

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
2923	12-31-2001	<p><b>UNITED STATES’ RESPONSE TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES’ REPORT CONCERNING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ARIZONA SUPREME COURT’S DECISION ON SUBFLOW</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The United States files its response to the Arizona Department of Water Resources’ report concerning implementation of the Arizona Supreme Court’s decision on subflow.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 4</p>
2924	01-04-2002	<p><b>NOTICE OF LODGING AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Office of the Special Master lodges a Notice of Filing of the Arizona General Stream Adjudication Bulletin, September – December 2001 (online) issue.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 24</p>
2925	01-07-2001	<p><b>ORDER</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Special Master requests comments from claimant Bureau of Land Management – Safford District and objectors regarding the initiation of a contested case concerning the claimed water rights to the Bureau of Land Management pursuant to Public Water Reserve No. 107 as interpreted in Solicitor’s Opinion M-36914 and supplemental opinions.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 4</p>
2926	01-10-2002	<p><b>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES’ STATUS REPORT CONCERNING HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORT FOR THE GILA RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Arizona Department of Water Resources hereby files its status report concerning the hydrographic survey report for the Gila River Indian Reservation.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 5</p>
2927	01-07-2002	<p><b>THE NATURE CONSERVANCY’S JOINDER TO SALT RIVER PROJECT’S RESPONSE TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES’ REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBFLOW</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Nature Conservancy submits its Joinder to the Salt River Project’s Response to Arizona Department of Water Resources’ report regarding implementation of the subflow decision.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-333, et al</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
2928	01-16-2002	<p><b>APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> City of Mesa requests this Court to enter an order approving substitution of Mary P. Wade and Karen Nally as co-counsel of record for City of Mesa and directing that their names be added to the official mailing list in this proceedings as co-counsel of record for City of Mesa.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>2929</b>	<b>01-22-2002</b>	<b>MINUTE ENTRY</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Minute entry of hearing of January 08, 2002 on (1) Arizona Department of Water Resources Report concerning Implementation of the Arizona Supreme Court's Decision on Subflow (filed December 18, 2001). The Court directs that, where applicable, all parties shall include cites to Arizona reporters on memoranda filed with this Court; that ADWR prepare another more specific and detailed report pertaining to the San Pedro River watershed, the specifics which shall be detailed by separate minute entry. (2) ADWR's status report concerning Hydrographic Survey Report for the Gila River Indian Reservation (filed January 4, 2002): IT IS ORDERED granting SRP's request to respond. Responses shall be due within 30 days. FURTHER ORDERED that the United States shall file a report on or before March 29, 2002, describing its intentions with respect to filing amended claims. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not applicable <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>2930</b>	<b>01-22-2002</b>	<b>MINUTE ENTRY</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : IT IS ORDERED, to promote an efficient and accurate determination of the jurisdictional subflow zones, ADWR shall prepare a supplemental report specifically identifying and describing the procedures and processes it proposes to use to establish the limits of the subflow zone within the San Pedro River watershed. ADWR's supplemental report shall be filed on or before March 29, 2002 and shall contain a certification by the ADWR Director that he has read and is familiar with the proposal set forth in the report. After the report is filed, claimants and parties shall have until May 13, 2002 to file objections or requested revisions to the report. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not Applicable <u>PAGES</u> : 3

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
2931	02-07-2002	<b>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S RESPONSE TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES STATUS REPORT RE HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORT FOR GILA RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Salt River Project Submits Its Response To The Arizona Department Of Water Resources' January 4, 2002 Status Report Concerning The Hydrographic Survey Report For The Gila River Indian Reservation. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-1040, et al <u>PAGES:</u> 6
2932	02-07-2002	<b>CITIES' RESPONSE TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES STATUS REPORT RE HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORT FOR GILA RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Cities Of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa And Scottsdale File Their Response To Arizona Department Of Water Resources' January 4, 2002 Status Report Concerning The Hydrographic Survey Report For The Gila River Indian Reservation. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-L8-37521, et al <u>PAGES:</u> 4
2933	02-07-2002	<b>STATE'S RESPONSE TO ADWR'S STATUS REPORT RE HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORT FOR GILA RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The State Of Arizona As A Claimant Files Its Response To ADWR's Status Report Concerning The HSR For The Gila River Indian Reservation <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable <u>PAGES:</u> 4
2934	02-07-2002	<b>CITY OF PHOENIX'S JOINDER IN SALT RIVER PROJECT'S RESPONSE TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES STATUS REPORT RE HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORT FOR GILA RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Phoenix files a Joinder in the Salt River Project's response To Arizona Department Of Water Resources' January 4, 2002 Status Report Concerning The Hydrographic Survey Report For The Gila River Indian Reservation. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-7927, et al <u>PAGES:</u> 2
2935	02-28-2002	<b>APPLICATION TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE BY DONALD G. BLANKENAU AND FORM OF ORDER</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Donald G. Blankenau applies to appear as counsel pro hac vice in connection with this action and its various contested cases. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable <u>PAGES:</u> 4
2936	02-28-2002	<b>JOINDER IN THE STATE'S RESPONSE TO ADWR'S STATUS REPORT CONCERNING HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORT FOR THE GILA RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Joinder in the State's Response to ADWR's Status Report Concerning Hydrographic Survey Report for the Gila River Indian Reservation. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None given <u>PAGES:</u> 2

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>2937</b>	<b>03-20-2002</b>	<b>ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Special Master approves Application of Substitution. Mary P. Wade and Karen Nally are substituted as co-counsel of record for the City of Mesa in place of Neal J. Beets. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None given <u>PAGES:</u> 1
<b>2938</b>	<b>03-20-2002</b>	<b>REQUEST TO BE REMOVED FROM MAILING LIST</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Luna Irrigation Company requests to be removed from court-approved mailing list. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None given <u>PAGES:</u> 1
<b>2939</b>	<b>03-27-2002</b>	<b>MINUTE ENTRY</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> IT IS ORDERED, that Mr. Max Reynolds be removed from the mailing list as requested by Claimant. IT IS ORDERED the Application to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Donald G. Blankenau is hereby granted. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable <u>PAGES:</u> 2
<b>2940</b>	<b>03-27-2002</b>	<b>ORDER APPROVING APPLICATION TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE BY DONALD G. BLANKENAU</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Application to Appear Pro Hac Vice by Donald G. Blankenau is granted. He is authorized to appear and participate in this action, and in any other contested cases created under this action in which his clients are permitted to participate. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 1

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
2941	04-01-2002	<b>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' NOTICE OF SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT ERRATA</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Arizona Department of Water Resources hereby files a notice of errata contained within its subflow technical report filed on March 29, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 2
2942	04-04-2002	<b>MINUTE ENTRY</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Minute entry regarding matters to be considered at the April 23, 2002 hearing. The following schedule will be in effect: (A) City of Safford's Motion to strike Gila River Indian Community's Motion for Partial Summary Judgement (15 mins.). (B) Motions Pertaining to the Preclusive Effect of the Globe Equity No. 59 Decree on the San Carlos Apache Tribe (95 min.). (C) Motions Pertaining to the Preclusive Effect of the Globe Equity No. 59 Decree on the Gila Valley Irrigation district and Franklin Irrigation District (60 min.). Copies of the Minute Entry was mailed to all parties on the Court-approved mailing list dated February 7, 2002 <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not applicable <u>PAGES:</u> 3
2943	04-05-2002	<b>ASARCO INCORPORATED'S COMMENTS ON INITIATION OF A CONTESTED CASE CONCERNING WATER RIGHTS CLAIMED BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MAGEMENT, SAFFORD DISTRICT, UNDER PUBLIC WATER RESERVE NO. 107</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> ASARCO Incorporated comments on initiation of a contested case concerning water rights claimed by the Bureau of Land Management, Safford District, under Public Water Reserve No. 107 <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Nos. 39-U8-62699 et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 3
2944	04-05-2002	<b>STATE'S COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO THE SPECIAL MASTER'S JANUARY 4, 2002 ORDER IN RE: BLM'S PWR NO. 107 CLAIMS</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The State of Arizona as a claimant files its comments in response the Special Master's 1/4/02 Order in re BLM's PWR No. 107 claims <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 2
2945	04-05-2002	<b>SRP'S NOTICE OF FILING COMMENTS RE INITIATION OF A CONTESTED CASE FOR BLM WATER RIGHTS CLAIMS BASED ON PUBLIC WATER RESERVE NO.107</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Salt River Project's notice that it has submitted comments on initiation of a contested case for BLM water rights claims under Public Water Reserve No. 107. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-1040 et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 3
2946	04-05-2002	<b>SRP'S COMMENTS RE INITIATION OF A CONTESTED CASE FOR BLM WATER RIGHTS CLAIMS BASED ON PUBLIC WATER RESERVE NO.107</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> SRP submits its comments regarding initiation of a contested case for BLM water rights claims based on Public Water Reserve No. 107 <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-1040 et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 3

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>2947</b>	<b>04-05-2002</b>	<b>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES FILES SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT OF THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Arizona Department of Water Resources files a report entitled "Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed" as requested by court order dated January 9, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable <u>PAGES:</u> 277
<b>2948</b>	<b>04-05-2002</b>	<b>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' NOTICE OF FILING SUBFLOW REPORT</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Arizona Department of Water Resources files a report entitled "Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed" as requested by court order dated January 9, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 2
<b>2949</b>	<b>04-05-2002</b>	<b>UNITED STATES' COMMENTS REGARDING THE INITIATION OF A CONTESTED CASE FOR CLAIMED WATER RIGHTS OF THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT – SAFFORD DISTRICT, PURSUANT TO PUBLIC WATER RESERVE NO. 107</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> United States' Comments regarding the initiation of a contested case for claimed water rights of the Bureau of Land Management – Safford District, pursuant to Public Water Reserve No. 107 <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 3
<b>2950</b>	<b>04-05-2002</b>	<b>SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA FILES INTERLOCUTORY REVIEW MANDATE ISSUE NO. 3</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Supreme Court files an Interlocutory Review Mandate Issue No. 3 <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 6

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>2951</b>	<b>05-01-2002</b>	<b>BHP COPPER INC.'S REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> BHP Copper Inc.'s request for additional time to respond to the Arizona Department of Water Resources Subflow Technical Report San Pedro River Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-0003142, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 4
<b>2952</b>	<b>05-01-2002</b>	<b>REQUEST FOR ACCELERATED BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND RULING ON MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Request for accelerated briefing schedule and ruling on motion for additional time to respond to the Arizona Department of Water Resources Subflow Technical Report San Pedro River Watershed <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-0003142, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 2
<b>2953</b>	<b>05-02-2002</b>	<b>NOTICE OF LODGING AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Office of Special Master lodges a Notice of Filing of the Arizona General Stream Adjudication Bulletin, January – April, 2002 (online) issue. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 20
<b>2954</b>	<b>05-03-2002</b>	<b>SPECIAL MASTER INITIATES PROCEEDINGS IN THE CONTESTED CASES ASSOCIATED WITH THE U.S. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT CLAIMS PURSUANT TO PUBLIC WATER RESERVE NO. 107, CONSOLIDATES THE RELATED CONTESTED CASES INTO CONTESTED CASE NO. W1-11-1174, AND ANNOUNCES A PREHEARING CONFERENCE</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Special Master initiates proceedings in the contested cases associated with the U.S. Bureau of Land Management claims pursuant to Public Water Reserve No. 107, consolidates the related contested cases into Contested Case No. W1-11-1174, and announces a prehearing conference. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 2
<b>2955</b>	<b>05-03-2002</b>	<b>CITY OF PHOENIX'S RESPONSE TO BHP COPPER INC.'S REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT FOR THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Phoenix ("Phoenix") responds to BHP Copper Inc.'s ("BHP") Request that it be allowed an additional thirty (30) days to respond to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' ("ADWR") Subflow Technical Report for the San Pedro River Watershed ("Report"). <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-7927, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 3

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>2956</b>	<b>05-03-2002</b>	<b>JOINDER IN BHP'S MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation Join in BHP's Motion for Additional Time to Respond to the Arizona Department of Water Resources Subflow Technical Report San Pedro Watershed <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None given <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>2957</b>	<b>05-06-2002</b>	<b>JOINDER IN BHP'S MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Apache Tribes' Joinder in BHP's Motion for Additional Time to Respond to the Arizona Department of Water Resources Subflow Technical Report San Pedro Watershed <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-12676, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>2958</b>	<b>05-06-2002</b>	<b>CITIES' RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF BHP COPPER INC.'S REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT FOR THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Cities' of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa, and Scottsdale ("Cities") file their response in support of BHP Copper Inc.'s ("BHP") request that it be allowed an additional thirty (30) days to respond to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' ("ADWR") March 29, 2002 Subflow Report for the San Pedro River Watershed ("Report"). <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-37521, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>2959</b>	<b>05-08-2002</b>	<b>MINUTE ENTRY</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : IT IS ORDERED, granting the Requests for Additional Time to Respond. All claimants and parties shall have until Monday, June 17, 2002, to file all objections or requested revisions to the Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not Applicable <u>PAGES</u> : 1
<b>2960</b>	<b>05-08-2002</b>	<b>JOINDER IN BHP'S MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT REGARDING THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila Valley and Franklin Irrigation Districts join in BHP's motion for additional time to respond to the Arizona Department of Water Resources subflow technical report regarding the San Pedro River watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-U862840-62850, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>2961</b>	<b>05-08-2002</b>	<b>NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FOR VERDE VALLEY COMMUNITIES</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : City of Sedona, Town of Jerome, Town of Clarkdale, City of Cottonwood and Town of Camp Verde, hereinafter Verde Valley Communities, Notice of Appearance. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2



MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

**Doc. No    File Date    Document Description**

---

**2962    05-08-2002    VERDE VALLEY COMMUNITIES’ JOINDER IN BHP’S MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED**

SUMMARY: City of Sedona, Town of Jerome, Town of Clarkdale, City of Cottonwood, and Town of Camp Verde, hereinafter Verde Valley Communities, Join in BHP’s Motion for Additional Time to Respond to the Arizona Department of Water Resources Subflow Technical Report San Pedro River Watershed.

CLAIMANT NO: None given

PAGES: 3

**2963    05-16-2002    LETTER**

SUMMARY: John L. Smeltzer, Attorney for Appellate Section Environment & Natural Resources Division, filed a letter as a notice that documents have been filed in the courts.

CLAIMANT NO: None Given; PAGES: 6

**2964    05-17-2002    MINUTE ENTRY**

SUMMARY: Minute entry regarding hearing on pending motions. GRIC’s Motion to Remove Phelps Dodge Corporation as a Named Party To and From Participation or Consideration in GRIC’S Motion for Partial Summary Judgement (Docket No. 099). Stipulation between Buckeye Irrigation Company/ Buckeye Water Conservation and Drainage District and San Carlos Apache Tribe (Docket No. 154).

**2965    05-17-2002    ORDER**

SUMMARY: Order signed by Judge Ballinger on May 17, 2002. IT IS ORDERED: (1) Granting GRIC’s Motion to Remove Phelps Dodge as a Named Party from GRIC’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgement. (2) Directing SCAT and the Buckeye organizations to submit a joint form of order memorializing the terms of their stipulation filed in this matter June 20, 2001. (3) Rescinding this court’s order dated June 27, 2001, denying Safford’s Motion to Strike GRIC’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgement. The court has now considered the merits of the legal issues raised by Safford’s motion. (4) Granting Safford’s Motion to Strike GRIC’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgement to extent that GRIC’s motion seeks relief as to claimants who are not parties in this contested case. (5) Denying GRIC’s alternative motion to include in this contested case other parties, in addition to SCAT, GVID, AND FID, who are in privity with owners or are claimants of water rights decreed in United States v. Gila Valley Irr. Dist. Globe Equity No. 59 (D. Ariz. 1935) (the “Globe Equity Decree”). (6) Granting motions for summary judgment filed by GRIC, SCAT, Safford, GVID and FID jointly, SCIDD, Phelps Dodge, and ASARCO to the extent that these motions seek a determination that preclusive effect of the Globe Equity Decree is applicable to the parties consistent with the findings and conclusions set forth in this court’s Amended Order dated February 20, 2002, entered in Contested Case No. W1-203. (7) Denying ASARCO’s Motion for Summary Judgement on issue of whether ASARCO’s water right relating to San Carlos River, decreed to ASARCO’s predecessor in interest in the Globe Equity Decree, precludes SCAT from claiming water right to San Carlos River in the Gila River Adjudication. Genuine disputes regarding material facts preclude granting summary judgement on the claims to the San Carlos River asserted in the Gila River Adjudication by ASARCO and SCAT. (8) Denying Motion for GVID and FID to Strike SCAT’s Notice of Errata and “Corrected” Statement of Facts. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: The Arizona Department of Water Resources shall prepare subsequent hydrographic survey reports in accordance with the determinations made in this Order.

CLAIMANT NO: Not Applicable

PAGES: 3

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>2966</b>	<b>05-20-2002</b>	<b>LONG MEADOW RANCH PROPERTY OWNERS RESPONSE TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES REPORT RE IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBFLOW DECISION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Long Meadow Ranch Property Owners Association submits its response to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' December 18, 2001 report concerning implementation of the Arizona Supreme Court's decision on subflow. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 1
<b>2967</b>	<b>05-31-2002</b>	<b>CITY OF PHOENIX'S OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FILED BY VERDE VALLEY COMMUNITIES</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The City of Phoenix ("Phoenix") submits its objection to the Notice of Appearance filed on May 8, 2002, by counsel for certain "Verde Valley communities." <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-7927, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 24

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>2968</b>	<b>06-06-2002</b>	<b>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S JOINDER IN CITY OF PHOENIX OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF APPEARANCE BY VERDE VALLEY COMMUNITIES</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Salt River Project joins in the objection filed by the City of Phoenix to the notice of appearance filed on May 8, 2002, by counsel for certain "Verde Valley communities." <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-01040 et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 3
<b>2969</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>YAVAPAI COUNTY'S RESPONSE TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Yavapai County presents this response to the March 29 <sup>th</sup> , 2002 report submitted to the Court by the Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR) relating to the proposals for determining the lateral extent of the "subflow" zones and the procedure for identifying de minimis wells for the purpose of this adjudication. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-42711 et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 4
<b>2970</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>ASARCO INCORPORATED'S AND ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S OBJECTIONS TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> ASARCO Incorporated and Arizona Water Company object to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed, dated March 29, 2002, as corrected by the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Notice of Subflow Technical Report Errata dated April 1, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U8-62699, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 31
<b>2971</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>COMMENTS OF BELLA VISTA WATER CO., INC. ON ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW REPORT</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Bella Vista Water Co., Inc. submits comments to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report in the San Pedro River Watershed dated March 29, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-1102739, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 11
<b>2972</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>RESPONSE BY VERDE VALLEY WATER USERS, INC. TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SUB-FLOW TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Ray Wrobley, Mary Margaret Kovakovich and John Kovakovich, as officers and directors of Verde Valley Water Users, Incorporated, an Arizona non-profit corporation, respond to the report submitted to the Court by the Arizona Department of Water Resources. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-05-55868, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 51

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
2973	06-17-2002	<p><b>CITY OF TUCSON'S RESPONSE TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Tucson's response to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' ("ADWR") March 29, 2002, Subflow Technical Report on the San Pedro River watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-09-72680, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 6</p>
2974	06-17-2002	<p><b>COMMENTS AND OBJECTIONS TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Apache Tribe's Comments and Objections to ADWR's Subflow Technical Report on the San Pedro River Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-12676, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 16</p>
2975	06-17-2002	<p><b>STATE'S RESPONSE TO ADWR'S MARCH 29, 2002 "SUBFLOW TECHICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED"</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The State of Arizona as a claimant files its response to ADWR's March 29, 2002 "Subflow Technical Report San Pedro River Watershed" concerning implementation of the Arizona Supreme Court's decision on subflow. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 9</p>
2976	06-17-2002	<p><b>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S RESPONSE TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' TECHNICAL REPORT RE SUBFLOW</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Salt River Project submits its response to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' March 29, 2002 technical report regarding subflow in the San Pedro River Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 37</p>
2977	06-17-2002	<p><b>OBJECTIONS OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY AND PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT – SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Public Service Company and Phelps Dodge Corporation submit their objections to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' "Subflow Technical Report – San Pedro River Watershed". <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-34900, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 122</p>
2978	06-17-2002	<p><b>NOTICE OF FILING GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' 2002 SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED, AND NOTICE OF FILING EXPERT WITNESS REPORTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian Community submits notice that it has filed a response to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' 2002 Subflow Technical Report on the San Pedro River Watershed, and Notice of Filing Expert Witness Reports. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>2979</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S OBJECTIONS AND REQUESTED REVISIONS TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian Community submits its Objections and Requested Revisions to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report on the San Pedro River Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 186
<b>2980</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>PHOENIX'S RESPONSE TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Phoenix files its response to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' ("ADWR") March 29, 2002 Subflow Report for the San Pedro River Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-7927, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 5
<b>2981</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>CITIES' RESPONSE TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale file their response to Arizona Department of Water Resources' ("DWR") March 29, 2002 Subflow Report for the San Pedro River Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-L8-37521, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 47
<b>2982</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>THE GILA VALLEY AND FRANKLIN IRRIGATION DISTRICTS' OBJECTION TO THE ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila Valley and Franklin Irrigation Districts object to the Arizona Department of Water Resources Subflow Technical Report San Pedro River Watershed dated March 29, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U862840-62850, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 29
<b>2983</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>VERDE VALLEY COMMUNITIES' OBJECTION TO THE ADWR'S SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Sedona, Town of Jerome, Town of Clarksdale, City of Cottonwood and Town of Camp Verde, hereinafter Verde Valley Communities, object to the Arizona Department Water Resources Subflow Technical Report San Pedro River Watershed Dated March 29,2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-49632, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 15
<b>2984</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>BHP COPPER INC.'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE RESPONSIVE REPORT/ DECLARATION OF ITS HYDROLOGIST, ERROL L. MONTGOMERY &amp; ASSOCIATES</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> BHP Copper Inc.'s Memorandum in support of its Hydrologist's Responsive Report and Sworn Declaration re: the Arizona Department of Water Resources' March 29, 2002, Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-0003142, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 28

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>2985</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>BHP COPPER INC.'S AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEARANCE</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Counsel for BHP Copper Inc. files Amended Notice of Appearance. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
<b>2986</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>BHP COPPER INC.'S RESPONSIVE EXPERT REPORT AND SUMMARY OF ITS AREAS OF AGREEMENT AND DISAGREEMENT WITH THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : BHP Copper Inc.'s Responsive Expert Report and Summary of its Areas of Agreement and Disagreement with the Arizona Department of Water Resources Subflow Technical Report San Pedro River Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-0003142, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 65
<b>2987</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>COMMENTS ON DWR'S SUBFLOW REPORT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The City of Flagstaff, City of Safford, DYM, Inc., Painted Rock Ranches, Paloma Ranch Investments Inc., Rio Rico Properties, Inc., and Tonopah Irrigation District file their comments on the DWR's Subflow Technical Report – San Pedro River Watershed. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 14
<b>2988</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FOR DYM, INC. PAINTED ROCK RANCHES, AND TONOPAH IRRIGATION DISTRICT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : DYM, Inc., Painted Rock Ranches, Tonopah Irrigation District, Notice of Appearance. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
<b>2989</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>CITY OF GOODYEAR'S JOINDER IN CERTAIN COMMENTS AND OBJECTIONS RE: ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' SUBFLOW REPORT, SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The City of Goodyear joins in certain comments and objections to the Arizona Department of Water Resources' Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed, dated March 29, 2002, and revised April 1, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-37573, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>2990</b>	<b>06-17-2002</b>	<b>NOTICE OF SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL FOR THE CITY OF SIERRA VISTA, REQUEST TO AMEND MAILING LIST AND ORDER</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : James L. Conlogue submits Notice of Substitution of Counsel. It is further requested that the Court – approved W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 mailing list dated February 7, 2002 be amended to reflect change in counsel. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 1

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
2991	06-17-2002	<b>CITY OF SIERRA VISTA COMMENTS ON ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT – SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Sierra Vista hereby files its comments on the Arizona Department of Water Resources’ Subflow Technical Report – San Pedro River Watershed pursuant to this Court’s Minute Entries dated January 9, 2002 and May 7, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 7
2992	06-18-2002	<b>LONG MEADOW RANCH PROPERTY OWNERS RESPONSE TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES REPORT RE IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBFLOW DECISION</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Long Meadow Ranch Property Owners Association on behalf of its members submits its response to the Arizona Department of Water Resources’ December 18, 2001 report concerning implementation of the Arizona Supreme Court’s decision on subflow. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 55-573242-39-05-56038, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 2
2993	06-18-2002	<b>LETTER</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Letter written by Valory Strausser of the Lower San Pedro River Landowners dated June 12, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 1
2994	06-18-2002	<b>JOINDER IN SPECIFIED OBJECTIONS TO ADWR’S “SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED” FILED BY GILA VALLEY AND FRANKLIN IRRIGATION DISTRICTS AND VERDE VALLEY COMMUNITIES</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Benson joins in specified objections to ADWR’s “Subflow Technical Report San Pedro River Watershed” filed by the Gila Valley and Franklin Irrigation Districts and the Verde Valley Communities. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-3587, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 2
2995	06-18-2002	<b>NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF COMMENTS ON SUBFLOW TECHNICAL REPORT</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Arizona Department of Water Resources (Department) hereby files a notice of receipt of comments on the Department’s March 29,2002 subflow technical report. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 9
2996	06-18-2002	<b>UNITED STATES’ NOTICE OF FILING COMMENTS ON ADWR SUBFLOW</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> United States submits its notice of filing comments on ADWR’s March 29, 2002 Subflow Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 2
2997	06-18-2002	<b>NOTICE OF ERRATA</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila Valley and Franklin Irrigation Districts submit the curriculum vitae for Kirk C. Anderson and the curriculum vitae for W. Gerald Matlock. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U862840-62850 <u>PAGES:</u> 18

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>2998</b>	<b>06-26-2002</b>	<b>ORDER SUBSTITUTING COUNSEL AND AMENDING MAILING LIST</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Order signed by Judge Ballinger on June 18, 2002. IT IS ORDERED that James L. Conlogue is substituted in place and stead of Jennele Morris O'Hair, P.C. as attorney for the City of Sierra Vista. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court-approved W-1, W-2, W-3, and W-4 mailing list is amended to include James L. Conlogue. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 1
<b>2999</b>	<b>06-26-2002</b>	<b>MINUTE ENTRY</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : On June 26, 2002, the Court reviewed Gila River Indian Community's Motion for Extension of Time to Provide Information re: Land and Water Use Planning. Motion was granted extending the time to provide information to November 1, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 1
<b>3000</b>	<b>06-26-2002</b>	<b>NOTICE OF FILING ORIGINAL SIGNATURE TO BHP COPPER INC.'S RESPONSIVE EXPERT REPORT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Notice of Filing Original Signature to BHP Copper Inc.'s Responsive Expert Report. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-0003142, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>3001</b>	<b>01-16-2002</b>	<b>NOTICE OF CHANGE OF FILING AND MAILING ADDRESS</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Maricopa Clerk of Superior Court files notice of change of filing and mailing address. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
<b>3002</b>	<b>07-09-2002</b>	<b>UNITED STATES' NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING AND MOTION FOR EXPEDITED RULING</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : United States' Notice of Understanding and Motion for Expedited Ruling on United States' Motion for Clarification. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : Not Applicable <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>3003</b>	<b>07-13-2002</b>	<b>LETTER</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : April K. Brown, Representative of the Lower San Pedro River Watershed Community, filed a letter requesting that the Lower San Pedro River Watershed Community be placed on the court approved mailing list. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 1
<b>3004</b>	<b>07-15-2002</b>	<b>NOTICE OF LODGING</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Special Master lodges a letter concerning amendments to Rule 33(d), Rules of the Supreme Court, pertaining to admission pro hac vice. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2



MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3005	07-26-2002	<p><b>MINUTE ENTRY</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> United States’ Motion for Reconsideration of Order, Motion for Clarification, and Motion for Expedited Ruling has been reviewed by the court. Gila River Indian Community’s Partial Joinder in the United States Motion for Clarification and the Salt River Project’s response to that motion has also been reviewed. IT HAS BEEN ORDERED DENYING the United States’ Motion for Reconsideration of Order and Motion for Clarification.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
3006	08-22-2002	<p><b>MINUTE ENTRY</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> San Carlos Apache Tribes’ Motion to Alter or Amend Judgement has been reviewed by the court. Motion has been denied.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 1</p>
3007	08-27-2002	<p><b>MINUTE ENTRY</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> IT IS ORDERED, nunc pro tunc amending the minute entry dated August 21, 2002 to reflect the correct caption and mailing list. The Order is as follows: The Court has reviewed San Carlos Apache Tribe’s to Alter or Amend Judgement. Motion has been denied.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 1</p>
3008	08-29-2002	<p><b>LOWER SAN PEDRO RIVER BASIN LANDOWNERS’ FILING TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE ISSUES OF BROAD LEGAL IMPORTANCE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Lower San Pedro River Basin Landowners request to participate in the determination of the issues of broad legal importance described in ORDER dated June 26, 2002.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-0004372</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
3009	08-29-2002	<p><b>ORDER TRANSFERRING FUNDS FOR PAYMENT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER’S EXPENSES</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> This matter coming before the court on its own motion to transfer funds from the Office of the Clerk of the Maricopa County Superior Court to the Administrative Office of the Courts (“OAC”) for the purpose of compensating and paying the expenses of the Special Master appointed in this proceeding. IT IS ORDERED: The Clerk of Maricopa County Superior Court shall immediately transfer the sum of \$127, 700.00 from the account maintained for the filing fees in this proceeding to Administrative Office of the Courts, Attn: Ms. Candy Frazier.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 4</p>
3010	09-04-2002	<p><b>NOTICE OF LODGING AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Office of the Special Master lodges a Notice of Filing of the Arizona General Stream Adjudication Bulletin, May-August 2002 (online) issue.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 19</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3011	09-30-2002	<p><b>SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND REQUEST TO BE ADDED TO MAILING LIST</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Farmers Investment Co. and Farmers Water Co. substitute Robert B. Hoffman as their counsel of record and request that he be placed on the court approved mailing list.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-77742, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
3012	09-27-2002	<p><b>ORDER</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Order signed on September 26, 2002. <b>City of Phoenix’s Objection to Notice of Appearance:</b> IT IS ORDERED that the Cities of Cottonwood and Sedona and the Towns of Camp Verde, Clarkdale, and Jerome will not be required to file an amended notice of appearance, but they shall file all future pleadings in this adjudication in compliance with Section 5 (B) (4) (a) (3 and 4) of Pretrial Order No. 1, entered on May 29, 1986. The City of Phoenix’s motion is otherwise deemed moot. <b>Comments Filed by Certain Other Claimants or Parties to ADWR’s Subflow Technical Report:</b> IT IS ORDERED: 1. When filing pleadings in this matter and in contested cases before the Special Master, all claimants and parties shall comply with the requirements of Section 5 (B) (4) (a) of Pretrial Order No. 1. Recognizing that the United States and State of Arizona have hundreds of statements of claimant, the Court will relieve them from complying with this requirement except in proceedings related to specifically identified claims, and the number of claims I reasonably limited. 2. The City of Flagstaff; City of Safford; DYM, Inc.; Painted Rock Ranches: Paloma Ranch Investments, Inc.; Rio Rico Properties, Inc.; Tonopah Irrigation District; City of Sierra Vista; and the Lower San Pedro River Landowners shall file on or before Friday, November 1, 2002, a notice identifying their respective statements of claimant or their intended steps to file claims. 3. A copy of this order shall be mailed to Valory Strausser, 16380 San Pedro River Road, Benson, Arizona 85602, so there is awareness of the order. By this action, the Court is not placing either Ms. Strausser’s name and address or that of the Lower San Pedro River Landowners on the Gila River Adjudication Court-Approved Mailing List. <b>Request of the Lower San Pedro River Watershed Community to be placed on the Gila River Adjudication Court – Approved Mailing List.</b> IT IS ORDERED that Apryl K. Brown or a representative of the Lower San Pedro River Watershed Community shall inform the Court on or before Friday, November 1, 2002, if the group is a party or claimant in the Gila River Adjudication; or if its members are parties or claimants in the adjudication; and if so, in what capacity will the group acton behalf of its members; and to identify the required statement of claimant numbers in accordance with Section 5 (B) (4) (a) of Pretrial Order No. 1. A copy of the filing shall be served on all persons on the Gila River Adjudication Court – Approved Mailing List. <b>The Court will then consider the request to be placed on the mailing list. Gila Steering Committee and Status Conference Before the End of this Year.</b> IT IS ORDERED that the Chair of the Gila Steering Committee shall inform the Court not later than Friday, October 18, 2002, as to when the Steering Committee could file a report in accordance with the foregoing so that the Court can set a status conference before the end of the this year.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable  <u>PAGES:</u> 5</p>
3013	10-28-2002	<p><b>CITY OF SIERRA VISTA NOTICE OF STATEMENTS OF CLAIMANT</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Sierra Vista hereby files its notice of statements of claimant numbers pursuant to this Court’s Minute Entry dated September 26, 2002.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-1488-89m, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 1</p>

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>3014</b>	<b>10-18-2002</b>	<b>SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND REQUEST TO BE ADDED TO THE MAILING LIST</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Gila Valley Irrigation District substitutes L. Anthony Fines of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. as their counsel of record and requests that he be placed on the court approved mailing list. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U862840-62850 <u>PAGES:</u> 3
<b>3015</b>	<b>10-18-2002</b>	<b>NOTICE TO COURT OF STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT SCHEDULE</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Chairman of the Gila River Steering Committee has notified the Court that the Committee Report on issues and claims to be addressed in the next two years will be filed on November 12, 2002. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 3
<b>3016</b>	<b>11-01-2002</b>	<b>NOTICE OF APPEARANCE</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> Notice of Appearance for Valory Strausser. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-12249 <u>PAGES:</u> 2
<b>3017</b>	<b>11-04-2002</b>	<b>NOTICE OF ERRATA</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Flagstaff, the City of Safford, Painted Rock Ranches, Paloma Ranch Investments, Inc., Rio Rico Properties, Inc., and Tonopah Irrigation District submit their Statement of Claimant numbers. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U8-40757, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 3
<b>3018</b>	<b>11-12-2002</b>	<b>APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Nature Conservancy respectfully submits this Application for the Court's Order permitting Linda C. McNulty, of Mendelson, Oseran & Eisner, PC, to substitute as counsel of record in the above-captioned proceedings and to be placed on the court-approved mailing list in the place of Laura A. Hubbard. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-333-336, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 8
<b>3019</b>	<b>11-18-2002</b>	<b>ORDER SUBSTITUTING COUNSEL AND ADDING TO THE MAILING LIST</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> IT IS ORDERED substituting Robert B. Hoffman as counsel of record herein for Farmers Investment Co. and Farmers Water Co. in place of Snell & Wilmer in all further proceedings herein, and placing Robert B. Hoffman on the court approved mailing list herein. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable <u>PAGES:</u> 4

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
3020	11-19-2002	<p><b>ORDER</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Order signed on November 18, 2002. <b>Filing of ADWR's Report Concerning the Preparation of New and Updated Hydrographic Survey Reports and Related Matters in the Gila River Adjudication:</b> IT IS ORDERED that the Arizona Department of Water Resources is directed to file a copy of its report in the Gila River Adjudication so that the docket sheets provide notice of the report. <b>Motions of the Gila River Indian Community and the United States for Extension of Time and for an Expedited Ruling:</b> IT IS ORDERED granting the motions of GRIC and the United States for an extension of ninety days, or until Wednesday, January 29, 2003, for these parties to provide to ADWR information and supporting documentation relating to their current future land and water use planning within the area affected by the GRIR HSR. <b>Status Conference:</b> IT IS ORDERED that a status conference shall be held on Wednesday, January 22, 2003 at 9:00 a.m. in this division.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
3021	12-03-2002	<p><b>ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL FOR THE NATURE CONSERVANCY</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Order signed on November 20, 2002 by Judge Ballinger. IT IS ORDERED substituting Linda C. McNulty, of Mendelsohn, Oseran &amp; Eisner, PC, as counsel of record for The Nature Conservancy in place of Laura A. Hubbard. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all future pleadings or copies of pleadings directed to The Nature Conservancy shall be mailed directly to Linda C. McNulty, Mendelsohn, Oseran &amp; Eisner, PC, 2730 E. Broadway, Tucson AZ 85716. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Laura A. Hubbard of The Nature Conservancy shall be removed from the mailing list forthwith.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
3022	11-12-2002	<p><b>STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT ON CLAIMS OR ISSUES FOR COURT CONSIDERATION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Gila River Steering Committee Report on Claims or Issues for Court Consideration in the next two years.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 16</p>
3023	12-05-2002	<p><b>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES' NOTICE OF FILING RESOURCES REPORT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Arizona Department of Water Resources (Department) hereby provides notice of filing a report in the Gila River adjudication concerning the Department's resources associated with the preparation of new and updated hydrographic survey reports and related matters.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>