

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3024	01-02-2003	<p><b>APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Metropolitan Domestic Water Improvement District respectfully submits this application for the Court’s Order permitting Michael F. McNulty of Lewis and Roca LLP to substitute as counsel of record in the above-captioned proceedings and to be placed on the court-approved mailing list in place of Michael F. McNulty of Brown &amp; Bain, P.A.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-73864</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
3025	01-08-2003	<p><b>NOTICE OF LODGING AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Office of the Special Master lodges a Notice of Filing of the Arizona General Stream Adjudication Bulletin, September – December 2002 (online) issue.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 16</p>
3026	01-10-2003	<p><b>ORDER GRANTING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND REQUEST TO BE ADDED TO THE MAILING LIST</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Order signed by Judge Ballinger on December 26, 2002. IT IS ORDERED substituting L. Anthony Fines of Snell &amp; Welmer L.L.P. as counsel of record for Gila Valley Irrigation District in place of L. Anthony Fines of Fines &amp; Oden, P.L.C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all future pleadings or copies of pleadings directed to Gila Valley Irrigation District shall be mailed directly to L. Anthony Fines, Snell &amp; Wilmer L.L.P.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
3027	01-10-2003	<p><b>ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL FOR METROPOLITAN DOMESTIC WATER IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> IT IS ORDERED substituting Michael F. McNulty of Lewis and Roca LLP as counsel of record for Metropolitan Domestic Water Improvement District in place of Michael R. McNulty of Brown &amp; Bain, P.A.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
3028	01-10-2003	<p><b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY’S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF ITS MOTION TO PRIORITIZE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORT OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian Community moves the Court for expedited consideration of its Motion to Prioritize the Arizona Department of Water Resources Hydrographic Survey Report for the Gila River Indian Reservation.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 93-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
3029	01-10-2003	<p><b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY’S MOTION TO PRIORTITIZE THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORT FOR THE GILA RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian Community moves the Court to determine the priority of the Arizona Department of Water Resources Hydrographic Survey Report for the Gila River Indian Reservation.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 9</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3030	01-13-2003	<p><b>REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Special Master files a report of his pending contested cases and other activities for discussion at the January 22, 2003, status conference.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 8</p>
3031	01-17-2003	<p><b>REQUEST TO REMOVE NAME FROM COURT-APPROVED MAILING LIST</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Steptoe &amp; Johnson LLP respectfully requests to be removed from the Court-approved mailing as it no longer represents a party to this adjudication.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
3032	01-24-2003	<p><b>SPECIAL MASTER’S REPORT ON ISSUES OF BROAD LEGAL IMPORTANCE REGARDING SUPPLEMENTAL CONTESTED CASE HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORTS FILED IN THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Special Master submits a report to the Superior Court under Rule 53, Ariz. R. Civ. P., and Section 12.04, Rules for Proceedings Before the Special Master, which contains recommended determinations for two designated issues of broad legal importance. Objections to this report must be filed with the Superior Court on or before February 21, 2003. Responses to the objections shall be filed by March 21, 2003, and replies shall be filed by April 11, 2003. The hearing on any objections will be held at a time and place to be set by the Superior Court.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 35</p>
3033	01-29-2003	<p><b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY’S NOTICE OF FILING AMENDED WATER RIGHTS CLAIMS FOR THE GILA RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION AND SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF THE WATER RIGHTS CLAIMS TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian Community submits its Notice of Filing Amended Water Rights Claims for the Gila River Indian Reservation and Submission of Information and Documents in Support of the Water Rights Claims to the Arizona Department of Water Resources.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
3034	01-30-2003	<p><b>ORDER</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Order Signed by Judge Ballinger on January 17, 2003. IT IS ORDERED removing Steptoe &amp; Johnson LLP from the Court-approved mailing list.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3035	02-03-2003	<p><b>REQUEST TO BE ADDED TO THE GILA RIVER ADJUDICATION STEERING COMMITTEE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Public Service Company, Roosevelt Water Conservation District and Phelps Dodge Corporation request that the Court issue an order confirming the addition of Cynthia M. Chandley, representing Phelps Dodge Corporation, and L. William Staudenmaier, representing Arizona Public Service Corporation and Roosevelt Water Conservation District, as members of the Gila River Adjudication Steering Committee in substitution for Michael J. Brophy.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
3036	02-13-2003	<p><b>ORDER OF APPOINTMENT AND SUBSTITUTION ON THE GILA RIVER ADJUDICATION STEERING COMMITTEE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Order signed by Judge Ballinger on February 4, 2003. IT IS ORDERED that Cynthia M. Chandley, representing Phelps Dodge Corporation, and L. William Staudenmaier, representing Arizona Public Service Company and Roosevelt Water Conservation District, shall be added to the Gila River Adjudication Steering Committee.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
3037	02-20-2003	<p><b>MINUTE ENTRY</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian Community wishes to become a member of the Steering Committee. The court has been addressed on various issues related to the implementation of the De Minimus Order in the San Pedro River Watershed. Proposed procedures for the summary adjudication of <i>de minimus</i> water rights and implementation of the Court's order adopting Special Master Thorson's report has been offered to be submitted. The Kyle Report in the Little Colorado River Adjudication is expected in March with a final report to be released this summer. Bill Sullivan and Bill Staudemaier address the Court as to the need for a subflow methodology before determining federal reserved water rights. A discussion is held re: Federal reserved rights in State trust lands. The State of Arizona has filed a motion in the Little Colorado River Adjudication, and discussion is held regarding the need to hear the motion at this time and the proper procedures for hearing such a motion in both adjudications. Pending motions of the San Carlos Apache Tribe re: the Department's implementation of statutes and full appropriation of the Gila River system are addressed. The pending application to approve the settlement agreement between the Roosevelt Water Conservation District and the Gila River Indian Community are discussed. The pending motions of the San Carlos Apache Tribe are discussed. The Court is addressed concerning the St. David Irrigation District and Pomerene Water User's Association cases and the need for a subflow methodology. The Court is addressed re: Tonto National Monument. The United States and the Department of Water Resources are discussing how to proceed regarding a possible resolution of the United States' water right claim and the Department's preparation of a technical report. The San Carlos Apache Reservation HSR and the priorities the Court should assign to the adjudication of Indian and non-Indian claims.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 5</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

---

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

---

3038 02-21-2003 ORDER

**SUMMARY:** Order signed by Judge Ballinger on February 20, 2003. **Referral of Subflow Technical Report to the Special Master:** IT IS ORDERED 1.the Special Master shall prepare a report to the Court setting forth his recommendations as to whether the Subflow Report should be adopted in whole or in part or modified. **Implementation of the De Minimus Order In the San Pedro River Watershed:** IT IS ORDERED that ADWR shall apply the standards announced in the Court's September 26, 2002, ruling to identify those claims it concludes are de minimus as part of its work in preparing and supplementing Hydrographic Suvey Reports ("HSRs") or rendering other technical assistance to the Court in this adjudication. **Application For Special Proceedings To Approve An Indian Water Rights Settlement Stipulation:** IT IS FURTHERORDERED, denying Roosevelt Water Conservation District's ("RWDC") request, without prejudice to it being renewed on or after June 1, 2004. **Schedule for ADWR's Technical Work:** IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that ADWR shall give priority to the following projects in the order listed: (1) Completion of the subflow determinations, cone of depression tests, and determination of de minimus water rights in the San Pedro River Watershed. (2) Work related to the Fort Huachuca contested case in the Gila River Adjudication. (3) The Hopi Tribe HSR in the Little Colorado River Adjudication. (4) Completion of work needed to resolve the Show Low Lake contested case in the Little Colorado River Adjudication. (5) Work related to the PWR 107 contested case in the Gila River Adjudication. **Briefing and Hearing of Legal Issues and Motions:** IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, (A) The United States' request to determine as an issue of broad legal importance the standing of certain claimants and non-claimants in this adjudication is denied. (B) The Court shall consider whether to set a briefing schedule and oral argument on the State of Arizona's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Establishing the Existence of Federal Reserved Water Rights for State Trust Lands at the first general hearing held in this adjudication during 2004. (C) With respect to its pending motions described above, counsel for the San Carlos Apache Tribe is directed to file, on or before March 28, 2003, either amendments to each motion or a statement that the motions are withdrawn. (D) All responses in opposition to, or in support of, the San Carlos Apache Tribe's pending or amended motions shall be filed and served on or before May 2, 2003. Any reply to the filed responses shall be filed and served on or before May 27, 2003. Materials filed shall be served on each party listed on the Court-approved mailing list and any other person or entity requesting service in writing. Upon receipt of the materials relating to the motion, the Court will determine if oral argument is needed and set a hearing date if appropriate. (E) Paragraph 12.B(4) of Pre-Trial Order No. 1 regarding conduct of this adjudication is amended to provide that completion of the Verde River Watershed HSR shall have second priority in the production of HSRs. **Submissions of GRIC and the United States of Land and Water Use Planning Information for the Gila River Indian Reservation HSR:** IT IS FURTHER ORDERED 1. granting the United States' request that materials supporting the land and water use planning disclosure that are to be filed with ADWR may be filed under seal. 2. Denying in all other respects GRIC's Motion to Prioritize ADWR's HSR for the Gila River Indian Reservation. **Tonto National Monument:** IT IS ORDERED, United States' request for an order directing expedited preparation of an HSR relating to the Tonto National Monument is denied. **Gila Steering Committee:** IT IS ORDERED 1. The Gila Steering Committee shall continue to operate in accordance with the directives set forth in Pre-Trial Order No. 1, including the procedures for notices of meetings set in Paragraph 9.B. 2. Any party who in the future wishes to be appointed to the Gila Steering Committee shall file a written request with the Court.

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3038	02-21-2003	<p><b>ORDER (Continued)</b></p> <p><u>Summary:</u> The Chair of the Steering shall advise the Court of the resignation of recommended replacement of any member of the committee. 3. Mr. Alfred S. Cox is removed, effective March 28 2003, from the Steering Committee unless the Court receives on or before March 28, 2003, his written request to remain a member of the Gila Steering Committee. 4. The Court appoints Mr. Rodney B. Lewis or his designee to the Gila Steering Committee as a representative of GRIC. <b>Report from ADWR On Service of New Use Summonses:</b> IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that ADWR shall file on or before May 30, 2003, a report describing the numbers of new use summonses mailed, served, not served, reasons for lack of service, and new use claims filed since ADWR began the latest service of new use summonses. <b>The Court-Approved Mailing List:</b> IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, all persons who wish to be included or remain listed on the Court-approved mailing list shall so notify the office of the Special Master in writing on or before March 28, 2003. The Special Master will prepare a revised mailing list and shall notify all parties on the current mailing list of the revisions.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable  <u>PAGES:</u> 8</p>
3039	03-13-2003	<p><b>ORDER</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Court will have a courtesy visit with Mr. Herb Guenther, the new Director of the Arizona Department of Water Resources, on or after Wednesday, March 19, 2003, at 2:00p.m. The Court will not discuss the legal or technical merits of any claim, issue or matter. Special Master George A. Schade, Jr. will attend the meeting.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable  <u>PAGES:</u> 1</p>
3040	03-24-2003	<p><b>NOTICE OF INTENT TO REMAIN ON COURT-APPROVED MAILING LIST</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Safford, Paloma Ranch Investments, Inc., and Rio Rico Properties, Inc., request to remain on the court-approved mailing list.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-u8-63161, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
3041	03-24-2003	<p><b>JOINT APPLICATION FOR ORDER APPROVING WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL AND APPROVING SUCCESSOR COUNSEL</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Joint application by the law firms of Snell &amp; Wilmer, L.L.P., and Bryan Cave, L.L.P. and DeConcini McDonald Yetwin &amp; Lacy, P.C., for approval of the withdrawal of Snell &amp; Wilmer, L.L.P., as counsel for BHP Copper Inc. and for approval of Bryan Cave, L.L.P., and DeConcini McDonald Yetwin &amp; Lacy, P.C., as successor counsel.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-0003142, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 5</p>
3042	03-26-2003	<p><b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S REQUEST TO REMAIN ON TH COURT-APPROVED MAILING LIST</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian Community submits its request to remain on the Court-Approved Mailing List for all aspects of W-1Consolidated, The General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3043</b>	<b>03-26-2003</b>	<b>SETTLEMENT ACCOUNTING FILED BY THE FORT McDOWELL YAVAPAI NATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, formerly known as the Fort McDowell Indian Community, files an accounting pursuant to the Fort McDowell Water Settlement, Pub. L. 101-628, November 28, 1990. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-05-50060, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>3044</b>	<b>03-20-02</b>	<b>ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Special Master approves Application of Substitution. Mary P. Wade and Karen Nally are substituted as co-counsel of record for the City of Mesa in place of Neal J. Beets. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None given <u>PAGES</u> : 1
<b>3045</b>	<b>03-27-2003</b>	<b>CITY OF MESA'S APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Application for Substitution of Counsel <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
<b>3046</b>	<b>03-27-2003</b>	<b>APACHE TRIBES' AMENDMENTS TO PARTIAL MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER STREAM ADJUDICATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Yavapai-Apache Nation's Amendments to their March 14, 1995, Motion for Partial Summary Judgment for Declaration of Full Appropriation in Gila River Stream Adjudication submitted in conformance with the Court's February 20, 2003, Minute Entry and Order. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-12676, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 12; Attachments: 13
<b>3047</b>	<b>03-28-2003</b>	<b>APACHE TRIBES' AMENDMENTS TO MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203 et seq., 45-189 et seq., 45-1202 et seq., IN THIS ADJUDICATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Yavapai-Apache Nation's Amendments to their March 31, 1995, Motion for Order Directing The Arizona Department of Water Resources to Implement Partial A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203 et seq., 45-189 et seq., 45-1202 et seq., In This Adjudication, submitted in conformance with the Court's February 20, 2003, Minute Entry and Order. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-12676, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 4

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3048	04-01-2003	<p><b>SALT RIVER PROJECT’S LISTING OF ISSUES RAISED BY PARTIES RE: IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBFLOW DECISION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Salt River Project submits a listing of the legal and technical issues raised by various parties with respect to implementation of the Arizona Supreme Court’s decision on “subflow”.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-1040, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 10</p>
3049	04-02-2003	<p><b>ORDER APPROVING REQUEST TO A WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL AND APPROVING SUCCESSOR COUNSEL</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that law firm Snell &amp; Wilmer, L.L.P., be and hereby is authorized to withdraw as counsel of record for BHP Copper Inc. (fkn Magma Copper Company) in these proceedings.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
3050	04-02-2003	<p><b>ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Charles L. Cahoy is hereby substituted as counsel of record for the city of Mesa in the place of Mary Wade and Karen Nally.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 1</p>
3051	04-07-2003	<p><b>MOTION FOR SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND REQUEST TO BE ADDED TO THE MAILING LIST</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Snell &amp; Wilmer LLP moves to substitute for Fines &amp; Oden, P.L.C., as one of the counsel of record for City of Sedona, Town of Jerome, Town of Clarkdale, City of Cottonwood and Town of Camp Verde (hereinafter the “Verde Valley Communities”).</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
3052	04-09-2003	<p><b>RESPONSE TO SALT RIVER PROJECT’S LISTING OF ISSUES RE: IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBFLOW DECISION AND PROPOSAL FOR ADDRESSING ISSUES</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the “Upper Valley Irrigation Districts”), and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, the City of Cottonwood, and the Town of Camp Verde (“ Verde Valley Communities”), respond to the Salt River Project’s List of Issues Raised by Parties re Implementation of Subflow Decision and Make a Proposal for Addressing the Issues.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U862840, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 14</p>
3053	04-09-2003	<p><b>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND PHELP’S DODGE CORPORATION’S RESPONSE TO SRP’S LISTING OF ISSUES RAISED BY PARTIES RE IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBFLOW DECISION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation submit their response to the pleading entitled “Salt River Project’s Listing of Issues Raised by Parties Re: Implementation of Subflow Decision” dated April 1, 2003.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

---

**Doc. No    File Date    Document Description**

---

**3054    04-15-2003    VERDE VALLEY WATER USERS, INC., RESPONSE TO THE SALT RIVER PROJECTS LISTING OF ISSUES REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SUBFLOW DECISION AND PROPOSAL FOR ADDRESSING ISSUES**  
SUMMARY: Ray Wrobley, Mary Margaret Kovacovich and John Kovacovich, as officers and directors of Verde Valley Water Users, Incorporated, an Arizona non-profit corporation, respond to the Salt River Projects list of issues regarding the implementation of the subflow decision.  
CLAIMANT NO: 39-05-55868, et al.  
PAGES: 3



MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3055</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<p><b>BELLA VISTA WATER CO., INC., PUEBLO DEL SOL WATER COMPANY, CORTARO-MARANA IRRIGATION DISTRICT; AND TOWN OF GILBERT'S COMBINED RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' MOTIONS FOR: (A) DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION OF GILA RIVER SYSTEM; AND (B) FOR ORDER DIRECTING THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203, et seq., 45-189, et seq., 45-1202, et seq.</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Combined Response of Bella Vista Water Co., Inc., Pueblo Del Sol Water Company, Cortaro-Marana Irrigation District, and the Town of Gilbert to the San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Yavapai-Apache Nations' Motion for Order Directing the Arizona Department of Water Resources to Implement A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203, et seq., 45-1202, et seq. and Motion for Summary Judgement for Declaration of Full Appropriation.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-1102739, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 7; Attachments: 5</p>
<b>3056</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<p><b>ASARCO INCORPORATED'S AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS DEEMED MATERIAL IN SUPPORT OF APACHE TRIBES' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, AND SEPARATE STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR RESPONSE TO MOTION OF SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE, TONTO APACHE TRIBE AND YAVAPAI APACHE TRIBE, FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER STREAM ADJUDICATION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO Incorporated and Tucson Electric Power Company respond to the Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts Deemed Material in Support of Apache Tribes' Motion for Partial Summary Judgement (April 14, 1995), and set forth their own separate statement of facts in support of their response to the Motion of San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe and Yavapai Apache Tribe, for Partial Summary Judgment for Declaration of Full Appropriation in Gila River Stream Adjudication (March 28, 2003).</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U8-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 17</p>
<b>3057</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<p><b>ASARCO INCORPORATED'S AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO MOTION OF SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE, TONTO APACHE TRIBE AND YAVAPAI APACHE TRIBE, FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER STREAM ADJUDICATION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO Incorporated and Tucson Electric Power Company respond in opposition to the Motion of San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe and Yavapai Apache Tribe, for Partial Summary Judgement for Declaration of Full Appropriation in Gila River Stream Adjudication (April 14, 1995), as revised by Apache Tribes' Amendments to Partial Motion for Summary Judgment for Declaration of Full Appropriation in Gila River Stream Adjudication (March 28, 2003).</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U8-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 20</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3058</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>ASARCO INCORPORATED'S AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT A.R.S. § 45-112, § 45-203, ET SEQ., § 45-189 ET SEQ., § 45-1202 ET SEQ., IN THIS ADJUDICATION (AS AMENDED MARCH 28, 2003)</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : ASARCO Incorporated and Tucson Electric Power Company respond in opposition to the Motion for Order Directing the Arizona Department of Water Resources to Implement A.R.S. § 45-112, § 45-203 <i>et seq.</i> , §45-189 <i>et seq.</i> , § 45-1202 <i>et seq.</i> , in This Adjudication (March 31, 1995), as amended by the Apache Tribes' Amendments to Motion for Order Directing the Arizona Department of Water Resources to Implement A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203 <i>et seq.</i> , 45-189 <i>et seq.</i> , 45-1202 <i>et seq.</i> , in This Adjudication (March 28, 2003). <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U8-62699, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 5
<b>3059</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF THE APACHE TRIBES' MARCH 28, 2003, AMENDMENTS TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE AND THE APACHE TRIBES' APRIL 14, 1995, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Gila River Indian Community's Response in Support of the Apache Tribes' March 28, 2003, Amendments to Partial Motion Judgment for Declaration of Full appropriation in Gila River Stream Adjudication and the Apache Tribes' April 14, 1995, Motion for Summary Judgment. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 9; Attachments: 2
<b>3060</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE TO THE APACHE TRIBES' AMENDMENTS TO MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT A.R.S. SECTIONS 45-112, 45-203, et. seq., 45-189 et. seq., IN THIS ADJUDICATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Gila River Indian Community's Response to the Apache Tribes' Amendments to Motion for Order Directing the Arizona Department of Water Resources to Implement A.R.S. Sections 45-112, 45-189 <i>et seq.</i> , 45-1202 <i>et seq.</i> , in this Adjudication. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 14
<b>3061</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>CITY OF PHOENIX' JOINT RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' AMENDMENTS TO MOTIONS FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION AND FOR ORDER DIRECTING ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203 ET SEQ., 45-189 ET SEQ., 45-1202 ET SEQ.</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Pursuant to the Court's Order dated February 20, 2003 the City of Phoenix files a Joint Response to the Apache Tribes' Amendments to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment for Declaration of Full Appropriation in the Gila River Stream Adjudication and Motion for Order Directing the Arizona Department of Water Resources to Implement A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203 <i>et seq.</i> , 45-189 <i>et seq.</i> and 45-1202 <i>et seq.</i> in this Adjudication. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-7927, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 5

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3062	05-02-2003	<p><b>AFFIDAVIT OF L. ANTHONY FINES IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARIZONA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 56(f)</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Affidavit of L. Anthony Fines in Accordance with Ariz. R. Civ. P. 56(f).  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U862840-62850, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 5</p>
3063	05-02-2003	<p><b>RESPONSE TO THE APACHE TRIBES' MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT A.R.S. §§45-112, 45-203, 45-189, 45-122 IN THIS ADJUDICATION, AND AMENDMENTS THERETO</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District, City of Sedona, Town of Jerome, Town of Clarkdale, City of Cottonwood, and Town of Camp Verde respond to the Apache Tribes' Motion for Order Directing ADWR to Implement Various Statutes.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U862840-62850, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 9</p>
3064	05-02-2003	<p><b>RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND AMENDMENTS THERETO</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, the City of Cottonwood, and the Town of Camp Verde ("Verde Valley Communities"), respond to Apache Tribes' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment for Declaration of Full Appropriation in Gila River System and Amendments Thereto.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U862840-62850, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 10</p>
3065	05-02-2003	<p><b>MOTION TO CONTINUE/ DENY WITHOUT PREJUDICE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, the City of Cottonwood, and the Town of Camp Verde ("Verde Valley Communities"), Motion to Continue/ Deny Without Prejudice.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U862840-62850, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
3066	05-02-2003	<p><b>RESPONSE TO SEPARATE STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF APACHE TRIBES' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING FULL APPROPRIATION OF THE GILA RIVER</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, the City of Cottonwood, and the Town of Camp Verde ("Verde Valley Communities"), Response to Separate Statement of Facts in Support of Apache Tribes' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding Full Appropriation of the Gila River.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U862840-62850, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 9</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3067</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO MOTION OF APACHE TRIBES FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER STREAM ADJUDICATION, AS AMENDED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Public Service Company ("APS") and Phelps Dodge Corporation ("Phelps Dodge") respond to the Motion of San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe and Yavapai-Apache Nation, for Partial Summary Judgment for Declaration of Full Appropriation in Gila River Stream Adjudication, as Amended. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-34900, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 16
<b>3068</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT A.R.S. §§45-112, 45-203 et seq., 45-189 et seq., 45-189 et seq., 45-1202 et seq., AS AMENDED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Public Service Company ("APS") and Phelps Dodge Corporation ("Phelps Dodge") respond to the Motion of San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe and Yavapai-Apache Nation, for Order directing the Arizona Department of Water Resources to implement A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203 et seq., 45-189 et seq., 45-1202 et seq., as amended. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-34900, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 9
<b>3069</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S STATEMENT OF FACTS IN RESPONSE TO MOTION OF APACHE TRIBES FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER STREAM ADJUDICATION, AS AMENDED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation state facts and attach evidence in support of their response to the Motion of San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe and Yavapai Apache Tribe, for Partial Summary Judgment for Declaration of Full Appropriation in Gila River Stream Adjudication, as Amended. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-34900, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 7; Attachments: 138
<b>3070</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>CITIES' RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' AMENDED PARTIAL MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER STREAM ADJUDICATION</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") submit their Response to Apache Tribes' Amendments to Partial Motion for Summary Judgment for Declaration of Full Appropriation in Gila River Stream Adjudication filed April 14, 1995. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-L8-37521, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 16

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3071</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>CITIES' CONSOLIDATED CONTROVERTING STATEMENT OF FACTS AND SEPARATE STATEMENT OF FACTS IN OPPOSITION TO APACHE TRIBES' PARTIAL MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER STREAM ADJUDICATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Cities' of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") submit their Consolidated Controverting Statement of Facts and Separate Statement of Facts in opposition to the Apache Tribes' Partial Motion for Summary Judgment of Declaration of Full Appropriation in Gila River Stream Adjudication. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-37521, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 12; Attachments: 68
<b>3072</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Response of BHP Copper Inc. to Apache Tribes' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment for Declaration of full appropriation in accordance with the Court's February 20, 2003, Minute Entry and Order. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-0003142, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 4
<b>3073</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203, et seq., IN THIS ADJUDICATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The City of Safford, Paloma Irrigation and Drainage District and Rio Rico Properties, Inc., file their response Apache Tribes' motion for order directing the Arizona Department of Water Resources to implement A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203 <i>et. seq.</i> , 45-189 <i>et. seq.</i> in this adjudication. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U8-63161, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 7
<b>3074</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' AMENDMENTS TO PARTIAL MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER STREAM ADJUDICATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The City of Safford, Paloma Irrigation and Drainage District and Rio Rico Properties, Inc., file their response to Apache Tribes' amendments to partial motion for summary judgment for declaration of full appropriation Gila River Stream Adjudication. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U8-63161, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 10
<b>3075</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>RESPONSE TO SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS DEEMED MATERIAL IN SUPPORT OF APACHE TRIBES' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The City of Safford, Paloma Irrigation and Drainage District and Rio Rico properties, Inc., file their response to separate statement of undisputed facts deemed material in support of Apache Tribes' motion for partial summary judgment. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U8-63161, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 7

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3076	05-02-2003	<p><b>MINUTE ENTRY</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> IT IS ORDERED substituting Snell &amp; Wilmer L.L.P. in as one of the counsel for the Verde Valley Communities in the place of Fines &amp; Oden, P.L.C. and to be placed on the mailing list for such parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 1</p>
3077	05-05-2003	<p><b>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' COMMENTS CONCERNING APACHE TRIBES' AMENDED MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN THE GILA RIVER STREAM ADJUDICATION, AND RELATED ORDERS AGAINST THE DEPARTMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Arizona Department of Water Resources (Department) hereby files comments concerning the Apache Tribes' amended motion for partial summary judgment for declaration of full appropriation in the Gila River stream adjudication, and for related orders against the Department.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 12</p>
3078	05-05-2003	<p><b>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' COMMENTS CONCERNING APACHE TRIBES' AMENDED MOTION FOR AN ORDER DIRECTING THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF TITLE 45</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Arizona Department of Water Resources (Department) hereby files comments concerning the Apache Tribes' amended motion for an order directing the Department to implement certain provisions of Title 45.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 15</p>
3079	05-05-2003	<p><b>NOTICE OF LODGING AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Office of the Special Master lodges a Notice of Filing of the <i>Arizona General Stream Adjudication Bulletin</i>, January-April 2003 (online) issue.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 1; Attachment: 17</p>
3080	05-05-2003	<p><b>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' AMENDED MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING ADWR TO TAKE CERTAIN ACTIONS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Salt River Project submits its response to the March 28, 2003 amendments filed by the Apache Tribes to their March 31, 1995 motion for an order directing the Arizona Department of Water Resources to implement various provisions of the Arizona Revised Statutes in this Adjudication.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-1040, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3081</b>	<b>05-05-2003</b>	<b>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' AMENDED MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION AND SALT RIVER PROJECT'S CROSS MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE: SAME</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : THE Salt River Project submits its response to the March 28, 2003 amendments filed by the Apache Tribes to their March 14, 1995 motion for a declaration of full appropriation, and SRP also submits its own cross-motion of the full appropriation issue. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 10
<b>3082</b>	<b>05-07-2003</b>	<b>NOTICE REGARDING GILA COURT-APPROVED MAILING LIST</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Special Master informs claimants and parties of the revised Gila River Adjudication Court-Approved Mailing List dated May 6, 2003. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not Applicable <u>PAGES</u> : 2; Attachments: 4
<b>3083</b>	<b>05-07-2003</b>	<b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S NOTICE OF ERRATA TO THE RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF THE APACHE TRIBES' MARCH 28, 2003, AMENDMENTS TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE AND THE APACHE TRIBES' APRIL 14, 1995, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Gila River Indian Community files this Notice of Errata to the Gila River Indian Community's Response in Support of the Apache Tribes' March 28, 2003, Amendments to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment for Declaration of Full Appropriation in Gila River System and Source and the Apache Tribes' April 14, 1995, Motion for Summary Judgment. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2; Attachments: 2
<b>3084</b>	<b>05-16-2003</b>	<b>APACHE TRIBE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE RESPONSE PURSUANT TO PRE-TRIAL ORDER NO. 1 TO UPPER VALLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICTS' AND VERDE VALLEY COMMUNITIES' MOTION TO CONTINUE/DENY WITHOUT PREJUDICE APACHE TRIBES' MOTION OF PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE FULL APPROPRIATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Yavapai-Apache Nation's Notice of Intent to File Response Pursuant to Pre-Trial Order No. 1 to Upper Valley Irrigation Districts' and Verde Valley Communities' Motion to Continue/ Deny Without Prejudice Apache Tribes' Motion for Partial Summary Judgement Re Full Appropriation. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-12676, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>3085</b>	<b>05-21-2003</b>	<b>SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND REQUEST TO BE ADDED TO THE MAILING LIST</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") substitute William H. Anger of Engleman Berger, P.C. as their co-counsel of record and requests that he be placed on the court-approved mailing list. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3086</b>	<b>05-23-2003</b>	<b>MOTION TO STRIKE AND RESPONSE TO CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts") and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, the City of Cottonwood, and the Town of Camp Verde ("Verde Valley Communities") Move to Strike and Respond to SRP's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U8-62840, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 5
<b>3087</b>	<b>05-23-2003</b>	<b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE IN PARTIAL SUPPORT OF SALT RIVER PROJECT'S CROSS-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGEMENT RE DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community files its Response in Partial Support of The Salt River Project's Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re Declaration of Full Appropriation. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 18
<b>3088</b>	<b>05-27-2003</b>	<b>NOTICE OF APPEARANCE</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Notice of Appearance of Michael J. Pearce on behalf of Central Arizona Water Conservation District. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-30989 and 39-L8-30991 <u>PAGES</u> : 2
<b>3089</b>	<b>05-27-2003</b>	<b>CENTRAL ARIZONA WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S PARTIAL JOINDER IN SALT RIVER PROJECT'S CROSS-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Central Arizona Water Conservation District's Partial Joinder in Salt River Project's Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-30989 and 39-L8-30991 <u>PAGES</u> : 5
<b>3090</b>	<b>05-27-2003</b>	<b>CONSOLIDATED REPLY TO GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY AND RESPONSE TO SALT RIVER PROJECT'S CROSS-MOTION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The City of Safford, Paloma Irrigation and Drainage District and Rio Rico Properties, Inc. file their consolidated reply to various parties and respond to SRP's cross-motion. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U8-63161, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 12
<b>3091</b>	<b>05-27-2003</b>	<b>APACHE TRIBES' MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Yavapai-Apache Nation's Motion to exceed Page Limitation. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-12676, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 2



MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3092	05-27-2003	<p><b>APACHE TRIBES' CONSOLIDATED REPLY TO PARTIES' RESPONSES AND ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES COMMENTS TO APACHE TRIBES' PARTIAL MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION IN GILA RIVER STREAM ADJUDICATION AND AMENDMENTS THERETO</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Yavapai-Apache Nation's Consolidated Reply to Parties' Responses and Arizona Department of Water Resources Comments to Apache tribes Motion for Partial Summary Judgment for Declaration of Full Appropriation in Gila Giver Stream Adjudication and March 28, 2003, Amendment thereto.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 18</p>
3093	05-27-2003	<p><b>APACHE TRIBES' CONSOLIDATED REPLY TO PARTIES' RESPONSES AND ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES COMMENTS TO APACHE TRIBES' MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO IMPLEMENT A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203 et seq., 45-189 et seq., 45-1202 et seq., AND AMENDMENTS THERETO</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Yavapai-Apache Nation's Consolidated Reply to Parties' Responses and Arizona Department of Water Resources Comments to Apache Tribes Motion for Order Directing the Arizona Department of Water Resources to Implement A.R.S. §§ 45-112, 45-203 et seq., 45-189 et seq., 45-1202 et seq., and amendments thereto.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 9</p>
3094	05-30-2003	<p><b>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' REPORT CONCERNING SERVICE OF NEW USE SUMMONS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Arizona Department of Water Resources hereby files a report concerning service of new use summons in the Gila River adjudication and the Little Colorado River adjudication.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 6</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3095	06-05-2003	<p><b>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY’S AND PHELPS DODGE COPORATION’S RESPONSE TO SALT RIVER PROJECT’S CROSS MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation respond to Salt River Project’s Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 5</p>
3096	06-06-2003	<p><b>ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL FOR CITIES OF CHANDLER, GLENDALE, MESA AND SCOTTSDALE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> IT IS HEREBY ORDERED substituting William H. Anger of Engelman Berger, P.C. as co-counsel of record for Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale in place of William H. Anger and Paul G. Ulrich &amp; Anger, P.C.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 1; Attachment: 1</p>
3097	06-06-2003	<p><b>ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Upon Motion by the San Carlos Apache Tribe, the Tonto Apache Tribe, and the Yavapai-Apache Nation, (the “Apache Tribes”), and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Apache Tribes are granted leave to exceed the page limitation impose by Paragraph F of Pre-trial Order No. 1.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 1</p>
3098	06-06-2003	<p><b>NOTICE OF ERRATA</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> City of Sedona, Town of Jerome, Town of Clarkdale, City of Cottonwood, and Town of Comp Verde (“Verde Valley Communities”), correct claim numbers that were used from previous pleadings.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-49632, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
3099	06-03-2003	<p><b>SALT RIVER PROJECT’S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO STRIKE CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Salt River Project submits its response to the May 23, 2003 motion by the Gila Valley and Franklin Irrigation Districts and the “Verde Valley Communities” to strike SRP’s May 5, 2003 cross-motion for summary judgment regarding a declaration of full appropriation on the Gila River System.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-1040, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 10</p>
3100	06-05-2003	<p><b>REQUEST TO REMOVE NAME FROM COURT-APPROVED MAILING LIST</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Richard N. Morrison of the firm Salmon Lewis &amp; Weldon, PLC respectfully requests to be removed from the Court-approved mailing list as his client, HRS Farms, LLP no longer owns property for which a Statement of Claimant has been filed in the Adjudication.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3101</b>	<b>06-05-2003</b>	<b>SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING PURSUANT TO ORDER SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND HEARING</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, and the City of Cottonwood ("Verde Valley Communities"), supplement their briefing pursuant to Special Master's Order dated April 25, 2003. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U862840, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 8
<b>3102</b>	<b>06-06-2003</b>	<b>CITY OF TUCSON'S BRIEF REGARDING "PRE-ADJUDICATION" SUBFLOW CONDITIONS</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The City of Tucson's brief regarding whether ADWR should consider "pre-development" subflow conditions. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-09-72680, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 6
<b>3103</b>	<b>06-09-2003</b>	<b>APACHE TRIBES' RESPONSE TO UPPER VALLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICTS' AND VERDE VALLEY COMMUNITIES' MOTION TO CONTINUE/DENY WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND OBJECTION TO AFFIDAVIT OF COUNSEL</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Yavapai-Apache Nation's Response to Upper Valley Irrigation Districts' and Verde Valley Communities' Motion to Continue/Deny Without Prejudice and Objection to Affidavit of Counsel. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-12676, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 5
<b>3104</b>	<b>06-11-2003</b>	<b>CITIES' RESPONSE TO SALT RIVER PROJECT'S CROSS-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") respond to Salt River Project's ("SRP") Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-37521, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 2
<b>3105</b>	<b>06-12-2003</b>	<b>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FOR DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Salt River Project submits its reply in support of its May 5, 2003 cross-motion for summary judgment regarding a declaration of full appropriation on the Gila River System. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>3106</b>	<b>06-13-2003</b>	<b>ORDER</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Special Master requests a status report from the United States and comments from persons who have been identified as potential parties in a contested case. The report is due on or before <b>August 29, 2003</b> , and comments from other persons are due on or before <b>October 3, 2003</b> . <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 3; ATT: 3

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3107</b>	<b>06-25-2003</b>	<b>ORDER REMOVING RICHARD N. MORRISON OF THE FIRM SALMON, LEWIS AND WELDON, PLC FROM COURT-APPROVED MAILING LIST</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : IT IS HEREBY ORDERED removing Richard N. Morrison of the firm Salmon, Lewis and Weldon, PLC from the Court-approved mailing list. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 1
<b>3108</b>	<b>06-27-2003</b>	<b>VERDE VALLEY WATER USERS, INCORPORATED REBUTTAL DECLARATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Ray Wrobley, Mary Margaret Kovacovich and John Kovacovich, as officers and directors of Verde Valley Water Users, Incorporated, an Arizona non-profit corporation, through undersigned counsel, files the attached Rebuttal Declaration of Philip C. Briggs. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-05-55868; et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 22
<b>3109</b>	<b>05-02-2003</b>	<b>CITIES' RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES TO IMPOLEMENT A.R.S. §§45-112, 45-203, et seq., 45-1202 et seq. IN THIS ADJUDICATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") submit their Response to Apache Tribes' Amendments Motion for Order Directing the Arizona Department of Water Resources to Implement A.R.S. §§45-112, 45-203, et seq., 45-189 et seq., 45-1202 et seq., in this Adjudication. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-37521, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 7
<b>3110</b>	<b>06-27-2003</b>	<b>CITY OF TUCSON'S FILING OF AFFIDAVIT TO REBUT SWORN DECLARATIONS OF JUNE 17, 2002</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Affidavit of the City of Tucson's Chief Hydrologist, Ralph P. Marra, Jr., filed to rebut certain sworn declarations of June 17, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-09-72680 and 39-09-72681 <u>PAGES</u> : 4

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3111</b>	<b>06-27-2003</b>	<b>NOTICE OF FILING REBUTTAL REPORT</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila Valley Irrigation District and the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, and the City of Cottonwood (collectively "Verde Valley Communities"), and the Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District and the Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District (collectively "Central Valley Irrigation Districts") file a rebuttal report pursuant to the Special Master's Order dated April 25, 2003. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U862840, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 2
<b>3112</b>	<b>06-30-2003</b>	<b>REBUTTAL REPORT ON SUBFLOW</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Rebuttal Report on Subflow prepared for the Gila River Indian Community Office of Water Rights by Gookin Engineers, LTD. The report addresses questions set forth by the Special Master of the W1 Court. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u>
<b>3113</b>	<b>06-30-2003</b>	<b>REBUTTAL TO TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMENTS SUBMITTED JUNE, 2002 ON ADWR'S MARCH, 2002 SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Rebuttal to technical review comments submitted June, 2002 on ADWR's March , 2002 subflow. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 64
<b>3114</b>	<b>07-03-2003</b>	<b>OPPOSITION TO GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE IN PARTIAL SUPPORT OF SALT RIVER PROJECT'S CROSS-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE DECLARATION OF FULL APPROPRIATION</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, The Town of Clarkdale, and the City of Cottonwood ("Verde Valley Communities"), oppose and move to strike GRIC's Response in partial support of SRP's Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re Declaration of Full Appropriation of May 23, 2003. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U862840, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 10
<b>3115</b>	<b>07-04-2003</b>	<b>SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Sierra Vista hereby substitutes Stuart L. Fauver as its counsel of record and requests that he be placed on the court-approved mailing list. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-1488, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 2
<b>3116</b>	<b>07-04-2003</b>	<b>DECLARATION OF OLIVER S. PAGE</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Oliver S. Page has submitted a declaration containing credentials and job experiences. Exhibit A Re: The Subflow Delineation San Pedro River Basin, Arizona is attached to the declaration. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 15

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3117</b>	<b>07-16-2003</b>	<b>RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFS ON SUBFLOW ISSUES</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila Valley Irrigation District and the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"); the City of Casa Grande; and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District and the Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District (collectively "Central Valley Irrigation Districts"), respond to supplemental briefs on subflow issues pursuant to the Special Master's Order Dated April 25, 2003. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U862840, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 6
<b>3118</b>	<b>07-23-2003</b>	<b>ORDER SUBSTITUTING COUNSEL</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : IT IS ORDERED substituting Stuart L. Fauver as counsel of record for the City of Sierra Vista in place and stead of James L. Conlogue. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not Applicable <u>PAGES</u> : 1

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3119</b>	<b>07-30-2003</b>	<b>OPPOSITION TO UNITED STATES' REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION RE: DISCOVERY</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila Valley Irrigation District and the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts; and the City of Sedona, the Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, and the City of Cottonwood (collectively "Verde Valley Communities"); and the Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District and the Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District (collectively "Central Valley Irrigation Districts"), oppose the United States' Request for Clarification re: Discovery, dated June 20, 2003. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U862840, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 6
<b>3120</b>	<b>08-15-2003</b>	<b>ORDER TRANSFERRING FUNDS FOR PAYMENT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER'S EXPENSES</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : THIS MATTER COMING before the Court on its own motion to transfer funds from the Office of the Clerk of the Maricopa County Superior Court to the Administrative Office of the Courts ("AOC") for the purpose of compensating and paying expenses of the Special Master appointed in this proceeding. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not Applicable <u>PAGES</u> : 4
<b>3121</b>	<b>08-29-2003</b>	<b>MINUTE ENTRY</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : IT IS ORDERED setting a hearing on the Apache Tribes' motions and the requests for the relief for 2:00 p.m. on Friday, November 14, 2003, East Court Building, 101 W. Jefferson, Courtroom #513. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not Applicable <u>PAGES</u> : 2

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3122	09-01-2003	<b>UNITED STATES' STATUS REPORT REGARDING WATER RIGHT CLAIMS FOR THE SAN PEDRO RIPARIAN NATIONAL CONSERVATION AREA</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The United States' status report on progress of technical studies and the anticipated filing date of amended claims. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 4
3123	09-03-2003	<b>NOTICE OF LODGING AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Office of the Special Master lodges a Notice of Filing of the Arizona General Stream Adjudication Bulletin, May-August 2003 (online) issue. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 17
3124	09-25-2003	<b>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' NOTICE OF RECENTLY PUBLISHED SOIL SURVEY MAPS FOR COCHISE COUNTY</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Arizona Department of Water Resources (Department) hereby provides notice of recently published soil survey maps for Cochise County. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 7; Attachments: 34



MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
3125	10-03-2003	<b>COMMENTS BY BHP COPPER INC. RE INITIATION OF CONTESTED CASE</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : BHP Copper Inc. submits its Comments by BHP Copper Inc. Re Initiation of Contested Case <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-110003142, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 2
3126	10-03-2003	<b>ASARCO INCORPORATED'S STATEMENT ON ADVISABILITY OF INITIATING CONTESTED CASE FOR SAN PEDRO RIPARIAN NATIONAL CONSERVATION AREA</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : ASARCO Incorporated states its view on the advisability of initiating a contested case related to the San Pedro Riparian National Conservation Area in response to the Special Master's Order dated June 13, 2003. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U8-62699, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 2
3127	10-10-2003	<b>BHP'S JOINDER IN MOTION BY ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION TO ALLOW ADDITIONAL TIME TO ANALYZE AND SUBMIT EXPERT TESTIMONY ON ADWR'S NOTICE OF RECENTLY PUBLISHED SOIL SURVIEY MAPS FOR COCHISE COUNTY</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : BHP Copper Inc. submits its joinder in a motion by Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation to allow additional time. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-0003142, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 2

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3128	10-28-2003	<b>SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The City of Sierra Vista hereby substitutes William P. Sullivan and the law firm of Martinez and Curtis, P.C. as its counsel or record. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-1488, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 2
3129	10-30-2003	<b>ORDER REQUESTING STATUS REPORT BY UNITED STATES</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Special Master requests a status report from the United States on or before <b>Tuesday, June 1, 2004</b> . <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2; Attachments: 3
3130	11-02-2003	<b>RETURNED MAIL</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Undeliverable mail Re: SPRNCA (not yet initiated). Copy of Order: The Special Master requests a status report from the United States and comments from persons who have been identified as potential parties in a contested case. File Date: 06-13-2003 <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not Applicable <u>PAGES</u> : Envelope containing the returned mail (9)
3131	11-02-2003	<b>RETURNED MAIL</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Undeliverable mail Re: SPRNCA (not yet initiated). Copy of Order requesting status report by United States on or before <b>Tuesday, June 1, 2004</b> . File Date: 10-30-2003 <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not Applicable <u>PAGES</u> : Envelope containing the returned mail (9)
3132	11-05-2003	<b>ORDER SUBSTITUTING COUNSEL</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : IT IS ORDERED substituting William P. Sullivan as counsel of record for the City of Sierra Vista in place and stead of Stuart L. Fauver. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED removing Stuart L. Fauver from the court approved mailing list and confirming William P. Sullivan. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-34900, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 5
3133	11-13-2003	<b>WRITTEN NOTICE OF ORAL ARGUMENT PRESENTATION SCHEDULES FOR APACHE TRIBES' MOTIONS RE: FULL APPROPRIATION AND DWR DUTES</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation file this written oral argument presentation schedule on behalf of themselves and other parties intending to present oral argument regarding the Apache Tribes' Motions. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-34900, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 5

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3134</b>	<b>12-04-2003</b>	<b>MINUTE ENTRY</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : IT IS ORDERED denying the Motion to Continue/Deny Without Prejudice the Apache Tribes' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding Full Appropriation of the Gila River Stream Adjudication. IT IS ORDERED denying the Motion to Strike Cross Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Re Declaration of Full Appropriation. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not Applicable <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>3135</b>	<b>12-07-2003</b>	<b>DECLARATION OF OLIVER S. PAGE</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Resume of Oliver S. Page (CEO/CFO of Stetson Engineers). <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not Applicable <u>PAGES</u> : 2; Attachments: 23
<b>3136</b>	<b>12-22-2003</b>	<b>NOTICE THAT THE ARIZONA STATE LAND DEPARTMENT HAS AMENDED CERTAIN OF ITS CLAIMS IN THE GILA RIVER GENERAL STREAM ADJUDICATION (SAN PEDRO WATERSHED)</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The State provides notice that the Arizona State Land Department has amended certain of its claims in the Gila River General Stream Adjudication (San Pedro watershed). <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 4