599	
2020	PB	2020 000001		2020 094028	§§ 9,149	-5%	ALL	0.440	
2024	PB	TOTAL PB CA 2021 000001			§§ 10,869	19%	ALI	9,149	
2021	РБ	TOTAL PB CA			99 10,009	19%	ALL	10,869	
		TOTALTEGA	OLO	2021				10,003	
									Probate index and locations are current through December
			TOT	AL PROBATE CAS	SES:			377,694	31, 2021.
								•	
1988	СТ	8800001	СТ	8800011	11		MALL TA Film		ST files are transferred based an activity. Check ET2 for
1989		8900001		8900032	32	191%			ST files are transferred based on activity. Check FT2 for current location information.
1990		9000001		9000061	61		Film	61	odiffere foodilori finormation.
1991		9100001		9100081	81		Film	81	All Small Tax cases are on an automated Docket. Access
1992		9200001	ST	9200078	78		Film		to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997.
1993		9300001		9301366	1,366	1651%			Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page
1994		9400001		9401164	1,164	-15%			address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for access
1995 1996		9500001		9502502	2,502	115%			to the Docket.
1996		9600001 9700001		9601946 9701019	1,946 1,019	-22% -48%			ST88-00001 through ST96-01946 have been filmed and are available for viewing at CSC.
1997		9800001		9800579	1,019 579	-48% -43%		1,019	are available for viewilly at CSC.
1999		9900001		9900419	419	-28%		419	
2000		2000 000001		2000 000399	399		CSC		All Small Tax documents filed in 2002 and after are
2001		2001 000001		2001 000280	280	-30%			imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using
2002	ST	2002 000001	ST	2002 000314	314	12%	CSC		the OnBase document retrieval application.
2003		2003 000001		2003 000261	261	-17%		261	
2004		2004 000001		2004 000370	370		CSC	370	
2005		2005 000001	ST	2005 000155	155	-58%		155	
2006		2006 000001	ST	2006 000158	158 309		CSC	158 309	
2007 2008		2007 000001 2008 000001	ST	2007 000309 2008 000943	943	205%		943	
2009		2009 000001	ST	2009 001844	1,844		CSC	1,844	
2010		2010 000001	ST	2010 001281	1,281	-31%		1,281	
2011		2011 000001	ST	2011 000946	946	-26%			**Please note the beginning and ending case numbers.
2012	ST	2012 000001	ST	2012 000841	841	-11%	CSC		Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this
2013		2013 000001	ST	**2013 000797	**1002		CSC	1,002	category.
2014		2014 000001	ST	**2014 000653	**627	-37%		627	
2015		2015 000001		**2015 000614	**335	-37%		335	
2016		2016 000001	ST	**2016 000580	§§ 332	-37% -17%			§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were
2017		2017 000001 2018 000001	ST	2017 000180 2018 000578	§§ 276 §§ 300		CSC	276 300	provided by Superior Court/CTS.
2019		2019 000001		2019 000572	§§ 238	-21%		238	
2020		2020 000001		2020 000697	§§ 171	-28%		171	
2021		2021 000001		2021 000077	§§ 122	-29%		122	
							•	•	
			тот	AL SMALL TAX FI	I EQ.			20.762	Small Tax index and location is current through December 31, 2021.
				AL OWALL TAXTI				20,702	01, 2021.
							CH WAR		
1982			SW	81	81	0%	FT2	0.4	
1983		82	CIAI	286	205	4500/	ILTO	81	
1984						153%		205	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself
1005		287	SW	434	148	-28%	FT2	205 148	
1985	SW	287 435	SW SW	434 695	148 261	-28% 76%	FT2 FT2	205 148 261	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself
1986	SW SW	287 435 696	SW SW	434 695 922	148 261 227	-28% 76% -13%	FT2 FT2 FT2	205 148 261 227	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated
1986 1987	SW SW	287 435 696 8700001	SW SW SW	434 695 922 8700311	148 261 227 311	-28% 76% -13% 37%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2	205 148 261 227 311	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-
1986 1987 1988	SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001	SW SW SW SW	434 695 922 8700311 8800324	148 261 227 311 324	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2	205 148 261 227	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-
1986 1987	SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001	SW SW SW SW SW	434 695 922 8700311	148 261 227 311	-28% 76% -13% 37%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2	205 148 261 227 311 324	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991	SW SW SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 9000001 9100001	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9100289	148 261 227 311 324 285	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2	205 148 261 227 311 324 285	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	SW SW SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 9000001 9100001 9190001	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9100289 9190091	148 261 227 311 324 285 205	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 9000001 9100001 9190001 TOTAL SW CA	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9100289 9190091	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 9000001 9100001 9190001 TOTAL SW CA	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9100289 9190091 1991	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 9000001 9100001 9190001 TOTAL SW CA 9200001	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9100289 9190091 1991 9200342 9290021	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1992	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 8900001 9100001 9190001 TOTAL SW CA 9290001 TOTAL SW CA	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW ASES	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9100289 9190091 1991 9200342 9290021	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91 342 21	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0% -18% -77%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1992	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 8900001 9100001 9190001 TOTAL SW CA 9200001 TOTAL SW CA 9300001	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9100299 9190091 1991 9200342 9290021 1992	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91 342 21	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0% -18% -77%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA FT2 SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 8900001 9000001 9190001 TOTAL SW CA 9290001 TOTAL SW CA 9300001 9390001	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW S	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9100289 9190091 1991 9200342 9290021 1992	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91 342 21	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0% -18% -77%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205 380	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1992 1993 1993	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 8900001 9000001 9100001 TOTAL SW C/ 9300001 9390001 TOTAL SW C/	SW S	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9100289 9190091 1991 9200342 9290021 1992 9300337 9390022	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91 342 21	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0% -18% -77% -1% 5%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA FT2 SEA CSC SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1992 1993 1993	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 8900001 9000001 9190001 TOTAL SW CA 9290001 TOTAL SW CA 9300001 9390001	SW S	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9100289 9190091 1991 9200342 9290021 1992	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91 342 21	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0% -18% -77% -1% -5%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA CSC	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1992 1993 1993	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 8900001 9100001 9190001 7OTAL SW C/ 9300001 9390001 TOTAL SW C/ 9400001	SW S	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9100289 9190091 1991 9200342 9290021 1992 9300337 9390022 1993	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91 342 21 337 22	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0% -18% -77% -1% -5%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA FT2 SEA CSC SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1992 1993 1993 1994 1994	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW S	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 9800001 9100001 91100001 9190001 TOTAL SW C/ 9300001 9390001 TOTAL SW C/ 9300001 TOTAL SW C/ 9300001 TOTAL SW C/ 940001 9490001 TOTAL SW C/ 940001	\$\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900205 9100289 9190091 1991 9200342 9290021 1992 9300337 9390022 1993 9400324 9490029 1994	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91 342 21 337 22	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% 41% 0% -18% -77% -1% 5% -4% 32% -45%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA CSC SEA CSC SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205 380 363	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1992 1993 1993 1994	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW S	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 9900001 9100001 9170001 9290001 9290001 9390001 9390001 TOTAL SW C/ 9300001 9490001 TOTAL SW C/ 9400001	\$\text{SW}\$	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9190091 1991 9200342 9290021 1992 9300337 9390022 1993 9400324 9490029 1994 9500179 9590015	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91 342 21 337 22	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0% -18% -77% -1% 5% -4% 32%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA CSC SEA CSC SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205 380 363 359	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1992 1993 1993 1994 1994 1995	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW S	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 8900001 9100001 9100001 9190001 TOTAL SW C/ 9300001 9390001 TOTAL SW C/ 9400001 TOTAL SW C/ 9400001 TOTAL SW C/ 9500001	SW S	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9100289 9190091 1991 9200342 9290021 1992 9300337 9390022 1993 9400324 9490029 1994 9500179 9590015	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91 342 21 337 22 324 29	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0% -77% -1% 5% -4% 32% -45% -48%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA CSC SEA CSC SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205 380 363	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1992 1993 1993 1994 1994	SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW SW S	287 435 696 8700001 8800001 9900001 9100001 9170001 9290001 9290001 9390001 9390001 TOTAL SW C/ 9300001 9490001 TOTAL SW C/ 9400001	SW S	434 695 922 8700311 8800324 8900285 9000205 9190091 1991 9200342 9290021 1992 9300337 9390022 1993 9400324 9490029 1994 9500179 9590015	148 261 227 311 324 285 205 289 91 342 21 337 22 324 29	-28% 76% -13% 37% 4% -12% -28% 41% 0% -77% -1% 5% -4% 32% -45% -48%	FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 FT2 SEA CSC SEA CSC SEA CSC SEA	205 148 261 227 311 324 285 205 380 363 359	All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed. In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for


Year	Beg	jinning Case Number	Ending Num		Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
rear		TOTAL SW CA		ibei	0111103	1 CICCIII	Location	233	OAGE STATUS
1997		9700001		9700350	350				
1997	SW	9790001		9790040	40	21%	SEA	200	
1998	SW	9800001		9800324	324	-7%	CSC	390	Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits
1998		9890001		9890014	14	-65%			for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with
		TOTAL SW CA						338	2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits
1999	SW	9900001		9900410	410		CSC		for the remainder.
1999	SW	9990001		9990049	49	250%	SEA	450	
2000	SW	2000 000001		0 000599	599	46%	CSC	459	
2000		2000 090001		0 090048	48		SEA		
		TOTAL SW CA						647	
	SW	2001 000001		1 000280	280	-53%			
2001	SW	2001 090001		1 000193	193	302%	SEA	472	
2002	SW	TOTAL SW CA 2002 000001		2 001087	1087	288%	CSC	473	
2002	SW	2002 090001		2 090194	194		SEA		
		TOTAL SW CA						1,281	
2003		2003 000001		3 001586	1,586		CSC		
2003	SW	2003 090001 TOTAL SW CA		3 090200	200	3%	SEA	1,786	
2004	SW	2004 000001		4 002821	2,821	78%	CSC	1,700	
2004		2004 090001	SW 2004	4 090201	201		SEA		
		TOTAL SW CA	SES 2004					3,022	
2005		2005 000001		5 004038	4,038		CSC		
2005	SW	2005 090001 TOTAL SW CA		5 090161	161	-20%	SEA	4 400	
2006	SW	2006 000001		6 005225	5,225	29%	CSC	4,199	
2006		2006 090001		6 090387	387	140%			
		TOTAL SW CA						5,612	
2007		2007 000001		7 005992	5,992		CSC		
2007	SW	2007 090001 TOTAL SW CA		7 090224	224	-42%	SEA	6,216	
2008	SW			3 007000	7,000	17%	CSC	0,210	
	SW			3 090199	199	-11%			
		TOTAL SW CA						7,199	
2009				9 008489	7,065		CSC		
2009	SW	2009 090001 TOTAL SW CA		9 090135	125	-37%	SEA	7,190	
2010	SW	2010 000001		0 010197	16,099	128%	CSC	7,130	
2010		2010 090001	SW 2010	0 090033	32	-74%			
2211	0111	TOTAL SW CA			40.000	2=2/		16,131	
2011		2011 000001 2011 090001		1 010200 1 090022	10,200 22	-37% -31%			
2011	344	TOTAL SW CA		1 030022	22	-5170	JLA	10,222	
2012	SW	2012 000001		2 011016	11,016		CSC	-,	**Please note the beginning and ending case numbers.
2012	SW	2012 090001		2 090011	11	-50%	SEA		Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this
2013	CIVI	2013 000001		**021722	22,082	100%	CSC	11,027	category.
		2013 000001		‡ ‡090017	36	227%			##Case numbers were exclusively assigned to SEA location.
	_,,	TOTAL SW CA						22,118	
2014		2014 000001		**011606	20,961		CSC		
2014	SW	2014 090001		‡‡ 090018	4	-89%	SEA		
2015	CIVI	2015 000001		**024500	20,828	10/	CSC	20,965	
2015		2015 000001			20,828	450%			
	_,,	TOTAL SW CA				150 70		20,850	
2016	SW	2016 000001	SW 2016	6 102526	§§ 23,689	14%	ALL		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were
0047	0141	TOTAL SW CA		7.000070	SS 04 007	E0.	A1.1	23,689	provided by Superior Court/CTS.
2017	SW	2017 000001 TOTAL SW CA		7 039976	§§ 24,967	5%	ALL	24,967	
2018	SW	2018 000001		3 147535	§§ 16,055	-36%	ALL	24,30/	
		TOTAL SW CA			55 -,	3370		16,055	
2019	SW	2019 000001	SW 2019	9 090050	§§ 20,046	25%	ALL		
0000	0)47	TOTAL SW CA		2.504000	66 00 040	001		20,046	
2020	SW	2020 000001 TOTAL SW CA		0 564228	§§ 20,043	0%	ALL	20.042	
2021	SW	2021 000001		1 701008	§§ 15,336	-23%	ALI	20,043	
2021	J.1	TOTAL SW CA		. 701000	33 . 3,000	2070		15,336	
								, , , , , , ,	
						· · · ·			Search Warrant index and locations are current through
		тот	AL SEARCH	I WARRAN	T CASES:			264,190	December 31, 2021.


	Beginning Case	E	nding Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year	Number		Number	of Files		Location		CASE STATUS
1000						X APPEA		
1968 1969		TA	203 230	203 27	-87%	Film	203	
1969			263	33	22%		21	TA1 through TX01-000667 have been filmed and are available for viewing at CSC. Please refer to CARS for
1970			305	42	27%		42	
1972			346	41		Film	41	case status information.
1973			431	85	107%		85	
1974			471	40	-53%		40	
1975			513	42		Film	42	
1976			543	30	-29%		30	
1977			573	30		Film	30	
1978	TA 574	TA	597	24	-20%	Film	24	
1979	TA 598	TA	612	15	-38%	Film	15	
1980	TA 613	TA	623	11	-27%	Film	11	
1981	TA 624	TA	640	17	55%	Film	17	In 1988 Tax Appeal cases were put on an automated
1982	TA 641	ΤA	687	47	176%		47	Docket. The first TX case placed on Docket was TX88-
1983		TΑ	717	30	-36%			00001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted
1984	TA 718	ΤA	739	22	-27%	Film	22	in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office
1985			782	43	95%			home page address at
1986			878	96	123%			http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for access to the
1987			8700269	269	180%			Docket.
1988			8800766	766	185%		766	
1989			8901204	1,204	57%		1,204	
1990			9001688	1,688	40%		1,688	
1991			9101634	1,634	-3%		1,634	
1992			9201770	1,770		Film	1,770	
1993			9301366	1,366	-23%		1,366	
1994			9400996	996	-27%		996	
1995			9501339	1,339	34%			Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits
1996			9600578	578	-57%			for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with
1997			9700872	872	51%			2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits
1998			9800787	787	-10%			for the remainder.
1999			9900621	621	-21%		621	
2000		TX	2000 000843	843	36%			All Tax Appeal documents filed in 2002 and after are
2001		TX	2001 000677 2002 000647	677	-20%			imaged and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using
2002		TX TX	2002 000647	647 764		CSC	764	the OnBase document retrieval application.
2003		TX	2004 001053	1053		CSC	1,053	
2004		TX	2005 000050	50	-95%		50	
2005	1 2005 000001	1.	2005 000050	50	-95%	CSC	50	
2006	TV 2006 000001	TV	2006 000462	460	0240/	000		2000 Designation lune 20 2000 TV access were filed at
2006 2006		TX	2006 000462 2006 050253	462 253	824%	NER		2006- Beginning June 26, 2006, TX cases were filed at
2000	TOTAL TX CA			200	0 70	INEIN	715	NER and DT locations. The DT case numbers began with 000001.
2007			2007 000723	723	56%	CSC	/ 15	000001.
2001	TOTAL TX CA			123	50%	000	723	1
2008			2008 001014	1014	40%	CSC	123	
2000	TOTAL TX CA			1014	70 /0	1000	1,014	
2009			2009 001168	1,168	15%	CSC	1,017	
	TOTAL TX CA			.,.00	1070	1222	1,168	1
2010			2010 001406	1,406	20%	CSC	.,.30	1
	TOTAL TX CA			.,	2070	1	1,406	1
2011			2011 001041	1,041	-26%	CSC	.,	1
	TOTAL TX CA			,		<u> </u>	1,041	1
2012			2012 000900	900	-14%	CSC	-,	1
	TOTAL TX CA					-	900	**Please note the beginning and ending case numbers.
2013			2013 **000797	**622	-31%	CSC		Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this
	TOTAL TX CA			l		1	622	category.
2014			2014**000769	**591	-5%	CSC		1
	TOTAL TX CA			L			591	
2015			2015**000876	**676	-5%	CSC		
	TOTAL TX CA	SES	2015				676	


Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
2016	TX 2016 000001	TX 2016 005645	§§ 378	-5%	CSC		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were
2017	TOTAL TX CA TX 2017 000001	TX 2017 000673	§§ 584	54%	CSC	378	provided by Superior Court/CTS.
2018	TOTAL TX CA TX 2018 000001		§§ 629	8%	CSC	584	
	TOTAL TX CA	SES 2018				629	
2019	TX 2019 000001 TOTAL TX CA		§§ 604	-4%	ALL	604	
2020	TX 2020 000001	TX 2020 001173	§§ 585	-3%	ALL		
2021	TOTAL TX CA TX 2021 000001		§§ 574	-2%	ALL	585	
	TOTAL TX CA		00 -			574	
	7	TOTAL TAX APPEAL C	ASES:			31,012	Tax Appeal index and locations are current through December 31, 2021.
	TRANSCRIPT	OF JUDGMENT/TRAN	ISFER JUD	GMENT			
1901	Γ 1	T 23	23	0%	Film	23	T
1902 T	Γ 24 Γ 40		16 11	-30% -31%			These cases are transcripts of the judgments which were granted in either municipal or justice courts, then
1904	Γ 51	T 64	14	27%	Film	14	transferred to superior court.
1905 T	Γ 65 Γ 71		6 8	-57% 33%		8	
1907	Г 79	T 92	14	75%	Film	14	
1908			7 10	-50% 43%		7 10	
1910	Γ 110	T 123	14	40%	Film	14	
1911 1912			9	-36% 122%			Downtown Transcript of Judgment cases T1 through TJ01- 004390 are microfilmed and may be viewed at CSC. Always
1913			20	0%	Film	20	check the CARS for roll and frame numbers. Southeast
1914 ¹			24 37	20% 54%			Transcript of Judgment cases TJ87-90001 through TJ91- 90212 have been filmed and may be viewed at CSC.
1916			42	14%		42	<u> </u>
1917 1918	Γ 276 Γ 316		40 40		Film Film	40 40	
1918			21	-48%		21	
1920			57	171%		57	
1921 1922	Γ 434 Γ 531		97 103	70% 6%	Film	97 103	
1923	Г 634	T 746	113	10%	Film	113	4
1924 1925	Г 747 Г 871		124 105	10% -15%		124 105	
1926	Г 976	T 1087	112	7%	Film	112	
1927 ⁻	Γ 1088 Γ 1175		87 174	-22% 100%		87 174	
1929	Γ 1349	T 1520	172	-1%	Film	172	
1930 T	Γ 1521 Γ 1698		177 251	3% 42%	Film Film	177 251	
1932	Г 1949	T 2151	203	-19%	Film	203	
1933 T	Γ 2152 Γ 2355		203 151	-26%	Film Film	203 151	
1935	Г 2506	T 2583	78	-48%	Film	78	
1936 T	Γ 2584 Γ 2664		80 67	3% -16%	Film Film	80 67	
1938	Γ 2731	T 2855	125	87%	Film	125	
1939 ⁻	Γ 2856 Γ 2994		138 107	10% -22%		138 107	
1941	Г 3101	T 3207	107	0%	Film	107	
1942 ⁻ 1943 ⁻	Г 3208 Г 3268		60	-44%		60 61	
1944	Г 3329	T 3365	61 37	-39%	Film	37	
1945 1946	Г 3366 Г 3415		49	32% 39%	Film	49 68	
1947	Г 3483	T 3533	68 51	-25%	Film	51	
1948	T 3534		131	157%	Film	131	
1949 1950	Γ 3665 Γ 3972		307 318	134% 4%	Film Film	307 318	
1951	Γ 4290	T 4591	302	-5%	Film	302	
1952 T	Γ 4592 Γ 4886		294 1,323	-3% 350%	Film Film	294 1,323	
1954	Г 6209	T 6596	388	-71%	Film	388	
1955 T	Γ 6597 Γ 6873		276 216	-29% -22%		276 216	
1957	Г 7089	T 7356	268	24%	Film	268	
1958 ⁻	Г 7357 Г 7744		387 287	44% -26%		387 287	
1960			368	28%		368	


	Beginning Case	Ending Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year	Number	Number	of Files	Percent	Location		CASE STATUS
1961 1962			480 414	30% -14%		480	Case number T8800, filed on 11/10/61, is on film; however, the next 150 cases are missing. The last thorough search
1963	T 9293		489	18%			
1964	T 9782		488		Film		T8801- T8950, have been declared lost.
1965	T 10270	T 11914	1,645	237%	Film	1,645	
1966	T 11915		1,193	-27%		1,193	
1967	T 13108		1,179		Film	1,179	
1968			1,098		Film	1,098	
1969 1970			1,098 1,132		Film Film	1,098 1,132	
-	T 17615		1,132		Film	1,189	
1972	T 18804		1,459	23%		1,459	
1973	T 20263		1,948	34%		1,948	
1974	T 22211	T 25135	2,925	50%	Film	2,925	
1975			3,964	36%		3,964	
1976			3,879		Film	3,879	
1977	T 32979		4,029		Film	4,029	
1978 ¹	T 37008 T 40743		3,735 4,665	-7% 25%		3,735 4,665	
1980			6,058	30%		6,058	
1981			6,880	14%		6,880	
1982	T 58345		5,698	-17%		5,698	
1983	T 64044	T 68442	4,399	-23%		4,399	
1984	T 68443		4,268	-3%		4,268	
1985	T 72711		4,054		Film		Beginning in 1987, all SEA cases begin with a (9), as in
1986 1987			4,146	2%	Film Film	4,146	TJ87-(9)0001.
1987			4,366				
1907	TJ 8790001 TOTAL TJ CA		385	0%	Film	4,751	In 1987, Transcript of Judgment cases were put on an automated Docket. The first TJ case placed on Docket was
1988			3,893	-11%	Film	4,731	TJ87-02400. The first TJ SEA case placed on Docket was
1988			425	10%			TJ87- 90100. Access to the Docket via the Internet was
-	TOTAL TJ CA					4,318	instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's
1989			2,996	-23%			Office home page address at http://
1989			612	44%	Film		www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov for access to the Docket.
4000	TOTAL TJ CA		0.004	40/	F-1	3,608	
1990 ¹			3,024 401		Film		
1990	TOTAL TJ CA		401	-34%	riiiii	3,425	
1991			2,376	-21%	Film	3,423	
1991			212	-47%			
	TOTAL TJ CA	SES 1991				2,588	
1992			3,910	65%			
1992			221	4%	SEA		
1993	TOTAL TJ CA TJ 9300001		4,109	E0/	Film	4,131	
1993			158	-29%			
1990	TOTAL TJ CA		130	-2370	OLA	4,267	
1994			3,686	-10%	Film	.,	
1994			151	-4%	SEA		
	TOTAL TJ CA					3,837	
1995			4,221	15%			
1995			140	-7%	SEA		
1996	TOTAL TJ CA TJ 9600001		3,921	70/	Film	4,361	
1996			106	-24%			
1000	TOTAL TJ CA		100	-Z4 /0	JLA	4,027	
1997			4,218	8%	Film	.,021	
1997	TJ 9790001	TJ 9790094	94	-11%			Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits
	TOTAL TJ CA	SES 1997				4,312	for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with
1998			5,159	22%			2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits
1998			91	-3%	SEA		for the remainder.
1000	TOTAL TJ CA		4 OE2	240/	Eilm	5,250	
1999 ⁻			4,053 190	-21% 109%			
1000	TOTAL TJ CA		190	109/0	JLA	4,243	
2000		TJ 2000 004055	4,055	0%	Film	.,=-0	
	TJ 2000 090001	TJ 2000 090175	175		SEA		
	TOTAL TJ CA	SES 2000				4,230	
2001		TJ 2001 004390	4,390		Film		
2001	TJ 2001 090001	TJ 2001 090181	181	3%	SEA		
2002	TOTAL TJ CA		6 470	440/	CSC	4,571	All Transporint of Judgment desuments filed in 2000 and aff
2002	TJ 2002 000001 TJ 2002 090001	TJ 2002 006170 TJ 2002 090207	6,170 207		CSC SEA		All Transcript of Judgment documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the
2002	TOTAL TJ CA		207	14%	SEA	6,377	OnBase document retrieval application.
			6,835	11%	CSC	0,011	··
2003	TJ 2003 000001 I	10 2000 000000					
	TJ 2003 000001 TJ 2003 090001	TJ 2003 090158	158	-24%			
		TJ 2003 090158 SES 2003		-24%		6,993	


	Be	ginning Case	Ending Case	Number	Growth		TOTAL	
Year		Number	Number	of Files	Percent	Location	CASES	CASE STATUS
2004	TJ	2004 090001	TJ 2004 090147	147	-7%	SEA		
		TOTAL TJ CA					6,345	
2005	TJ	2005 000001		6,709	8%	CSC		
0000	- -	TOTAL TJ CA 2006 000001		0.040	400/	000	6,709	
2006	TJ	TOTAL TJ CA		9,940	48%	CSC	9,940	
2007	TJ	2007 000001		11,479	CSC	9,940		
2007	IJ	TOTAL TJ CA		11,479	11,479			
2008	TJ	2008 000001		14,736	28%	CSC	11,475	
		TOTAL TJ CA		,	2070	000	14,736	
2009	TJ	2009 000001	TJ 2009 020451	19,163	30%	CSC	,	
1		TOTAL TJ CA	ASES 2009				19,163	
2010	TJ	2010 000001	TJ 2010 019022	19,022	-1%	CSC		
		TOTAL TJ CA	SES 2010				19,022	
2011	TJ	2011 000001		18,654	-2%	CSC		
		TOTAL TJ CA					18,654	
2012	ΤJ	2012 000001		13,544	-27%	CSC		
		TOTAL TJ CA					13,544	
2013	TJ	2013 000001		**11,260	-17%	CSC		**Please note the beginning and ending case numbers.
	_	TOTAL TJ CA		446			11,260	Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this
2014	TJ	2014 000001		**9,261	-18%	CSC		category.
00:-	<u> </u>	TOTAL TJ CA		44= 00-		000	9,261	
2015	IJ	2015 000001 TOTAL TJ CA		**7,280	-18%	CSC	7 000	
2010	T.			\$\$ 4 EQC	400/	000	7,280	20 00 40 NOTE D
2016	IJ	2016 000001 TOTAL TJ CA		§§ 4,526	-18%	CSC	4 526	§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were
2017	TJ	2017 000001		§§ 4,796	60/	CSC	4,526	provided by Superior Court/CTS.
2017	13	TOTAL TJ CA		33 4,7 90	0 70	CSC	4,796	
2018	TJ	2018 000001		§§ 4,370	Ω%	CSC	4,730	
2010	10	TOTAL TJ CA		33 4,010	-9 /0	COC	4,370	
2019	TJ	2019 000061		§§ 3,473	-21%	ΔΙΙ	4,570	
2010	10	TOTAL TJ CA		33 0, 17 0	2170	/ \	3,473	
2020	TJ	2020 000061		§§ 2,570	-26%	ALI	0,470	
2020		TOTAL TJ CA		33 =,0.0	2070	/ .	2,570	
2021	TJ	2021 000061		§§ 2,891	12%	ALL	_,	
		TOTAL TJ CA				ı	2,891	
							,	
T	ОТА	L TRANSCRIPT	OF JUDGMENT/TRAN	SFER JUD	GMENT CA	SES:	326,218	Transcript of Judgment/Transfer Judgment index and
								locations are current through December 31, 2021.
				C/	ASE HISTO	RY INDEX	SUMMARY	
Civil A	ctio	ns filed through	n December 31, 2021.				_	1,730,025
Crimir	าal A	ctions filed thre	ough December 31, 202	21.				1,206,741
D	-4:- 1	Dalatiana Astia	na filad Hanawah Dasan	-h 24 00:	04 (!			
			ns filed through Decen					1,451,372
		-	rce, Domestic Relations	s, Family C	ourt with C	niiaren		-,,
and Fa	amily	Court with No	Chilaren.)					
Lower	Cou	ırt Appeal, Low	er Court Assessment,	Mental Hea	Ith, Mental	SV,		1,228,029
Proba	te, S	mall Tax, Searc	ch Warrant, Tax Appeal	, and Trans	script of			-,,
			ment Actions filed thro		-	21.		
		<u> </u>			,			
_	_							
			871 through 2021. This					5,616,167
_			Case History Index fil	•				
with th	he ex	ception of Juv	enile Cases, the Water	Case and I	Marriage L	icenses.		























		Number			
		of New			
Year	Case Number	Volumes	Location	on	CASE STATUS
	R CASE NA GENERAL STREAM ADJUDIC	ATION			
	W1, W2, W3, W4	4110N 1	csc		
	(Consolidated)				In re the General Adjudication of All rights to Use Water in the
	154 volumes; active*				Gila River System and Source (Main Case)
1001	W1-200	1	000		
1991	9 volumes; inactive	1	CSC		Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Water Rights Settlement
	o volumes, mactive				Oak Tavel I ima-inancopa maian water raging detachient
1993	W1-201	1	CSC		
	6 volumes; inactive				Fort McDowell Indian Community Water Rights Settlement
1993	W1 11-00001 W1 11-03453	3,453	CSC		
1993	active*	3,433	030		San Pedro River Watershed Contested Cases
	a.e.i.re				
	W1-100	1	CSC		
	29 volumes; inactive				In re Special Action, HB 2276
1005	W4 202	1	000		
	W1-202 3 volumes; inactive	1	CSC		Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe Water Rights Settlement
	o volunies, mactive				Tavapai-i Tescott indian Tribe Water Hights Settlement
1996	W1-203	1	CSC		
	61 volumes; active *				In re the Water Rights of the Gila River Indian Community
	W1-101	1	CSC		In so Application of Pholos Dodge Corneration for Proliminant
	1 volume, inactive				In re Application of Phelps Dodge Corporation for Preliminary Injunction
1998	W1-102	1	CSC		njanoaon
	5 volumes; Inactive				Trimble v. Chattman
	W1-204	1	CSC		In re Proposed San Carlos Apache Tribe Water Rights
	21 volumes, inactive W1-205	1	CSC		Settlement
	2 volumes; active*		030		Roosevelt Settlement Case (Parties: U.S. Dept of the Interior, Gila River Indian Community and Roosevelt Water
	,				Conservation District). No further case name has been
	W1-206	1	CSC		assigned.
	20 volumes; active*				In re the Preclusive Effect of the Globe Equity No. 59 Decree
2002	W1-103	1	CSC		on Specified Parties.
2003	7 volumes; active*	Į.	030		In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed
	1 Volumos, astro				Casilon (Casilonal Nepoli, Call) Callo (Neolina Nepoli)
2004			CSC		
	0 Volume Active	0			
2005	N/ 404	2	000		
	W-104 2 Volumes Active	2	CSC		
	2 (3)41100 / (6)10				
2006	W-104	11	CSC		
	13 Volumes Active				
0007	W 404		000		
2007	W-104 14 Volumes Active	1	CSC		
	17 VOIGINGS ACTIVE				
2008	W-104	3	CSC		
	17 Volumes Active				
0655					
2009	W-104 18 Volumes Active	1	CSC		
	TO VOIUTHES ACTIVE				
2010	W-104	1	CSC		
	19 Volumes Active				

2011	W-104	3		CSC	
2011	22 Volumes Active			1000	
	22 Volumes Active			+	
2012	W-104	0		CSC	W1 11 2664 In to Bodfield Conven Wilderness Area
2012	22 Volumes Active	0		030	W1-11-2664, In re Redfield Canyon Wilderness Area contested case initiated.
	22 Volumes Active	+			contested case initiated.
0010	144 1440 1440 1444			000	
2013	W1, W2, W3, W4	3		CSC	In re the General Adjudication of All rights to Use Water in the
	(Consolidated)				Gila River System and Source (Main Case)
	191 Volumes Active				
2014	W1, W2, W3, W4	0		CSC	2014 - W1-209, In re Amended and Restated White Mountain
	(Consolidated)				Apache Tribe Water Rights Quantification Agreement
	191 Volumes Active				contested case initiated.
2015	W1, W2, W3, W4	1		CSC	
	(Consolidated)	1		1000	
	192 Volumes Active			+	
	192 Volumes Active	+			
0010	14/4 14/0 14/0 14/4	+		000	
2016	W1, W2, W3, W4	1		CSC	
	(Consolidated)	1		 	2016: W1-11-19A, In re Pima County contested case initiated
	193 Volumes Active				
2017	W1, W2, W3, W4	1		CSC	
	(Consolidated)				18 new contested cases initiated. Contact the Water Case file
	194 Volumes Active				clerk for specific information.
2018	W1, W2, W3, W4	1	1	CSC	
2010	(Consolidated)	+ '		030	
				1	
	195 Volumes Active				
				1	
2019	W1, W2, W3, W4	1		CSC	2019: 65 new contested cases initiated. Contact the Water
	(Consolidated)				Case file clerk for specific information.
	196 Volumes Active	•	<u>-</u>		
2020	W1, W2, W3, W4	**		CSC	**2020: All Water case documents are now digitized and no
	(Consolidated)				longer maintained by volumes. Documents have been
	,	- !	!	'	scanned since May 2020.
2021	W1, W2, W3, W4	**		CSC	** 2021 : 50 new contested cases initiated. Contact the Water
2021	(Consolidated)			000	Case file clerk for specific information.
	(Consolidated)			1	Case life clerk for specific information.
* Most	current volume is located at CSC	Contact the	Water C	ase Specialis	t at (602) 372-5375, options 7-1-3-4 or the Public Records Lead
	2) 506-0429.			,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(002	,				

				// ARRIAG	E LICEN	SE	
1979	79 M42323	79 M56961	14,638	0%			All licenses since 1884 are on film except for the
1980	80 M00001	80 M16213	16,213	11%	Film		most current months. Check the Marriage License
1981	81 M00001	81 M16869	16,869	4%	Film		Abstract System, CARS, or call CSC at (602) 506-
1982	82 M00001	82 M17556	17,556	4%	Film	17,556	5950 for current information.
1983	83 M00001	83 M17228	17,228	-2%	Film	17,228	
1984	84 M00001	84 M19409	19,409	13%	Film	19,409	
1985	85 M00001	85 M20970	20,970	8%	Film	20,970	
1986	86 M00001	86 M21146	21,146	1%		21,146	If a 1980 marriage license appears to be missing,
1987	87 M00001	87 M21003	21,003	-1%	Film	21,003	refer to the 1980 Marriage License attachment to
1988	88 M00001	88 M20600	20,600	-2%	Film	20,600	this index for possible explanation and list of
1989	89 M00001	89 M20191	20,191	-2%	Film		names.
1990	90 M00001	90 M21331	21,331	6%	Film	21,331	
1991	91 M00001	91 M21472	21,472	1%	Film	21,472	
1992	92 M00001	92 M19394	19,394	-10%	Film	19,394	
1993	93 M00001	93 M19988	19,988	3%	Film	19,988	
1994	94 M00001	94 M20991	20,991	5%		20,991	Since 1990 all marriage licenses have been
1995	95 M00001	95 M21112	21,112	1%	Film	21,112	added to the CARS System.
1996	96 M00001	96 M22018	22,018	4%	Film	22,018	,
1997	97 M00001	97 M22499	22,499	2%	Film	22,499	
1998	98 M00001	235426*	20,993	-7%	Film	20,993	
1999	126006*	261650*	22,759	8%	Film	22,759	
2000	46426*	285765*	22,030	-3%	Film	22,030	All marriage licenses from 2002 forward have
2001	10472*	313179*	24,288	10%	Film	24,288	been imaged and can be retrieved within the
2002	45739*	339449*	21,768		OnBase	21,768	Clerk's Office using the OnBase document
2003	11059*	360319*	21,469	-1%	OnBase	21,469	retrieval application.
2004	7405	777408	22,728		OnBase	22,728	
2005	1003*	412459*	23,360		OnBase	23,360	
2006	60289*	4196691*	24,381	4%	OnBase	24,381	
2007	82599*	476782*	23,628		OnBase	23,628	
2008	48742*	498853*	23,180	-2%	OnBase	23,180	
2009	122657*	580975*	21,523		OnBase	21,523	
2010	14563*	588301*	23,128		OnBase	23,128	
2011	175529*	588146*	22,742		OnBase	22,742	
2012	514879*	580025*	25,115		OnBase	25,115	
2013	112524*	580089*	24,093		OnBase	24,093	
2014	130249*	650534*	24,404		OnBase	24,404	
2015	149617*	691864*	25,451		OnBase	25,451	
2016	126133*	707920*	26,828		OnBase	26,828	
2017	203215	737368	26,619	-1%	OnBase	26,619	
2018	214484*	764541*	25,162		OnBase	25,162	
2019	218828*	789958*	24,954	-1%	OnBase	24,954	
2020	714559*	826021*	23,039	-8%	OnBase	23,039	
2021	172881*	845322*	24,944	8%	OnBase	24,944	
							Marriage License index and locations are current
		TOTAL MARRIAGE L	ICENSES			943,214	through December 31, 2021.

*The final recorded number for marriage licenses under the Book and Page System is 98M15873. Beginning in October 1998, the Book and Page System of recording licenses was replaced with the Marriage License Abstract System, now known as ML2000, in which each license is recorded by its six digit identification number. Licenses are filmed monthly in numerical but not consecutive order; therefore, an exact count of licenses recorded after September 1998 is not the difference between the beginning and ending case numbers. Rather, it is reflected by the total number of entries recorded in the ML2000 database. The beginning number is not necessarily the first number issued for that year; the numbers posted are the first and last number listed on that specific yearly report.

From 1871 through 1978, licenses were recorded in Docket Books. A marriage license number for that period consists of the docket book number and the page on which it was recorded, e.g., Book 10 Page 1234. Beginning in 1979, licenses were no longer recorded in Docket Books. The book number was changed to identify the year the license was recorded and the page number was changed to reflect the consecutive number given to the marriage license, e.g., 7900001. In 1980 an "M" was added to the number to distinguish it from other categories with the same numeric format, e.g., 80M00001.

All marriage affidavits from 1884 through 1983 are on film, in alphabetical order by female maiden name. This includes affidavits for licenses which were returned and recorded, as well as for those which were unused and never recorded. Affidavits from 1984 to present are filmed with the corresponding recorded certificates or licenses. Unused affidavits from 1984 to present are filmed on a separate roll, alphabetically by male surname.

The Clerk of Superior Court authorized the Litchfield Park City Court Clerk to issue marriage licenses on July 25, 2004. The Litchfield Park City Court Clerk's Office received marriage licenses in November 2004, numbers 383301-383382 and again in April 2006, numbers 415273-415372 for issuance. Of the total number of marriage licenses received by the Litchfield Park City Court Clerk (182 marriage licenses), 82 licenses were issued and 57 licenses have been recorded. As of April 13, 2006, the Litchfield Park City Court Clerk stopped issuing marriage licenses.

Per A.R.S. 25-127, the Clerk of Superior Court authorized the Youngtown City Court Clerk to issue marriage licenses on October 15, 2008.

The Federal Court ruled that Arizona's constitutional and statutory definitions of marriage as one man and one woman is unconstitutional under the United States Constitution. Effective October 17, 2014, Clerks of Superior Court around the state began issuing marriage licenses regardless of the applicants' gender.

The Juvenile Records section that follows appeared in the Case History Index for the first time with the 2003 edition. While Juvenile Adoption records information have been indexed back to 1934, all other categories have been indexed only back to 1968. Records for Juvenile case categories prior to 1988 are currently on microfilm. The Clerk's Office intends to add more index information on records prior to 1988 to forthcoming editions of the Case History Index.

All requests for access to juvenile records must go through the Juvenile Division of the Clerk of the Court for the reason that most juvenile records, files, exhibits and transcripts are confidential. Only the delinquency legal file of a juvenile born after January 1, 1977 is open to public inspection.

	Beginning Case	Fnc	ling Case	Number	Growth		ANNUAL	
Year	Number		Number	of Files	Percent	Location		CASE STATUS
		-					UNDER TIT	
1988		AA	2	2	0%	Film	2	Pursuant to statutory changes in 1993, Adult Adoptions
1989		AA	14	12	500%	Film		were transferred from Title 8 to Title 14 and heard in
1990		AA	23	9	-25%	Film		Probate Court beginning in 1994.
1991		AA	26 30	3	-67%	Film Film	3	
1992 1993		AA AA	39	9	33% 125%	Film	9	
1333	74 31		33	J	12070	1 11111		
								All existing Adult Adoption cases, including Southeast
	TOTAL ADULT A	DOP	TIONS				108	cases AA 500000 to AA 500068 are available on
								microfilm.
1000			T		ADOPTI	ON CERT	IFICATIONS	
1988 1989								AC's are Adoption Certifications. All persons who want to adopt a child must first be certified suitable to adopt by
1990								the Court.
1991								the odurt.
1992								
1993								AC 1 through AC 11 were used in the 1970s and are
1994		AC	414	403	0%	FT2		available on microfilm.
1995			886	472	17%	FT2	472	
1996 1997			1601 2269	715 668	51% -7%	FT2 FT2	715 668	Until June 12, 1995, AC500000 numbers were assigned
1997			2840	571	-7% -15%	FT2		to Southeast cases only. However, when the Court
1999			3459	619	8%	JCC-SE		assigned one judicial officer to handle all ACs, a decision
2000			4074	615		JCC-SE		was made to go to one numbering system and locate all
2001	AC 4075	AC	4645	571	-7%			AC files at the same facility as the judicial officer.
2002			5230	585	2%		585	Therefore, AC501025 was the last in the 500000 series
2003			5887	657	12%	JCC-SE		assigned.
2004			6622	735	12%	JCC-SE	735	
2005 2006			7487 8400	865 912	18%	JCC-SE		AC cases through 1993 are on microfilm located at
2007			9226	826		JCC-SE	826	JCC/SEF.
2008			9949	723		JCC-SE	723	
2009			10635	686	-5%		686	
2010	AC 10636	AC	11412	777	13%	JCC-SE	777	
2011			12101	688	-11%		688	
2012			12845	744		JCC-SE	744	
2013			13659	811		JCC-SE	811	2013 : AC12948 not used; AC13417 not used; AC13040
2014			14517 15395	883 873	9%	JCC-DF	883	not used-issued in error.
2013			16113	718	-18%	JCC-DF	718	2015 : AC 14519 not used.
2017			16672	569	-21%		569	
2018			17120	451	-21%	JCC-DF	451	
2019	AC 17121		17608	488	8%	JCC-DF	488	2019: JCC-DUR : 174457 & 17485 dead numbers, 17550
2020			18060	452		JCC-DF	452	dead number.
2021	AC 18061	AC	18440	380	-16%	JCC-DF	380	2021 : Skipped 18301.
	TOTAL ADOPT	ON C	ERTIFICATIO	NS			18,457	•
		J J					.0,.01	
								Total Adoption Certifications index and locations are
								current through December 31, 2021.
100:			221			TION CA		
1934			38 80	38 42	0%	Film		JA cases are Juvenile Adoptions, in which parties have
1935 1936			146	42 66	11% 57%	Film Film	66	filed for the adoption of minor children.
1936			204	58	-12%	Film	58	
1938			265	61	5%	Film	61	
1939			331	66	8%	Film		Adoption cases through JA 33626 are on microfilm rolls 1
1940	A 332	Α	414	83	26%	Film		through 242. Due to an error in numbering, rolls 5 and 6
1941	A 415		463	49	-41%	Film		do not exist.

	Beginning Case	Ending Case	Number	Growth		ANNUAL	
Year	Number	Number	of Files	Percent			CASE STATUS
1942 1943			104 145	112% 39%	Film Film	104 145	
1943			184	27%	Film	184	
1945			194	5%	Film	194	
1946	A 1091	A 1312	222	14%	Film	222	
1947			221	0%	Film	221	
1948 1949			252 237	14% -6%	Film Film	252 237	
1949			277	17%	Film	277	
1951			277	0%	Film	277	
1952			353	27%	Film	353	
1953			355	1%	Film	355	
1954 1955			363 370	2% 2%	Film Film	363 370	
1956			407	10%	Film	407	
1957			455	12%	Film	455	
1958	A 4880	A 5457	578	27%	Film	578	
1959			710	23%	Film	710	
1960			744	5%	Film	744	
1961 1962			826 872	11% 6%	Film Film	826 872	
1963			975	12%	Film	975	
1964	A 9586		990	2%	Film	990	
1965			1,068	8%	Film	1,068	
1966			1,084	1%	Film	1,084	
1967 1968			1,138 1,207	5% 6%	Film Film	1,138 1,207	
1969			1,262	5%	Film	1,262	
1970			1,064	-16%	Film	1,064	
1971			978	-8%	Film	978	
1972			911	-7%	Film	911	
1973 1974			909 957	0% 5%	Film Film	909 957	
1975			846	-12%	Film	846	
1976			849	0%	Film	849	
1977			775	-9%	Film	775	
1978			857	11%	Film	857	
1979 1980			976 915	14% -6%	Film Film	976 915	
1981			949	4%	Film		The case prefix A was used to designate juvenile
1982		A 28305	985	4%	Film		adoptions prior to 1988. Since 1988, the JA prefix has
1983			874	-11%	Film		been used.
1984			853	-2%	Film	853	
1985 1986			918 909	8% -1%	Film Film	918 909	
1987			592	-35%	Film	592	
1988			577	-3%	Film	577	
1988	JA 500334	JA 500649	316		Film	316	
4000	TOTAL JA CA		550	F0/ I	F::	893	Southeast JA cases through JA 504198 are on microfilm
1989 1989			550 302	-5% -4%	Film Film		located at JCC-SE.
1909	TOTAL JA CA		302	-4 /0	1 11111	852	
1990	JA 33579	JA 34120	542	-1%	FT2		
1990			348	15%	Film		
1991	TOTAL JA CAS		EO	900/	ETO	890	
1991			58 841	-89% 142%	FT2 Film		
.001	TOTAL JA CA		0-1	1 TZ /0		899	
1992	JA 34179	JA 34413	235	305%	FT2		
1992			727	-14%	Film		
1002	TOTAL JA CA		000	200/	ETO	962	
1993 1993			283 498	20% -31%	FT2 Film		
1000	TOTAL JA CA		730	-5170		781	
1994	JA 34697	JA 34939	243	-14%	FT2		
1994			394	-21%	Film		
1005	TOTAL CASE		500	4040/	ET0	637	
1995 1995			538 178	121% -55%	FT2 Film		
1000	TOTAL JA CA		170	-55 /0		716	
1996			693	29%	FT2		There are no JA cases from the Southeast facility from 1996
							through 1998. All adoptions were heard at the Juvenile

TOTAL JA CASES 1996		Beginning Case	_		Number	Growth		ANNUAL	
TOTAL JA CASES 1997	Year	Number			of Files	Percent	Location		CASE STATUS
TOTAL JA CASES 1997	1007				660	20/	ET0	693	Durango facility during those years.
TOTAL JA CASES 1998	1997	JA 3617	JA	36839	669	-3%	FIZ		1
TOTAL JA CASES 1998		TOTAL JA CA	SES 1997	,				669	1
TOTAL JA CASES 1998	1998				867	30%	FT2	000	†
1999 JA 37369 JA 38076 707 18% F12 1999 JA 503338 JA 504452 515 07% F12 1,22									
1999 A 503938 A 504462 515 0% FT2		TOTAL JA CA	SES 1998					867	
TOTAL JA CASES 1999 TOTAL JA CASES 2009 JA 904453 JA 90476 526 2% JCC-SE 926 TOTAL JA CASES 2007 TOTAL JA CASES 2008 TOTAL JA CASES 2009 TOTAL JA CASES 2008 TOTAL JA CASES 2009 TOTAL JA CASE									
2000 JA 38076 JA 38476 400 43% FT2 FT2 FT2 FT3 JC SE FT2 FT3 JC SE SE SE SE SE SE SE S	1999				515	0%	FT2		
2000 JA 504453 JA 504976 526 2% JCC-SE	2000				400	400/	FT0	1,222	
TOTAL JA CASES 2000									
2001 JA 38476 JA 38783 318 2-37% FT2	2000				520	Z%	JUU-SE		1
2001 JA 504979 JA 505491 513 2% JCC-SE	2001				318	-21%	FT2	920	1
TOTAL JA CASES 2001 2002 JA									†
TOTAL JA CASES 2002	2002	JA 38794	JA	39248	455	43%	JCC-DF		
2003 JA 39249 JA 39550 301 34% JCC-DF	2002				1,098	114%	JCC-SE		
2003								1,553	
TOTAL JA CASES 2003									
2004 JA	2003				9/1	-12%	JCC-SE		
2005 JA 506561 JA 507711 550 43% JCC-SE	2004				30/	31%	ICC-DE	1,212	+
TOTAL JA CASES 2005									Case numbers 507062-507089 not used
2005 JA	2004				000	4070	000 CL		Case Humbers 307 002-307 003 Hot asea.
TOTAL JA CASES 2005	2005				514	30%	JCC-DF		Case numbers 400026 - 40039 not used.
				507675					
TOTAL JA CASES 2006		TOTAL JA CA	SES 2005	i					
TOTAL JA CASES 2006	2006	JA 40460	JA	41011	552	7%	JCC-DF		
2007 JA	2006	JA 507676	JA	508325	650	15%	JCC-SE		
DOT JA				i					
TOTAL JA CASES 2007									
2008 JA	2007				704	8%	JCC-SE		
TOTAL JA CASES 2008	2000				004	40/	100.05		
TOTAL JA CASES 2008									
Description	2008				584	-17%	JCC-SE		
TOTAL JA CASES 2009	2000				632	50/-	ICC DE		
TOTAL JA CASES 2009									, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2010	2009				011	370	300-3L		Tillough and including 3A3 10039 were not used.
2010	2010				731	16%	JCC-DF	1,2-10	2010- 42865, 43441-43449 were not issued.
2010							000 2.		·
TOTAL JA CASES 2010			1			7%	JCC-SE		2010- 510764-510769 were not issued.
2011									
2011 JA									Correction to 2009 entry: JA42659 was issued in 2009.
2011 JA 510891 JA 511571 669 3% JCC-SE TOTAL JA CASES 2011 1,386	2011	JA 43592	JA	44300	709	9%	JCC-DF		2011- JA 44301-44310 not issued.
TOTAL JA CASES 2011			1						4
TOTAL JA CASES 2011						3%			Correction to 2010: JA 43663 was issued in 2010.
2012 JA	2011				5		JCC-SE		
2012 JA 511572 JA 512228 654 -2% JCC-SE not used; JA512185 were not used; JA512186							1	,	†
TOTAL JA CASES 2012 1,369 was issued but not used.									2012- JA45011-JA45039 sent to JCC-SE; JA45026-45039
2013	2012				654	-2%	JCC-SE		T
2013 JA 512229 JA 547550 ** JCC-SE June 2013 could not be located; unable to compute	0015				20.1	4=01	100.5=		t l
TOTAL JA CASES 2013 824 cumulative total. JCC-DFJA 547200-547299 issued at						15%			
2014 JA 47686 JA 49158 942 14% JCC-DF Durango. 2014 JA 547551 JA 548330 657 0% JCC-SE 2014: JCC-DF: 48580 issued in error, 48893 dead TOTAL JA CASES 2014 1,599 number; JCC-SE: JA547776-JA547899 issued to 2015 JA 49159 JA 50238 1,082 15% JCC-DF Durango. 2015 JA 548331 JA 549290 660 0% JCC-SE 2015: JCC-DF: JA49836 not used; JCC-SE: 548400- TOTAL JA CASES 2015 1,742 548599 issued to Durango, 548999 not used, 549073- 2016 JA 50239 JA 51762 1,329 23% JCC-DF 549173 issued to Durango, 549173 issued to Durango, 549605- TOTAL JA CASES 2016 1,990 549705 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to 1,990 549705 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to 2016-SEJ: 549400-550180 issued to Durango; 550300- 2017 JA 51763 JA 551635 657 -1%	∠013				**		JUU-SE		
2014 JA 547551 JA 548330 657 0% JCC-SE 2014: JCC-DF: 48580 issued in error, 48893 dead 1,599 number; JCC-SE: JA547776-JA547899 issued to 2015 JA 49159 JA 50238 1,082 15% JCC-DF Durango. 2015 JA 548331 JA 549290 660 0% JCC-SE 2015: JCC-DF: JA49836 not used; JCC-SE: 548400-TOTAL JA CASES 2015 1,742 548599 issued to Durango, 548999 not used, 549073-2016 JA 50239 JA 51762 1,329 23% JCC-DF 549173 issued to Durango. 2016-SEJ: 549400-549500 issued to Durango; 549605-TOTAL JA CASES 2016 1,990 549705 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 550080-550180 issued to Durango; 550300-2017 JA 550463 JA 551635 657 -1% JCC-SE 550399 issued to Durango. DUR: 51004-not used.	2014				040	4.40/	ICC DE		
TOTAL JA CASES 2014 1,599 number; JCC-SE: JA547776-JA547899 issued to									, ,
2015 JA 49159 JA 50238 1,082 15% JCC-DF Durango. 2015 JA 548331 JA 549290 660 0% JCC-SE 2015: JCC-DF: JA49836 not used; JCC-SE: 548400- TOTAL JA CASES 2015 1,742 548599 issued to Durango, 548999 not used, 549073- 2016 JA 50239 JA 51762 1,329 23% JCC-DF 549173 issued to Durango. 2016 JA 549291 JA 550462 661 0% JCC-SE 2016-SEJ: 549400-549500 issued to Durango; 549605- TOTAL JA CASES 2016 1,990 549705 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 550080-550180 issued to Durango; 550300- 2017 JA 51763 JA 53302 1,540 16% JCC-DF Durango; 550080-550180 issued to Durango; 550300- 2017 JA 550463 JA 551635 657 -1% JCC-SE 550399 issued to Durango. DUR: 51004-not used.	2014				007	U-70	100-3E		
2015 JA 548331 JA 549290 660 0% JCC-SE 2015: JCC-DF: JA49836 not used; JCC-SE: 548400-TOTAL JA CASES 2015 1,742 548599 issued to Durango, 548999 not used, 549073-549173 issued to Durango. 2016 JA 549291 JA 550462 661 0% JCC-SE 2016-SEJ: 549400-549500 issued to Durango; 549605-TOTAL JA CASES 2016 1,990 549705 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 550080-550180 issued to Durango; 550300-2017 JA 550463 JA 551635 657 -1% JCC-SE 550399 issued to Durango. DUR: 51004-not used.	2015				1 082	15%	JCC-DF		T
TOTAL JA CASES 2015 1,742 548599 issued to Durango, 548999 not used, 549073-548599 issued to Durango, 548999 not used, 549073-549173 issued to Durango. 2016 JA 50239 JA 51762 1,329 23% JCC-DF 549173 issued to Durango. 2016 JA 549291 JA 550462 661 0% JCC-SE 2016-SEJ: 549400-549500 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 550080-550180 issued to Durango; 550300-2017 JA 550463 JA 551635 657 -1% JCC-SE 550399 issued to Durango. DUR: 51004-not used.									,
2016 JA 50239 JA 51762 1,329 23% JCC-DF 549173 issued to Durango. 2016 JA 549291 JA 550462 661 0% JCC-SE 2016-SEJ: 549400-549500 issued to Durango; 549605- TOTAL JA CASES 2016 1,990 549705 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 550080-550180 issued to Durango; 550080-550180 issued to Durango; 550300- 2017 JA 550463 JA 551635 657 -1% JCC-SE 550399 issued to Durango, DUR: 51004-not used.					000	<u> </u>	,		
Z016 JA 549291 JA 550462 661 0% JCC-SE 2016-SEJ: 549400-549500 issued to Durango; 549605-549705 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 550300-5017 JA 51763 JA 53302 1,540 16% JCC-DF Durango; 550080-550180 issued to Durango; 550300-550180 issued to Durango; 550300-550180 issued to Durango; 550309-550180 issued to Durango; 549605-657	2016				1,329	23%	JCC-DF		
TOTAL JA CASES 2016 1,990 549705 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 550080-550180 issued to Durango; 550300-2017 JA 2017 JA 550463 JA 551635 657 -1% JCC-SE 550399 issued to Durango. DUR: 51004-not used.			1 1						
2017 JA 51763 JA 53302 1,540 16% JCC-DF Durango; 550080-550180 issued to Durango; 550300-550390 issued to Durango. 2017 JA 550463 JA 551635 657 -1% JCC-SE 550399 issued to Durango. DUR: 51004-not used.							•		549705 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to
	2017				1,540	16%	JCC-DF		
TOTAL JA CASES 2017 2.197	2017				657	-1%	JCC-SE		550399 issued to Durango. DUR: 51004-not used.
		TOTAL JA CA	SES 2017	·				2,197	

		nning Case	En	iding Case	Number	Growth		ANNUAL	
Year		Number		Number	of Files	Percent		TOTAL	CASE STATUS
2018	JA	53303		54691	1,162		JCC-DF		2018-SEJ: JA551675-JA551775 DUR Block; JA551801 &
2018	JA	551636 OTAL JA CAS		552739 2018	595	-9%	JCC-SE	1 757	JA551489 not used; JA551905-JA552005 DUR Block; JA552162-JA552262 DUR Block; JA552383-JA552483
2019	JA	54692		55882	887	-24%	JCC-DF	1,737	DUR Block; JA552591 not used; JA552635-JA552735
2019	JA	552740		553676	631	6%			DUR Block; DUR : 53650-53750 SEJ Block; 54401-54501
		OTAL JA CAS						1,518	SEJ Block
2020	JA	55833	JA	56995	859	-3%	JCC-DF		2019: JCC-DF : JA54970-55070 block to SE, JA55270-
2020	JA	553677		554533	551	-13%	JCC-SE		55370 block to SE, JA55625-55725 block to SE; JCC-SE :
		OTAL JA CAS						1,410	JA552869 issued in Jan, JA552900-553000 block to
2021	JA	56996		57902	742		JCC-DF		DUR, JA553121 not used, JA553183-553283 block to
2021	JA	554534 OTAL JA CAS		555315	447	-19%	JCC-SE	4 400	DUR, JA553353 & JA553363 dead numbers, JA553494- 553594 block to DUR.
	10	TIME JA CAS) <u>-</u> 3	4 04 I				1,169	2020: JCC-DF: JA56101-56116 new block to SEJ:
									JA56416-56516 new block to SEJ; JA56815-56915 new
									lock to SEJ; JCC-SEJ : JA 553713 dead number,
									JA553771-JA553871 DUR block, JA553888 dead
									number, JA554327-JA554427 DUR block, JA554494
									dead number
									2021 : JCC-DF : JA57215-57315 sent to SEJ; 57570-
									57670 sent to SEJ; 57705-57719 eFile; 57824-57840
									eFile; 57903-58003 sent ti SEJ,58004-58050 used, 57841- 57861 eFile. JCC-SEJ : JA554589-JA554689 DUR block;
									JA554751 not used; JA554808 dead; JA554823-
									JA554923 DUR block; JA555068-JA555069 remaining
					-			_	Efile block; JA555085-JA555185 DUR block; JA555068
									used; JA555069 & JA555221 not used; JA555252-
									JA555256 remaining eFile block; JA555252-555256 used;
									JA555281-JA555307 remaining eFlle block.
									1
									Juvenile Adoption index and locations are current through
		TOTAL JA C	ASE	<u> </u>				73,344	December 31, 2021.
						JUVENIL	E DEPEN	DENCY (JE	
4000	ID.	400=	<u></u>	E 10-	100	201	ETC.		
1988 1988		4697 500125		5105 500313	409 189		FT2 FT2		Juvenile Dependencies are cases in which a child has no parent or guardian willing or able to care for them usually
1900		OTAL JD CAS			109	0 /0	1 1 4	598	due to abuse, neglect or abandonment and is dependent
1989		5106		5590	485	19%			upon the State.
1989	JD	500314	JD	500427	114	-40%] '
1000		OTAL JD CAS			110	A = 0.1	ETO.	599	The case prefix J was used for both Juvenile
1990 1990		5591 500428		6000 500544	410 117	-15% 3%	FT2		Delinquencies and Juvenile Dependencies prior to 1978. JD has been used for Juvenile Dependencies since
1330		TAL JD CAS			117	J /0		527	August 1, 1978.
1991	JD	6001	JD	6359	359	-12%			
1991		500545		500639	95	-19%	FT2]
1992		OTAL JD CAS 6360		1991 6700	341	E0/	FT2	454	Cases between JD 500000 through JD 503670 where the
1992		500640		500766	127	-5% 34%			petition has been dismissed as to all juveniles are
		TAL JD CAS			121	3170		468	considered inactive, and are available on microfilm. Active
1993	JD	6701	JD	7050	350		FT2		cases between these numbers that are not on microfilm
1993		500767		500979	213	68%	FT2		are also located at the Juvenile Southeast facility.
1004		TAL JD CAS			404	400/	ETO	563	JD cases within the range of J 00001 to JD 11162 where
1994 1994		7051 500980		7541 501262	491 283	40% 33%			the petition has been dismissed as to all juveniles are on
.557		TAL JD CAS			200	3070	· · -	774	film at the Juvenile Durango facility. All active cases
1995	JD	7542	JD	8113	572	16%			between these numbers that are not on microfilm are also
1995		501263	JD	501614	352	24%	FT2	-	located at the Juvenile Durango facility. Inactive numbers
1000		TAL JD CAS			500	401	CTO.	924	are located at CSC.
1996 1996		8114 501615		8682 501980	569 366		FT2 FT2		
1990		TAL JD CAS			300	4-70	114	935	†
1997		8683		9403	721	27%			†
1997	JD	501981	JD	502419	439	20%]
4555		TAL JD CAS						1,160	
1998		9404		10115	712		FT2		
1998		502420 OTAL JD CAS		502862 1998	443	1%	FT2	1,155	1
1999	JD	10116		10617	502	-29%	FT2	1,100	†
1999		502863		503272	410	-7%			†
	TC	TAL JD CAS	SES	1999				912]
2000		10618	JD	11156	539		FT2		
2000		503273	\ <u></u>	503563	291	-29%	F12	202	
2001		11157 TAL JD CAS		11665	509	-6%	FT2	830	
2001		503564		503843	280	-6%			
_001		555554		333040	200	7,0	· · -		<u> </u>

Name	П	Reginnir	na Case	Fnd	ing Case	Number	Growth		ANNUAL	
TOTAL JD CASES 2001 TOTAL JD CASES 2002 TOTAL JD CASES 2002 TOTAL JD CASES 2002 TOTAL JD CASES 2004 TOTAL JD CASES 2006 TOTAL JD CASES 2006 TOTAL JD CASES 2007 TOTAL JD CASES 2006 TOTAL JD CASES 2006 TOTAL JD CASES 2007 TOTAL JD CASES 2009 TOTAL JD		_	_		_			Location		CASE STATUS
2002 D						0111100		Location		
TOTAL JD CASES 2002 930	2002					522	3%	JCC-DF		
2003 U	2002	JD	503844	JD	504251	408	46%	JCC-SE		
2003 D 504252 D 504263 S72									930	
TOTAL JD CASES 2008										
2004 UD 12921 UD 13697 776 6 % JGC-DF	2003					572	40%	JCC-SE	4.004	
2004 ID 504824 ID 505422 500	0004					770	C 0/	100 DE	1,304	
TOTAL JD CASES 2004										
2005 JD 13698 JD 14540 643 945 JCC-DF	2004					000	370	JCC-3E	1 376	-
2005 D 509424 D 506046 623 4% JCC-SE TOTAL JO CASES 2006 D 14541 D 15422 882 5% JCC-DF TOTAL JO CASES 2006 D 506670 D 506670 D 506670 D 506670 D 506670 D 506670 D 506700 D 506700 D 507085 D 507086 D 508080 D 507086 D 508080 D 5	2005					843	9%	JCC-DF	1,570	
TOTAL JD CASES 2005										
2006 JD 506047 JD 506570 523 -16% JCC-SE 1,405 2007 JD 15423 JD 16337 915 4% JCC-DF 1,405 2007 JD 506571 JD 507085 515 -2% JCC-SE 1,405 2008 JD 507085 JD 507085 515 -2% JCC-SE 1,430 2008 JD 507086 JD 507085 599 16% JCC-SE 1,430 2008 JD 507086 JD 507085 599 16% JCC-SE 1,896 2009 JD 507086 JD 507085 JD 508085 599 16% JCC-SE 1,896 2009 JD 507086 JD 508085 591 1977 1.5% JCC-DF JD 18736 JD 18735 JD 18735 JD 18735 JD 18735 JD 18735 JD 18736 JD 18726 JD 508085 JD		TOTAL							1,466	
TOTAL JO CASES 2006 2007 J JD 15423 J JD 163387 915 4% JCC-DE TOTAL JO CASES 2007 TOTAL JO CASES 2008 TOTAL JO CASES 2009 TOTAL JO CASES 2016 TOTAL JO CASES 2016 TOTAL JO CASES 2017 TOTAL J	2006	JD	14541	JD	15422	882	5%	JCC-DF	,	
2007 JD	2006					523	-16%	JCC-SE		
2007 ID 506571 JD 507085 515 -2% JCC-SE									1,405	
TOTAL JD CASES 2007										
2008 D 16338 D 17634 1,297 42% JCC-DF	2007					515	-2%	JCC-SE	4 420	
TOTAL JD CASES 2008	2008					1 207	420/	ICC DE	1,430	
TOTAL JD CASES 2008										•
2009 ID	2000					000	1070	000-0L		
TOTAL JD CASES 2019	2009					1.097	-15%	JCC-DF	1,000	JD18126 through and including JD18128: JD508132
TOTAL JD CASES 2009										, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2010 JD		TOTA	L JD CAS	SES 2	009	l			1,708	
2010 JD	2010	JD	18736	JD	19872	1,098	0%	JCC-DF		2010 -18926,18991-1900,19241-19270,19867 were not
TOTAL JD CASES 2010	2010	JD								issued.
TOTAL JD CASES 2010							17%			2010- 508479,508701,508856-508859 were not issued.
2011 JD	2010					11		JCC-SE		2010- these numbers were created in 2009 but not used.
2011 JD	0044					4 077	0.50/	100.55	1,824	
Document							25%			
Description								JCC-DF		
December							Ω%	ICC SE		Correction to 2010: 19867 issued in 2010
TOTAL JD CASES 2011							370			
2012 JD	2011							000 02	2.194	
2012 JD 509811 JD 510693 883 14% JCC-SE 2,690	2012					1,807	31%	JCC-DF	, -	2012 -JD218870 not used: JD510215 was issued but not
2013 JD 23051 JD 27606 1,872 4% JCC-DF 2013 JC 23051 JD 527292 400 -55% JCC-SE was issued but not used, JD24316-JD26999 not used due to TOTAL JD CASES 2013 2,7272	2012					883	14%			used.
2013 JD 510694 JD 527292 400 -55% JCC-SE was issued but not used, JD24316-JD26999 not used due to TOTAL JD CASES 2013 2,272 ECR; JCC-SE-JD510730-510739 issued at Durango, JD510917-JD510919 2014 JD 27607 JD 29704 2,102 12% JCC-DF JD510901-510916 issued at Durango, JD510917-JD510919 2014 JD CASES 2014 3,181 4% JCC-DF ID510901-510916 issued at Durango, JD510917-JD510919 2015 JD 29705 JD 31885 2,181 4% JCC-DF ECR, JD527000 first number used for ECR, JD527000 first number used for ECR, JD527000 first number used before ECR, JD527000 first number used for ECR, JD527000 first number used for ECR, JD528000-JD538007 used at Durango. ECR, JD538000-JD538007 used at Durango									2,690	
Coll JD Case Ca										
2014 JD 27607 JD 29704 2,102 12% JCC-DF JDS10901-510916 issued at Durango, JDS10917-JDS10919 not used, JDS10917-JDS10919 not used, JDS10962 and JDS27115 no used, JDS11311 last number used before ECR, JDS27000 first number used for ECR, JDS38007 used at Durango, JDS10917-JDS10919 not used, JDS10962 and JDS27115 no used, JDS11311 last number used before ECR, JDS38007 used at Durango, JDS10917-JDS10919 not used, JDS10962 and JDS27115 no used, JDS11311 last number used before ECR, JDS38007 used at Durango, JDS10917-JDS10919 not used, JDS10962 and JDS27115 no used, JDS10917-JDS10919 not used, JDS10919 not used, JDS10919 not used,	2013					400	-55%	JCC-SE		
Description Total JD Cases 2014 JD 527293 JD 528373 1,079 170% JCC-SE JCC-SE JCS-SE 2014 JCC-DF JCC-D	2014					2 402	100/	ICC DE	2,212	
TOTAL JD CASES 2014 3,181 number used before ECR, JD527000 first number used for ECR, JD527000 first number used for ECR, JD538000-JD538027 used at Durango.										•
2015 JD 29705 JD 31885 2,181 4% JCC-DF 2015 JD 528374 JD 529590 1,213 12% JCC-SE 2016 JD 31886 JD 33618 1,732 -21% JCC-DF 2016 JD 31886 JD 33618 1,732 -21% JCC-DF 2016 JD 529591 JD 530552 941 -22% JCC-SE 2015: JCC-SE: 528520 was issued but not logged,	2017					1,073	17070	000-0L	3.181	
TOTAL JD CASES 2015 3,394 2014: JCC-DF 2014: JCC-DF 2014: JCC-DF 2014: JCC-DF 2014: JCC-DF 2014: JCC-DF 2015: JCC-DF 2016: JCC 2015: JCC 2	2015				*	2,181	4%	JCC-DF	5,.51	
2016 JD 31886 JD 33618 1,732 -21% JCC-DF dead number.										,
2016 JD 31886 JD 33618 1,732 -21% JCC-DF dead number. 2015: JCC-SE: 528520 was issued but not logged, 528662-dead case number, 528857-dead case number, 528662-dead case number, 528662-dead case number, 528662-dead case number, 528662-dead case number, 529268-dead case number, 529151-dead case number, 529151-									3,394	2014: JCC-DF- 28904 issued in error; JCC-SE- 527928
TOTAL JD CASES 2016 2,673 528662-dead case number, 528857-dead case number, 529151-dead case number, 529268-dead case number, 529151-dead case number, 529151-dead case number, 529151-dead case number, 529268-dead case number, 529151-dead case number, 529268-dead case number.										dead number.
2017 JD 33621 JD 35265 1,645 -5% JCC-DF	2016					941	-22%	JCC-SE		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
2017 JD 530553 JD 531362 809 -14% JCC-SE	2047					4 045	F0/	100.55	2,673	
TOTAL JD CASES 2017 2,454										529151-dead case number, 529268-dead case number.
2018 JD 35266 JD 36840 1,575 -4% JCC-DF	2017					809	-14%	JCC-SE		
2018 JD 531363 JD 532199 832 3% JCC-SE	2018					1 575	-4%	JCC-DF		2016 ICC DE: 32885 (not used) and 33507 (dood
TOTAL JD CASES 2018 2,407										, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2019 JD 36841 JD 38879 1,534 -3% JCC-DF 2018-JCC-SE: JD531577 Dead Number; JD531924 Dead Number; JD531977, JD531978 not used; JD537047 used out of sequence, JD532017 not used. 2020 JD 38880 JD 40372 1,502 -2% JCC-DF 2018-JCC-SE: JD531577 Dead Number; JD531924 Dead Number; JD531978, not used; JD537047 used out of sequence, JD532017 not used. 2019: JCC-SE: JD532221 dead number, JD532326 used in Mar out of sequence, JD532578 not used, JD532781 dead number, JD532830 & JD532845 dead numbers, JD532923 2021 JD 40373 JD 41542 1,268 -16% JCC-DF 2021 JD 533868 JD 534580 507 -36% JCC-SE 2019 2018-JCC-SE: JD531577 Dead Number; JD531924 Dead Number; JD531978, not used; JD537047 used out of sequence, JD532017 not used. 2019: JCC-SE: JD531977, JD531978 not used; JD532326 used in Mar out of sequence, JD532578 not used, JD532781 dead number, JD532830 & JD532845 dead numbers, JD532923 dead number, JD532033 dead number-initiated in error. 2021 JD 533868 JD 534580 507 -36% JCC-SE 2019 2019: JCC-SE: JD531977, JD531978 not used; JD532917 not used. 2019: JCC-SE: JD531977, JD531978 not used; JD532918 not used; JD532921 2019: JCC-SE: JD531977, JD531978 not used; JD531978 not u						302	0,0		2.407	114111601 J.
2019 JD 532200 JD 533069 865 4% JCC-SE Number; JD531977, JD531978 not used; JD537047 used out of sequence, JD532017 not used. 2020 JD 38880 JD 40372 1,502 -2% JCC-DF 2019; JCC-SE: JD532221 dead number, JD532326 used in Mar out of sequence, JD532578 not used, JD532781 dead number, JD532578 not used, JD532781 dead number, JD532830 & JD532845 dead numbers, JD532923 2021 JD 40373 JD 41542 1,268 -16% JCC-DF 2021 JD 533868 JD 534580 507 -36% JCC-SE 3000 300	2019					1,534	-3%	JCC-DF		2018- JCC-SE: JD531577 Dead Number: JD531924 Dead
TOTAL JD CASES 2019 2,399 of sequence, JD532017 not used.										·
Z020 JD 38880 JD 40372 1,502 -2% JCC-DF 2019: JCC-SE: JD532221 dead number, JD532326 used in Mar out of sequence, JD532578 not used, JD532781 dead TOTAL JD CASES 2020 2,293 number, JD532830 & JD532845 dead numbers, JD532923 2021 JD 40373 JD 41542 1,268 -16% JCC-DF 2021 JD 533868 JD 534580 507 -36% JCC-SE										
TOTAL JD CASES 2020 2,293 number, JD532830 & JD532845 dead numbers, JD532923 2021 JD 40373 JD 41542 1,268 -16% JCC-DF dead number, JD553033 dead number-initiated in error. 2021 JD 533868 JD 534580 507 -36% JCC-SE JCC-SE		JD			40372	1,502		JCC-DF		
2021 JD 40373 JD 41542 1,268 -16% JCC-DF dead number, JD553033 dead number-initiated in error. 2021 JD 533868 JD 534580 507 -36% JCC-SE dead number, JD553033 dead number-initiated in error.	2020					791	-9%	JCC-SE		
2021 JD 533868 JD 534580 507 -36% JCC-SE									2,293	
2021 1,775 333868 JD 534580 507 -36% JCC-SE 1,775										dead number, JD553033 dead number-initiated in error.
101AL 3D CASES 2021 1,779	2021					507	-36%	JCC-SE		
		IUIA	L JD CA	o⊏3 2	V4 I				1,775	I I

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS
	Hallingt	HUIIINGI	J. 1 1103	. 5155111		10175	2020:JCC-DF: 39368 dead number; JCC-SEJ: JD533090,
							JD533094 dead numbers; JD533814, JD533836, JD533838,
							JD533839, JD533848 dead numbers.
							2021: JCC-DF : Wrong # sequence started 50000-50001
							issued then # sequence was changed back to the original
							sequence; 41242-41249 eFile; 41256-41300 eFile; 41306-
							41356 eFile; 41367-41440 SEJ; 41416-41466 eFile; 41411
							eFile; 41418-41464 eFile; 41415 skipped; 41483 used;
							41535-41542 used; 41484-41534 eFile; 41465-41466 eFile;
							JCC-SEJ: JD533877 & JD53888 Dead; JD533951 not used:
							JD534050 dead; JD534270-JD5347370 DUR block;
							JD534424-JD534440 remaining eFlle block; JD534424-
							JD534440 used; JD534449-JD534473 remaining Efile block
							JD534466 used; JD534449-JD534473 used; JD534466 used
							in NOv; JD534474-JD534574 DUR block.
							1111100, 30334474-30334374 DOT Block.
							-
							 <u>-</u>
							Juvenile Dependency index and locations are current
	TOTAL JD C	ASES					through December 31, 2021.
	r					IPATION (
2005	JE1	JE7	8		JCC-DF		This category began 8-12-05.
2005			3	0%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JE CAS					11]
2006			21	163%			
2006		500016	14	367%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JE CAS	SES 2006				35	
2007			26	24%			
2007			17	21%	JCC-SE]
	TOTAL JE CAS					43	<u> </u>
2008			31	19%			<u> </u>
2008	JE 500033	JE 500056	24	41%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JE CAS					55	<u>il</u>
2009			8	-74%			
2009			11	-54%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JE CAS	SES 2009				19	
2010			11	38%			
2010	JE 500068	JE 500071	4	-64%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JE CAS	SES 2010	·		•	15	
2011			11	0%	JCC-DF		1
2011	JE 500072	JE 500080	9	125%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JE CAS	SES 2011	•			20	
2012	JE 109		14	27%	JCC-DF		
2012	JE 500081	JE 500086	6	-33%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JE CAS					20	
2013		JE 703	8	-43%	JCC-DF		2013: JCC-DFJE127-JE699 not used due to ECR, ECR
2013	JE 500087	JE 500706	10	67%	JCC-SE		case number started with JE700; JCC-SEJE500092-
	TOTAL JE CAS	SES 2013				18	JE5000699 were not issued.
2014	JE 704	JE 714	11	38%	JCC-DF		
2014	JE 500707	JE 500713	7	-30%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JE CAS	SES 2014				18	
2015	JE 715	JE 720	6	-45%	JCC-DF		
2015	JE 500714	JE 500721	8	14%	JCC-SE]
	TOTAL JE CAS					14	
2016		JE 733	13	117%	JCC-DF		
2016			8	0%			
	TOTAL JE CAS		· I			21]
2017			6	-54%	JCC-DF		1
2017			6	-25%			1
	TOTAL JE CAS	SES 2017	· 1			12	
2018			7	17%	JCC-DF		2018-JCC-DF; No numbers issued in Jan, Apr, May, Jun,
2018			8	33%			Sep, & Dec 2018.
	TOTAL JE CAS		· 1			15	
2019			8	14%	JCC-DF		1
2019			8	0%			1
	TOTAL JE CAS					16	<u> </u>
2020			9	13%	JCC-DF		1
2020			7	-13%			†
	TOTAL JE CAS		1	.070	, 	16	i
			9	0%	JCC-DF	_	2021: JCC-DF; 764-790 sent to SEJ; no numbers issued
2021			10	43%			in Jan, April, Jun, Jul, Sep, & Dec 2021. JCC-SEJ : No
	JE 5007901			.070	, 		numbers issued in Feb, May, Jul, Sep, Oct, Nov & Dec
	JE 500790	SES 2021				1	4 nambers issued in reb, iviay, but, bep, but, nov & Dec
		SES 2021					
2021 2021		SES 2021					2021.
		SES 2021					2021.
	TOTAL JE CAS						2021. Juvenile Emancipation index and locations are current
			.IIIVF	NILF GIIA	RDIANSL		2021. Juvenile Emancipation index and locations are current through December 31, 2021.

	Beginning Case	Ending Case	Number	Growth		ANNUAL	
Year	Number	Number	of Files	Percent	Location	TOTAL	CASE STATUS
2004			94	0%	JCC-SE		effective 11-18-04.
	TOTAL JG CA	SES 2004				173	
2005	JG79	JG725	647		JCC-DF		
2005			525	459%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JG CA					1,172	
2006	JG726	JG1453	728	13%	JCC-DF		
2006			614	17%	JCC-SE		<u> </u>
0007	TOTAL JG CA		700	70/	100.05	1,342	<u> </u>
2007			780	7%			
2007	JG 501233 TOTAL JG CA		607	-1%	JCC-SE	1,387	+
2008			733	-6%	JCC-DF		+
2008	JG 501840		580	-4%	JCC-SE		+
2000	TOTAL JG CA		000	470	000 CL	1,313	†
2009			678	-8%	JCC-DF		JG502911, 502912 and 502913 were issued; JG3374
2009			485	-16%	JCC-SE		through and including JG3392 were not used.
	TOTAL JG CA					1,163	
2010	JG 3664	JG 4303	623	-8%	JCC-DF		
2010			16				Originally issued in 2009/used in 2010
2010			479	-1%	JCC-SE		2010: Not issued: JG502953, JG503350-503359 and
	TOTAL JG CA				•		503362; issued in 2009: JG3374, JG502911, 502912, and
2011	JG 4309	JG 5042	734	18%	JCC-DF		502913
2011		JG 3392	2				
2011			15				2011- 4688 not issued; 503888-503900, 503911 not
2011			497	4%	JCC-SE		issued
2011			10		JCC-SE		Correction to 2010: 4304-4308 were used in 2010.
0040	TOTAL JG CA		20.4	400/	100.05	1,258	†
2012			864	18%	JCC-DF		2012 -JG5639-JG6999 not used due to ECR; JG7100-
2012	JG 503913 TOTAL JG CA		437	-12%	JCC-SE		JG7199 assigned for JCC-SE to use for Durango cases.
2013			812	-6%	JCC-DF		JG5033960-JG503979, JG504000-504019, JG504075-
2013			565	29%	JCC-SE		504089, JG504140-504159 used at Durango. 504279 is last paper filed usedas of 10/01/2012, ECR files started with
2013	TOTAL JG CA		303	2970	JCC-3E	1 377	JG507000.
2014			864	6%	JCC-DF	1,377	36307000.
2014			578	2%			2013 : JCC-SE JG507100-JG507799 issued at Durango,
2011	TOTAL JG CA		0.0	270	000 02		JG507406 not issued, JG507400-507500 issued at Durango,
2015			964	12%	JCC-DF		JG507501 not issued.
2015			493	-15%	JCC-SE		2014: JCC-SE JG507859 dead number, JG507983 dead
	TOTAL JG CA		L		ı		number, JG508136 dead number, JG508024-JG508124
2016	JG 9907	JG 10852	946	-2%	JCC-DF		issued to Durango.
2016		JG 509719	490	-1%	JCC-SE		2015: JCC-DUR: JG9660 not used; JCC-SE: 508427-
	TOTAL JG CA						508526 issued to DUR; 508591 was issued, but not logged;
2017			928	-2%	JCC-DF		508668 dead case number; 508823-508923 issued to DUR.
2017			500	2%	JCC-SE		
00:5	TOTAL JG CA		1		100 ==		2016 : JCC-SE: 509173-509273, 509391 issued to Durango;
2018			889		JCC-DF		509493, 509682, 509717 not used.
2018	JG 510423 TOTAL JG CA		436	-13%	JCC-SE		2018: JCC-SE : JG510545 not used, JG510671-JG510771
2019			755	-15%	JCC-DF		DUR block, JG510882 not used.
2019			427	-15% -2%	JCC-DF		2019: JCC-SE : JG 510999-JG511099 to DUR, JG511432- JG511532 to DUR, JG533014 dead number-issued in error.
2019	TOTAL JG CA		441	- <u>-</u> ∠ /0	100-0E	1,182	
2020			356	-53%	JCC-DF		2020: JCC-DF : new block to SEJ 13760-13860, 13759
2020			224	-48%			dead number; JCC-SEJ : JG511718 dead number,
	TOTAL JG CA						JG511802 and JG511803 dead numbers.
2021			356	0%	JCC-DF		2021: JCC-SEJ: JG511818-JG511918 DUR block,
2021			224	0%			JG511927 dead number; JG511935 not used; JG512034
	TOTAL JG CA		L.				dead number; JG512113-JG512213 DUR block.
	TOTAL IO	CACEC				24.024	Juvenile Guardianship of Minors index and locations are
	TOTAL JG	ASES				∠1,034	current through December 31, 2021.

	Beginning Case	Ending	Case	Number	Growth		ANNUAL	
Year	Number	Numbe		of Files	Percent	Location	TOTAL	CASE STATUS
				JUV			NQUISHME	
1988-								JI cases deal with Indian children whose natural parents
1998	JI 1	JI	93	93		JCC-DF	93	wish to relinquish their parental rights before the Court in
1999	JI 94	JI	95	2		JCC-DF		order to free the children for adoption.
2000	JI 96	JI	104	9	350%	JCC-DF	9	·
2001	JI 105	JI	113	9	0%	JCC-DF	9	Southeast JI 500000 through JI 500007 are unavailable
2002	JI 114	JI	127	14	56%	JCC-DF	14	on microfilm.
2003	JI 128	JI	136	9	-36%	JCC-DF	9	
2004			153	17		JCC-SEF		2004-case numbers 140-148 not used.
2005			155	11	-35%			2005 -case numbers 140-146, 148, 154-156 were used.
2006			167	9	-18%			2006- case numbers 147, 156, 160-161, 163-167 were
2007			182	15	67%			used. Case numbers 157-159 and 162 were not used.
2008			192	16	7%			2007 - Case numbers 157, 158, 159, 162, 168, 169, 170,
2009			197	3	-81%			171, 172, 180, 181, 182 were used; 173 through 179
2010			200	4	33%			were not used.
2011				4	0%		4	2008 - 173 through and including 179; 183 through and
2012			225	5	25%	JCC-DF		including 192; NOT used was 185; added: 196 was
2012			207	5		JCC-SE	40	issued.
0040	TOTAL JI CAS		007	0	000/	LOO DE	10	2009 -Only numbers issued were 185, 193 and 197; 186
2013			227	2		JCC-DF		through and including 192, 194, 195 and 196 were not
2013			227	20	300%	JCC-SE	00	issued.
2014	TOTAL JI CAS		NI/A	0	1000/	ICC DE	22	2010-194-originally created in 2009 was used; JI195
2014			N/A 212	0		JCC-SE		created in 2009 was not issued. 2011 -203-219 were not issued.
2014	TOTAL JI CAS		212	4	-80%	JCC-SE	4	201 1-203-219 were not issued. 2013 : JCC-SE JI 210-JI219 not issued; JCC-DF ECR
2015			733	4	Λ0/	JCC-DF	4	started with case number 730.
2015			702	2		JCC-DF JCC-SE		Started With Case Humber 730.
2013	TOTAL JI CAS		102		-30%	JUU-3E	6	<u> </u>
2016			736	3	-25%	JCC-DF	·	
2016			704	2		JCC-SE		
2010	TOTAL JI CAS		704		070	000-0L	5	
2017	,		740	4	33%	JCC-DF		
2017			708	3		JCC-SE		
2011	TOTAL JI CAS		700	<u> </u>	0070	000 02	7	
2018			742	2	-50%	JCC-DF	-	2018: JCC-SE-No Numbers issued for Feb, Mar, Apr,
2018			710	2		JCC-SE		May, Jun, Jul, Aug, Oct, Nov & Dec.
	TOTAL JI CAS			=1			4	,, c, c, cg, c, c
2019			744	2	0%	JCC-DF		2019: JCC-SE: JI713 not issued; JI1713 issued in error.
2019	JI 710	JI	713	4	100%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JI CAS	SES 2019					6	
2020			745	1		JCC-DF		
2020			714	0	-100%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JI CAS						1	
2021			745	0		JCC-DF		2021: JCC-DF: No numbers issued in Jan thru Nov 2021;
2021	-		714	1	0%	JCC-SE		JCC-SE: No numbers issued in Jan, Mar, Apr, May, Jun,
	TOTAL JI CAS	SES 2021					1	Jul, Aug, Sep, Oct, Nov & Dec 2021.
-	TOTAL U.C	ACEC					470	huvenile Indian Delinguis-broants ind.
	TOTAL JI C	ASES					1/6	Juvenile Indian Relinquishments index and locations are
				1111/1-1111	E NOTIFIC	1 MOLTA	MINIOD ADO	current through December 31, 2021.
2000	INI 4000	INI	1011		LE NUTIFIC		MINUK ABO	ORTION (JN)
2003			1011	12		JCC-DF		Juvenile Notifications commenced approximately July 24,
2003			00030	31		JCC-SE	43	1982. Effective July 1, 1985, JN's were filed and heard at
2001	TOTAL JN CAS		1000	1-	4007	100.55	43	adult court instead of juvenile court unless there was also
2004	JN 1012		1028 00104	17		JCC-DF		a delinquency or dependency. On July 25, 1985, 113 JN
2004	JN 500031 TOTAL JN CAS		000104	74	139%	JCC-SE	91	files were destroyed where the juvenile was over the age
2005			1071	40	1520/	JCC-DF	91	of 18. This was an "administrative destruction"; there
2005				43				were a total of 401 Juvenile Notifications filed at that time.
2005			00139	35	-53%	JCC-SE	=-	
2000	TOTAL JN CAS		1101	0.0	0001	100.55	78	On September 17, 1986, a memo from Judge Fidel
2006 2006	JN 1072		1104 00170	33 31		JCC-DF JCC-SE		approved the destruction of JN files after a juvenile
2000	JN 500140 TOTAL JN CA		1/1/00/1/0	اد	-11%	JUU-3E	64	reached the age of 18 and approved discontinuing
2007			1128	24	-27%	JCC-DF	04	indexing the cases effective immediately.
2007			00203	33	-27% 6%			In January 1987, 259 JN files were destroyed. At that
2007	TOTAL JN CA			J.)	0 /0	000-3E	57	time, only 29 files remained where the juvenile was still
2008			1155	27	13%	JCC-DF		under 18 years of age; the last JN number used was JN-
2008	JN 500204		00226	23	-30%			514. Presumably, that includes those filed at both
	TOTAL JN CA		JULLU	20	30 70	000 OL	50	juvenile and adult court.
2009			1182	27	0%	JCC-DF		J
2009			00239	13	-43%			
	TOTAL JN CA				.0,0		40	†
2010			1210	28	4%	JCC-DF		
2010			00239	17	31%			There is no record of when JN's ceased in adult court.
	TOTAL JN CA						45	There is a memo dated September 26, 1989, regarding
2011			1233	23	-18%	JCC-DF		proposed procedures "assuming the law is withheld"

Variety Number Wumber Wimber Of Files Percent Location TOTAL		Beginning Case	Ū	Case	Number	Growth	1	ANNUAL	0.105.071716
TOTAL NC ASES 2011 37 30 40 30 30 40 30 30 30	Year 2011	Number JN 500257			of Files			IUIAL	CASE STATUS which would indicate that there was legislation to again
2012 N	2011			000210	14	- 10 /0	000-0E	37	S S
TOTAL_JN CASES 2012						-9%			, ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
2013 N. 1254 N. 1277 24 1455 JCC-DE The Clerks Office issued the following Notice to the File in TOTAL JN CASES 2913 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	2012			500291	21	50%	JCC-SE	10	
2015 M 50026 M 50028 11 4581 JCC-SE 35 M 500001 on July 19, 1991:	2012			1277	الاد	1/10/	ICC DE	42	The Clerk's Office issued the following Notice to the File in
TOTAL_JN CASES 2013									,
2014 M		TOTAL JN CAS	SES 2013			1070		35	• •
2014 M 300.25 M 300.315 To 300.315									
1305 J.N. 1304 N. 1311 8 69% J.C.C.D.F	2014			500315	17	55%	JCC-SE	42	
Company Comp		TOTAL IN CA	SES 2014					43	
TOTAL JN CASES 2015 OTOTAL JN CASES 2016 TOTAL JN CASES 2018 TOTAL JN	2015	JN 1304	JN	1311	8	-69%	JCC-DF		upon verbal permission of Presiding Judge C. Kimball
2016 N 1322 N 1330 8 0% JOC-DF Nad been challenged and were stayed. JN cases began a profession of the province of the profession of the p		JN 500316				-35%	JCC-SE		
2016 JN 500327 JN 500322 6	0040			4000	٥١	00/	100 DE	19	
TOTAL IN CASES 2016 142 10 25% JOC-DF 2013 JUN 30033 JN 500340 8 33% JOC-SE 17 180 2018 JUN									, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2017 JN 500333 N 500340 8 33% JGC-SE 2018 JN 1360 JN	2010			000002	O _I	-4070	300-0L	14	again in March 2003.
TOTAL JN CASES 2017	2017	JN 1332	JN	1342	10	25%	JCC-DF		2013 : JCC-SE JN500290-500291 not issued.
2018 N	2017			500340	8	33%	JCC-SE		
2018 JN 500341 IN 500346 6 .25% JCC-DE TOTAL JN CASES 2018 TOTAL JN CASES 2018 JCC-DE TOTAL JN CASES 2019 JN 500350 JR 500	2040			4000	41	000/	IOO DE	18	
TOTAL JN CASES 2018 2019 JN 1361 JN 1361 JN 1366 JN 1500350 3 .50% JOC-DF 2019 JN 500347 JN 500350 3 .50% JOC-DF 2020 JN 500350 JN 500355 6 100% JOC-DF 2020 JN 500350 JN 500355 6 100% JOC-DF 2020 JN 500350 JN 500355 6 100% JOC-DF 2021 JN 1410 JN 1434 25 9% JOC-DF 2021 JN 500356 JN 500359 11 83% JOC-DF 2021 JN 1410 JN 1434 25 9% JOC-DF 2021 JN 500356 JN 500359 11 83% JOC-DF 2021 JN 500356 JN 500369 11 83% JOC-DF 2021 JN 500356 JN 500369 11 S3% JOC-DF 2021 JN 1410 JN CASES 2021 2021 JN 500356 JN 500369 11 S3% JOC-DF 2021 JN 1410 JN CASES 2021 2021 JN 1410 JN CASES 2021 2021 JN 1410 JN CASES 2021 2021 JN 500356 JN 500369 11 S3% JOC-DF 2021 JN 1410 JN CASES 2021 2021 JN 500356 JN 500369 11 S3% JOC-DF 2021 JN 1410 JN CASES 2021 2021 JN 1410 JN CASES 2021 2021 JN 1410 JN CASES 2021 2021 JN 2021 JN 2021 JN 500356 JN 500369 11 S3% JOC-DF 2021 JN 1410 JN CASES 2021 2021 JN									•
2019 JN 1361 JN 1386 17 1600% JCC-DF 2019 JOC 900347 JN 500350 3 50% JCC-SE TOTAL JN CASES 2019 3187 JN 1409 23 35% JCC-DF 2020 JN 1387 JN 1409 23 35% JCC-DF 2020 JN 500350 N 500350 6 100% JCC-SE 2021 JN 500350 N 500350 S S 500350 S 5	2010				J ₁	2070	000 OL	7	
TOTAL JN CASES 2019 2020 JN 1367 JN 1409 23 35% JCC-DF TOTAL JN CASES 2020 2021 JN 500356 JN 500355 6 100% JCC-SE 27 2021 JN 500356 JN 500355 6 100% JCC-SE 27 2021 JN 500356 JN 500356 JN 500355 6 100% JCC-SE 27 2021 JN 500356 JN 500356 JN 14 25 9% JCC-DF 2017 JN 1410 JN 1434 25 9% JCC-SE 2021 JN 500356 JN 500369 11 839% JCC-DF 36 JN 500356 JN		JN 1361	JN						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2202 N 1387 JN 1409 23 35% JCC-DF	2019			500350	3	-50%	JCC-SE	-	from 1366 to 1378.
2020 N 500356 N 500355 6 100% JCC-SE 29 Currently all files are destroyed upon the juvenile's 18th TOTAL JN CASES 2020 C 29 C 29 Currently all files are destroyed upon the juvenile's 18th TOTAL JN CASES 2021 N 500356 JN 500356	2020			1400	വാ	250/	ICC DE	20	
TOTAL JN CASES 2020			-						
TOTAL JN CASES 2021 83% JCC-SE 368		TOTAL JN CAS	SES 2020		J ₁	10070	000 OL	29	Currently all files are destroyed upon the juvenile's 18th
TOTAL JN CASES 2021 TOTAL JN CASES JUVENILE ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS & INJUNCTION AGAINST HARASSMENT (JP) 1999 JP 1 JP 10 10 JCC-DF 10 1999 JP 1 JP 10 10 JCC-DF 10 1000 JP 11 JP 46 36 28% JCC-DF 36 JP cases are Orders of Protection and Injections Against and JP cases are Orders of Protection and Injections Against and JP cases are Orders of Protection and Injections Against and JP cases are Orders of Protection and Injections Against and JP cases are Orders of Protection and Injections Against and JP cases are Orders of Protection and Injections Against and JP cases are Orders of Protection and Injections Against and JP cases are Orders of Protection and Injections Against and JP cases are Orders of Protection and Injections Against Again				1434	25	9%			
TOTAL JN CASES 768	2021			500369	11	83%	JCC-SE		•
Suppose Supp		TOTAL JN CAS	SES 2021					36	used in Jan, Mar, Apr, Jun, Aug, Sep, Oct & Dec 2021.
Suppose Supp									•
Suppose Supp									
199 JP		TOTAL JN (CASES					768	Juvenile Notifications index and locations are current
1999 P									through December 31, 2021.
1999 P	-		.IIIVFN	IILF OP	DERS OF P	ROTECTIO	NS & IN	UNCTION 4	AGAINST HARASSMENT (.IP)
2000 JP									(01)
2002 JP	2000	JP 11	JP	46	36		JCC-DF		
2003 JP 127 JP 158 32 -26% JCC-DF 32 Used by both Durango and Southeast facilities. 2004 JP 159 JP 199 41 28% JCC-DF 41 2005 JP 200 JP 261 62 51% JCC-DF 62 2006 JP 262 JP 300 39 -37% JCC-DF 39 2007 JP 301 JP 326 26 -33% JCC-DF 39 2008 JP 316 JP 384 51 96% JCC-DF 39 2009 JP 336 JP 384 51 96% JCC-DF 39 2009 JP 336 JP 435 80 57% JCC-DF 80 2009 JP 346 JP 490 45 -44% JCC-DF 5 2010 JP 409 JP 413 5 JCC-DF 5 2011 JP 409 JP 413 5 JCC-DF 5 2011 JP 405 JP 409									
2004 JP 159 JP 199 41 28% JCC-DF 41 2005 JP 200 JP 261 62 51% JCC-DF 62 2003 Issued 159-172 2004 Issued 173-199, 206-223, 267 2007 JP 301 JP 326 26 -33% JCC-DF 26 2005 JP 316 JP 384 51 96% JCC-DF 51 2006 JP 336 JP 345 80 57% JCC-DF 80 2007 Case numbers 301 through 326 were used; 316, 2010 JP 436 JP 449 45 -44% JCC-DF 51 2006 JP 2007 Case numbers 301 through 326 were used; 316, 2010 JP 339 JP 339 JP 339 JP JCC-DF 51 2011 JP 491 JP 502 12 JCC-DF 12 2008 360, 361, 362, 364 through and including 384; NOT 2011 JP 504 JP 505 2 JCC-DF 2011 JP 505 JP 539 JD JCC-DF 10 2011 JP 550 JP 539 JD JCC-DF 10 2011 JP 550 JP 576 27 JCC-DF 10 2011 JP 505 JP 576 27 JCC-DF 10 2011 JP 505 JP 576 27 JCC-DF 10 2011 JP 570 JP 576 27 JCC-DF 2011 JP 570 JP 576 27 JCC-DF 2011 JP 570 JP 578 359 350									
2005 JP 200 JP 261 62 51% JCC-DF 62 2003 Issued 159-172 2006 JP 262 JP 300 39 -37% JCC-DF 39 2004 Issued 173-199, 206-223, 267 2007 JP 301 JP 326 26 -33% JCC-DF 26 2005 Issued 20-205, 224-257, 261, 266 2008 JP 316 JP 384 51 96% JCC-DF 51 2006 Issued 258, 259, 260, 262-265, 268-264, 300 2009 JP 356 JP 435 80 57% JCC-DF 80 2007 - Case numbers 301 through 326 were used; 316, 2010 JP 436 JP 449 449 45 -44% JCC-DF 45 317, 318 and 319 were not used. Added: 285 through and including 299 were issued. 2010 JP 499 JP 413 5 JCC-DF 5 2008 -316, 317, 318, and 319, 327 through and including 2011 JP 496 JP 505 2 JCC-DF 5 2008 -316, 317, 318, and 319, 327 through and including 3011 JP 504 JP 505 2 JCC-DF 12 355; 360, 361, 362, 364 through and including 394; NOT 2011 JP 530 JP 550 JP 576 27 JCC-DF 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	-								
2006 JP 262 JP 300 39 -37% JCC-DF 39 2004 - Issued 173-199, 206-223, 267 2007 JP 301 JP 326 26 -33% JCC-DF 51 2006 - Issued 200-225, 261, 266 2008 JP 316 JP 384 51 96% JCC-DF 51 2006 - Issued 268, 259, 260, 262-265, 268-284, 300 2009 JP 356 JP 435 80 57% JCC-DF 80 2007 - Case numbers 301 through 326 were used; 316, 2010 JP 436 JP 490 45 -44% JCC-DF 45 317, 318 and 319 were not used. Added: 285 through and including 299 were issued. 317, 318 and 319 were not used. Added: 285 through and including 299 were issued. 318 and 319 were not used. Added: 285 through and including 2011 JP 491 JP 502 12 JCC-DF 13 JCC-DF 14 JCC-DF 14 JCC-DF 15 JC									
2007 JP	2006	JP 262		300	39	-37%	JCC-DF	39	2004- Issued 173-199, 206-223, 267
2009 JP 356 JP 435 80 57% JCC-DF 80 2007 - Case numbers 301 through 326 were used; 316, 2010 JP 436 JP 490 45 -44% JCC-DF 45 317, 318 and 319 were not used. Added: 285 through 2010 JP 399 JP 319 JP 369 JP 378 JP 389 JP 399 JP 319 JP 378 JP 389 JP 399 JP 319 JP 378 JP 389 JP 389 JP 399 JP 318 JP 348 JP 348 JP 345 JCC-DF 32007 - Case numbers 301 through 326 were used; 316, 317, 318 and 319 were not used. Added: 285 through and including 299 were issued. 2010 JP 499 JP 413 JCC-DF 12 JCC								26	2005- Issued 200-205, 224-257, 261, 266
2010 JP	-				-				
2010 JP 409 JP 413 5 JCC-DF 5 and including 299 were issued.						_			
2010 JP 399 JP 399 1 JCC-DF 1 2011 JP 465 JP 469 5 JCC-DF 5 2008 - 316, 317, 318, and 319; 327 through and including 2011 JP 491 JP 502 12 JCC-DF 12 355; 360, 361, 362, 364 through and including 384; NOT 355; 360, 361, 362, 364 through and including 384; NOT 350; JP 504 JP 505 2 JCC-DF 12 2009-363, 399, 409 through and including 413 were not used; 356 through and including 413 were not used; 356 through and including 359; 385 through and including 359; 38						-44%			
2011 JP								1	and moluding 200 word issued.
2011 JP								5	2008 - 316, 317, 318, and 319; 327 through and including
2011 JP					12			12	355; 360, 361, 362, 364 through and including 384; NOT
2011 JP									
2012 JP 550 JP 576 27			-						
2012 JP								10	
TOTAL JP CASES 2012 2013 JP 577 JP 760 174 544% JCC-DF 2013 JP 549 JP 729 63 350% JCC-SE TOTAL JP CASES 2013 2014 JP 761 JP 818 57 -67% JCC-DF 2015 JP 819 JP 720 5 -29% JCC-SE TOTAL JP CASES 2014 2015 JP 819 JP 720 5 -29% JCC-SE TOTAL JP CASES 2015 TOTAL JP CASES 2016 2016 JP 721 JP 737 12 140% JCC-SE TOTAL JP CASES 2016 TOTAL JP CASES 2017 TOTAL JP CASES 2017 41 2010 -465-469 was not issued. 399, 409-413, originally created in 2009, was issued in 2010. 2011 -503,506-509, 522-529 were not issued. 2012 -JP 504 & JP 505 were used in 2011; JP 510-JP 539 were used in 2011 at Durango (JCC-DF). 42 2013 JP 819 JP 860 JCC-DF number JP730, JP735-JP744 not used-log page was not available; JCC-SEJP550-598 used at Durango, JP601-610 not issued, JP613-699 not issued, JP710-729 not issued. 2016 JP 721 JP 737 12 140% JCC-SE 2017 JP 879 JP 946 10 -17% JCC-SE TOTAL JP CASES 2017 41 2010 -465-469 was not issued. 399, 409-413, originally created in 2009, was issued in 2010. 2011 -503,506-509, 522-529 were not issued. 2012 -JP505 were used in 2011; JP510-JP539 were used in 2015; JP505 were used in 2016; JP510-JP505 were used in 2016; JP510-JP509 were used in 2016									
2013 JP	<u> </u>			•	1			41	<u> </u>
2013 JP	2013	JP 577	JP		174				
2014 JP 761 JP 818 57 -67% JCC-DF 2012-JP504 & JP505 were used in 2011; JP510-JP539 2014 JP 709 JP 715 7 -89% JCC-SE Were used in 2011 at Durango (JCC-DF). 2013: JCC-DFJP599 not used, ECR begins with case 2015 JP 819 JP 860 42 -26% JCC-DF 2013: JCC-DFJP599 not used, ECR begins with case 2015 JP 716 JP 720 5 -29% JCC-SE 2016 JP 2016 JP 862 JP 878 15 -64% JCC-DF 2016 JP 862 JP 879 JP 737 12 140% JCC-SE 2015: JCC-DF: JP841 not used. 2016: JP 738 JP 946 10 -17% JCC-SE 2017 JP 738 JP 946 10 -17% JCC-SE 2016 300	2013			729	63	350%	JCC-SE	-	·
2014 JP 709 JP 715 7 -89% JCC-SE	2044			040	C-71	670/	ICC DE	237	
TOTAL JP CASES 2014 64 2013: JCC-DF-JP599 not used, ECR begins with case 2015 JP									
2015 JP 819 JP 860 42 -26% JCC-DF number JP730, JP735-JP744 not used-log page was not available; JCC-SE -JP550-598 used at Durango, JP601-610 not issued, JP613-699 not issued, JP710-729 not issued. 2016 JP 862 JP 878 15 -64% JCC-DF 2016 JP 721 JP 737 12 140% JCC-SE 2015: JCC-DF: JP841 not used. 2015: JCC-DF: JP841 not used. 2017 JP 879 JP 914 35 133% JCC-DF 2017 JP 738 JP 946 10 -17% JCC-SE 2017 JP 738 JP 946 10 -17% JCC-SE 2017 JP 2017 J	2014			1 10	1	-09%	JCC-3E	64	
2015 JP 716 JP 720 5 -29% JCC-SE available; JCC-SE-JP550-598 used at Durango, JP601-610 TOTAL JP CASES 2015 47 2016 JP 862 JP 878 15 -64% JCC-DF 2016 JP 721 JP 737 12 140% JCC-SE 2015: JCC-DF: JP841 not used. TOTAL JP CASES 2016 27 2017 JP 879 JP 914 35 133% JCC-DF 2017 JP 738 JP 946 10 -17% JCC-SE 2017 JP CASES 2017 45 2017 JP CASES 2017 45 2017 JP CASES 2017 2017 JP CASES 2017 2017 JP 738 JP 946 10 -17% JCC-SE 2017 JP CASES 2017 2017 JP CAS	2015			860	42	-26%	JCC-DF		·
2016 JP 862 JP 878 15 -64% JCC-DF		JP 716	JP						available; JCC-SE JP550-598 used at Durango, JP601-610
2016 JP 721 JP 737 12 140% JCC-SE 2015: JCC-DF: JP841 not used. TOTAL JP CASES 2016 27 2017 JP 879 JP 914 35 133% JCC-DF 2017 JP 738 JP 946 10 -17% JCC-SE 7014 JCC-SE JCC-	0.5							47	not issued, JP613-699 not issued, JP710-729 not issued.
TOTAL JP CASES 2016 27 2017 JP 879 JP 914 35 133% JCC-DF 2017 JP 738 JP 946 10 -17% JCC-SE TOTAL JP CASES 2017 45									2045, ICC DE, ID044
2017 JP 879 JP 914 35 133% JCC-DF 2017 JP 738 JP 946 10 -17% JCC-SE TOTAL JP CASES 2017	2016			131	12	140%	JUU-SE	27	2015 :JCC-DF: JP841 NOT USEC.
2017 JP 738 JP 946 10 -17% JCC-SE TOTAL JP CASES 2017 45	2017	JP 879		914	35	133%	JCC-DF		
	2017	JP 738	JP						
Ζυτόμρ	0040			4000	0.01	0.401	100.55	45	
	2018	JF 915	J۲	1000	80	94%	JCC-DF		ן בעיד ס: טכט->ב- ואס numbers issued in Jan, Feb, Apr, May,

	Beginning Case	Endin	g Case	Number	Growth		ANNUAL	
Year	Number		mber	of Files		Location	TOTAL	CASE STATUS
2018	JP 946 TOTAL JP CA		951	6	-40%	JCC-SE	74	Jun, Jul, Aug & Sep 2018; JCC-DF - 943-959 SEJ block.
2019			1049	44	35%	JCC-DF	/4	
2019	JP 952		953	2	-67%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JP CA				*****		46	
2020			1067	18		JCC-DF		
2020			956	3	50%	JCC-SE	04	
2021	TOTAL JP CA JP 1068		1084	17	-6%	JCC-DF	21	2021: JCC-DF: No numbers issued in Jan, Feb, Apr, May
2021			958	2		JCC-SE		& Jun 2021; JCC-SE : No numbers issued in Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr, May, Jun, Jul, Aug, Oct & Nov 2021.
	TOTAL JP CA			-,	0070	000 02	19	IMar, Apr, May, Jun, Jul, Aug, Oct & Nov 2021.
							•	
								Juvenile Protections index and locations are current
	TOTAL JP	CASES					1.170	through December 31, 2021.
				J	UVENILE F	RELINQU	ISHMENTS	
1988-								
1999		JR	223	223		JCC-DF		Juvenile Relinquishments involve non-Indian children
2000			245 274	22 29	220/	JCC-DF JCC-DF		whose parents wish to relinquish their parental rights before the Court in order to free the children for adoption.
2001			304	30		JCC-DF		Due to space, all files are housed at Juvenile Southeast.
2002			325	21		JCC-DF		2003 - JR 500000 through JR 500108 are on microfilm.
2004	JR 326	JR	355	30		JCC-DF		2004 - 346-349 not used. 330 and 331 were issued in
2005			372	17		JCC-DF		2003.
2006			381	9		JCC-DF		2005 - 345 - 349, 356-361, 368-372 were issued.
2007			397	20		JCC-DF		2006 -362-367, 373-378, 380, 381 were issued.
2008			421 424	10 8		JCC-DF		2007 - Case numbers 379, 382 through 397, 406 and 407 were issued.
2010			433	9		JCC-DF	1	2008 - 398 through and including 403; 408 through and
2010			414	1	.070	JCC-DF		including 410; and 421.
2011	JR 415	JR	420	6	-33%	JCC-DF		2009 -404 and 405; 411 through and including 413; 422
2011			438	5		JCC-DF	5	through and including 424 were issued.
2012			450	12		JCC-DF		2010-414 was issued; 415-420 were not issued.
2012	JR 445 TOTAL JR CA		460	15		JCC-SE	27	2012-JR445-449 used at JCC-SE; JR450-459 used at JCC-DF.
2013			452	2	-83%	JCC-DF	21	2013: JCC-DFECR started with case number 730; JCC-SE-
2013	JR 450		700	4		JCC-SE		JR 453-JR699 not issued.
	TOTAL JR CA						6	
2014			732	3		JCC-DF		
2014	JR N/A TOTAL JR CA		N/A	0	-100%	JCC-SE	•	
2015			735	3	0%	JCC-DF	3	
2015			701	1		JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JR CA		5	J.	•		4	
2016		JR	0	0		JCC-DF		2016: No cases were initiated for this year.
2016	JR 0 TOTAL JR CA	JR	0	0	100%	JCC-SE		
2017			739	4	0%	JCC-DF	0	
2017			703	2		JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JR CA				10070	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	6	
2018	JR **	JR **		0		JCC-DF		2018: JCC-DF: **No numbers issued; JCC-SE: No
2018			705	2	100%	JCC-SE		numbers issued Feb, Mar, Apr, Jun, Jul, Aug, Sep, Oct,
00:1	TOTAL JR CA		8	. 1		100 ==	2	Nov & Dec 2018.
2019		JR **	700	0		JCC-DF		
2019	JR 706 TOTAL JR CA		706 9	1	100%	JCC-SE	1	
2020			740	0	0%	JCC-DF	1	2020: JCC-DF: No numbers issued
2020			707	1		JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JR CA			1	,,,,,		1	
2021	JR 740	JR	740	0		JCC-DF		2021: JCC-DF: No numbers issued in Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr,
2021			707	1	100%	JCC-SE		May, Jun, Aug, Sep and Oct 2021; JCC-SE : No numbers
	TOTAL JR CA	SES 202	1				1	issued in 2021.
								1
-								Juvenile Relinquishments index and locations are current
	TOTAL JR	CASES					504	through December 31, 2021.
					JUVENIL		RANCE (JS	3)
1988			8175	465		Film		Juvenile Severance involves terminating or severing
1988			500370	371		Film	000	parental rights, usually to free the child for adoption.
1989	JS 8176		8620	445	-10/ ₋	Film	836	
1989			500537	167	-55%			JS 1 to JS 10009, filed at the Juvenile Durango facility are
	TOTAL JS CA						612	on microfilm. JS 500000 through 504952, filed at the
1990	JS 8621	JS	8992	372	-16%			Juvenile Southeast facility are on microfilm.
1990	JS 500538	JS	500720	183	10%	Film		

	Beginning Case	Ending		Number	Growth		ANNUAL	
Year	Number TOTAL JS CAS	Num	ber	of Files	Percent	Location	TOTAL 555	CASE STATUS
1991			9049	57	-85%	Film	333	For several years, all severances were assigned to the
1991			501277	557	204%			Southeast facility unless they became contested, at which
	TOTAL JS CAS						614	time they were reassigned to the Durango facility.
1992			9080	31	-46%			
1992	JS 501278 TOTAL JS CAS	JS ES 1992	501830	553	-1%	Film	584	
1993			9117	37	19%	Film	304	
1993			502356	526		Film		
	TOTAL JS CAS						563	
1994			9156	39		Film		
1994	JS 502357		502849	493	-6%	Film	532	
1995			9201	45	15%	Film	332	1
1995			503374	525		Film		
	TOTAL JS CAS						570	
1996			9257	56	24%			
1996	JS 503375 TOTAL JS CAS		503914	540	3%	Film	596	
1997			9325	68	21%	Film	330	1
1997		JS	504450	536	-1%	Film		
·	TOTAL JS CAS	ES 1997					604	
1998			9654	329	384%			
1998			504793	343	-36%	Film	672	
1999	JS 9655		9915	261	-21%	Film	6/2	In about 1999, severance proceedings for dependent
1999			505014	221	-36%			children were filed as a motion to sever within the
	TOTAL JS CAS						482	dependency case, not as a separate JS case.
2000			10087	172	-34%			
2000			505147	133	-40%	JCC-SE		
2001	JS 10088		10252	165	10/	JCC-DF	305	
2001			505254	103		JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JS CAS				2070	00002	272	
2002			10362	110		JCC-DF		
2002			505459	205	92%	JCC-SE		
2003	JS 10363		10461	99	100/	JCC-DF	315	
2003			505596	137		JCC-DF		
2000	TOTAL JS CAS		000000	101	0070	000 02	236	
2004			10564	103	4%	JCC-DF		
2004			505727	131	-4%	JCC-SE		
2005	JS 10565		10723	159	54%	JCC-DF	234	
2005			505888	161		JCC-SE		
2000	TOTAL JS CAS	ES 2005		101	2070	000 02	320	1
2006			10906	183	15%	JCC-DF		
2006			506084	196	22%	JCC-SE		
0007	TOTAL JS CAS		44070	470	00/	100 DE	379	-
2007			11078 506268	172 184	-6% -6%	JCC-DF JCC-SE		Juvenile Durango facility case numbers JS 06687 through JS 10170 were microfilmed.
2007	TOTAL JS CAS		300200	104	-070	JCC-SE	356	4
2008			11257	179	4%	JCC-DF		
2008	JS 506269	JS	506438	170	-8%	JCC-SE		
0000	TOTAL JS CAS		444=			100 ==	349	10500547 10500540 10500540
2009			11454 506588	197 147	10% -14%	JCC-DF JCC-SE		JS506517, JS506518, JS506519 were not issued.
2009	TOTAL JS CAS		500500	147	-1470	JUU-3E	344	1
2010			11653	190	-4%	JCC-DF		2010- 11486-11494 were not issued.
2010	JS 506589	JS	506756	168	14%	JCC-SE		2010- 506757-506769 were not issued.
2010			506519	3		JCC-SE		2010- these numbers were originally created in 2009.
0044	TOTAL JS CAS		44004	225	0001	100.5=	361	2044 44742 44705 were redirected
2011			11931 11494	265 9	39%	JCC-DF		2011- 11713-11725 were not issued. 2011- 507026-507029 were not issued.
2011			506769	13	-92%	JCC-DF		2011-001020-001020 WEIG HULISSUGU.
2011			507025	235	JZ /0	JCC-SE		†
2011	JS 507030	JS	507036	7		JCC-SE		
0.5 : -	TOTAL JS CAS						529	10040 10507405
2012			12319	388	46%	JCC-DF		2012- JS507185 was not used.
2012	JS 507026 TOTAL JS CAS		507348	322	37%	JCC-SE	710	
2013			17279	436	86%	JCC-DF	_	2013: JCC-DFJS17277 not used, JS12476-JS16999 not
2013	JS 507349	JS	517146	286	-26%	JCC-SE		used due to ECR; JCC-SE : JS507397-507413 not used,
	TOTAL JS CAS			-	_			JS507414-507431 used at Durango, JS507473-507482 used
2014			17780	501	29%	JCC-DF		at Durango, JS507473-JS507482 used at Durango,
2014	JS 517147 TOTAL JS CAS		517512	265	-39%	JCC-SE		JS507505-JS516999 not used. 2014: JCC-SE JS517200-JS517299 issued to Durango,
<u> </u>	. O . AL JO OAG						, ,,,,	1

В	eginning Case	Ending	Case	Number	Growth		ANNUAL	
Year	Number	Numl		of Files		Location		CASE STATUS
2015 JS			18294	521	19%	JCC-DF		JS 517382 dead number, JS517417 dead number. 2015: JCC-DF: JS18059 not used; JCC-SE: Issued
2015 JS	5 517513 TOTAL JS CAS		517896	281	-44%	JCC-SE		517700-517799 to DUR, 517676-dead case number,
2016 JS			18823	529	6%	JCC-DF	002	517700-517799 to DON, 517070-dead case humber,
2016 JS			518328	312	-40%	JCC-SE		orrorr adda daso mambor.
	TOTAL JS CAS			[2016 : JCC-SE: 517999, 518125, 518132 not used.
2017 JS	3 18825	JS	19343	519	-2%	JCC-DF		508165-518265 issued to Durango.
2017 JS			518710	380	22%	JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JS CAS						899	
2018 JS			19866	473	-9%	JCC-DF		2018: JCC-SE : JS518904 not used; JCC-DF : 19400-
2018 JS			519141	329	-13%	JCC-SE		19450 SEJ Block
2019 JS	TOTAL JS CAS		20309	448	-5%	JCC-DF	802	2019: JCC-SE : JS519272 and JS519278 not used,
2019 JS			519507	366	11%	JCC-SE		JS519672 and JS519678 used out of sequence,
2013 00	TOTAL JS CAS		515507	300	1170	000-0L		JS519357 initiated as JS519857 in error.
2020 JS			20722	404	-10%	JCC-DF	0.7	Georgeon miniated as secretor in one.
2020 JS			519772	263	-28%	JCC-SE		2020: JCC-SEJ: JS533316 issued in error-dead number,
,	TOTAL JS CAS			•		l .		JS519672 dead number, JS519687 dead number.
2021 JS		JS	21174	347	-14%	JCC-DF		2021: JCC-DF: 20984-20994 eFile, 21012 used, 21023
2021 JS			520278	300	14%	JCC-SE		used, 21025-21075 to SEJ, 21024 used 21025-21096
	TOTAL JS CAS	ES 2021					647	eFile, 21097-21098 eFIle; JCC-SEJ : JS519857 used in
								2019, JS519860-JS519960 DUR block, JS520089-
								JS520099 remaining eFlle block, JS520110-JS520210
-								DUR block, JS520089 used, JS520090 & JS520091
-								used. JS520092-JS520096 used.
								Juvenile Severance index and locations are current
	TOTAL JS C	ASES					18,890	through December 31, 2021.
					JUVENIL	E DELING	QUENCY (J\	
							•	
1988 J\	/ 113183	JV	116604	3,422		Film		Juvenile Delinquencies are cases involving minors
1989 J\	/ 116605	JV	120266	3,662	7%	Film		charged with criminal actions. Juvenile Delinquencies
							7,084	can be compared to criminal cases in adult court.
1990 J\			123613	3,347		Film		
1990 J\			501894	1,895	0%	Film		The case prefix J was used before 1988 for both Juvenile
	TOTAL JV CAS						5,242	Delinquencies and Juvenile Dependencies. The case
1991 J\			126376	2,763	-17%			type prefix JV began in 1988 for Delinquencies.
1991 J\	/ 501895 TOTAL JV CAS		503889	1,995	5%	Film	4 750	
1992 J\			128599	2,223	-20%	Film	4,758	
1992 J\			505695	1,806		Film		
	TOTAL JV CAS		000000	1,000	070		4,029	
1993 J\			130954	2,355	6%	Film	1,020	
1993 J\			508554	2,859	58%			
	TOTAL JV CAS	ES 1993					5,214	Juvenile Durango case numbers J 39664 through JV
1994 J\			132901	1,947	-17%			152710 are on microfilm.
1994 J\			510803	2,249	-21%	Film		
	TOTAL JV CAS						4,196	
1995 J\			135323	2,422	24%			
1995 J\			513254	2,451	9%	Film	4,873	
1996 J\	TOTAL JV CAS / 135324	1\/	138108	2,785	15%	Film	4,073	
1996 J\	/ 513255	IV	516106	2,763	16%			
	TOTAL JV CAS		3.3.00	2,002	1070		5,637	
1997 J\			141471	3,363	21%	Film	-,	
1997 J\	/ 516107	JV	519563	3,457	21%			
	TOTAL JV CAS	ES 1997					6,820	
1998 J\			4229	2,754	-18%			
1998 J\			2086	2,523	-27%	Film		
	TOTAL JV CAS		70.40	0.116	0.101	F:::-	5,277	
1999 J\			7648	3,419	24%			
1999 J\			4876	2,790	11%	riiin	6,209	
2000 J\	TOTAL JV CAS / 147649		1423	3,775	10%	Film	0,209	Juvenile Southeast facility case numbers JV 500000 to JV
2000 J\			8286	3,410	22%			526904 are on microfilm.
	TOTAL JV CAS			5,110			7,185	
2001 J\	/ 151424	JV	154520	3,097	-18%	FT2	.,	
2001 J\		JV	531122	2,836		JCC-SE		
	TOTAL JV CAS	ES 2001		·			5,933	
2002 J\	/ 154521	JV	157123	2,603		JCC-DF		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns
2002 J\			533619	2,497	-12%	JCC-SE		18.
0000	TOTAL JV CAS	ES 2002	1500			100 ==	5,100	
2003 J\	/ 157124	JV	159856	2,733		JCC-DF		
2003 J\			536270	2,651	6%	JCC-SE	E 20.4	
	TOTAL JV CAS		162670	2,814	20/	JCC-DF	5,384	
2004 J\	/ 159857	JV	162670	∠,014	3%	JCC-DF	<u> </u>	ı

Beginning Case	Ending Case	Number	Growth		ANNUAL	
Year Number	Number	of Files	Percent		TOTAL	CASE STATUS
2004 JV 536271		2,862	8%	JCC-SE	2 04 4	
TOTAL JV CAS 2005 JV 162671		3,077	9%	JCC-DF	2,814	
2005 JV 539133		2,689		JCC-SE		
TOTAL JV CA					5,766	
2006 JV 165748		3,379		JCC-DF		
2006 JV 541822 TOTAL JV CA		2,532	-6%	JCC-SE	5 011	Juvenile Durango facility case numbers JV 142290
2007 JV 169127		3,332	-1%	JCC-DF	3,311	through JV 143138, JV 145249 through JV 147139, JV
2007 JV 544354		2,805		JCC-SE		147140 through JV 151199 were microfilmed and
TOTAL JV CA	SES 2007	•		•	6,137	transferred to ASLAPR.
2008 JV 172459		3,366		JCC-DF		
2008 JV 547158		2,978	6%	JCC-SE		175817, 175818, 175819, and 175820 were not used.
TOTAL JV CA 2009 JV 175817		3,213	-5%	JCC-DF	6,344	JV175821 through and including JV175828 were issued
2009 JV 550136		2,776		JCC-SE		in 2008.
TOTAL JV CA		2,	. , ,	000 02	5,989	=555.
2010 JV 179063		2,822		JCC-DF		2010- 180121,180435,180916,181629,181669,181670
2010 JV 552912		2,345	-16%	JCC-SE		181890 were not used.
TOTAL JV CA 2011 JV 181892		2 226	240/	JCC-DF	5,167	2010-553171,553803,554241 were not used.
2011 JV 181892 2011 JV 555260		2,236 1,842		JCC-DF		Correction to 2009 entry-JV179038-179062,179123 were issued in 2009.
TOTAL JV CA		1,042	-21/0	100-0E	4.078	2011- 182752,183211,183326,183377,183645,183775
2012 JV 184107		1,994		JCC-DF	,,,,,	were not issued.
2012 JV 557104		1,620	-12%	JCC-SE		2012-JV184130-JV184135 was used for citations filed
TOTAL JV CA		4 554	000/	ICC PF	3,614	12/30/2011, JV184930-Not used-system error, JV185422-
2013 JV 186108 2013 JV 558731		1,554 1,439		JCC-DF JCC-SE		Not used-system error, JV185793-Not used-issued but not needed; JV557274 was not used-computer error, JV558049
TOTAL JV CA		1,400	1170	12000	2,993	was not used-computer error, JV558050 was not used-
2014 JV 197335		1,579		JCC-DF		computer error, JV558269 not used-issued in error,
2014 JV 597179		1,317	-8%	JCC-SE	0.000	JV558272 was not used-computer error, JV558545 was not
TOTAL JV CA 2015 JV 198901		1,506	50/-	JCC-DF	2,896	used-computer error, JV558604 was not used-computer error.
2015 JV 598497		1,110		JCC-SE		2013: JCC-DFJV186201 not used, JV186231 not used,
TOTAL JV CA		.,			2,616	JV186935 not used-computer error, JV187051 thru
2016 JV 200385		1,353		JCC-DF		JV187061 not used, JV187455 not used-computer error,
2016 JV 599664		1,082	-3%	JCC-SE	2.425	JV187383 not used-computer error, JV187413 thru 198999
TOTAL JV CA 2017 JV 201729		1,626	20%	JCC-DF	2,435	not used due to ECR, JV197001 not used, JV197230 thru JV197299 not used due to clerk error; JCC-SE : January
2017 JV 600873		1,020		JCC-SE		through June 2013 paper records cannot be located,
TOTAL JV CA		,		l	2,683	JV559995 thru 596999 not issued, JV597066 not issued,
2018 JV 203353		1,355		JCC-DF		JV597123 not issued.
2018 JV 602143 TOTAL JV CA		1,062	0%	JCC-SE	2 447	2014: JCC-DF JV197400 dead number, JV's 198224, 198238-198247, 198271, 198287 dead numbers; JV198531
2019 JV 204711		1,251	-8%	JCC-DF	2,417	dead number, JV198723, JV198743 dead numbers; JCC-SE-
2019 JV 603306		1,025		JCC-SE		-JV597992 dead number.
TOTAL JV CA				!	2,276	
2020 JV 205968		939		JCC-DF		2015: JCC-SE :599151-dead case number, 599283 & 599285
2020 JV 604437 TOTAL JV CA		713	-30%	JCC-SE	1 652	dead case numbers, 599335-599336-dead case numbers,
2021 JV 206908		738	-21%	JCC-DF	1,002	599391-599440-issued to DUR, 599473-dead case number. 2016: JCC-SE : 599830-599930 issued to Durango: 599969.
2021 JV 605256		481		JCC-SE		599970, 599973, 599996, 600003, 600015, 600363, 600381,
TOTAL JV CA				•	1,219	600491, 600493, 600508, 600528, 600590, 600602, 600700,
						600729, 600767 not used.
						2018: JCC-DF : JV203416 issued in error; JCC-SE : JV602701 assigned in Jul, JV602819, JV602861 dead
						numbers, JV602888-JV602988 block to DUR.
						2019: JCC-DF: JV205952 Dead number; JCC-SE:
						JV603471 & JV603472 Dead numbers, JV603673 Dead
						number, JV603765 not used, JV604054 Dead number,
						JV604155 Dead number, JV604169 not used, JV604179
						Dead number, JV604282-JV604382 block to DUR. 2020: JV604545 not used, JV604659 dead number,
						JV604740 dead number, JV604834 dead number, JV605107
						dead number, JV605120-JV605220 DUR block.
						2021 : JV605592 dead, JV605600-JV605700 DUR block
						JV605802 & JV605839 dead
						Juvenile Delinquency index and locations are current
TOTAL JV	CASES			-	149,948	through December 31, 2021.
TOTAL 1119 (= 111)	201107 24672				000	
TOTAL JUVENILE	COURT CASES				336,525	

