



# Clerk of the Superior Court

Maricopa County, AZ

## Case History Index

Updated through 2020

Jeff Fine

Clerk of the Superior Court

## PRINTING INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE CASE HISTORY INDEX

The Case History Index has been divided into six sections. You may view or print the entire document or only that section that is relevant for your current needs. The Case History section contains a glossary of terms and several special interest areas for those seeking a more complete view of the history of records in the custody of the Clerk of Court's Office. It also contains specific information on how to research case categories that have exception requirements.

The Adult, Juvenile and Marriage License Index sections are listings of all Superior Court cases by category, number and year, along with their totals and growth percentages from year to year.

The Adult and Juvenile Charts are supplied as visual attachments to the information supplied in the Case History index, offering different interpretations of the data and how some of the Index information relates to Maricopa County population growth.

## MARICOPA COUNTY – CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

### JEFF FINE

The Clerk of Superior Court's Office was established by the Arizona Constitution as an elected office with specific and special duties, primarily to serve as the official record keeper and financial officer for the Superior Court. The functions of the Clerk of the Court satisfy more than 500 state statutes and court rules. One of the major functions for which the Clerk is responsible is to receive, distribute and preserve official records for all Superior Court actions; i.e., civil, criminal, juvenile, probate, tax, and domestic relations matters.

The Case History Index was originally created to show the yearly growth percentage for cases filed with the Clerk of Superior Court's Office. It has since evolved to include some historical information, and provide location and access information, as well as summary figures, for each case category. Additionally, in late 1997, the Clerk's Office, with Superior Court, developed a Web site for access to the Clerk's Office Docket.

*...The office of the Clerk can be traced back to the year 1272 AD in the History of Corporation of Old London. In the 1500's in England there was not only the Towne Clarke, but also the Clerc Comptroller of the King's Honorable Household. In 1603, there was a Clarke general of the Armie. King Henry VIII had a Clarke of the Spicery.*

*When the early colonists came to America, they set up forms of local government to which they had become accustomed, and the Office of the Clerk was one of the first established. The colony at Plymouth appointed a person to act as the recorder.*

*Over the years, Clerks have become the hub of the government, the direct link between the residents and their government. Their Clerk is the historian of the county, for the entire recorded history of the county and its people are in his care.*

*...reprinted from the IACREOT NEWS, March 1998*

On March 21, 2006 Supreme Court Administrative Order 2006-029, Arizona Code of Judicial Administration 3-402: Superior Court Records Retention and Disposition was signed replacing Administrative Order 1991-013. A.R.S. 41-1339 requires Superior Court Clerks to transfer permanent files to the Director of the Arizona State Library, Archives, and Public Records (ASLAPR) at the end of their prescribed retention period pursuant to court rules. Rule 29, Rules of the Supreme Court requires the court to adopt retention and disposition schedules and purge lists for the court records. Extensive background work spanning the course of several years established a more efficient and effective guide for preserving for the official record for all Superior Court actions, as is reflected in this new Administrative Order, as well as becoming the forefront leading towards the electronic court record.

For additional Records information, please contact the Clerk's Office at (602) 372-5375, or through the web page address at <http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov>

**1/1/07 Initiative  
(Supreme Court Administrative Order 2006-96)**

January 1, 2007 was a significant milestone for the Clerk's Office. It was the day that Clerk of the Court, Michael Jeanes, implemented an end to placing paper documents received into hard copy files (adult case types only), eliminating the need for folders to be created and maintained for cases filed on and after 1/1/07. Instead, the paper documents filed are scanned, audited, and then disposed of after a series of quality checks for accuracy are completed. To achieve this major goal, the Office obtained Supreme Court Administrative Order 2006-96 authorizing the Clerk of the Superior Court in Maricopa County to dispose of certain paper filings after their electronic image is captured and maintained, ensuring the Office complied with all minimum standards and requirements for access, availability and retention while maintaining multiple electronic backup systems to access and preserve active and archived court records; thus, recognizing the Electronic Court Record (ECR) as the original. Pursuant to the Order, the "original" document is the electronic image of that document as maintained in the Clerk of the Superior Court's Electronic Document Management System (EDMS).

As a result of this progress, customers who request a file from 2002 to the present date are referred to the Public Access Terminals available at customer service locations to view the record. The hard copy file is no longer pulled from the fileroom, as it would not represent the complete record. Some documents exempt from this process, pending further order of the court, include lower court appeals documents, original administrative records under review, paper bonds, wills, criminal sentencing minute entries, juvenile documents, water documents, and depositions and transcripts.

In accordance with the Administrative Order, the Office disposed of the first box of court documents in March 2007. The box contained 2,500 documents and 6,000 pages. Paper documents placed in hard copy files fill hundreds of shelving units that require a tremendous amount of space and maintenance with required staffing levels to process and transport requested case files and documents to court divisions and various other customers. Disposing of paper documents saves a significant amount of storage space for the Office, along with associated cost for the County. By ending the operational demand of pulling and delivering court case files that are now available electronically, the Office is able to focus its resources on better managing access to the electronic court record and on processing the increasing number of Superior Court filings. "Transporting" these documents or case files is now performed at the click of a button allowing a multitude of customers to access electronic court records simultaneously; a most efficient and effective process for providing access instantaneously and a "win-win" situation for all.

Based upon the continued ongoing success of this initiative, the Clerk received authorization from the AOC to rely on electronic images of court records in lieu of paper case records on September 20, 2011. Accordingly, there is no longer a need for an Electronic Court Record Pilot Project in the Superior Court in Maricopa County.

For further information on Administrative Order 2006-96, you may access the following link:

<http://www.supreme.state.az.us/orders/admorder/Orders06/2006-96.pdf>

## **MARRIAGE RULING**

The Federal Court ruled that Arizona's constitutional and statutory definitions of marriage as one man and one woman is unconstitutional under the United States Constitution. Effective October 17, 2014, Clerks of Superior Court around the state began issuing marriage licenses regardless of the applicants' gender.

## **GENERAL STREAM ADJUDICATION (WATER CASE) DIGITALIZATION PROJECT**

This initiative, and the extensive amount of work poured into digitizing this massive General Stream Adjudication Case – “The Water Case”, contributed most significantly to the ability and position of the Judicial Branch and the State of Arizona in determining water rights, affecting various state agencies and thousands of parties throughout Arizona with a vested interest in these proceedings. In 2019, HB2747 made appropriations to the Clerk of Court of approximately \$250,000 to digitize all paper documents associated with the Water Case, while the Superior Court simultaneously received funds to create an electronic case management system; thus, providing the ability to manage and resolve critical water related issues expeditiously utilizing these newly digitized electronic court records. The Project began in January 2020 and was completed by April 2020 with the primary focus on contested files relating to the San Pedro – Watershed #11 and the W1, W2, W3, W4 Consolidated original case, but included all officially designated contested cases. At this time, digital copies are not available for public viewing; however, copies can be obtained, upon request, by contacting the COC Water Case Clerk.

## CLERKS OF THE COURT

<u>YEAR ELECTED/APPOINTED</u>	<u>CLERKS OF THE COURT</u>
1912	W.E. Thomas
1914	James Miller
1918	C.S. Berryman
1926	Walter S. Wilson
1930	Walter S. Wilson
1934	Walter S. Wilson
1938	Walter S. Wilson
1942	Walter S. Wilson
1946	Walter S. Wilson
1950	Walter S. Wilson
1954	Walter S. Wilson
1958	Walter S. Wilson
1962	Robert Miller
1966	W. Don Palmer
1970	W. Don Palmer
1974	W. Don Palmer
1978	W. Don Palmer
1982	Vivian Kringle
1986	Judith Allen
1990	Judith Allen
1994	Judith Allen
1997 (acting)	Michael Jeanes
1998 (appointed in Feb.)	Michael Jeanes
1998 (elected in Nov.)	Michael Jeanes
2002	Michael Jeanes
2006	Michael Jeanes
2010	Michael Jeanes
2014	Michael Jeanes
2018 (appointed in Apr.)	Chris DeRose
2018 (elected in Nov.)	Jeff Fine

## GLOSSARY

<i>A &amp; C</i>	Additions & Corrections: Process of updating a record previously microfilmed where newer or amended documents will exist on a separate roll of film.
<i>Active</i>	Records that are considered to have current or recent activity.
<i>ASLAPR</i>	The Arizona State Library, Archives, and Public Records. The facility encompasses the Archives Division and Record Management Division.
<i>CCB</i>	Central Court Building: 201 W. Jefferson St. Phoenix, Arizona 85003
<i>CARS</i>	Computer Assisted Retrieval System: A microfilm tracking database that indicates the microfilm roll and frame number on which a specific record is located.
<i>Case Transfer</i>	Cases can be transferred between satellite courts. For example, if a Downtown case is transferred to SEA, the case is assigned a new case number. Statistically this would slightly inflate the overall growth percentage.
<i>CSC</i>	Clerk of Court Customer Service Center: 601 W. Jackson St. Phoenix, Arizona 85003.
<i>DJC</i>	Downtown Justice Center: 620 W. Jackson St. Phoenix, Arizona 85003
<i>FC</i>	Family Court With Children, a case category beginning 2/5/01 where minor children are common to the parties involved.
<i>FN</i>	Family Court With No Children, a case category beginning 2/5/01 where no minor children are common to the parties involved.
<i>Fiche</i>	A diminutive of “microfiche”. These records have been microfilmed and are case specific to film jacket. The film is in flat format. Unless otherwise noted, files on fiche are viewed at CSC.
<i>Film</i>	A diminutive of “microfilm”. These records have been microfilmed and are located on a roll of film amid other cases. Unless otherwise noted, all files on film can be viewed at CSC. SEA case files on film may also be viewed at the SEA facility.
<i>FT2</i>	File Tracking System: database used to locate case files. Location indicator of FT2 denotes case could be on film, hard copy or e-file. Check the FT2 database for current location.
<i>Home Location</i>	The location which FT2 recognizes as the place where the file resides or the medium in which the record is maintained.
<i>Imaging</i>	The process by which a paper document is scanned electronically and stored on a computer drive or on an optical disc as a digital image that can later be retrieved and viewed through an indexing program.
<i>Inactive</i>	Records that are considered to have no current or recent activity.
<i>JCC-DF</i>	Juvenile Court Center – Durango Facility: 3131 W. Durango St. Phoenix, Arizona, 85009.
<i>JCC-SE</i>	Juvenile Court Center – Southeast Facility: 1810 S. Lewis, Mesa, Arizona, 85210.

<i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>	“Now for then”. A term used to describe an order that is effective retroactively, usually when information that had substantial bearing on the case was inadvertently left out of the record.
<i>NER</i>	Northeast Regional Court Center: 18380 N. 40th St. Suite 120, Phoenix, Arizona, 85032. NER files are housed at CSC when not in use.
<i>NWR</i>	Northwest Regional Court Center: 14264 West Tierra Buena Lane, Surprise, Arizona, 85374. NWR files are housed at CSC when not in use.
<i>OCH</i>	Old Court House: 125 W. Washington St. Phoenix, Arizona, 85003.
<i>Public Access Terminals</i>	Computer terminals used to access court files created after 2002; public access terminals are located at all Clerk of Court customer service facilities.
<i>SEA</i>	Southeast Adult Facility: 222 E. Javelina Ave, Mesa, Arizona, 85210.
<i>SCT</i>	South Court Tower: 175 W. Madison, Phoenix, AZ 85003
<i>State Archives</i>	A Division of Arizona State Library Archives and Public Records, located at the State Capitol, 1700 W. Washington St. Phoenix, Arizona 85007.
<i>Supplemental File</i>	A record created when documents are filed after the original case record has been microfilmed. They remain in hard-copy until filmed by the Micrographics Department at CSC, usually on an annual basis. Check with the A & C Department for current supplemental status.
<i>Temporary Papers</i>	Original documents that have been processed through the Clerk’s Office and routed to their home location. The documents are placed in the original file upon its return.



## MARICOPA COUNTY LANDMARK, RENOWNED, AND HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT CASES

MIRANDA CASE: In 1963, Ernesto Miranda was accused of kidnap and rape and was arrested in Phoenix. Miranda was subsequently found guilty and sentenced to 20 years in prison. This conviction was appealed all the way to the United States Supreme Court. In 1966, Miranda and three similar cases were joined and in a landmark decision, the Supreme Court determined that an accused individual has the right to remain silent and that prosecutors cannot use statements made by the accused while in police custody, unless the individual has been advised of their rights. The case numbers for the Miranda case are CR41948. These files are available on microfilm at CSC. Some exhibits are at the State Archives and some are at the U.S. District Court.

WINNIE RUTH JUDD CASE: Also known as the "Trunk Murderess Case", it began in Phoenix in 1931 when Winnie Ruth Judd was accused of killing two women she had known for some time as neighbors and roommates. The purported motive was jealousy over a local businessman named Jack Halloran. The bodies of the murdered women were cut into pieces, packed in trunks and shipped to California where a railroad baggage handler noticed a peculiar odor and saw leakage from the trunks. Winnie Ruth Judd was arrested and stood trial in Arizona. She was found guilty on February 8, 1932, although she maintained that her actions were taken in self defense. Jack Halloran was indicted but not convicted. Judd was committed to the Arizona State Hospital. She escaped twice in 1939, once in 1947, once in 1951, and twice again in 1952. A grand jury recommended that her death sentence be commuted to life imprisonment in 1952. In 1962 she escaped to California where she lived until 1969, when she was discovered and extradited to Arizona. She was pardoned by Governor Jack Williams in 1971. The case numbers involved are CR11333, CR11340, and CR11342. The files are available on microfilm at CSC. Original records and exhibits are available at the State Archives.

KINGMAN FIRE CASES: In July 1973, an explosion of a propane tank car in Kingman, Arizona resulted in many deaths and injuries. As a result over 50 suits were filed in Superior Court. The following are the civil case numbers that correspond to the Kingman Fire Case: C279454, C280110, C280147, C280109, C280366, C280506, C280507, C280753, C280834, C281145, C282642, C282643, C284510, C284997 through C284999, C285304, C285935, C286425 through C286434, C287993, C288731, C289897, C292820, C293537, C295290, C295406 through C295414, C298536, C298537, C302675, C304182, C304183, C307729, C304182, C304183, C307729, C309004, C310857, C314190, C314723 through C314726, C315765, C315798, C315978, C315979, C315980, C316015, C316058, C327435, C333263.

THE GILA RIVER ADJUDICATION (W1, W2, W3, AND W4 CONSOLIDATED): The Water Case, as it is known, became a judicial proceeding in April 1979 when a petition to determine conflicting water rights, filed by the Salt River Valley Water Users' Association, was transferred from a state agency to the Maricopa County Superior Court. The case was initiated in order to resolve the issue of who has what rights to surface water and appropriate groundwater in Arizona. Originally, the only watershed involved was W1, the Salt River Watershed. In November 1981, the following watersheds were consolidated into W1: W2, Verde River; W3, Upper Gila River; and W4, San Pedro River. The full case is *In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source, W1, W2, W3, and W4 (Consolidated)*.

Six Indian water rights settlements have been approved: W1-200, *Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community Water Rights Settlement*; W1-201, *Fort McDowell Indian Community Water Rights Settlement* and W1-202, *Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe Water Rights Settlement*, W1-204, *San Carlos Apache Tribe Water Rights Settlement*, W1-207, *Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement*, and W1-208, *Tohono O'odham Nation Water Rights Settlement*.

Active Indian water rights matters are W1-203, *In re the Water Rights of the Gila River Indian Community*; W1-205, which has no designated name but is between the U.S. Department of the Interior, Gila River Indian Community, and the Roosevelt Water Conservation District, and W1-206, *In re the Preclusive Effect of the Globe Equity No. 59 Decree on Specified Parties*.

Active contested cases are W1-103, *In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed*; W1-104, *In re State Trust Lands*; W1-105, a case not yet organized but involving certain *de minimis* water rights; W1-11-232, *In re San Pedro Riparian National Conservation Area*; W1-11-605, *In re Fort Huachuca*; W1-11-1174, *In re PWR 107 Claims*; and W1-11-3423, *In re Powers Garden Administrative Site*. Several injunctive relief matters, listed under the main docket number, are pending in the Salt and Verde River Watersheds.

The dockets for the cases are at <http://clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov/watercase.asp>

STATE OF ARIZONA V. JOHN HENRY KNAPP, CR78779: On November 16, 1973, a fire in the Mesa residence of John and Linda Knapp claimed the lives of their two infant daughters. John Henry Knapp was accused of setting the blaze with Coleman fuel and charged with two counts of first-degree murder. He was tried in August 1974 but the jury was unable to reach a verdict. A second trial commenced in October, and on November 19, the jury found Knapp guilty on both counts of murder. On January 6, 1975, he was sentenced to death in the gas chamber and transported to the Arizona State Prison to await execution. His conviction was affirmed by the Arizona Supreme Court on March 9, 1977. After a series of appeals, a fifth petition for post-conviction relief was granted on February 11, 1987, vacating Knapp's conviction and sentence and granting him a new trial. Research over the intervening twelve years had the phenomenon of "flashover", in which the damage from a high temperature fire mimics that of one set using an accelerant. This gave substantial weight to the theory that the fire could have been set accidentally by the girls themselves. However, much of the state's tangible evidence had by that time been destroyed, and the Attorney General's Office was unable to proceed to trial. The case was dismissed with prejudice on December 21, 1987. The case may be viewed on microfilm at CSC. Original documents are at CSC.

THE SOUL CASE, P58416: On January 2, 1946, James Kidd executed a holographic will which states in part: "*sell all my property...and have this balance of money to go in a research or some scientific proof of a soul of the human body which leaves at death I think in time their can be a Photograph of soul leaving the human at death.*" On proof that Kidd has absented himself from Maricopa County for such a period of time as to be presumed dead, the will was admitted to judgment by the bank. Judge Robert L. Myers declared that Kidd's will created a valid, charitable testamentary trust, and set for hearing the petitions of those individuals and organizations who sought distribution of the estate for the purpose of engaging in research under the terms of the will. One hundred thirty three claims were made, and from June through October 1967, over one hundred written and oral testimonies were heard in Superior Court. On November 7, 1967, Judge Myers signed an order appointing the Neurological Sciences Foundation of Phoenix as trustee. At that time the estate was valued at roughly \$200,000. However the decision was appealed to the Arizona Supreme Court who reversed and remanded the Superior Court's decision on January 19, 1971. Judge Myers subsequently ruled that the trust be granted to the American Society for Psychical Research, who as trustee conducted its research on its Hypothesis of Post-Mortem Survival until the funds from the Kidd estate were depleted in May 1975. The case may be viewed on microfilm at CSC.

PUBLIC FIDUCIARY VS ARIZONA STATE HOSPITAL, DEPT OF HEALTH SERVICES (SARNS CASE) CV432355: This case was filed in 1981 as a class action lawsuit alleging that the State and Maricopa County did not fund a comprehensive mental health system as required by A.R.S. §§ 36-550 through 36-550.08. In 1986, the trial court agreed with the class members and entered judgment against the State and County. The Arizona Supreme Court affirmed the judgment in 1989. Since that time, the Court continues to oversee the status of the case, compliance issues raised and the parties' stipulations. There are approximately 18,000 people in Maricopa County with a serious mental illness whom are plaintiffs and affected by this case. The Governor and county officials are defendants. Throughout the years, the issues in the case have been continually reported in the news media. The case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. EVAN MECHAM, CR 1988-000246: Evan Mecham was Governor of Arizona at the time of his indictment on 6 felony charges on January 8, 1988. In the interim, he was impeached by the Arizona House. On June 10, 1988, Mecham was acquitted of all criminal charges. The case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. O'BRIEN, CR2003-016197-001; On June 14, 2003, the defendant was driving eastbound on Glendale Avenue, approaching 19th Avenue when he struck a pedestrian crossing the roadway. The pedestrian died as a result of being hit by the defendant's car, as well as another unidentified vehicle that was apparently following behind the defendant. The defendant failed to stop at the scene of the collision and render aid as required by A.R.S. §§ 28-661 and 28-663. Several witnesses observed the incident and one witness obtained the license plate number of the involved vehicle. Further investigation revealed the vehicle was registered to the Roman Catholic Diocese and was assigned to Bishop O'Brien. When police contacted him at his residence two days after the accident, he stated something had hit his windshield, but he did not know what had happened. He admitted he did not stop after the incident, noting he did not believe there was any reason to do so. He also stated church officials had previously contacted him to inform him his vehicle had been involved in a hit and run fatality. He did not personally contact police, thinking they would contact him. The defendant allowed detectives to inspect his vehicle, and blood, hair, and tissue from the victim were found in and around the damaged areas. The defendant was interviewed further and he was taken into custody. On March 26, 2004, the defendant was sentenced to serve four years supervised probation and perform 1000 hours of community service. The court elected not to require the defendant to serve an initial term of incarceration, but rather deferred a six-month jail term which was ultimately deleted from the defendant's sentence based upon satisfactory performance while on probation. The case may be viewed at CSC.

TEE TIME ARRANGERS, INC VS. VISTOSO GOLD PARTNERS, LLC, CV2004-013105: Tee Time, an Arizona corporation, created and operated a discount golf card program called the Tucson Resort Golf Card from 1991 to 2001, which offered golfers the chance to play "high-end" or elite golf courses at reduced rates during off-peak times. The program utilized an annual written Participation Agreement that included an exclusivity provision that typically allowed for limited exceptions contingent on Tee Time's consent. Ultimately, the management of several of these golf courses formed the Tucson Golf Alliance (TGA), which in turned developed their own discount card (Southern Chapter Card) which was affiliated with and administrated by the Southern Chapter of the Southwest Section of the PGS (SWSPGA). Tee Time was subsequently informed that several of the (TGA) members was considering switching from their discount card to another discount card (the Southern Chapter Card). By late summer 2000, many of TGA members had chosen not to participate in Tee Time's program and instead signed agreements to participate in the Southern Chapter Card program. Although more than a dozen southern Arizona golf courses, including some of the more desirable courses, chose to participate in Tee Time's program for the 2000-2001 golf season. Tee Time maintained that the number of quality participants was insufficient for their discount program to remain viable. On July 7, 2004, Tee Time sued alleging three claims based on violations of Arizona's Uniform State Antitrust Act. On February 13, the court entered judgment in favor of the defendants. On March 7, 2007, Tee Time filed a timely notice of appeal. On February 8, 2008, the trial court's judgment was affirmed by the Arizona Court of Appeals. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. CROTTS; GRABINSKI; HOOVER; FRIEND; ROLFES, CR2001-006183-(A)(B)(C)(D)(E): Defendants William Crotts, Thomas Grabinski, Lawrence Hoover, Harold Friend and Richard Rolfes were prosecuted for fraud related to an investment vehicle called the Baptist Foundation of Arizona (BFA) that collected hundreds of millions of dollars from investors. The case was notorious for the local residents who lost substantial funds in BFA. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DONALD DALE DEARDOFF, CR2001-006926: Defendant Donald Deardoff was prosecuted for fraud related to an investment vehicle called the Baptist Foundation of Arizona (BFA) that collected hundreds of millions of dollars from investors. The case was notorious for the local residents who lost substantial funds in BFA. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JALMA W. HUNSINGER, CR2001-006927: Defendant Jalma Hunsinger was prosecuted for fraud related to an investment vehicle called the Baptist Foundation of Arizona (BFA) that collected hundreds of millions of dollars from investors. The case was notorious for the local residents who lost substantial funds in BFA. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. EDGAR ALAN KUHN, CR2001-006928: Defendant Edgar Kuhn was prosecuted for fraud related to an investment vehicle called the Baptist Foundation of Arizona (BFA) that collected hundreds of millions of dollars from investors. The case was notorious for the local residents who lost substantial funds in BFA. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JOHNATHAN ANDREW DOODY, CR1992-001232: This case captured national and international attention when nine individuals including Buddhist monks, were killed at a Buddhist Temple west of Phoenix. Johnathan Doody, a teenager at the time, was tried, convicted and sentenced to prison for the murders. The case was heard by the U.S. Supreme Court regarding the voluntariness of Doody's confession. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. TIMOTHY STUART RING, CR1995-001754: This case was heard by the U.S. Supreme Court as a representative case, resulting in the "Ring decision," creating the Constitutional requirement that a jury, not a judge, determine whether a convicted defendant is eligible to receive the death penalty. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. MARK GOUDEAU, CR2006-155081: This is the initial criminal court case involving Mark Goudeau, who was charged with a series of crimes that were locally and nationally attributed to "the Baseline Killer". In this case, he was convicted of sexual assault against two sisters who were walking home from a city park and was sentenced to over 400 years in prison on related counts. This case is connected to CR2007-005499, in which Goudeau was convicted of murdering nine victims. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. MARK GOUDEAU, CR2007-005449: This is the criminal court case involving Mark Goudeau, who was charged with a series of crimes that were locally and nationally attributed to "the Baseline Killer". In this case, he was convicted of murdering nine (9) victims. Mark Goudeau was sentenced to nine (9) death counts and 1200 years for all remaining counts. This case is connected to CR2006-155081, in which Goudeau was convicted of sexual assault against two sisters who were walking home from a city park and was sentenced to over 400 years in prison on related counts. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. STEVEN JOHN COY, CR2004-008246-002: Steven John Coy, a former inmate of the Arizona State Prison, overtook a prison watchtower with a fellow inmate on January 18, 2004, holding two security guards hostage for two weeks. He and his accomplice surrendered on February 1, 2004. It is the longest prison stand-off in U.S. history and Steven John Coy was sentenced to multiple consecutive life sentences related to the offenses. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. RICKY WASSENAAR, CR2004-010115: Ricky Kurt Wassenaar, a former inmate of the Arizona State Prison, overtook a prison watchtower with a fellow inmate on January 18, 2004, holding two security guards hostage for two weeks. He and his accomplice surrendered on February 1, 2004. It is the longest prison stand-off in U.S. history and Ricky Wassenaar was sentenced to multiple consecutive life sentences related to the offenses. This case may be viewed at CSC.

ELIZABETH SUKENIC VS. MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2001-003337: Elizabeth Sukenic filed action in February 2001 alleging discrimination, retaliation and reprisal, and a continuous pattern of discriminatory harassment in violation of A.R.S. §41-1461, the Arizona Civil Rights Act. A second amended Complaint was filed in November 2002 adding her spouse Howard as co-plaintiff, and subsequently alleging violations of Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act amended and the Civil Rights Act of 1991. In January 2003, by order of the Court, the case was closed, having been removed to the United States District Court. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH M. ARPAIO VS. JAMES VINCENT COZZOLINO, CV2001-003901: In August 1996, James Cozzolino applied to the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office for a position as a member of the Sheriff's Posse. Based on Mr. Cozzolino's prior history of convictions for a variety of offenses, his application was denied. Over the next several years, Mr. Cozzolino directed hostile and abusive contacts towards the Sheriff. A petition for injunction against harassment was filed and granted in March 2001. A hearing was requested by Cozzolino and based on those findings, a modified injunction was granted in June 2001. A Notice of Appeal was filed in August 2001, however due to non-payment of filing fees, the case was deemed abandoned by the Court of Appeals. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. SCOTT LOUIS FALATER, CR1997-000928A: This is the criminal case involving Scott Louis Falater. On January 16, 1997, Scott Falater murdered his wife and although he does not deny the action, he stated that he was in a state of somnambulistic automatism or sleepwalking, when the murder occurred. Because of these circumstances, this case received local and national attention. He was convicted of murder in the first degree and on January 10, 2000, was sentenced, by special verdict, to serve the remainder of his natural life in prison. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. SAMUEL JOHN DIETEMAN, CR2006-012721-002: This is the criminal case involving Samuel Dieteman. This case was notorious due to Dieteman and co-defendant Dale Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was charged with numerous counts, to include conspiracy to commit first degree murder and arson of an occupied structure. This case is also connected to CR2006-048493, where he was charged with several counts including first degree murder and attempted first degree murder. In both cases, he was sentenced to natural life and life without possibility of parole. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. SAMUEL JOHN DIETEMAN, CR2006-048493-001: This is the criminal case involving Samuel Dieteman. This case was notorious due to Dieteman and co-defendant Dale Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was charged with numerous counts, to include first degree murder and drive-by shooting. This case is also connected to CR2006-012721, where he was charged with several counts including conspiracy to commit first degree murder and attempted first degree murder. In both cases, he was sentenced to natural life and life without possibility of parole. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DALE SHAWN HAUSNER, CR2008-007313-002: This is the criminal case involving Dale Shawn Hausner. This case was notorious due to Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was convicted on numerous counts, to include attempted first degree murder and aggravated assault. This case is connected to CR2006-048493, where he was convicted of murdering two victims, CR2006-012721, where he was convicted of murdering three victims, and CR2008-006364, where he was convicted of murdering one victim. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DALE SHAWN HAUSNER, CR2008-006364-001: This is the criminal case involving Dale Shawn Hausner. This case was notorious due to Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was convicted on numerous counts, to include murdering one victim. He was sentenced to death on this particular count. This case is connected to CR2006-012721, where he was convicted of murdering three victims, and CR2008-007313, where he was convicted of attempted first degree murder and aggravated assault. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DALE SHAWN HAUSNER, CR2006-012721-001: This is the criminal case involving Dale Shawn Hausner. This case was notorious due to Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was convicted on numerous counts, to include murdering three victims. He was sentenced to death on these particular counts. This case is connected to CR2006-048493, where he was convicted of murdering two victims, CR2008-006364, where he was convicted of murdering one victim, and CR2008-007313, where he was convicted of attempted first degree murder and aggravated assault. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DALE SHAWN HAUSNER, CR2006-048493-002: This is the initial case involving Dale Shawn Hausner. This case was notorious due to Hausner being charged with a series of crimes that were locally and statewide attributed to the "Serial Shooter" case. In this case, he was convicted on numerous counts, to include murdering two victims. He was sentenced to death on these particular counts. This case is connected to CR2006-012721, where he was convicted of murdering three victims, CR2008-006364, where he was convicted of murdering one victim, and CR2008-007313, where he was convicted of attempted first degree murder and aggravated assault. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JEFFERY JOSEPH HAUSNER, CR2008-007313-001: This is the criminal case involving Jeffery Joseph Hausner. As an accomplice provided a distraction, Hausner stabbed the victim several times in the back. Initially unable to identify his assailant, the victim ultimately recognized the accomplice, Dale Hausner, after his arrest in connection with unrelated serial shootings. Jeffery Hausner was eventually identified as the assailant. While incarcerated due to a previous unrelated criminal case, Hausner was charged and sentenced to 18 years on the attempted murder conviction and 11.25 years on the aggravated assault conviction. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JEFFERY JOSEPH HAUSNER, CR2006-168158-002: This is the initial case involving Jeffery Joseph Hausner. In November 2006, Jeffery Hausner was charged with attempted second degree murder and aggravated assault. A plea agreement/change of plea was entered in March 2007 and Hausner pled guilty to aggravated assault. He was sentenced to 7.5 years for this offense. This case may be viewed at CSC.

PHOENIX NEWSPAPERS, INC VS. LISA GRAHAM KEEGAN, THE STATE OF ARIZONA BOARD OF EDUCATION, AND THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, CV1999-021338: In November 1999, upon release of the statewide results of the Arizona Instrument to Measure Standards (AIMS) test, Phoenix Newspaper Inc. (PNI) requested a photocopy of Form A of the test. They were told that they could inspect the test in a controlled environment, but could not have a photocopy. PNI filed a Special Action in December 1999 to enforce A.R.S. §39-121 through §39-121.03 (the Arizona Public Records Law). In April 2000, the Court ordered the contents of Form A, with the exception of the anchor questions, to be disclosed. The Court of Appeals affirmed the lower court's original decision in April 2002. This case may be viewed at CSC.

PATRICIA W. ALSTON VS. PHOENIX COUNTRY CLUB, CV2010-005897: Patricia Alston, a member of Phoenix Country Club (PCC), requested permission to play in member-guest tournaments scheduled from September 2008 through May of 2009, but was told that all member tournaments were specifically stated for men only. The only organized golfing opportunities available for her would be with the PCC's Women's Golf Association, which were the same activities provided for the wives and girlfriends of male members. In May 2010, Ms. Alston filed an amended Complaint alleging Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Breach of Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing. The case settled in December 2010 and was dismissed, with prejudice, in January 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JANE DOE VS. JOE ARPAIO, MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY; MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2004-009286: Jane Doe, pseudonym for a pregnant inmate, desired to terminate her pregnancy and informed the Sheriff's Office of her decision. She was initially told that there was an unwritten policy that prohibits transportation of inmates for any elective medical procedure and that a court order would be needed. The Court ruled that this policy was unconstitutional and the Sheriff was enjoined from directly or indirectly enforcing said policy. The Sheriff's Office appealed the decision, which was affirmed by the Court of Appeals in November 2007. This case may be viewed at CSC.

MARICOPA COUNTY REPUBLICAN PARTY, BY AND THROUGH ITS CHAIR, THE HON. TOM LIDDY VS. CONSTANTIN QUERARD, DOING BUSINESS AS "REPUBLICAN PRIMARY VOTE-BY-MAIL CENTER," AN UNREGISTERED POLITICAL ADVOCACY ENTITY, CV2004-016012: In August 2004, the Maricopa County Republican Party filed a Complaint against Constantin Querard, alleging that he mailed Early Ballot Request forms to voters in an effort to obtain sensitive voter information for his own political ends. The County Recorder and Election Director filed an Intervention as well. The Court issued a preliminary injunction against Querard to cease the mailings and provide the Election Director with all obtained voter data. The case was dismissed, with prejudice, in August 2005. This case may be viewed at CSC.

THE SEPTEMBER 7, 2004 PRIMARY ELECTION FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE – DISTRICT 20 REPUBLICAN PRIMARY; JOHN MCCOMISH AND ANTON ORLICH, CANDIDATES, CV2004-018181: A petition for automatic recount was filed by Secretary of State Janice Brewer for a recount of the votes cast for candidates John McCormish and Anton Orlich in the 2004 Primary Election for State Representative – District 20 Republican Primary. After the initial vote total, the difference between the candidates was 4 votes. The Court ruled that a recount be conducted and upon those results, John McCormish was declared the winner by a total of 13 votes. The order approving the Recount Results was signed on September 23, 2004. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE OF ARIZONA VS. CHARLES FRANKLIN LONG, CR2002-002674A: This is the criminal case involving Charles Franklin Long and the American Buffalo Soldier Boot Camp. Long established a boot-camp program for at-risk-youths, designed in a military style to assist the youths. The camp participants were subjected to demanding physical activities at times in extreme temperatures. In July 2001, Long physically threatened one youth with a knife and several of the youths were also forced to take dirt into their mouths and to hold it in their mouths until they were told to spit it out. Another youth, after being exposed to direct sunlight in temperatures approaching 112 degrees and higher, became lethargic. He was taken to a hotel room for a shower and to cool off. No immediate medical assistance was requested. The youth later returned to the camp but fell over and hit his head. A 911 was placed and the youth was transported by air to a local hospital where he died later. This case was notorious due to Long having been initially indicted on charges of second degree murder, aggravated assault, and 8 counts of child abuse. He was eventually convicted on charges of reckless manslaughter and aggravated assault and sentenced to an aggravated six-year prison term on the manslaughter conviction and to a concurrent, mitigated five-year prison term on the assault conviction. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE OF ARIZONA VS. DONALD T. STAPLEY, CR2008-009242-001: Donald T. Stapley, a public official, was indicted in November 2008 on numerous counts of Forgery, Perjury, False Swearing and Filing an Incomplete And/Or False Financial Disclosure. Prosecution of the case was eventually taken over by the Yavapai County Attorney's office. All but two of the counts were dismissed by the Court and the State moved to dismiss the remaining charges without prejudice. The State then appealed the Court's order dismissing the misdemeanor charges. The Court's dismissal order was affirmed by the Court of Appeals in March 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. GARY DONAHOE, CR2009-008332-001: Gary Donahoe, a Superior Court judge, was charged in December 2009 on counts of Hindering Prosecution, Obstructing Criminal Investigations, both Class 5 Felonies, and Bribery of a Public Servant, a Class 4 Felony. Judge Donahoe filed an Application for Immediate Stay Pending Resolution of Special Action with the Arizona Supreme Court which was subsequently denied. In January 2013, the case was ordered dismissed with prejudice. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2013. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. MARY ROSE WILCOX, CR2010-005423-001: Mary Rose Wilcox, a public official, was indicted in January 2010 on numerous charges of Conflict of Interest, Perjury, Forgery, and False Swearing. The case was reassigned to Pima County for judicial assignment. The Indictment was ordered dismissed in March 2010. An Order to supplement the record with all minutes, instruments, and pleadings from CR2009-007892-001 was granted in June 2010 by the Court of Appeals. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. MARY ROSE WILCOX, CR2009-007892-001: Mary Rose Wilcox, a public official, was indicted in December 2009 in numerous charges of Conflict of Interest, Perjury, Forgery, and False Swearing. The case was reassigned to Pima County for judicial assignment. The Indictment was ordered dismissed in February 2010. An Order to supplement CR2010-005423 with all minutes, instruments, and pleadings from this case was granted in June 2010 by the Court of Appeals. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. DONALD T. STAPLEY, JR., CR2009-007891-001: Donald T. Stapley, a public official, was indicted in December 2009 on numerous counts of Fraudulent Schemes and Artifices, Perjury, Forgery, False Swearing, and Theft. The case was ordered reassigned to Pima County for judicial assignment. In March 2010, the case was ordered dismissed without prejudice. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

BARBARA MUNDELL AND ANNA BACA VS. MARICOPA COUNTY, JOSEPH ARPAIO, JOSEPH AND AVA ARPAIO, DAVID HENDERSHOTT AND ANNA HENDERSHOTT, LISA AUBUCHON AND PETER PESTALOZZI, AND ANDREW P. THOMAS AND ANNE THOMAS, CV2010-032707: Barbara Mundell and Anna Baca, retired Superior Court Judges, filed a Complaint in November 2010, amended in September 2011, claiming abuse of process, wrongful institution of civil proceedings, defamation, slander, libel, false light invasion of privacy, intentional infliction of emotional distress, and constitutional and statutory violations based on 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Initially assigned to Pima County for judicial assignment, a Notice of Removal to U.S. District Court was filed in November 2011. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.



KENNETH FIELDS VS. MARICOPA COUNTY, JOSEPH ARPAIO, RICHARD ROMLEY, WILLIAM MONTGOMERY, JOSEPH ARPAIO AND JANE DOE ARPAIO, DAVID HENDERSHOTT AND JANE DOE HENDERSHOTT, LISA AUBUCHON AND JOHN DOE AUBUCHON, ANDREW P. THOMAS AND JANE DOE THOMAS, DOES I THROUGH x; BLACK CORPORATIONS I THROUGH x, ABC PARTNERSHIPS I THROUGH X, CV 2010-032888: Kenneth Fields, a retired Superior Court Judge, filed a Complaint in November 2010, amended in March 2011, claiming abuse of process, wrongful institution of civil proceedings, and defamation, libel, and slander. This case was assigned to Pima County for judicial assignment. In August 2011, an Order to dismiss the case, with prejudice, was signed. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

SANDRA WILSON AND PAUL WILSON VS. SHERIFF JOSEPH ARPAIO AND AVA ARPAIO, ANDREW THOMAS AND ANNE THOMAS, LISA AUBUCHON AND PETER R. PESTALOZZI, DEPUTY CHIEF DAVID HENDERSHOTT AND ANNA HENDERSHOTT, WILLIAM MONTGOMERY, MARICOPA COUNTY AND JOHN DOES I-X, JANE DOES I-X, BLACK CORPORATIONS I-V AND WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I-V, CV2010-032843: Sandra Wilson, a Deputy County Manager filed a Complaint in November 2010 alleging numerous violations of 42 U.S.C. §1983, violations of Arizona Law, Defamation, Libel and/or False Light Invasion of Privacy, Racketeering Violations, and Negligence. Initially assigned to Pima County for judicial assignment, a Notice of Removal to U.S. District Court was then filed in December 2010. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

SUSAN SCHUERMAN VS. SHERIFF JOSEPH ARPAIO AND AVA ARPAIO, ANDREW THOMAS AND ANNE THOMAS, LISA AUBUCHON AND PETER R. PESTALOZZI, DEPUTY CHIEF DAVID HENDERSHOTT AND ANNA HENDERSHOTT, WILLIAM MONTGOMERY, MARICOPA COUNTY AND JOHN DOES I-X, JANE DOES I-X, BLACK CORPORATIONS I-V AND WHITE PARTNERSHIPS I-V, CV2010-032845: Susan Schuerman, a Maricopa County employee filed a Complaint in November 2010 alleging numerous violations of 42 U.S.C. §1983, violations of Arizona Law, Abuse of Process, Infliction of Emotional Distress, Libel, Defamation, and/or False Light Invasion or Privacy, Racketeering Violations, Negligence and Gross Negligence. Initially assigned to Pima County for judicial assignment, a Notice of Removal to U.S. District Court was then filed in December 2010. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

A B C D W HOLDINGS, LLC, VANDERBILT FARMS, LLC, CONLEY WOLFSWINKEL, ASHTON WOLDSWINKEL, AND BRANDON WOLFSWINKEL, CV2009-005990: In January 2009, the Maricopa Sheriff's Office was issued a Search Warrant to search the premises of W Holdings based on allegations that Donald Stapley, a public official, improperly received money or other compensation from the Wolfswinkel Companies. This case was vacated and transferred to the appropriate Justice Court in March 2009 and was dismissed without prejudice in February 2010. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. WILLIAM CRAIG MILLER, CR2005-140129-001: This is the initial criminal case involving William Craig Miller. In December 2005, Miller was indicted on counts of Arson of an Occupied Structure, Fraudulent Fire Insurance Application or Claim, Fraudulent Insurance Claim, and Attempted Fraudulent Schemes and Artifices. He subsequently pled guilty to these charges. This case is connected to CR2006-112056, where he was convicted of several charges to include five counts of First Degree Murder, and CR2006-008155, where he was convicted of four counts of Solicitation of First Degree Murder. This case may be viewed at CSC.

ROOSEVELT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT VS. GOVERNOR JANE D. HULL, CV1999-019062: The Roosevelt Elementary School District filed action in October 1999 against the State to enforce the funding obligations established by the Students FIRST legislation. The plaintiffs contend that underfunding has resulted in the school districts being unable to provide the facilities and equipment necessary to enable their students to meet the state's academic standards. The case was dismissed without prejudice in January 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JONATHAN B. WEISBUCH, MD DIRECTOR, MARICOPA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH VS. ALL PERSONS ARRESTED FOR PROSTITUTION IN MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, CV2001-004963: Doctor Jonathan Weisbuch, Director of the Maricopa County Department of Public Health, filed a petition on March 23, 2001 to require all individuals arrested for prostitution to be tested for syphilis. At that time, a syphilis epidemic was declared in Maricopa County, one of the few counties outside of the southeastern United States where the syphilis rates exceeded the nation goal. On April 12, 2001, the Order was signed allowing the Department of Public Health, upon consent, to draw an individual's blood prior to their normal release from jail. This case may be viewed at CSC.

DAVID SCHWEIKERT, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS TREASURER OF MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA VS. DR. SANDRA DOWLING, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SOLE MEMBER OF THE GOVERNING BOARD OF MARICOPA COUNTY REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 509, MARICOPA COUNTY REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 509, AND JOHN DOES 1-3, BLACK CORPORATIONS 1-3, CV2006-014285: Maricopa County Treasurer David Schweikert filed a Complaint in September 2006 seeking declaratory relief regarding his duties in handling warrants from School District No. 509. He alleged that Superintendent Dowling continually presented warrants that exceeded the District's deposits so that the District had negative balances in its allocated funds. The Board of Supervisors and Superintendent Dowling both filed counterclaims. A final judgment was issued in October 2007. This was appealed to the Court of Appeals which affirmed the judgment in January 2010. This case may be viewed at CSC.

ARIZONA TOGETHER, AN UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATION, AMALIA ANTONIOLA, AL BREZNEY, GLEN CROMER, TERESA HEWITT, PAUL KNOBBE, ALBERT LANNON, KAITLIN MEADOWS, REBECCA MILLER, FRANK MONTOYA, MAXINE PIATT VS. JANICE BREWER, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA AND PROTECT MARRIAGE ARIZONA, AN UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATION, CV2006-010505: Arizona Together a political committee, filed a Complaint in July 2006 alleging that an initiative, Proposition 107, filed with the Secretary of State, encompassed two or more separate constitutional amendments therefore violating Article XXI § 1 of the Arizona Constitution. In August 2006, the Court concluded that Proposition 107 did comply with the requirements of Article XXI. That ruling was appealed and in January 2007, the Court of Appeals affirmed the Court's decision. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. GARY L. BARTLETT, WILLIAM J. ENGLISH, SHIREE L. FOSTER, JAMES A. HARTDEGEN, JESUS R. "CHUY" HIGUERA, ERNEST L. HOFFMAN, DAVID M. HORWITZ, DONALD J. KENNEY, SUZANNE CRAMTON LAYBE, DAVID MANLEY, JAMES HARRIS MEREDITH, BOBBY D. RAYMOND, RICHARD T. SCHEFFEL, GEORGE STRAGALAS III, RONALD G. TAPP, CAROLYN ANN WALKER, CR19991-000997: This is the case that was locally and nationally attributed to the "AzScam" case. In February 1991, 16 individuals, including several Arizona state legislators, were indicted on 100 various counts. All defendants were indicted on Count One, Conspiracy to Commit Illegally Conducting an Enterprise, Bribery of a Public Servant or Party Officer, Offer to Exert Improper Influence of a Public Officer or Employee for Consideration, Money Laundering in the Second Degree, Fraudulent Scheme or Willful Concealment, Hindering Prosecution in the First Degree and Making False Campaign Contribution Statements. Eleven of the defendants eventually struck plea agreements. Two defendants, Tapp and Walker, opted for jury trials. Walker was sentenced in January 1993 on one count and Tapp was sentenced in February 1993 on 12 counts. The cases against Foster and Bartlett were dismissed, without prejudice, in January and April 1993, respectively. The case against English was dismissed, with prejudice, in March 1992. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO, A NEW JERSEY CORPORATION, CV2001-004564: The State of Arizona filed a Complaint in March 2001 alleging breach of contract concerning the original Master Settlement Agreement first created in 1998. That agreement restricted the outdoor advertisement of Reynolds Tobacco products to within a 90 day window of scheduled Winston Cup Series events. In February 2002, the Court awarded summary judgment in favor of the State ordering Reynolds Tobacco to remove signage posted prior to the 90 day window and also awarding costs and attorney fees to the State. Reynolds appealed and in December 2003, the Court of Appeals affirmed the Court's decision. This case may be viewed at CSC.

FRANKLIN BRUCE ROSS VS. KEN BENNETT, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARICOPA COUNTY, HELEN PURCELL, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY RECORDER, AND KAREN OSBORNE, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEFENDANTS AND CITIZENS FOR A BETTER ARIZONA IN SUPPORT OF RC-04-2011, CV2011-0114864: A complaint for Special Action was filed in July 2011 by Franklin Bruce Ross alleging that a petition to recall State Senator Russell Pearce submitted by Citizens For A Better Arizona in Support of RC-04-2011 was not in compliance with the constitutional and statutory requirements for a recall petition. In August 2011, the Court ruled that the Citizens' Motion To Dismiss be granted on all counts. That ruling was appealed to the Court of Appeals, which affirmed the Court's decision in December 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JASON AND SUZIE SCHECHTERLE, ET AL VS. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, LOU GRUBB FORD, LLC, ET AL, CV2003-003427: In March 2001, Phoenix Police Officer Jason Schechterle was stopped at a red light when his Crown Victoria Police Interception (CVPI) was struck in the rear by a taxi cab, which caused the puncture or rupture of the CVPI's gasoline tank. The gasoline ignited, immediately engulfing the passenger compartment of the CVPA in flames. Schechterle sustained severe burns over his face and body. In February 2003, Schechterle filed a Complaint claiming Strict Products Liability, two counts of Negligence, and Negligence, Negligent Hiring/Supervision, Negligent Entrustment. The case was dismissed, with prejudice, in August 2004. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JOHN HARVEY ADAMSON, CR93385: This is the criminal case involving John Harvey Adamson. This case captured local and national attention due to the bombing death of investigative reporter Donald Bolles. Adamson was charged in June 1976 with First Degree Murder. In January 1977, he accepted a plea agreement of Second Degree Murder in exchange for his testimonies in related cases CR 94287, CR94316, CR115272 (consolidated) and CR96127. The Court of Appeals reversed and remanded the CR96127 convictions in 1980 and when Adamson refused to testify for the new trial, the Court ruled that the plea agreement had been breached and reinstated the original Information charging open murder. In October 1980, he was found guilty of first degree murder and in November 1980, he was sentenced to death. He appealed, which affirmed the original conviction. In exchange for his testimony in related case CR1990-013262, he signed a Stipulation and Cooperation Agreement in December 1990 and in March 1995 he formally accepted the reinstated January 1977 plea agreement, which stated he was to serve a calendar term of 20 years and two months with an absolute release date of August 12, 1996. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. MAX ANDERSON DUNLAP AND JAMES ALBERT ROBISON, CR96127: This is the initial criminal case involving Max Anderson Dunlap and James Albert Robison. This case captured local and national attention due to the bombing death of investigative reporter Donald Bolles. Dunlap and Robison were charged in January 1977 with First Degree Murder and First Degree Conspiracy. They were found guilty on both counts in December 1977 and subsequently sentenced to confinement on the conspiracy charge and death on the murder charge. They appealed their convictions and in February 1980, the sentences were reversed and remanded back to Superior Court for new trials. This case is directly related to CR93385, CR1989-012123, and CR1990-013262. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. JAMES ALBERT ROBISON, CR1989-012123: This is the criminal case involving James Albert Robison. It is directly related to CR93385, CR96127, and CR1990-013262 which captured local and national attention concerning the bombing death of investigative reporter Donald Bolles. In January 1977, CR96127 was initiated charging Robison and co-defendant Max Anderson Dunlap with First Degree Murder. The subsequent convictions in that case were ultimately reversed and remanded by the Court of Appeals in 1980. In November 1989, this case was initiated charging Robison with First Degree Murder. CR1990-013262, initiated in December 1990 charging Robison and co-defendant Dunlap with First Degree Murder and Conspiracy to Commit Obstructing a Criminal Investigation or Prosecution, Influencing a Witness, Receiving a Bribe by a Witness, was heard as a severed case until March 1992, when the cases were ordered consolidated. Robison was acquitted on all charges in December 1993 and ordered released for these case numbers only. He remained incarcerated due to a conviction on an unrelated matter. This case may be viewed at CSC.

CR MISC, 2007 NT: In August 2007, Special Prosecutor Dennis Wilenchik issued grand jury subpoenas, originally conducted under grand jury file 430-GJ 97, compelling New Times Media, L.L.C. to release various documents concerning nineteen categories of information. The Court ordered the file unsealed in October 2007 stating the motions and hearings held to date revealed nothing about the grand jury proceedings. At a November 2007 hearing, the Maricopa County Attorney's Office (MCAO) disclosed that the special prosecutor created the subpoenas, without prior authorization from the grand jury, which were then stamped and issued by the Clerk of the Court. The MCAO further stated that the subpoenas were issued pursuant to A.R.S. §13-4071(B)(2), which does not require a request by the grand jury or notification to the foreman and presiding judge. The Court ruled that this was an incorrect reading of the A.R.S. paragraph further stating that the county attorney has no common law power to subpoena witnesses and documents before a grand jury without the prior consent of the grand jury. The Court ruled that the subpoenas were not validly issued. Grand Jury file 430-GJ97 was then designated as CR MISC 2007 NT with all original documents now retained under the new case designation. This case may be viewed at CSC.

IN THE MATTER OF PAUL L. GAULT AND MARJORIE GAULT, FATHER AND MOTHER OF GERALD FRANCIS GAULT, A MINOR, FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, CV164769: Paul L. Gault and Marjorie Gault filed a writ of Habeas Corpus with the Arizona Supreme Court in August 1964 seeking release of their son, Gerald who was committed by the Gila County Juvenile Court to the Fort Grant Arizona Industrial School. The Gaults claimed they were not informed of any of their rights to include the right to counsel, to subpoena witnesses, and to a warning of the possible consequences of a finding of delinquency. Earlier that year, Gerald was found delinquent on a different charge and was informed that if he came before the court again and was found to be delinquent, he might be committed. The Gaults further contended that the state juvenile code was unconstitutional because it failed to provide for adequate notice of the alleged act of delinquency and failed to provide for proper notice of a juvenile hearing sufficiently in advance. The Arizona Supreme Court denied the petition and ordered the matter be heard by the Superior Court of Maricopa County. The Superior Court dismissed the petition, discharged the writ, and remanded Gerald to the appropriate authorities at the Fort Grant Arizona Industrial School. In November 1965, the Arizona Supreme Court affirmed the decision. The case was subsequently argued before the United States (US) Supreme Court during the 1966 October Term and in May 1967, the US Supreme Court reversed the judgment and remanded the case back to the Arizona Supreme Court. In June 1967, the Arizona Supreme Court ordered the Superior Court, based on the US Supreme Court's mandate, to hear the case again. The matter rested when Judge Hyder of the Maricopa County Superior Court indicated that he had no authority to mandate the Superior Court of Gila County. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. FALEH HASSAN ALMALEKI, CR2009-007938-001: This criminal case is involving Faleh Hassan Almaleki. In October 2009, Faleh Hassan Almaleki caused the death of his daughter and attempted to cause the death of Amal Khalef with his vehicle. The case received local and national media attention and was known as the "honor killing". In February 2011, Faleh Hassan Almaleki was convicted of court one for second-degree murder, count three for aggravated assault and count four and five for leaving the scene of an accident involving serious physical injury. The defendant filed an appeal and the Court of Appeals affirmed counts one, three and four and vacated count five. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. THOMAS IRVINE, SHUGHART THOMSON & KILROY, P.C. AND RICHARD ROMLEY, CV2002-033193: State of Arizona and County Attorney, Andrew Thomas, filed a petition for Writ of Quo Warranto alleging that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors did not have a statutory authority to substitute the County Attorney's legal representation of the Board with outside legal counsel, Shughart Thomson & Kilroy. In December 2009, the case was voluntarily dismissed by the plaintiff without prejudice. This case is related to CV2008-033194. This case may be viewed at CSC.

ANDREW P. THOMAS, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY, JOSEPH ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SHERIFF OF MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND ITS MEMBERS; FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDREW KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2008-033194: In December 2008, Andrew Thomas filed a complaint alleging that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors did not have legal authority to substitute the County Attorneys legal representation of the Board or the County with outside legal counsel. In September 2009, the court entered a judgment in favor of the Defendants. The Plaintiff appealed to the Court of Appeals. In October 2010, the Court of Appeals affirmed that the Board may, on its own initiative, employ outside counsel to represent and advise the Board whether the County Attorney has a conflict of interest with the Board and if it is appropriate to file actions for declaratory judgment. The Court of Appeals also affirmed that the Board may divest the County Attorney of his duty to represent the County on a case-by-case basis when the County Attorney is unavailable due to a conflict of interest. The case was remanded back to the Superior Court to determine which specific matters have a conflict of interest. A stipulation for dismissal was filed and the case was dismissed in February 2011. This case is related to CV2008-033193. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF AND ANDREW P. THOMAS, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND ITS MEMBERS: FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDREW KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, MARICOPA COUNTY, JANICE K. BREWER, GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, CV2009-006709: Maricopa County Sheriff Joseph Arpaio and Maricopa County Attorney Andrew Thomas filed this complaint to reinstate funds encumbered and transferred to the State by the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors. House Bill 2275, Laws 2008, Chapter 288 of the 48<sup>th</sup> Legislature, Second Session was signed into law on June 27, 2008, and directed that Maricopa County transfer \$24,168,400 to the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System Administration. Judgment was entered on June 17, 2009 on all claims in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff on June 19, 2009. An Order of Mandate and a copy of the Opinion affirming the superior court's judgment was issued by the Court of Appeals and filed by this office on February 1, 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

CHARLES HOSKINS, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS TREASURER OF MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND ITS MEMBERS; FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDY KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, CV2008-022513: Maricopa County Treasurer Charles Hoskins filed this complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief in response to the seizure by the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors of the Treasure's IT Department and transfer to the Office of Enterprise Technology. This case was dismissed on January 6, 2010 pursuant to a stipulation to dismiss filed on December 31, 2009. This case can be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND ITS MEMBERS: FULTON BROCK, DONALD STAPLEY, MAX WILSON, ANDREW KUNASEK, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, STATE OF ARIZONA AND MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2010-015986: Maricopa County Sheriff Joseph Arpaio filed this special action complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief in response to the issuance by the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors of subpoenas under the authority of A.R.S. § 11-218. This case is connected to the criminal indictments filed against Supervisors Donald Stapley (CR2009-007891) and Mary Rose Wilcox (CR2009-007892). Judgment was entered in favor of the Defendants and against the Plaintiff on June 25, 2010. The judgment was appealed by the Plaintiff, and the appeal was ultimately dismissed upon motion of the Plaintiff. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND ITS MEMBERS; FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDREW KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, MARICOPA COUNTY, SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE, IN AND FOR MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2009-013965: Maricopa County Sheriff Joseph Arpaio filed this complaint to obtain an order for transfer of management control of Zone 2 from the Board of Supervisors to the Sheriff, including transfer of supervision of OET employees who work on Zone 2. Zone 2 is a secure criminal justice network used to access criminal justice databases, including NCIC. Citing conflicts of interest, the Plaintiff also requested transfer of this case to the Superior Court in another county. Venue was transferred to Pima County on September 3, 2009. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. TAMMI PETERS SMITH, CR2010-101760-002: This is the criminal case involving Tammi Peters Smith and was known both locally and nationally as the "Baby Gabriel" case. In February 2010, Smith was indicted on two counts: Conspiracy To Commit Custodial Interference and Forgery. In May 2012, she was found guilty on both counts and subsequently was placed on probation in July 2012. Smith appealed the convictions and in April 2014, the Court of Appeals affirmed her conviction and probation term for conspiracy to commit custodial interference, but vacated her conviction and probation term for forgery. This case may be viewed at CSC.

DR SANDRA DOWLING, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA VS. DON STAPLEY, FULTON BROCK, ANDREW KUNASEK, MARY ROSE WILCOX, AND MAX W. WILSON IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, LC2006-000370-001: Sandra Dowling filed a Complaint in June 2006 alleging that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors did not have legal authority to adopt a resolution that would close the Maricopa Regional School District and accommodation schools for homeless children. She said that the Superintendent of Schools has sole discretion to establish an accommodation school. In June 2006, the Court awarded summary judgment in favor of Sandra Dowling. The judgment ordered that the resolution was void because the Board of Supervisors exceeded its legal authority in adopting the resolution and that the superintendent is vested with the power to establish accommodation schools. The Board appealed the ruling. In December 2003, the Court of Appeals affirmed the Court's decision that the resolution was void due to the Board not having the authority to unilaterally declare no services pursuant to §15-308(B) when no monies were needed; however, the Court of Appeals said that the two parties must work collaboratively when monies are needed. The Superintendent has permissive authority to establish accommodation school services and the Board has the authority over the funding and an intergovernmental agreement is necessary. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND ITS MEMBERS: FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDREW KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, AND MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2010-020273: Maricopa County Sheriff Joseph Arpaio filed this complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief to secure a declaration that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors and its in-house law firms have a conflict of interest with the Sheriff, and to prevent the Board from selecting the Sheriff's legal counsel due to this conflict of interest. This case is connected to the criminal indictments filed against Supervisors Donald Stapley (CR2009-007891) and Mary Rose Wilcox (CR2009-007892). This case was dismissed on May 12, 2011 pursuant to a stipulated notice of dismissal filed January 26, 2011. This case may be viewed at CSC.

ANDREW P. THOMAS VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, LC2010-000090-001: County Attorney, Andrew Thomas, filed a petition for Writ of Mandamus alleging the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors refused to approve the appointment of special prosecutors to prevent criminal prosecution of its Board members. In March 2010, the case was assigned to Judge Andrew W. Gould from Yuma County. In April 2010, the matter was settled and the case was dismissed with prejudice. This case may be viewed at CSC.

LISA M. AUBUCHON AND PETER R. PESTALOZZI, WIFE AND HUSBAND, AND DAVID HENDERSHOTT AND ANNA HENDERSHOTT, HUSBAND AND WIFE VS. SUPERVISOR FULTON BROCK, SUPERVISOR ANDREW KUNASEK, ANDREW KUNASEK AND KIMBERLY KUNASEK, HUSBAND AND WIFE, SUPERVISOR DONALD STAPLEY, SUPERVISOR MARY ROSE WILCOX, SUPERVISOR MAX WILSON, ET AL., CV2011-014754: Lisa M. Aubuchon and David Hendershott, former employees of the Maricopa County Attorney's Office and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Department respectively, filed this case against multiple state and county officials claiming various acts and omissions of the defendants resulted in the destruction of the plaintiffs' reputations and livelihoods. This case is connected to the criminal indictments filed against Supervisors Donald Stapley (CR2009-007891) and Mary Rose Wilcox (CR2009-007892). The complaint was dismissed on May 4, 2012 for lack of a legal or factual basis, and attorney's fees were later awarded to the defendants. The case was dismissed on April 4, 2013. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. GARY DOUGLAS PEEK, CR2002-093788: This is the criminal case involving Gary Douglas Peek. Peek was indicted in June 2002 and upon accepting a plea agreement, was sentenced in February 2003 on two amended counts of Attempted Child Molestation. He received a 10 year sentence on the first count and lifetime probation on the second count to begin after his release from prison. Peek appealed the lifetime probation and in December 2008, the Court of Appeals vacated the second count stating the statutes in effect when the crimes were committed did not authorize lifetime probation for attempted child molestation. The case was remanded back to the Superior Court and in March 2009, Peek was resentenced to five years' probation on count two to begin upon his absolute discharge from prison. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE, EX REL. ATTORNEY GENERAL TERRY GODDARD VS. WESTERN UNION FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC, CV2010-005807: In February 2010, a Settlement Agreement between the State and Western Union Financial Services was approved by the Court announcing Western Union would fund an extensive anti-money laundering undertaking in Arizona as well as participating surrounding states. The original agreement would be in effect for 41 months after implementation. The Order also approved the creation of an independent Monitor, who would evaluate and improve Western Union's anti-money laundering efforts. An Amended Settlement Agreement was approved by the Court in January 2014. In March 2014, the amended agreement was modified stating the participating states may execute separate agreements with Western Union regarding the provision of data for transactions. The modification also extended the agreement for an additional five years. This case may be viewed at CSC.

JOSEPH M. ARPAIO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF VS. MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND ITS MEMBERS, FULTON BROCK, DON STAPLEY, ANDREW KUNASEK, MAX WILSON, AND MARY ROSE WILCOX, IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES AS MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERVISORS, MARICOPA COUNTY, CV2009-010400: Maricopa County Sheriff Joseph Arpaio filed a Complaint in April 2009 claiming a directive approved by the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors (Board) regarding public records requests—specifically the use of an “Internal Information Request” form—violated Arizona's public records statutes. County elected and/or appointed officials were now required to use this form when requesting information from another department. An Amended Complaint was filed in May 2009. The focus of this case then shifted to a September 2009 videotape detailing the arrest of County Supervisor Don Stapley, which occurred in a County parking garage. The Sheriff's Office, using the Internal Information Request form, requested a copy of the videotape. They were told because of security concerns—in particular about the garage itself—the information was not subject to a request, but they were allowed to view the information at the Protective Services offices. The Board also filed a Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction. This motion and subsequent motions and pleadings related to this motion were ordered sealed by the Court. In November 2009, the court granted the Board's motion ruling that the release of the tape posed a threat to the security of persons using the garage; the Sheriff's Office filed a Notice of Appeal. In February 2010, the Court formally signed the Order granting the Board's motion. The Sheriff's Office filed an appeal of the order as well. The Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal, with prejudice, in October 2010. This case may be viewed at CSC.

IN RE: HON BARBARA MUNDELL, PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA, CV2010-003791: Barbara Mundell, the Presiding Judge of the Maricopa County Superior Court, filed a Petition for Special Action with the Court of Appeals in December 2009 to quash a search warrant or stay its execution. After a stay was granted in regards to CR2009-008332 (State v. Donahoe), Judge Mundell then filed a Notice of Stay in January 2010 claiming her counsel and the Maricopa County Attorney's Office (MCAO) had yet to meet as directed by a visiting judge in regards to resolving any issues with respect to the warrant. The MCAO stated in their Response, also filed in January 2010, that the attorneys did in fact meet telephonically in December 2009 and attached an exhibit summarizing their meeting. In February 2010, an Order was signed directing the Clerk's Office to assign a case number to this matter. This case is historically significant based on local public interest, and was part of an inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

GARY DONAHOE AND CHERIE DONAHOE, HUSBAND AND WIFE VS. SHERIFF JOSEPH ARPAIO AND AVA ARPAIO, HUSBAND AND WIFE, ANDREW THOMAS AND ANNE THOMAS, HUSBAND AND WIFE, ET AL., CV2010-032844: Gary Donahoe, a Maricopa County Superior Court Judge filed a Complaint in November 2010 alleging twelve violations of 42 U.S.C. §1983 and of Arizona Law to include Abuse of Process, Defamation, Libel, and/or False Light Invasion of Privacy, Racketeering Violations, and Gross Negligence. In December 2010, the case was then assigned to Pima County for judicial assignment by the Arizona Supreme Court Chief Justice. Later that month, a Notice of Removal to U.S. District Court was filed by the defendants. This case is considered historically significant based on the interest to the public, both locally and nationally, and was part of a tumultuous inter-county conflict occurring between 2008 and 2012. This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. ALESSANDRO GARCIA, CR1992-002096: This is the criminal case involving Alessandro Garcia and was known both locally and internationally as the "Buddhist Temple Murders". In March 1992, Garcia was charged with several counts to include Murder First Degree and Armed Robbery. After signing a plea agreement and in exchange for his testimonies in related case CR1991-001232 and CR1993-91352, an unrelated case, Garcia pled guilty to nine counts of first degree murder and one count of armed burglary. In July 1994, he was sentenced to life for each murder count, to be served consecutively, and 21 years on the burglary count, also to be served consecutively upon completion of the other sentences, This case may be viewed at CSC.

STATE VS. ELIZABETH JOHNSON, CR2010-101760-001: This is the criminal case involving Elizabeth Johnson and was known both locally and nationally as the "Baby Gabriel" case. In January 2010, Johnson was indicted on four counts: Kidnapping, Child Abuse, Conspiracy to Commit Custodial Interference, and Custodial Interference. The Court dismissed the Child Abuse charge and in October 2012, a jury found her guilty on the remaining counts, although reducing the Kidnapping charge to the lesser Unlawful Imprisonment. In December 2012, Johnson was sentenced to 1.75 years and 3.5 years respectively concerning Counts 1 and 4, and regarding Count 3, she was placed on probation for four years, which was to begin after her release from prison. This case may be viewed at CSC.



## HASH DIVORCES

HASH DIVORCES: In 1973, upon the death of attorney Vivian L. Hash, it was discovered that he had retained a large quantity of divorce decrees in his personal law office instead of filing them with the Clerk of the Superior Court because he was attempting to collect his legal fees. It was found that in each of the cases a trial was held, a divorce was granted, and a divorce decree was signed by the trial judge. An order was filed in P67632 ordering that a judgment be entered, *nunc pro tunc*, in each of the divorce cases found in Hash's office, with certain exception. Said judgment was to be entered as of the date that the trial judge signed each divorce case. In the event that a decree is not found when researching divorces for this time period, check with the following list to determine if the divorce is one of the ones whose decrees Hash retained. Decrees for these cases are on microfilm.

Acosta	C 34845	Clark	C 33084
Alexander	C 30745	Clarton	C 32402
Allen	D 18740	Cline	D 221127
Ames	D 25935	Coker	D 26172
Amlin	C 33415	Colvay	D 4350
Armstrong	D 4249	Conner	D 43082
Autrey	D 15658	Coone	C 32685
Bailey	D 122	Corea	D 1628
Barnett	D 19136	Cowsert	D 2294
Bartlett	D 19745	Cristy	D 6505
Bates	D 9771	Dale	D 249
Batten	D 1449	Davies	D 8589
Beasly	C 30565	Day, Cohen & Bertie	C 37551
Blanton	D 22759	Day, Theodore & Muriel	C 26625
Boca	D 16402	Dewberry	D 32894
Bolin	D 42445	DeWeber	D 7263
Bolton	D 25881	Dillaha	C 29703
Booth	D 2850	Dillingham	D 26040
Bourgeris	D 29584	Dinsen	C 35504
Brady	D 7101	Dunn	C 33822
Bray	D 11672	Edmondson	D 3640
Breland, Won & Pearl	C 30545	Ekiss	D 1218
Breland, Lee & Nova	D 10295	Eubanks	D 12513
Bridges	D 10295	Farr	C 20980
Brinson	D 3614	Felix	D 12141
Brisby	D 28201	Fleemor	D 43259
Brown, Bill & Barb	D 38104	Francis	D 118
Brown Floyd & Faye	D 2320	Franklin	C 33064
Brown Paul & Irene	C 34515	Furman	D 40018
Burke	D 22704	Galbreath	D 2256
Campbell, Allen & Ethel	D 24644	Garcia	C 37399
Campbell, Emmitt & Gladys	D 12507	Gibbons	D 1442
Cannon	D 103	Gill	C 39378
Carpenter	C 21102	Gilmore	D 1435
Choise	D 18889	Golden	D 28212
Churchwell	C 23641	Goodson	D 1464
Green, Fred & Anna	D 25431	Grantham	D 19832
Green, Samuel & Florence	D 25774	Grassie	C 27705
Harper	D 27686	Gray, Daniel & Emma	D 32867
Harris, John & Bertha	D 1212	Gray, Manuel & Doretha	D 38623

Harris, Frank & Lucille	D 27917	Mulkey	D 1122
Harris, Charles & Hazel	D 21224	Mullins	D 11489
Harry	D 186	Murry	C 32078
Hepler	D 38021	Myers, Robert & Elmira	C 36068
Holt	D 29445	Myers, William & Mildred	D 8169
Howard	D 12430	McCorvey	D 530
Huerta	D 4875	McDonald,James&Arnie	D 26394
Jacobson	D 23459	McDonald,Allen&Virginia	D 28069
Johns	D 19652	McFarland	C 27021
Johnson, Noel & Daisy	D 24070	McKee	C 38187
Johnson, Ralph & Eva	D 14065	Oliver	D 31415
Johnston	D 19504	Pacheco	C 36883
Jones	D 26749	Page	D 3674
Judy	D 3040	Payne	D 858
Justus	D 960	Peoples	D 26735
Keough	D 16310	Phillips	D 34629
Ketchum	D 857	Pigg	C 31097
Kilpatrick	D 1058	Poyner	D 828
Kinnison	D 35127	Renn	D 21285
Kissinger	D 22581	Rhyme	C 26111
Klemann	D 40967	Riggins	C 27317
Laird	C 33621	Sayers	D 6433
Lambert	C 30686	Schrimsher,James&Ruella	C 33043
LeFebvre	C 36816	Schrimsher,James&Anna	C 34846
Leonard	D 15450	Schrimsher,James&Anna	D 63
Levy	C 35803	Seabourne	D 3417
Lewis	D 16005	Sexton	D 74
Lilliford	C 26143	Shaughnessy	D 3947
Linder	D 20286	Sherrill	D 10647
Lingo	D 26396	Shine	D 26912
Lovin	C 34345	Shook	D 27002
Lowell	D 20330	Shumway	C 20362
Luker	C 28469	Skaggs	D 2927
Machado	D 35078	Smith, Bruce & Helen	D 2605
Mains	D 38990	Smith, Osborn&Josephine	C 23089
Mann	D 9781	Smith, James & Anna	C 33376
Martinez	D 3742	Snedden	C 36694
Mathews	C 23331	Stewart	D 18080
Mathis	D 27057	Taylor	C 32124
Merrell	D 23779	Thomas	C 32124
Michael	D 7284	Thornbough	D 28951
Milam	D 38135	Threlkeld	D 26253
Mills	D 1739	Valenzuela	29473
Moore	D 5827	Vickers	25796
Moorison	D 1162	Waddell	D 509
Watts	C 26477	Waters	22188
Webster	D 450	Wilson	35128
Weise	C 25953	Windsor	C 30019
Wendt	C 31168	Windstead	1613
Wermer	C 36681	Wold	2681
Williams, Thurman&Arleen	D 24804	Woods, Miller & Lucille	37633
Williams, Roy & Susie	C 29155	Woods, Rufus & Jean	31252
Woolley	D 28	Youngblood	1020
Yranzo	21151		

HASH DIVORCE EXCEPTION CASES: In the case of Alander v. Alander, # 40725, both parties appeared on February 21, 1973 and disclosed that they had requested the decedent not file the decree because they had reconciled. In the cases of Sullivan v. Sullivan, # 8577, Stewart v. Stewart, #18073, and Moody v. Moody, #6450, the court found that the plaintiffs in each case had requested the decedent not file the decrees and that Mr. Hash had advised these clients their divorces would not be valid until the decrees were filed.

**IN THE MATTER OF 1980 MARRIAGE LICENSE NUMBERS 80M04612 – 80M04635**

The marriage licenses and affidavits for the following Book and Page numbers are unavailable for reproduction from microfilm. These licenses were recorded and inadvertently returned to the parties prior to filming.

The information taken from these licenses was entered into the Clerk's Office L-Index system on April 22, 1980. The names of the parties married, the Book and Page number and the date this data was entered into the L-Index is the only information currently available.

The following list of names and Book and Page numbers provide enough information to allow the Clerk's Office to create an abstract of the marriage certificate. As an abstract is requested by the parties the Clerk will obtain the date and place of marriage from one or both of the parties and enter this information into the Marriage License Database. This process does not affect the validity of the marriages.

<b>NAMES</b>	<b>BOOK &amp; PAGE</b>	<b>NAMES</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Wayne, Charles D.	80M04612	Cline, Deborah A.	80M04630
Aka Wayne, Charles		Graves, Lemmeth	80M04631
Jenson, Brenda M.	80M04612	Ashley, David R.	80M04631
Hudson, John T.	80M04613	Clark, James A.	80M04632
Forrister, Jan D.	80M04613	Bowen, Sheryl M.	80M04632
Quintana, Demetrio R.	80M04614	Cannizzaro, John B.	80M04633
Yanez, Frances	80M04614	Crum, Pamela A.	80M04633
Paniagua, Rodolfo F.	80M04615	Pigman, Richard H.	80M04634
Arias, Amanda Q.	80M04615	Lecourt, Linda C.	80M04634
Balderson, Raymond H.	80M04616	Weast, David R.	80M04635
Malone, Wilma J.	80M04616	Beard, Jean L.	80M04635
Jaramillo, Rudolph A.	80M04617		
Amparan, Emily P.	80M04617		
Maddox, Clint L.	80M04618		
Harris, Diane	80M04618		
Musgrove, Russell A.	80M04619		
Butler, Michelle A.	80M04619		
Aka Richison, Michelle A			
Thurman, Richard L.	80M04620		
Karman, Geraldine A.	80M04620		
McCrocklin, Charles L.	80M04621		
Hoffman, Lyvina M.	80M04621		
Galindo, Robert	80M04622		
Bravo, Rachel	80M04622		
Truett, William B.	80M04623		
Cook, Natalie M.	80M04623		
Price, James M.	80M04624		
Bernhardt, Catherine	80M04624		
Hoffman, Ralph V.	80M04625		
Stone, Carol S.	80M04625		
Hutchinson, Steven	80M04626		
Murphy, Debra A.	80M04626		
Conklin, Edward M.	80M04627		
Rolfe, Marjorie G.	80M04627		
Watson, Thomas C.	80M04628		
Parrish, Marilyn A.	80M04628		
Archer, Kenneth A.	80M04629		
Bradley, Helen R.	80M04629		
Ashley, David R.	80M04630		

## DEATH PENALTY

Information on capital punishment may be obtained by visiting the Department of Corrections web site at [www.adc.state.az.us](http://www.adc.state.az.us) or The Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records Research Library at the State Capital, 1700 W. Washington. Information on death row inmates may also be found in the Attorney General publications "Profiles of Arizona Death Row Inmates". For appeal information on a case by case basis, contact the Arizona Supreme Court death penalty deputy at (602) 542-9396.

DEFENDANTS	CASE NUMBER	SENTENCE DATE	LOCATION
Lauterio, Ricardo	CR 5291	02/11/1920	Film
Roman, Thomas	CR 5354	02/23/1921	Film
Lawrence, William	CR 6826	04/04/1925	Film
Judd, Winnie Ruth	CR 11333	02/24/1932	Film, Archives
Cochran, Roland	CR 12816	02/16/1935	Film
Rascon, Frank	CR 13045	07/30/1935	Film
Knight, David	CR 13274	03/24/1936	Film
Odom, Edwin	CR 14269	10/25/1937	Film
Burgunder, Robert	CR 15304	07/18/1939	Film
Ransom, John	CR 17380	11/29/1943	Film
Coey, Leonard	CR 26745	03/24/1955	Film
Bartholomew, Lester	CR 27306	06/22/1955	Film
Craft, Lonnie	CR 30249	08/20/1957	Film
Robinson, Honor	CR 33460	06/30/1959	Film
Malumphy, Timothy	CR 48919	08/25/1966	Film
Miles, T.	CR 49043	12/05/1966	Film
Doss, Charles R.	CR 77238	05/06/1974	Film
Knapp, John Henry	CR 78779	01/06/1975	Film, CSC
Ceja, Jose	CR 82433	12/19/1974	Film
Treadaway, Jonathan	CR 83446	02/03/1975	Film
Jordan, Paul W.	CR 84940	03/13/1975	Film, CSC
Holsinger, Wilmar	CR 89341	01/16/1976	Film, CSC
McDaniels, Lewis	CR 92128	03/06/1978	Film, CSC
Evans, Larry	CR 92586	09/23/1976	Film, CSC
Adamson, John H.	CR 93385	11/14/1980	Film, CSC
Smith, Joseph C.	CR 95116	08/31/1977	Film, CSC
Mata, Luis	CR 97310	12/09/1977	Film, CSC
Brookover, Robert P.	CR 98231	09/27/1978	Film
Carriger, Paris	CR 101609	10/27/1978	Film, CSC
Smith, Sylvester	CR 101738	10/20/1978	Film, CSC
Gerlaugh, Darrick L.	CR 110814	02/11/1981	Film, CSC
Harding, Donald	CR 112359	11/22/1982	Film, CSC
Routhier, Dennis E.	CR 115186	08/31/1981	Film
Zaragoza, Ruben C.	CR 115197	10/26/1981	Film
McCall, Edward L.	CR 116940	01/11/1982	Film, CSC
Gillies, Jess	CR 117615	09/28/1981	Film, CSC
Hensley, Robert Lee	CR 118347	10/24/1983	Film, CSC

Smith, Roger L	CR 120266	04/20/1982	Film ,CSC
Cruz, Robert C	CR 120721	01/11/1982	Film, CSC
Bracy, William	CR 121686	02/11/1983	Film, CSC
Hooper, Murray	CR 121686	02/11/1983	Film, CSC
Fisher, James C.	CR 122316	07/12/1982	Film, CSC
James, Steven	CR 123711	11/23/1982	Film, CSC
Libberton, Lawrence	CR 123711	11/25/1982	Film, CSC
Roscoe, Kevin S.	CR 127656	02/25/1983	Film, CSC
Tittle, Thomas	CR 129300	05/30/1984	Film, CSC
Nash, Viva L.	CR 130037	01/27/1983	Film, CSC
Williams, Ronald T.	CR 130150	04/23/1984	Film, CSC
Villafuerte, Jose R.	CR 132134	09/09/1983	Film, CSC
Charo, Robert P.	CR 134296	04/20/1984	Film, CSC
Rossi, Richard	CR 135863	06/25/1984	Film, CSC
Beaty,Donald E.	CR 140790	07/20/1985	Film, CSC
Johnson, Mitchell	CR 140808	12/03/1984	Film, CSC
Correll, Michael E.	CR 141125	11/23/1984	Film, CSC
Fulminante, Oreste	CR 142821	02/11/1986	Film, CSC
Serna, John	CR 150464	06/26/1987	Film, CSC
Prince, Larry J.	CR 151033	05/15/1986	Film
Hinchey, John A.	CR 152117	12/08/1987	Film, CSC
Fierro, Jose A	CR 153626	03/06/1987	Film
Romanosky, John T	CR 158333	05/26/1987	Film, CSC
Lopez, Samuel V.	CR 163419	08/30/1990	Film, CSC
Epperson, George	CR 163760	12/11/1987	Film, CSC
Schaaf, Steven R.	CR87 00676	11/03/1989	Film, CSC
Comer, Robert C.	CR87 01352	04/11/1988	Film, CSC
Jiminez, Jesus R.	CR87 02432	01/22/1988	Film, CSC
Cornell, Joe E.	CR87 05604	02/15/1991	Film
Running Eagle, Sean	CR87 11508	02/03/1989	Film, CSC
Herrera, Mickel W.	CR88 06483	12/21/1989	Film, CSC
Herrera, William D.	CR88 06483	12/21/1989	Film, CSC
Herrera, William D. Jr.	CR88 06483	12/21/1989	Film, CSC
Lavers, Alfred E.	CR88 10549	09/11/1989	Film, CSC
Spencer, Clinton L.	CR89 05385	12/27/1990	Film
Ramirez, David M.	CR89 05726	12/18/1990	Film, CSC
Stuard, James W.	CR89 07997	11/30/1990	Film, CSC
Schurz, Eldon M.	CR89 12547	09/21/1990	Film
Milke, Debra J.	CR89 12631	01/18/1991	CSC
Scott, Roger M.	CR89 12631	04/22/1991	CSC
Styers, James L.	CR89 12631	12/14/1990	CSC
King, Eric J	CR90 00050	03/04/1991	CSC
Landrigan, Jeffrey	CR90 00066	10/25/1990	CSC
Gonzalez, Ernest V.	CR90 02471	04/27/1992	CSC
Gallegos, Michael S.	CR90 03339	05/24/1991	CSC
Barreras, Jerry P.	CR91 00182	08/14/1992	CSC
Hyde, David O.	CR91 02152	07/23/1992	CSC
Willoughby, Daniel H.	CR91 10184	10/26/1992	CSC

Hedlund, Charles (A)	CR91 90926	07/30/1993	CSC
McKinney, James E. (B)	CR91 90926	07/23/1993	CSC
Gulbrandson, David	CR91 90974	02/19/1993	CSC
Towery, Robert C.	CR91 92648	11/20/1992	CSC
Krone, Ray M.	CR92 00212	11/20/1992	CSC
Rogovich, Peter C.	CR92 02443	06/09/1995	CSC
Lee, Chad Allen	CR92 04225	06/23/1994	CSC
Lehr, Scott A.	CR92 05731	08/08/1997	CSC
Hurles, Richard D.	CR92 09564	10/13/1994	CSC
Spears, Anthony M.	CR92 90457	03/03/1993	SEA
Laird, Kenneth J.	CR93 01792	04/15/1994	CSC
Djerf, Richard K.	CR93 07792	05/22/1996	CSC
Pandeli, Darrell P.	CR93 08116	07/15/1998	CSC
Medina, Efren	CR93 08378	05/17/1996	CSC
Doerr, Eugene	CR94 08531	11/27/1996	CSC
Hoskins, Aaron S.	CR94 11396	07/18/1997	CSC
Ring, Timothy M.	CR95 01754	10/29/1997	CSC
Murdaugh, Michael	CR95 06472	11/16/2001	CSC
Martinez, Ernesto S.	CR95 08782	08/18/1998	CSC
Harrod, James C.	CR95 09046	05/27/1998	CSC
Adams, James V.	CR96 02618	11/21/1997	CSC
Jones, Antoin	CR96 04691	12/03/1999	CSC
Lamar, Christopher	CR96 11714	06/01/2001	CSC
Carlson, Doris	CR96 12554	03/31/2000	CSC
Cropper, Leroy	CR97 03949	11/03/2000	CSC
Rutledge, Sherman	CR97 05555	04/12/2001	CSC
Hall, Henry	CR97 11695	08/24/2000	CSC
Sansing, John E.	CR98 03520	09/30/1999	CSC
Prince, Wayne	CR98 04885	06/12/2000	CSC
Dann, Brian J.	CR99 03536	02/01/2002	CSC
Tucker, Eugene	CR99 15293	05/03/2005	CSC
Grell, Shawn	CR99 95294	07/09/2001	SEA
Moore, Julius Jarreau	CR99 16742	06/06/2007	CSC
Garza, Ruben	CR1999 17624	01/13/2003	CSC
Glassel, Richard J.	CR2000 06872	01/10/2003	CSC
Anthony, David L.	CR2001 11704	03/10/2004	CSC
Gomez, Fabio Evelio	CR2000 90114	06/05/2003	SEA
Andriano, Wendi E.	CR2000 96032	12/22/2004	CSC
Johnson, Ruben (A)	CR2001 01604	12/22/2003	CSC
Hampton, Tracy Allen	CR2001 08991	01/24/2003	CSC
Newell, Steven	CR2001 09124	02/25/2004	CSC
Velazquez, Juan (A)	CR2001 14970	10/08/2004	CSC
McCray, Frank	CR2001 15915A	11/29/2005	CSC
Carreon, Albert Martinez	CR2001 90195	05/02/2003	SEA
Lynch, Shawn (A)	CR2001 92032	05/23/2006	SEA
Sehwani, Michael (B)	CR2001 92032	05/23/2006	SEA
Roque, Frank S.	CR2001 95385	10/09/2003	SEA
Cromwell, Robert Louis	CR2001 95438	03/06/2003	SEA

Hargrave, Christopher	CR2002 09759	02/21/2006	CSC
Aguilar, Tonatihu	CR2002 06143	06/19/2003	CSC
Speer, Paul Bradley (A)	CR2002 010926	03/29/2007	CSC
Womble, Brian Allen	CR2002 010926	05/08/2007	CSC
Garcia, Alfredo Lucero	CR2002 016160	12/19/2007	CSC
Boggs, Steve A	CR2002 09759	05/13/2005	CSC
Bearup, Patrick	CR2003 024938-001	02/05/2007	CSC
McGill, Leroy	CR2003 05315-001	11/12/2004	CSC
Morris, Cory D.	CR2003 11506-001	07/20/2005	CSC
Chappell, Derek Don	CR2004 037319-001	11/14/2007	SEA
Kuhs, Ryan Wesley	CR2005 138481-001	09/21/2007	CSC
Dixon, Clarence Wayne	CR2002 019595	01/24/2008	CSC
Villalobos, Joshua I	CR2004 005523-001	04/15/2008	CSC
Snelling, Gary Wayne	CR2005 007848-001	06/09/2008	CSC
Gunches, Aaron Brian	CR2003 038541-001	02/14/2008	SEA
Cota, Benjamin Bernal	CR2004 006577-001	08/14/2009	CSC
Delahanty, Donald David	CR2005 114414-001	05/27/2009	CSC
Wilson, Dale Chris	CR2005 114414-002	07/24/2009	CSC
Kuhs, Ryan Wesley	CR2005 138481-001	09/21/2007	CSC
Hausner, Dale Shawn	CR2006 012721-001	03/27/2009	CSC
Patterson, Isiah	CR2006 114651-001	12/03/2009	CSC
Gallardo, Mike Peter	CR2006 175408-001	06/30/2009	CSC
Vanwinkle, Pete J.	CR2008 128068-001	11/17/2009	CSC
Hardy, Rodney Eugene	CR2005 127282-001	08/20/2009	SEA
Reeves, Stephen Douglas	CR2007-135527-001	05/16/2011	CSC
Levis, Dennis Michael	CR2013-002559-001	11/16/2015	CSC
Riley, Thomas Michael	CR2013-002559-002	11/16/2015	CSC
Clayton, James Johnson	CR2010-048824-001	06/29/2016	CSC
Allen, John Michael	CR2011-138856-001	11/16/2017	CSC
Allen, Sammantha Lucille Rebecca	CR2011-138856-003	8/17/2017	CSC
Smith, Allyn Akeem	CR2015-106788-001	5/24/2018	CSC

***The above list encompasses Maricopa County death penalty cases only. The possibility exists that not every death penalty case has been captured in this action.***

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
<b>CIVIL</b>							
1871	C 1	C 7	7	0%	Film	7	C1 through C16092 are available on microfilm at the Customer Service Center.
1872	C 8	C 33	26	271%	Film	26	
1873	C 34	C 59	26	0%	Film	26	
1874	C 60	C 82	23	-12%	Film	23	
1875	C 83	C 104	22	-4%	Film	22	
1876	C 105	C 120	16	-27%	Film	16	
1877	C 121	C 146	26	63%	Film	26	
1878	C 147	C 172	26	0%	Film	26	
1879	C 173	C 197	25	-4%	Film	25	
1880	C 198	C 222	25	0%	Film	25	
1881	C 223	C 248	26	4%	Film	26	
1882	C 249	C 260	12	-54%	Film	12	
1883	C 261	C 337	77	542%	Film	77	
1884	C 338	C 453	116	51%	Film	116	
1885	C 454	C 564	111	-4%	Film	111	
1886	C 565	C 702	138	24%	Film	138	
1887	C 703	C 807	105	-24%	Film	105	
1888	C 808	C 889	82	-22%	Film	82	
1889	C 890	C 1044	155	89%	Film	155	
1890	C 1045	C 1274	230	48%	Film	230	Search Procedures for Records Prior to 1930: Requests for copies of records prior to 1930 need to be researched in the Register of Actions index for the category needed. If the requested document is listed in the Register of Actions and is a specific document (judgment, will, decree of dissolution, order, letters testamentary), there will be a "Book & Page" number assigned to it. View that film with the appropriate "Book & Page" number. Other documents from the same case will be on that film too.
1891	C 1275	C 1429	155	-33%	Film	155	
1892	C 1430	C 1577	148	-5%	Film	148	
1893	C 1578	C 1848	271	83%	Film	271	
1894	C 1849	C 2178	330	22%	Film	330	
1895	C 2179	C 2517	339	3%	Film	339	
1896	C 2518	C 2790	273	-19%	Film	273	
1897	C 2791	C 3019	229	-16%	Film	229	
1898	C 3020	C 3214	195	-15%	Film	195	
1899	C 3215	C 3454	240	23%	Film	240	
1900	C 3455	C 3671	217	-10%	Film	217	* Civil files from C16093 through C30799 are not on film. These files are in their original form and are fragile. Viewing requests should go through the State Archives where they are stored.
1901	C 3672	C 3840	169	-22%	Film	169	
1902	C 3841	C 4039	199	18%	Film	199	
1903	C 4040	C 4215	176	-12%	Film	176	
1904	C 4216	C 4496	281	60%	Film	281	
1905	C 4497	C 5078	582	107%	Film	582	
1906	C 5079	C 5249	171	-71%	Film	171	
1907	C 5250	C 5454	205	20%	Film	205	
1908	C 5455	C 5652	198	-3%	Film	198	
1909	C 5653	C 5873	221	12%	Film	221	
1910	C 5874	C 6179	306	38%	Film	306	Beginning with C216000 active civil cases were returned to their original folders after filming; they are retained at CSC in their original form pending transfer to the State Archives.
1911	C 6180	C 6511	332	8%	Film	332	
1912	C 6512	C 7028	517	56%	Film	517	
1913	C 7029	C 7586	558	8%	Film	558	
1914	C 7587	C 8211	625	12%	Film	625	
1915	C 8212	C 8968	757	21%	Film	757	
1916	C 8969	C 9664	696	-8%	Film	696	
1917	C 9665	C 10418	754	8%	Film	754	
1918	C 10419	C 11285	867	15%	Film	867	
1919	C 11286	C 12338	1,053	21%	Film	1,053	
1920	C 12339	C 13618	1,280	22%	Film	1,280	* Civil files from C16093 through C30799 are not on film. These files are in their original form and are fragile. Viewing requests should go through the State Archives where they are stored.
1921	C 13619	C 15473	1,855	45%	Film	1,855	
1922	C 15474	C 17187	1,714	-8%	*	1,714	
1923	C 17188	C 18942	1,755	2%	*	1,755	
1924	C 18943	C 20613	1,671	-5%	*	1,671	
1925	C 20614	C 22636	2,023	21%	*	2,023	
1926	C 22637	C 25813	3,177	57%	*	3,177	
1927	C 25814	C 27665	1,852	-42%	*	1,852	
1928	C 27666	C 29547	1,882	2%	*	1,882	
1929	C 29548	C 31402	1,855	-1%	*	1,855	
1930	C 31403	C 33631	2,229	20%	Film	2,229	C30800 through C613147 are located on microfilm.
1931	C 33632	C 36148	2,517	13%	Film	2,517	
1932	C 36149	C 38572	2,424	-4%	Film	2,424	
1933	C 38573	C 40069	1,497	-38%	Film	1,497	
1934	C 40070	C 41370	1,301	-13%	Film	1,301	
1935	C 41371	C 42741	1,371	5%	Film	1,371	
1936	C 42742	C 44175	1,434	5%	Film	1,434	
1937	C 44176	C 45669	1,494	4%	Film	1,494	
1938	C 45670	C 46940	1,271	-15%	Film	1,271	
1939	C 46941	C 48256	1,316	4%	Film	1,316	
1940	C 48257	C 49457	1,201	-9%	Film	1,201	
1941	C 49458	C 50598	1,141	-5%	Film	1,141	
1942	C 50599	C 51706	1,108	-3%	Film	1,108	



Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
1943	C 51707	C 52727	1,021	-8%	Film	1,021	
1944	C 52728	C 53958	1,231	21%	Film	1,231	
1945	C 53959	C 55499	1,541	25%	Film	1,541	
1946	C 55500	C 57352	1,853	20%	Film	1,853	
1947	C 57353	C 59449	2,097	13%	Film	2,097	
1948	C 59450	C 62261	2,812	34%	Film	2,812	
1949	C 62262	C 65343	3,082	10%	Film	3,082	
1950	C 65344	C 68273	2,930	-5%	Film	2,930	
1951	C 68274	C 71285	3,012	3%	Film	3,012	
1952	C 71286	C 74393	3,108	3%	Film	3,108	
1953	C 74394	C 78016	3,623	17%	Film	3,623	
1954	C 78017	C 82204	4,188	16%	Film	4,188	
1955	C 82205	C 86845	4,641	11%	Film	4,641	
1956	C 86846	C 90164	3,319	-28%	Film	3,319	
1957	C 90165	C 97493	7,329	121%	Film	7,329	
1958	C 97494	C 104574	7,081	-3%	Film	7,081	
1959	C 104575	C 112525	7,951	12%	Film	7,951	
1960	C 112526	C 122456	9,931	25%	Film	9,931	
1961	C 122457	C 133790	11,334	14%	Film	11,334	
1962	C 133791	C 145483	11,693	3%	Film	11,693	
1963	C 145484	C 157482	11,999	3%	Film	11,999	
1964	C 157483	C 170137	12,655	5%	Film	12,655	
1965	C 170138	C 183385	13,248	5%	Film	13,248	
1966	C 183386	C 195746	12,361	-7%	Film	12,361	
1967	C 195747	C 207630	11,884	-4%	Film	11,884	
1968	C 207631	C 218908	11,278	-5%	Film	11,278	
1969	C 218909	C 230034	11,126	-1%	Film	11,126	
1970	C 230035	C 243308	13,274	19%	Film	13,274	
1971	C 243309	C 256930	13,622	3%	Film	13,622	
1972	C 256931	C 271751	14,821	9%	Film	14,821	
1973	C 271752	C 286361	14,610	-1%	Film	14,610	
1974	C 286362	C 305086	18,725	28%	Film	18,725	
1975	C 305087	C 325683	20,597	10%	Film	20,597	
1976	C 325684	C 343904	18,221	-12%	Film	18,221	
1977	C 343905	C 361771	17,867	-2%	Film	17,867	
1978	C 361772	C 380339	18,568	4%	Film	18,568	
1979	C 380340	C 401475	21,136	14%	Film	21,136	
1980	C 401476	C 426829	25,354	20%	Film	25,354	
1981	C 426830	C 451027	24,198	-5%	Film	24,198	
1982	C 451028	C 477527	26,500	10%	Film	26,500	
1983	C 477528	C 503705	26,178	-1%	Film	26,178	
1984	C 503706	C 532090	28,385	8%	Film	28,385	
1985	C 532091	C 565821	33,731	19%	Film	33,731	
1986	C 565822	C 613147	37,477	11%	Film	37,477	
1987	CV 8700001	CV 8741377	41,377	10%	Film		
1987	CV 8790001	CV 8793804	3,804	0%	Film		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1987</b>						<b>45,181</b>	
1988	CV 8800001	CV 8834421	34,421	-17%	Film		
1988	CV 8890001	CV 8892192	2,192	-42%	Film		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1988</b>						<b>36,613</b>	
1989	CV 8900001	CV 8936191	36,191	5%	Film		
1989	CV 8990001	CV 8992379	2,379	9%	Film		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1989</b>						<b>38,570</b>	
1990	CV 9000001	CV 9034977	34,977	-3%	Film		
1990	CV 9090001	CV 9092366	2,366	-1%	Film		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1990</b>						<b>37,343</b>	
1991	CV 9100001	CV 9129004	29,004	-17%	Film		
1991	CV 9190001	CV 9192618	2,618	11%	Film		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1991</b>						<b>31,622</b>	
1992	CV 9200001	CV 9222712	22,712	-22%	Film		
1992	CV 9290001	CV 9292344	2,344	-10%	Film		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1992</b>						<b>25,056</b>	
1993	CV 9300001	CV 9326963	26,963	19%	Film		
1993	CV 9390001	CV 9393203	3,203	37%	Film		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1993</b>						<b>30,166</b>	
1994	CV 9400001	CV 9420807	20,807	-23%	Film		
1994	CV 9490001	CV 9492470	2,470	-23%	Film		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1994</b>						<b>23,277</b>	
1995	CV 9500001	CV 9521643	21,643	4%	Film		
1995	CV 9590001	CV 9592607	2,607	6%	Film		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1995</b>						<b>24,250</b>	
1996	CV 9600001	CV 9623524	23,524	9%	Film		
1996	CV 9690001	CV 9692727	2,727	5%	Film		

When Civil cases were filmed in the 1970's, many files were declared active at the time of filming. These active files were not filmed; they remained in the file room for filming at a later date. In the early 1980's they were inadvertently destroyed without ever having been filmed. If the roll of film has an "active" sheet for a specific case number, the file may be one of the files that were destroyed.

All Paternity actions were filed as Criminal actions until 1971. From 1972 through 1975, they were filed as Civil actions. Beginning in 1976, Paternity actions have been filed as Domestic Relations actions.

Legislation for Joint and Several Liability resulted in a substantial increase of civil complaints filed in 1987.

Beginning in 1987 all Southeast cases begin with a nine (9) such as CV87-(9)0001.

In 1986, Southeast was assigned a block of 10,000 numbers. Of the 10,000 numbers, 152 were assigned. Subtracting the ending number from the beginning number is not appropriate for determining the number of cases filed for the year.

In 1987, cases were put on an automated Docket. The first CV case placed on the Docket was CV87-19000. The first CV SEA case was CV87-91200. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at <http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov> to access the Docket.

Through 1996 all cases had been filmed in numeric sequence. If the file was not available at the time of microfilming, the file was microfilmed as soon as it was located. If a case within the number series on the microfilm roll was not filmed at the time of filming, the box the film is stored in will reflect the exact case location. After 1996 the majority of cases were filmed on an inactive basis.

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1996</b>						<b>26,251</b>	
1997	CV 9700001	CV 9723956	23,956	2%	Film		
1997	CV 9790001	CV 9793027	3,027	11%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1997</b>						<b>26,983</b>	
1998	CV 9800001	CV 9823699	23,699	-1%	Film		
1998	CV 9890001	CV 9893179	3,179	5%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1998</b>						<b>26,878</b>	
1999	CV 9900001	CV 9923287	23,287	-2%	CSC		
1999	CV 9990001	CV 9993450	3,450	9%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 1999</b>						<b>26,737</b>	
2000	CV 2000 000001	CV 2000 023029	23,029	-1%	CSC		
2000	CV 2000 090001	CV 2000 093453	3,453	0%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2000</b>						<b>26,482</b>	
2001	CV 2001 000001	CV 2001 022601	22,601	-2%	CSC		
2001	CV 2001 090001	CV 2001 093027	3,027	-12%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CIVIL CASES 2001</b>						<b>25,628</b>	
2002	CV 2002 000001	CV 2002 025206	25,206	12%	CSC		
2002	CV 2002 090001	CV 2002 093234	3,234	7%	SEA		
2002	CV 2002 070001	CV 2002 070575	575	0%	NWR		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2002</b>						<b>29,015</b>	
2003	CV 2003 000001	CV 2003 025099	25,099	0%	CSC		
2003	CV 2003 090001	CV 2003 093318	3,318	3%	SEA		
2003	CV 2003 070001	CV 2003 070840	840	46%	NWR		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2003</b>						<b>29,257</b>	
2004	CV 2004 000001	CV 2004 040322	25,171	0%	CSC		
2004	CV 2004 090001	CV 2004 093422	3,415	3%	SEA		
2004	CV 2004 070001	CV 2004 070794	795	-5%	NWR		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2004</b>						<b>29,381</b>	
2005	CV 2005 000001	CV 2005 019814	19,814	-21%	CSC		
2005	CV 2005 090001	CV 2005 094121	4,121	21%	SEA		
2005	CV 2005 070001	CV 2005 070867	867	9%	NWR		
2005	CV 2005 050001	CV 2005 053698	3,698	0%	NER		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2005</b>						<b>28,500</b>	
2006	CV 2006 000001	CV 2006 019833	19,833	0%	CSC		
2006	CV 2006 090001	CV 2006 092704	2,704	-34%	SEA		
2006	CV 2006 070001	CV 2006 070694	694	-20%	NWR		
2006	CV 2006 050001	CV 2006 053567	3,567	-4%	NER		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2006</b>						<b>26,798</b>	
2007	CV 2007 000001	CV 2007 024120	24,120	22%	CSC		
2007	CV 2007 090001	CV 2007 093149	3,149	16%	SEA		
2007	CV 2007 070001	CV 2007 070723	723	4%	NWR		
2007	CV 2007 050001	CV 2007 053482	3,482	-2%	NER		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2007</b>						<b>31,474</b>	
2008	CV 2008 000001	CV 2008 033351	33,351	38%	CSC		
2008	CV 2008 090001	CV 2008 093957	3,957	26%	SEA		
2008	CV 2008 070001	CV 2008 070692	692	-4%	NWR		
2008	CV 2008 050001	CV 2008 055103	5,103	47%	NER		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2008</b>						<b>43,103</b>	
2009	CV 2009 000001	CV 2009 040412	40,412	21%	CSC		
2009	CV 2009 090001	CV 2009 094203	4,203	6%	SEA		
2009	CV 2009 070001	CV 2009 070665	665	-4%	NWR		
2009	CV 2009 050001	CV 2009 055465	5,465	7%	NER		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2009</b>						<b>50,745</b>	
2010	CV 2010 000001	CV 2010 034184	34,184	-15%	CSC		
2010	CV 2010 090001	CV 2010 099999	9,999	138%	SEA		
2010	CV 2010 090001	CV 2010 082972	2,972		SEA		
2010	CV 2010 070001	CV 2010 070645	645	-3%	NWR		
2010	CV 2010 050001	CV 2010 055157	5,157	-6%	NER		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2010</b>						<b>52,957</b>	
2011	CV 2011 000001	CV 2011 022499	22,499	-34%	CSC		
2011	CV 2011 090001	CV 2011 099999	9,999	0%	SEA		
2011	CV 2011 090001	CV 2011 081415	1,415		SEA		
2011	CV 2011 070001	CV 2011 070846	846	31%	NWR		
2011	CV 2011 050001	CV 2011 056916	6,916	34%	NER		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2011</b>						<b>41,675</b>	
2012	CV 2012 000001	CV 2012 019000	**30,321		CSC		
2012	CV 2012 090001	CV 2012 097861	**		SEA		
2012	CV 2012 070001	CV 2012 070469	**		NWR		
2012	CV 2012 050001	CV 2012 057295	**		NER		
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2012</b>						<b>30,321</b>	

CV1 through CV98-15779 are microfilmed. Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the remainder.

All Civil documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.

Case Numbers between CV2004 024850 and CV2004 040000 were not used. Case Numbers CV2004 040001 through CV2004 040322 were used out of sequence.

2010-SEA CV cases also initiated with an eight (8) such as CV2010-(8)0001.

\*\*Beginning in 2012, new case filings will not be listed by each individual Clerk of Court location; however, the cumulative total for the entire Clerk of Court will be posted. Please note that the beginning and ending case numbers are listed.

Year	Beginning Case Number		Ending Case Number		Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS	
2013	CV	2013 000001	CV	2013 017257	**28,656		CSC		**Beginning in 2013, new case filings will not be listed by each individual Clerk of Court location; due to the Electronic Court Record, case numbers are not issued sequentially; totals were derived from the Cash Management System. Please note that the beginning and ending case numbers are listed.	
2013	CV	2013 090001	CV	2013 096619	**		SEA			
2013	CV	2013 070001	CV	2013 071030	**		NWR			
2013	CV	2013 050001	CV	2013 056000	**		NER			
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2013</b>								<b>28,656</b>		
2014	CV	2014 000001	CV	2014 015371	**26,059		CSC			±± 2014-As of March 1, 2014, Civil cases are no longer heard at NWR; customers can still file at NWR, but their cases will be heard at other locations.
2014	CV	2014 090001	CV	2014 097467	**		SEA			
2014	CV	2014 070001	CV	2014 ±±070746	**		NWR			
2014	CV	2014 050001	CV	2014 056221	**		NER			
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2014</b>								<b>26,059</b>		
2015	CV	2015 000001	CV	2015 015371	**33,230		CSC			
2015	CV	2015 090001	CV	2015 097467	**		SEA			
2015	CV	2015 070001	CV	2015 ±±070746	**		NWR			
2015	CV	2015 050001	CV	2015 056221	**		NER			
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2015</b>								<b>33,230</b>		
2016	CV	2016 000001	CV	2016 097375	§§ 32,516	-2%	CSC		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS.	
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2016</b>								<b>32,516</b>		
2017	CV	2017 000001	CV	2017 097008	§§ 32,500	0%	CSC			
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2017</b>								<b>32,500</b>		
2018	CV	2018 000001	CV	2018 999999	§§ 32,438	0%	CSC			
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2018</b>								<b>32,438</b>		
2019	CV	2019 000001	CV	2019 097839	§§ 32,663	1%	ALL			
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2019</b>								<b>32,663</b>		
2020	CV	2020 000001	CV	2020 096952	§§ 31,492	-4%	ALL			
<b>TOTAL CV CASES 2020</b>								<b>31,492</b>		
<b>TOTAL CIVIL CASES</b>								<b>1,697,115</b>	Civil index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.	
<b>CRIMINAL</b>										
1879	CR	1	CR	6	6	0%	Film	6	Original Criminal case files from 1879 through 1929 are available for research and review through the State Archives. These files can also be viewed on film at CSC.	
1880	CR	7	CR	8	2	-67%	Film	2		
1881	CR	9	CR	30	22	1000%	Film	22		
1882	CR	31	CR	49	19	-14%	Film	19		
1883	CR	50	CR	87	38	100%	Film	38		
1884	CR	88	CR	117	30	-21%	Film	30		
1885	CR	118	CR	168	51	70%	Film	51		
1886	CR	169	CR	202	34	-33%	Film	34		
1887	CR	203	CR	240	38	12%	Film	38		
1888	CR	241	CR	290	50	32%	Film	50		
1889	CR	291	CR	337	47	-6%	Film	47		
1890	CR	338	CR	373	36	-23%	Film	36		
1891	CR	374	CR	441	68	89%	Film	68		
1892	CR	442	CR	479	38	-44%	Film	38		
1893	CR	480	CR	553	74	95%	Film	74		
1894	CR	554	CR	605	52	-30%	Film	52		
1895	CR	606	CR	684	79	52%	Film	79		
1896	CR	685	CR	749	65	-18%	Film	65		
1897	CR	750	CR	833	84	29%	Film	84		
1898	CR	834	CR	887	54	-36%	Film	54		
1899	CR	888	CR	946	59	9%	Film	59		
1900	CR	947	CR	1006	60	2%	Film	60		
1901	CR	1007	CR	1066	60	0%	Film	60		
1902	CR	1067	CR	1108	42	-30%	Film	42		
1903	CR	1109	CR	1167	59	40%	Film	59		
1904	CR	1168	CR	1224	57	-3%	Film	57		
1905	CR	1225	CR	1274	50	-12%	Film	50		
1906	CR	1275	CR	1367	93	86%	Film	93		
1907	CR	1368	CR	1436	69	-26%	Film	69		
1908	CR	1437	CR	1562	126	83%	Film	126		
1909	CR	1563	CR	1692	130	3%	Film	130		
1910	CR	1693	CR	1908	216	66%	Film	216		
1911	CR	1909	CR	2036	128	-41%	Film	128		
1912	CR	2037	CR	3138	1,102	761%	Film	1,102		
1913	CR	3139	CR	3367	229	-79%	Film	229		
1914	CR	3368	CR	3583	216	-6%	Film	216		
1915	CR	3584	CR	3819	236	9%	Film	236		
1916	CR	3820	CR	4092	273	16%	Film	273		
1917	CR	4093	CR	4360	268	-2%	Film	268		
1918	CR	4361	CR	4679	319	19%	Film	319		

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
1919	CR 4680	CR 5005	326	2%	Film	326	
1920	CR 5006	CR 5319	314	-4%	Film	314	
1921	CR 5320	CR 5761	442	41%	Film	442	
1922	CR 5762	CR 6159	398	-10%	Film	398	
1923	CR 6160	CR 6454	295	-26%	Film	295	
1924	CR 6455	CR 6766	312	6%	Film	312	
1925	CR 6767	CR 7174	408	31%	Film	408	
1926	CR 7175	CR 7527	353	-13%	Film	353	
1927	CR 7528	CR 9002	1,475	318%	Film	1,475	
1928	CR 9003	CR 9597	595	-60%	Film	595	
1929	CR 9598	CR 10209	612	3%	Film	612	
1930	CR 10210	CR 10804	595	-3%	Film	595	
1931	CR 10805	CR 11414	610	3%	Film	610	
1932	CR 11415	CR 11933	519	-15%	Film	519	
1933	CR 11934	CR 12327	394	-24%	Film	394	
1934	CR 12328	CR 12785	458	16%	Film	458	
1935	CR 12786	CR 13241	456	0%	Film	456	
1936	CR 13242	CR 13756	515	13%	Film	515	
1937	CR 13757	CR 14481	725	41%	Film	725	
1938	CR 14482	CR 15085	604	-17%	Film	604	
1939	CR 15086	CR 15613	528	-13%	Film	528	
1940	CR 15614	CR 16128	515	-2%	Film	515	
1941	CR 16129	CR 16634	506	-2%	Film	506	
1942	CR 16635	CR 17089	455	-10%	Film	455	
1943	CR 17090	CR 17499	410	-10%	Film	410	
1944	CR 17500	CR 17799	300	-27%	Film	300	
1945	CR 17800	CR 18218	419	40%	Film	419	
1946	CR 18219	CR 18758	540	29%	Film	540	
1947	CR 18759	CR 19394	636	18%	Film	636	
1948	CR 19395	CR 20074	680	7%	Film	680	
1949	CR 20075	CR 20810	736	8%	Film	736	
1950	CR 20811	CR 21609	799	9%	Film	799	
1951	CR 21610	CR 22636	1,027	29%	Film	1,027	
1952	CR 22637	CR 23832	1,196	16%	Film	1,196	
1953	CR 23833	CR 25039	1,207	1%	Film	1,207	
1954	CR 25040	CR 26596	1,557	29%	Film	1,557	
1955	CR 26597	CR 28228	1,632	5%	Film	1,632	
1956	CR 28229	CR 29786	1,558	-5%	Film	1,558	
1957	CR 29787	CR 31519	1,733	11%	Film	1,733	
1958	CR 31520	CR 33423	1,904	10%	Film	1,904	
1959	CR 33424	CR 35097	1,674	-12%	Film	1,674	
1960	CR 35098	CR 37436	2,339	40%	Film	2,339	
1961	CR 37437	CR 39459	2,023	-14%	Film	2,023	
1962	CR 39460	CR 41433	1,974	-2%	Film	1,974	
1963	CR 41434	CR 43483	2,050	4%	Film	2,050	
1964	CR 43484	CR 45523	2,040	0%	Film	2,040	
1965	CR 45524	CR 48073	2,550	25%	Film	2,550	
1966	CR 48074	CR 50784	2,711	6%	Film	2,711	
1967	CR 50785	CR 53796	3,012	11%	Film	3,012	
1968	CR 53797	CR 56991	3,195	6%	Film	3,195	
1969	CR 56992	CR 60966	3,975	24%	Film	3,975	
1970	CR 60967	CR 66172	5,206	31%	Film	5,206	
1971	CR 66173	CR 70735	4,563	-12%	Film	4,563	
1972	CR 70736	CR 74465	3,730	-18%	Film	3,730	
1973	CR 74466	CR 79177	4,712	26%	Film	4,712	
1974	CR 79178	CR 85262	6,085	29%	Film	6,085	
1975	CR 85263	CR 91110	5,848	-4%	Film	5,848	
1976	CR 91111	CR 95946	4,836	-17%	Film	4,836	
1977	CR 95947	CR 100420	4,474	-7%	Film	4,474	
1978	CR 100421	CR 105073	4,653	4%	Film	4,653	
1979	CR 105074	CR 110237	5,164	11%	Film	5,164	
1980	CR 110238	CR 116832	6,595	28%	Film	6,595	
1981	CR 116833	CR 123940	7,108	8%	Film	7,108	
1982	CR 123941	CR 130970	7,030	-1%	Film	7,030	
1983	CR 130971	CR 137859	6,889	-2%	Film	6,889	
1984	CR 137860	CR 145226	7,367	7%	Film	7,367	
1985	CR 145227	CR 154153	8,927	21%	Film	8,927	
1986	CR 154154	CR 164862	10,709	20%	Film	10,709	
1987	CR 8700001	CR 8711559	11,559	8%	Film		
1987	CR 8790001	CR 8790071	71	0%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1987</b>						<b>11,630</b>	
1988	CR 8800001	CR 8811760	11,760	2%	Film		
1988	CR 8890001	CR 8890045	45	-37%	FT2		

Criminal Transcripts CR37538 through CR90-14345 are on microfilm. These transcripts are not in consecutive order. Transcripts from CR69290 through CR90-14345 have been filmed separate from their case files. Transcripts from CR91-00001 to present have not been filmed.

File numbers are subject to change when transferred to a satellite court. For example, if a Downtown case is transferred to SEA, that case is assigned a new case number.

Downtown CR1 through CR9110763 are on microfilm located at CSC.

In 1987 cases were put on an automated Docket. The first CR case placed on Docket was CR87-06300. The first CR SEA case placed on Docket was CR87-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at <http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov> for access to the Docket.

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1988</b>						<b>11,805</b>	
1989	CR 8900001	CR 8913421	13,421	14%	Film		
1989	CR 8990001	CR 8990121	121	169%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1989</b>						<b>13,542</b>	
1990	CR 9000001	CR 9013505	13,505	1%	Film		
1990	CR 9090001	CR 9090720	720	495%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1990</b>						<b>14,225</b>	
1991	CR 9100001	CR 9110763	10,763	-20%	Film		
1991	CR 9190001	CR 9193423	3,423	375%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1991</b>						<b>14,186</b>	
1992	CR 9200001	CR 9210561	10,561	-2%	CSC		
1992	CR 9290001	CR 9293476	3,476	2%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1992</b>						<b>14,037</b>	
1993	CR 9300001	CR 9310494	10,494	-1%	CSC		
1993	CR 9390001	CR 9393755	3,755	8%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1993</b>						<b>14,249</b>	
1994	CR 9400001	CR 9411389	11,389	9%	CSC		
1994	CR 9490001	CR 9493961	3,961	5%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1994</b>						<b>15,350</b>	
1995	CR 9500001	CR 9512904	12,904	13%	CSC		
1995	CR 9590001	CR 9593652	3,652	-8%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1995</b>						<b>16,556</b>	
1996	CR 9600001	CR 9613609	13,609	5%	CSC		
1996	CR 9690001	CR 9695006	5,006	37%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1996</b>						<b>18,615</b>	
1997	CR 9700001	CR 9715075	15,075	11%	CSC		
1997	CR 9790001	CR 9795698	5,698	14%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1997</b>						<b>20,773</b>	
1998	CR 9800001	CR 9818099	18,099	20%	CSC		
1998	CR 9890001	CR 9896145	6,145	8%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1998</b>						<b>24,244</b>	
1999	CR 9900001	CR 9918452	18,452	2%	CSC		
1999	CR 9990001	CR 9996376	6,376	4%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 1999</b>						<b>24,828</b>	
2000	CR 2000 000001	CR 2000 019949	19,949	8%	CSC		
2000	CR 2000 090001	CR 2000 097510	7,510	18%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2000</b>						<b>27,459</b>	
2001	CR 2001 000001	CR 2001 019308	19,308	-3%	CSC		
2001	CR 2001 090001	CR 2001 080079	10,079	34%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2001</b>						<b>29,387</b>	
2002	CR 2002 000001	CR 2002 022188	22,188	15%	CSC		
2002	CR 2002 090001	CR 2002 080848	10,848	8%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2002</b>						<b>33,036</b>	
2003	CR 2003 000001	CR 2003 025099	25,099	13%	CSC		
2003	CR 2003 000001	CR 2003 010792	10,792	-1%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2003</b>						<b>35,891</b>	
2004	CR 2004 000001	CR 2004 043955	32,335	29%	CSC		
2004	CR 2004 000001	CR 2004 043955	11,000	2%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2004</b>						<b>43,335</b>	
2005	CR 2005 005001	CR 2005 145720	26,935	-17%	CSC		
2005	CR 2005 005001	CR 2005 145720	11,231	2%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2005</b>						<b>38,166</b>	
2006	CR 2006 005001	CR 2006 180377	26,323	-2%	CSC		
2006	CR 2006 005001	CR 2006 180377	10,958	-2%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2006</b>						<b>37,281</b>	
2007	CR 2007 005001	CR 2007 189260	34,355	31%	CSC		
2007	CR 2007 005001	CR 2007 189260	10,353	-6%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2007</b>						<b>44,708</b>	
2008	CR 2008 005001	CR 2008 181555	25,290	-26%	CSC		
2008	CR 2008 005001	CR 2008 181555	9,926	-4%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2008</b>						<b>35,216</b>	
2009	CR 2009 005001	CR 2009 180430	23,486	-7%	CSC		
2009	CR 2009 005001	CR 2009 180430	9,039	-9%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2009</b>						<b>32,525</b>	
2010	CR 2010 005001	CR 2010 180430	24,956	6%	CSC		
2010	CR 2010 005001	CR 2010 180430	16,099	78%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2010</b>						<b>41,055</b>	
2011	CR 2011 005001	CR 2011 *165724	40,394	62%	CSC		
2011	CR 2011 005001	CR 2011 *165724	8,681	-46%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2011</b>						<b>49,075</b>	

Beginning in 1987 all SEA cases begin with a (9), as in CR87-(9)0001. Southeast CR87-90001 through CR91-93423 are on microfilm at CSC.

Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the remainder.

\*Beginning in 2001 SEA sequential case numbers exceeded CR 2001-099999 and were assigned additional numbers beginning with an (8) as in CR2001-080001.

All Criminal documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.

\*Common case numbers began February 2003. Criminal case numbers are now assigned by defendant using a three digit suffix (001, 002) to designate each defendant, and a location suffix SE or DT to identify location. In 2004 the evolution of the common case number continued with sequential case numbers being assigned regardless of the location of the hearing of the defendant (s). There are no longer beginning and ending numbers assigned to each location.

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS	
2012	CR 2012 005001	CR 2012 *165768	43,171	7%	CSC			
2012	CR 2012 005001	CR 2012 *165768	8,131	-6%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2012</b>						<b>51,302</b>		
2013	CR 2013 100054	CR 2013 *462406	23,944	-45%	CSC			
2013	CR 2013 100054	CR 2013 *462406	9,381	15%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2013</b>						<b>33,325</b>		
2014	CR 2014 100001	CR 2014 *146921	31,407	31%	CSC			
2014	CR 2014 100001	CR 2014 *146921	8,181	-13%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2014</b>						<b>39,588</b>		
2015	CR 2015 100001	CR 2015 *155951	22,548	-28%	CSC			
2015	CR 2015 100001	CR 2015 *155591	8,373	2%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2015</b>						<b>30,921</b>		
2016	CR 2016 100001	CR 2016 212089	§§ 30,917	0%	ALL		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS.	
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2016</b>						<b>30,917</b>		
2017	CR 2017 000001	CR 2017 160258	§§ 29,108	-6%	ALL			
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2017</b>						<b>29,108</b>		
2018	CR 2018 000001	CR 2018 262889	§§ 44,409	53%	ALL			
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2018</b>						<b>44,409</b>		
2019	CR 2019 000001	CR 2019 533780	§§ 41,830	-6%	ALL			
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2019</b>						<b>41,830</b>		
2020	CR 2020 000001	CR 2020 999999	§§ 35,308	32%	ALL			
<b>TOTAL CR FILES 2020</b>						<b>35,308</b>		
<b>TOTAL CRIMINAL CASES:</b>						<b>1,162,744</b>	Criminal index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.	
<b>DIVORCE</b>								
1933	D 1	D 580	580	0%	Film	580	From 1864 through 1879 all Divorce actions were filed with the Arizona Territorial Legislature.	
1934	D 581	D 1297	717	24%	Film	717		
1935	D 1298	D 2073	776	8%	Film	776		
1936	D 2074	D 2958	885	14%	Film	885		
1937	D 2959	D 3959	1,001	13%	Film	1,001		
1938	D 3960	D 4853	894	-11%	Film	894		
1939	D 4854	D 5962	1,109	24%	Film	1,109		
1940	D 5963	D 6988	1,026	-7%	Film	1,026		
1941	D 6989	D 8229	1,241	21%	Film	1,241		
1942	D 8230	D 9715	1,486	20%	Film	1,486		
1943	D 9716	D 11660	1,945	31%	Film	1,945	From 1879 through 1892, both the Arizona Territorial Legislature and the Court heard petitions for Divorce.	
1944	D 11661	D 13803	2,143	10%	Film	2,143		
1945	D 13804	D 16659	2,856	33%	Film	2,856		
1946	D 16660	D 19774	3,115	9%	Film	3,115		
1947	D 19775	D 22429	2,655	-15%	Film	2,655		
1948	D 22430	D 24913	2,484	-6%	Film	2,484		
1949	D 24914	D 27315	2,402	-3%	Film	2,402		
1950	D 27316	D 29888	2,573	7%	Film	2,573		
1951	D 29889	D 32617	2,729	6%	Film	2,729		
1952	D 32618	D 35476	2,859	5%	Film	2,859		
1953	D 35477	D 38328	2,852	0%	Film	2,852	Divorce actions can be found as Civil cases from 1878 to 1933.	
1954	D 38329	D 41291	2,963	4%	Film	2,963		
1955	D 41292	D 44334	3,043	3%	Film	3,043		
1956	D 44335	D 47648	3,314	9%	Film	3,314		
1957	D 47649	D 50960	3,312	0%	Film	3,312		
1958	D 50961	D 54714	3,754	13%	Film	3,754		
1959	D 54715	D 58884	4,170	11%	Film	4,170		
1960	D 58885	D 63099	4,215	1%	Film	4,215		
1961	D 63100	D 67638	4,539	8%	Film	4,539		
1962	D 67639	D 72397	4,759	5%	Film	4,759		
1963	D 72398	D 77484	5,087	7%	Film	5,087	All Divorce category cases - D1 through D175058 - are on microfilm at CSC.	
1964	D 77485	D 82913	5,429	7%	Film	5,429		
1965	D 82914	D 88450	5,537	2%	Film	5,537		
1966	D 88451	D 94323	5,873	6%	Film	5,873		
1967	D 94324	D 100432	6,109	4%	Film	6,109		
1968	D 100433	D 107051	6,619	8%	Film	6,619		
1969	D 107052	D 114490	7,439	12%	Film	7,439		
1970	D 114491	D 122513	8,023	8%	Film	8,023		
1971	D 122514	D 131312	8,799	10%	Film	8,799		
1972	D 131313	D 141095	9,783	11%	Film	9,783		
1973	D 141096	D 151668	10,573	8%	Film	10,573	Because case numbers 50001 through 175058 are used in both D and DR case designations, a D* must be entered as the case designation when searching for Divorce cases on the docket. This will eliminate confusion with the Domestic Relations DR case designation.	
1974	D 151669	D 163779	12,111	15%	Film	12,111		
1975	D 163780	D 175058	11,279	-7%	Film	11,279		
								Should any case prior to 1973 be missing a decree, please refer to the "Hash Divorces" attachment to this index for possible explanation and case list.

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS		
<b>TOTAL DIVORCE CASES:</b>						<b>175,058</b>			
<b>RECIPROCAL DIVORCE</b>									
1953	RD	1	RD	209	209	0%	Film	This category was used for, but was not limited to, actions and jurisdictional disputes involving out of state child support, modifications and custody.	
1954	RD	210	RD	621	412	97%	Film		
1955	RD	622	RD	926	305	-26%	Film		
1956	RD	927	RD	1376	450	48%	Film		
1957	RD	1377	RD	1905	529	18%	Film		
1958	RD	1906	RD	2538	633	20%	Film		
1959	RD	2539	RD	3201	663	5%	Film		
1960	RD	3202	RD	3924	723	9%	Film		
1961	RD	3925	RD	5059	1,135	57%	Film		
1962	RD	5060	RD	6167	1,108	-2%	Film		
1963	RD	6168	RD	7172	1,005	-9%	Film	All Reciprocal Divorce files are on microfilm at CSC.	
1964	RD	7173	RD	8283	1,111	11%	Film		
1965	RD	8284	RD	9647	1,364	23%	Film		
1966	RD	9648	RD	11057	1,410	3%	Film		
1967	RD	11058	RD	12417	1,360	-4%	Film		
1968	RD	12418	RD	13806	1,389	2%	Film		
1969	RD	13807	RD	15336	1,530	10%	Film		
1970	RD	15337	RD	17053	1,717	12%	Film		
1971	RD	17054	RD	18834	1,781	4%	Film		
1972	RD	18835	RD	20735	1,901	7%	Film		This category was discontinued in 1975. All further proceedings of this type were initiated as Domestic Relations actions.
1973	RD	20736	RD	22889	2,154	13%	Film		
1974	RD	22890	RD	25233	2,344	9%	Film		
1975	RD	25234	RD	27415	2,182	-7%	Film		
<b>TOTAL RECIPROCAL DIVORCE CASES:</b>						<b>27,415</b>			
<b>DOMESTIC RELATIONS</b>									
1975	DR	50001	DR	51003	1,003	0%	Film	Downtown DR50001 through DR86-253054 are on microfilm.	
1976	DR	51004	DR	66215	15,212	1417%	Film		
1977	DR	66216	DR	83729	17,514	15%	Film		
1978	DR	83730	DR	102274	18,545	6%	Film		
1979	DR	102275	DR	121662	19,388	5%	Film		
1980	DR	121663	DR	142203	20,541	6%	Film		
1981	DR	142204	DR	162662	20,459	0%	Film		
1982	DR	162663	DR	181291	18,629	-9%	Film		
1983	DR	181292	DR	197581	16,290	-13%	Film		
1984	DR	197582	DR	213419	15,838	-3%	Film		
1985	DR	213420	DR	233496	20,077	27%	Film	All Paternity Actions were filed as Criminal Actions until 1971. From 1972 through 1975, they were filed as Civil Actions. Beginning in 1976, Paternity Actions have been filed as Domestic Relations Actions.	
1986	DR	233497	DR	253054	19,558	-3%	Film		
1987	DR	8700001	DR	8716565	16,565	-15%	Film		
1987	DR	8790001	DR	8793061	3,061	0%	Film		
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1987</b>						<b>19,626</b>			
1988	DR	8800001	DR	8813721	13,721	-17%	Film		
1988	DR	8890001	DR	8893358	3,358	10%	Film		
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1988</b>						<b>17,079</b>			
1989	DR	8900001	DR	8915110	15,110	10%	Film		Active cases from DR87-00001 through DR91-17472 are located at CSC. Inactive cases from DR87-09201 through DR97-9723997 without children are on microfilm at CSC.
1989	DR	8990001	DR	8993770	3,770	12%	Film		
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1989</b>						<b>18,880</b>			
1990	DR	9000001	DR	9015461	15,461	2%	Film		
1990	DR	9090001	DR	9093899	3,899	3%	Film		
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1990</b>						<b>19,360</b>			
1991	DR	9100001	DR	9117472	17,472	13%	Film		
1991	DR	9190001	DR	9194129	4,129	6%	Film		
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1991</b>						<b>21,601</b>			
1992	DR	9200001	DR	9216275	16,275	-7%	Film	Starting in 1987 all SEA cases begin with a (9), as in DR87-(9)0001. Southeast DR87-90001 through 97-97082 are on microfilm at CSC.	
1992	DR	9290001	DR	9294184	4,184	1%	Film		
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1992</b>						<b>20,459</b>			
1993	DR	9300001	DR	9318596	18,596	14%	Film		
1993	DR	9390001	DR	9395236	5,236	25%	Film		
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1993</b>						<b>23,832</b>			
1994	DR	9400001	DR	9422540	22,540	21%	Film		
1995	DR	9490001	DR	9498093	8,093	55%	Film		
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1994</b>						<b>30,633</b>			
1995	DR	9500001	DR	9522993	22,993	2%	Film		In 1987 Domestic Relations Cases were put on an automated Docket. The first DR case placed on Docket was DR87-09200. The first DR SEA case placed on Docket was DR87-91500. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at <a href="http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov">http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov</a> for access to the Docket.
1995	DR	9590001	DR	9597592	7,592	-6%	Film		
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1995</b>						<b>30,585</b>			
1996	DR	9600001	DR	9622991	22,991	0%	Film		
1996	DR	9690001	DR	9696968	6,968	-8%	Film		

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS	
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1996</b>						<b>29,959</b>	Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the remainder.  *Beginning 2/5/01, DR was replaced by FC (Family Court with Children) and FN (Family Court with No Children). All cases through 2/4/01 were filed as DR. The new alphabetical prefixes are part of a statewide trend in Family Court nomenclature. They are beneficial because they simplify document flow and case management for both the Clerk's Office and Court Administration. All cases that were filed through February 4, 2001 retain the DR designation.	
1997	DR 9700001	DR 9723997	23,997	4%	Film			
1997	DR 9790001	DR 9797082	7,082	2%	Film			
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1997</b>						<b>31,079</b>		
1998	DR 9800001	DR 9823699	23,699	-1%	CSC			
1998	DR 9890001	DR 9897228	7,228	2%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1998</b>						<b>30,927</b>		
1999	DR 9900001	DR 9922476	22,476	-5%	CSC			
1999	DR 9990001	DR 9997119	7,119	-2%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 1999</b>						<b>29,595</b>		
2000	DR 2000 000001	DR 2000 022799	22,799	1%	CSC			
2000	DR 2000 090001	DR 2000 097815	7,815	10%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 2000</b>						<b>30,614</b>		
2001	DR 2001 000001	DR 2001 002243	2,243*		CSC			
2001	DR 2001 090001	DR 2001 000733	733*		SEA			
<b>TOTAL DR CASES 2001</b>						<b>2,976</b>		
<b>TOTAL DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASES:</b>						<b>560,259</b>		
<b>FAMILY COURT WITH CHILDREN</b>								
2001	FC 2001 000001	FC 2001 013627	13,627	0%	CSC			All FC and FN documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2001	FC 2001 090001	FC 2001 094471	4,471	0%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2001</b>						<b>18,098</b>		
2002	FC 2002 000001	FC 2002 013228	13,228	-3%	CSC			
2002	FC 2002 090001	FC 2002 094969	4,969	11%	SEA			
2002	FC 2002 070001	FC 2002 071048	1,048	0%	NWR			
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2002</b>						<b>19,245</b>		
2003	FC 2003 090001	FC 2003 015799	15,799	19%	CSC			
2003	FC 2003 090001	FC 2003 095473	5,473	10%	SEA			
2003	FC 2003 070001	FC 2003 071460	1,460	39%	NWR			
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2003</b>						<b>22,732</b>		
2004	FC 2004 000001	FC 2004 016041	16,041	2%	CSC			
2004	FC 2004 090001	FC 2004 005124	5,124	-6%	SEA			
2004	FC 2004 070001	FC 2004 071446	1,446	-1%	NWR			
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2004</b>						<b>22,611</b>		
2005	FC 2005 000001	FC 2005 012663	12,663	-21%	CSC			
2005	FC 2005 090001	FC 2005 004121	4,121	-20%	SEA			
2005	FC 2005 070001	FC 2005 071331	1,331	-8%	NWR			
2005	FC 2005 050001	FC 2005 053070	3,070	0%	NER			
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2005</b>						<b>21,185</b>		
2006	FC 2006 000001	FC 2006 009968	9,968	-21%	CSC			
2006	FC 2006 090001	FC 2006 094423	4,423	7%	SEA			
2006	FC 2006 070001	FC 2006 071395	1,395	5%	NWR			
2006	FC 2006 050001	FC 2006 054685	4,685	53%	NER			
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2006</b>						<b>20,471</b>		
2007	FC 2007 000001	FC 2007 009639	9,639	-3%	CSC			
2007	FC 2007 090001	FC 2007 094697	4,697	6%	SEA			
2007	FC 2007 070001	FC 2007 071503	1,503	8%	NWR			
2007	FC 2007 050001	FC 2007 054236	4,236	-10%	NER			
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2007</b>						<b>20,075</b>		
2008	FC 2008 000001	FC 2008 008328	8,328	-14%	CSC			
2008	FC 2008 090001	FC 2008 094744	4,744	1%	SEA			
2008	FC 2008 070001	FC 2008 071537	1,537	2%	NWR			
2008	FC 2008 050001	FC 2008 053932	3,932	-7%	NER			
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2008</b>						<b>18,541</b>		
2009	FC 2009 000001	FC 2009 007688	7,688	-8%	CSC			
2009	FC 2009 090001	FC 2009 095036	5,036	6%	SEA			
2009	FC 2009 070001	FC 2009 071730	1,730	13%	NWR			
2009	FC 2009 050001	FC 2009 054399	4,399	12%	NER			
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2009</b>						<b>18,853</b>		
2010	FC 2010 000001	FC 2010 008205	8,205	7%	CSC			
2010	FC 2010 090001	FC 2010 095201	5,201	3%	SEA			
2010	FC 2010 070001	FC 2010 071811	1,811	5%	NWR			
2010	FC 2010 050001	FC 2010 054503	4,503	2%	NER			
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2010</b>						<b>19,720</b>		
2011	FC 2011 000001	FC 2011 008381	8,381	2%	CSC			
2011	FC 2011 090001	FC 2011 095783	5,783	11%	SEA			
2011	FC 2011 070001	FC 2011 071626	1,626	-10%	NWR			
2011	FC 2011 050001	FC 2011 053671	3,671	-18%	NER			
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2011</b>						<b>19,461</b>		
2012	FC 2012 000001	FC 2012 009446	9,446	13%	CSC			
2012	FC 2012 090001	FC 2012 095868	5,868	1%	SEA			
2012	FC 2012 070001	FC 2012 071855	1,855	14%	NWR			



Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
2012	FC 2012 050001	FC 2012 054431	4,431	21%	NER		<p>**Beginning in 2013, FC and FN new case filings will not be listed by each individual Clerk of Court location and will be combined; due to the Electronic Court Record, case numbers are not issued sequentially; totals were derived from the Cash Management System. Please note that the beginning and ending case numbers are listed.</p> <p>Family Court with Children involved index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.</p>
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2012</b>						<b>21,600</b>	
2013	FC 2013 000001	FC 2013 009990	**35,649		CSC		
2013	FC 2013 090001	FC 2013 096955	**		SEA		
2013	FC 2013 070001	FC 2013 072473	**		NWR		
2013	FC 2013 050001	FC 2013 055129	**		NER		
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2013</b>						<b>35,649</b>	
2014	FC 2014 000001	FC 2014 009752	**33,394		CSC		
2014	FC 2014 090001	FC 2014 097020	**		SEA		
2014	FC 2014 070001	FC 2014 072570	**		NWR		
2014	FC 2014 050001	FC 2014 055306	**		NER		
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2014</b>						<b>33,394</b>	
2015	FC 2015 000001	FC 2015 009876	**35,623		CSC		
2015	FC 2015 090001	FC 2015 094956	**		SEA		
2015	FC 2015 070001	FC 2015 072650	**		NWR		
2015	FC 2015 050001	FC 2015 055080	**		NER		
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2015</b>						<b>35,623</b>	
2016	FC 2016 000001	FC 2016 096900	§§ 36,536	3%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2016</b>						<b>36,536</b>	
2017	FC 2017 000001	FC 2017 010672	§§ 36,999	1%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2017</b>						<b>36,999</b>	
2018	FC 2018 000001	FC 2018 097475	§§ 35,757	-3%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2018</b>						<b>35,757</b>	
2019	FC 2019 000001	FC 2019 098537	§§ 34,276	-4%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2019</b>						<b>34,276</b>	
2020	FC 2020 000001	FC 2020 099116	§§ 29,679	-13%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL FC CASES 2020</b>						<b>29,679</b>	
<b>TOTAL FAMILY COURT WITH CHILDREN CASES:</b>						<b>520,505</b>	
<b>FAMILY COURT WITH NO CHILDREN</b>							
2001	FN 2001 000001	FN 2001 006222	6,222	0%	Film		<p>Downtown FN2001-000001 through 2001-006222 are on microfilm located at CSC. Southeast FN2001-090001 through 2001-092657 are on microfilm located at CSC.</p> <p>All FC and FN documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.</p>
2001	FN 2001 090001	FN 2001 092657	2,658	0%	Film		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2001</b>						<b>8,880</b>	
2002	FN 2002 000001	FN 2002 006889	6,889	11%	CSC		
2002	FN 2002 090001	FN 2002 093184	3,184	20%	SEA		
2002	FN 2002 070001	FN 2002 070907	907	0%	NWR		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2002</b>						<b>10,980</b>	
2003	FN 2003 000001	FN 2003 006199	6,199	-10%	CSC		
2003	FN 2003 090001	FN 2003 093140	3,140	-1%	SEA		
2003	FN 2003 070001	FN 2003 071399	1,399	54%	NWR		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2003</b>						<b>10,738</b>	
2004	FN 2004 000001	FN 2004 005836	5,836	-6%	CSC		
2004	FN 2004 090001	FN 2004 092966	2,966	-6%	SEA		
2004	FN 2004 070001	FN 2004 071388	1,388	-1%	NWR		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2004</b>						<b>10,190</b>	
2005	FN 2005 000001	FN 2005 005172	5,172	-11%	CSC		
2005	FN 2005 090001	FN 2005 092667	2,667	-10%	SEA		
2005	FN 2005 070001	FN 2005 071156	1,156	-17%	NWR		
2005	FN 2005 050001	FN 2005 052500	2,500	0%	NER		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2005</b>						<b>11,495</b>	
2006	FN 2006 000001	FN 2006 005087	5,087	-2%	CSC		
2006	FN 2006 090001	FN 2006 092500	2,500	-6%	SEA		
2006	FN 2006 070001	FN 2006 071221	1,221	6%	NWR		
2006	FN 2006 050001	FN 2006 052313	2,313	-7%	NER		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2006</b>						<b>11,121</b>	
2007	FN 2007 000001	FN 2007 005283	5,283	4%	CSC		
2007	FN 2007 090001	FN 2007 092533	2,533	1%	SEA		
2007	FN 2007 070001	FN 2007 071246	1,246	2%	NWR		
2007	FN 2007 050001	FN 2007 052260	2,260	-2%	NER		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2007</b>						<b>11,322</b>	
2008	FN 2008 000001	FN 2008 004657	4,657	-12%	CSC		
2008	FN 2008 090001	FN 2008 092388	2,388	-6%	SEA		
2008	FN 2008 070001	FN 2008 071198	1,198	-4%	NWR		
2008	FN 2008 050001	FN 2008 052673	2,673	18%	NER		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2008</b>						<b>10,916</b>	
2009	FN 2009 000001	FN 2009 004509	4,509	-3%	CSC		
2009	FN 2009 090001	FN 2009 093101	3,101	30%	SEA		
2009	FN 2009 070001	FN 2009 071256	1,256	5%	NWR		

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
2009	FN 2009 050001	FN 2009 053041	3,041	14%	NER		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2009</b>						<b>11,907</b>	
2010	FN 2010 000001	FN 2010 004555	4,555	1%	CSC		
2010	FN 2010 090001	FN 2010 093863	3,863	25%	SEA		
2010	FN 2010 070001	FN 2010 071429	1,429	14%	NWR		
2010	FN 2010 050001	FN 2010 053106	3,106	2%	NER		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2010</b>						<b>12,953</b>	
2011	FN 2011 000001	FN 2011 004555	4,555	0%	CSC		
2011	FN 2011 090001	FN 2011 094312	4,312	12%	SEA		
2011	FN 2011 070001	FN 2011 071561	1,561	9%	NWR		
2011	FN 2011 050001	FN 2011 052669	2,699	-13%	NER		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2011</b>						<b>13,127</b>	
2012	FN 2012 000001	FN 2012 005062	5,062	11%	CSC		
2012	FN 2012 090001	FN 2012 094474	4,474	4%	SEA		
2012	FN 2012 070001	FN 2012 071466	1,466	-6%	NWR		
2012	FN 2012 050001	FN 2012 052867	2,867	6%	NER		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2012</b>						<b>13,869</b>	**Beginning in 2013, FC and FN new case filings will not be listed by each individual Clerk of Court location and will be combined; due to the Electronic Court Record, case numbers are not issued sequentially; totals were derived from the Cash Management System. Please note that the beginning and ending case numbers are listed.
2013	FN 2013 000001	FN 2013 005436	**35,649		CSC		
2013	FN 2013 090001	FN 2013 094779	**		SEA		
2013	FN 2013 070001	FN 2013 071546	**		NWR		
2013	FN 2013 050001	FN 2013 053125	**		NER		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2013</b>							**
2014	FN 2014 000001	FN 2014 005773	**33,394		CSC		
2014	FN 2014 090001	FN 2014 095136	**		SEA		
2014	FN 2014 070001	FN 2014 071774	**		NWR		
2014	FN 2014 050001	FN 2014 053410	**		NER		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2014</b>							**
2015	FN 2015 000001	FN 2015 005974	**35,623		CSC		
2015	FN 2015 090001	FN 2015 094956	**		SEA		
2015	FN 2015 070001	FN 2015 071829	**		NWR		
2015	FN 2015 050001	FN 2015 054361	**		NER		
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2015</b>							**
2016	FN 2016 000001	FN 2016 095003	§§ 36,536	3%	ALL		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS.
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2016</b>							**
2017	FN 2017 000001	FN 2017 006642	§§ 36,999		ALL		**
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2017</b>							**
2018	FN 2018 000001	FN 2018 096802	§§ 35,757		ALL		**
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2018</b>							**
2019	FN 2019 000001	FN 2019 096261	§§ 34,276		ALL		**
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2019</b>							**
2020	FN 2020 000001	FN 2020 097950	§§ 29,679		ALL		**
<b>TOTAL FN CASES 2020</b>							**
<b>TOTAL FAMILY COURT WITH NO CHILDREN CASES</b>						<b>137,498</b>	Family Court with No Children involved index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.
<b>LOWER COURT APPEAL</b>							
1971	LCA 1	LCA 1443	1,443	0%	CSC	<b>1,443</b>	The first Lower Court Appeal case was filed on June 1, 1971.
1972	LCA 1444	LCA 5063	3,620	151%	CSC	<b>3,620</b>	
1973	LCA 5064	LCA 9169	4,106	13%	CSC	<b>4,106</b>	
1974	LCA 9170	LCA 12999	3,830	-7%	CSC	<b>3,830</b>	
1975	LCA 13000	LCA 16329	3,330	-13%	Film	<b>3,330</b>	
1976	LCA 16330	LCA 17971	1,642	-51%	Film	<b>1,642</b>	
1977	LCA 17972	LCA 19183	1,212	-26%	Film	<b>1,212</b>	
1978	LCA 19184	LCA 20277	1,094	-10%	Film	<b>1,094</b>	
1979	LCA 20278	LCA 21213	936	-14%	Film	<b>936</b>	
1980	LCA 21214	LCA 22066	853	-9%	Film	<b>853</b>	
1981	LCA 22067	LCA 22952	886	4%	*	<b>886</b>	*LCA1 through LCA23458 are available for viewing on microfiche only at CSC.
1982	LCA 22953	LCA 23854	902	2%	*	<b>902</b>	
1983	LCA 23855	LCA 24678	824	-9%	Film	<b>824</b>	*Downtown LCA23459 through LC98-00777 are on microfilm located at CSC. Southeast LC88-90001 through LC91-90093 are on microfilm located at CSC.
1984	LCA 24679	LCA 25814	1,136	38%	Film	<b>1,136</b>	
1985	LCA 25815	LCA 27114	1,300	14%	Film	<b>1,300</b>	
1986	LCA 27115	LCA 28461	1,347	4%	Film	<b>1,347</b>	
1987	LC 8700001	LC 8701477	1,477	10%	Film		In 1987 Lower Court Appeal cases were put on an automated Docket. The first LC case placed on Docket was LC87-00800. The first LC SEA case placed on Docket was LC87-90100. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at <a href="http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov">http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov</a> for access to the Docket.
1987	LC 8790001	LC 8790174	174	0%	Film		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1987</b>						<b>1,651</b>	
1988	LC 8800001	LC 8801436	1,436	-3%	Film		
1988	LC 8890001	LC 8890154	154	-11%	Film		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1988</b>						<b>1,590</b>	

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
1989	LC 8900001	LC 8901413	1,413	-2%	Film		
1989	LC 8990001	LC 8990108	108	-30%	Film		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1989</b>						<b>1,521</b>	
1990	LC 9000001	LC 9001334	1,334	-6%	Film		
1990	LC 9090001	LC 9090091	91	-16%	Film		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1990</b>						<b>1,425</b>	
1991	LC 9100001	LC 9101193	1,193	-11%	Film		
1991	LC 9190001	LC 9190093	93	2%	Film		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1991</b>						<b>1,286</b>	
1992	LC 9200001	LC 9201275	1,275	7%	Film		
1992	LC 9290001	LC 9290089	89	-4%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1992</b>						<b>1,364</b>	Beginning in 1987 all SEA cases begin with a (9), as in LC87-(9)0001.
1993	LC 9300001	LC 9300914	914	-28%	Film		
1993	LC 9390001	LC 9390096	96	8%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1993</b>						<b>1,010</b>	
1994	LC 9400001	LC 9401064	1,064	16%	Film		
1994	LC 9490001	LC 9490130	130	35%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1994</b>						<b>1,194</b>	
1995	LC 9500001	LC 9501071	1,071	1%	Film		
1995	LC 9590001	LC 9590150	150	15%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1995</b>						<b>1,221</b>	
1996	LC 9600001	LC 9601207	1,207	13%	Film		
1996	LC 9690001	LC 9690177	177	18%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1996</b>						<b>1,384</b>	
1997	LC 9700001	LC 9701207	1,207	0%	Film		
1997	LC 9790001	LC 9790237	237	34%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1997</b>						<b>1,444</b>	Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the remainder.
1998	LC 9800001	LC 9801099	1,099	-9%	Film		
1998	LC 9890001	LC 9890269	269	14%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1998</b>						<b>1,368</b>	
1999	LC 9900001	LC 9900998	998	-9%	CSC		
1999	LC 9990001	LC 9990235	235	-13%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 1999</b>						<b>1,233</b>	
2000	LC 2000 00001	LC 2000 002229	2,229	123%	CSC		
2000	LC 2000 090001	LC 2000 090190	190	-19%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2000</b>						<b>2,419</b>	
2001	LC 2001 000001	LC 2001 000875	875	-61%	CSC		
2001	LC 2001 090001	LC 2001 090180	180	-5%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2001</b>						<b>1,055</b>	Beginning in 2002, all LC cases are assigned to the CSC. None are assigned to SEA.
2002	LC 2002 000001	LC 2002 000764	764	-13%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2002</b>						<b>764</b>	All Lower Court Appeal documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2003	LC 2003 000001	LC 2003 001599	1,599	109%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2003</b>						<b>1,599</b>	
2004	LC 2004 000001	LC 2004 000913	913	-43%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2004</b>						<b>913</b>	
2005	LC 2005 000001	LC 2005 000941	941	3%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2005</b>						<b>941</b>	
2006	LC 2006 000001	LC 2006 000892	892	-5%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2006</b>						<b>892</b>	
2007	LC 2007 000001	LC 2007 000827	827	-7%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2007</b>						<b>827</b>	
2008	LC 2008 000001	LC 2008 000888	888	7%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2008</b>						<b>888</b>	
2009	LC 2009 000001	LC 2009 000983	983	11%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2009</b>						<b>983</b>	
2010	LC 2010 000001	LC 2010 000985	985	0%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2010</b>						<b>985</b>	
2011	LC 2011 000001	LC 2011 000816	816	-17%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2011</b>						<b>816</b>	
2012	LC 2012 000001	LC 2012 000712	712	-13%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2012</b>						<b>712</b>	
2013	LC 2013 000001	LC 2013 **117277	781	10%	CSC		**2013: Please note the beginning and ending case numbers. Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this category.
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2013</b>						<b>781</b>	
2014	LC 2014 000001	LC 2014 000627	627	-20%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2014</b>						<b>627</b>	
2015	LC 2015 000001	LC 2015 **000543	543	-13%	CSC		**2015: Please note the beginning and ending case numbers. Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this category.
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2015</b>						<b>543</b>	
2016	LC 2016 000001	LC 2016 111542	§§ 538	-1%	CSC		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS.
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2016</b>						<b>538</b>	
2017	LC 2017 000001	LC 2017 000503	§§ 503	-7%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2017</b>						<b>503</b>	
2018	LC 2018 000001	LC 2018 122060	§§ 432	-14%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2018</b>						<b>432</b>	

Year	Beginning Case Number		Ending Case Number		Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
2019	LC	2019 000001	LC	2019 114286	§§ 443	3%	ALL		Lower Court Appeal index and location is current through December 31, 2020.
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2019</b>								<b>443</b>	
2020	LC	2020 000001	LC	2020 000380	§§ 380	-14%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL LC CASES 2020</b>								<b>380</b>	
<b>TOTAL LC CASES</b>								<b>64,193</b>	
<b>LOWER COURT ASSESSMENT</b>									
1975	CJ	1	CJ	600	600	0%	Film	<b>600</b>	These cases are receipts of payments for court ordered attorney's fees for a public defender or a court appointed attorney. Lower Court Assessment cases are available on microfiche only at CSC.
1976	CJ	601	CJ	1021	421	-30%	Film	<b>421</b>	
1977	CJ	1022	CJ	1337	316	-25%	Film	<b>316</b>	
1978	CJ	1338	CJ	1611	274	-13%	Film	<b>274</b>	
1979	CJ	1612	CJ	2000	389	42%	Film	<b>389</b>	
<b>TOTAL LOWER COURT ASSESSMENT CASES:</b>								<b>2,000</b>	
<b>MENTAL HEALTH</b>									
1917	MH	1	MH	454	454	0%	Film	<b>454</b>	MH1 through MH98-01674 are on film. Please refer to CARS for roll number and frame location.
1918	MH	455	MH	498	44	-90%	Film	<b>44</b>	
1919	MH	499	MH	578	80	82%	Film	<b>80</b>	
1920	MH	579	MH	686	108	35%	Film	<b>108</b>	
1921	MH	687	MH	767	81	-25%	Film	<b>81</b>	
1922	MH	768	MH	866	99	22%	Film	<b>99</b>	Before 1910, Mental Health cases were filed as Probate cases. Some of these historical records are in the "Matter of Alleged Insanity" or contained "Certificates of Lunacy and Commitment". These records are not numbered, and have been microfilmed. The original records have been transferred to the State Archives.
1923	MH	867	MH	943	77	-22%	Film	<b>77</b>	
1924	MH	944	MH	1022	79	3%	Film	<b>79</b>	
1925	MH	1023	MH	1111	89	13%	Film	<b>89</b>	
1926	MH	1112	MH	1187	76	-15%	Film	<b>76</b>	
1927	MH	1188	MH	1290	103	36%	Film	<b>103</b>	
1928	MH	1291	MH	1408	118	15%	Film	<b>118</b>	
1929	MH	1409	MH	1568	160	36%	Film	<b>160</b>	
1930	MH	1569	MH	1732	164	3%	Film	<b>164</b>	
1931	MH	1733	MH	1888	156	-5%	Film	<b>156</b>	
1932	MH	1889	MH	2023	135	-13%	Film	<b>135</b>	
1933	MH	2024	MH	2174	151	12%	Film	<b>151</b>	
1934	MH	2175	MH	2331	157	4%	Film	<b>157</b>	
1935	MH	2332	MH	2542	211	34%	Film	<b>211</b>	
1936	MH	2543	MH	2706	164	-22%	Film	<b>164</b>	
1937	MH	2707	MH	2869	163	-1%	Film	<b>163</b>	
1938	MH	2870	MH	3023	154	-6%	Film	<b>154</b>	
1939	MH	3024	MH	3218	195	27%	Film	<b>195</b>	
1940	MH	3219	MH	3406	188	-4%	Film	<b>188</b>	
1941	MH	3407	MH	3611	205	9%	Film	<b>205</b>	
1942	MH	3612	MH	3830	219	7%	Film	<b>219</b>	
1943	MH	3831	MH	4064	234	7%	Film	<b>234</b>	
1944	MH	4065	MH	4336	272	16%	Film	<b>272</b>	
1945	MH	4337	MH	4672	336	24%	Film	<b>336</b>	
1946	MH	4673	MH	5085	413	23%	Film	<b>413</b>	
1947	MH	5086	MH	5505	420	2%	Film	<b>420</b>	
1948	MH	5506	MH	5994	489	16%	Film	<b>489</b>	
1949	MH	5995	MH	6522	528	8%	Film	<b>528</b>	
1950	MH	6523	MH	7250	728	38%	Film	<b>728</b>	
1951	MH	7251	MH	7946	696	-4%	Film	<b>696</b>	
1952	MH	7947	MH	8682	736	6%	Film	<b>736</b>	
1953	MH	8683	MH	9172	490	-33%	Film	<b>490</b>	
1954	MH	9173	MH	9638	466	-5%	Film	<b>466</b>	
1955	MH	9639	MH	10131	493	6%	Film	<b>493</b>	
1956	MH	10132	MH	10587	456	-8%	Film	<b>456</b>	
1957	MH	10588	MH	11078	491	8%	Film	<b>491</b>	
1958	MH	11079	MH	11602	524	7%	Film	<b>524</b>	
1959	MH	11603	MH	12212	610	16%	Film	<b>610</b>	
1960	MH	12213	MH	12971	759	24%	Film	<b>759</b>	
1961	MH	12972	MH	13720	749	-1%	Film	<b>749</b>	
1962	MH	13721	MH	14528	808	8%	Film	<b>808</b>	
1963	MH	14529	MH	15250	722	-11%	Film	<b>722</b>	
1964	MH	15251	MH	15999	749	4%	Film	<b>749</b>	
1965	MH	16000	MH	16890	891	19%	Film	<b>891</b>	
1966	MH	16891	MH	17658	768	-14%	Film	<b>768</b>	
1967	MH	17659	MH	18518	860	12%	Film	<b>860</b>	
1968	MH	18519	MH	19489	971	13%	Film	<b>971</b>	
1969	MH	19490	MH	20447	958	-1%	Film	<b>958</b>	
1970	MH	20448	MH	21597	1,150	20%	Film	<b>1,150</b>	

Year	Beginning Case Number		Ending Case Number		Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
1971	MH	21598	MH	22949	1,352	18%	Film	1,352	
1972	MH	22950	MH	24030	1,081	-20%	Film	1,081	
1973	MH	24031	MH	25171	1,141	6%	Film	1,141	
1974	MH	25172	MH	25960	789	-31%	Film	789	
1975	MH	25961	MH	26601	641	-19%	Film	641	
1976	MH	26602	MH	27061	460	-28%	Film	460	
1977	MH	27062	MH	27428	367	-20%	Film	367	
1978	MH	27429	MH	27787	359	-2%	Film	359	
1979	MH	27788	MH	28237	450	25%	Film	450	
1980	MH	28238	MH	28542	305	-32%	Film	305	In 1987 Mental Health cases were put on an automated Docket. The first MH case to be placed on Docket was MH87-00035.
1981	MH	28543	MH	28991	449	47%	Film	449	Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at <a href="http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov">http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov</a> for access to the Docket.
1982	MH	28992	MH	29381	390	-13%	Film	390	
1983	MH	29382	MH	29767	386	-1%	Film	386	
1984	MH	29768	MH	30245	478	24%	Film	478	
1985	MH	30246	MH	30726	481	1%	Film	481	
1986	MH	30727	MH	31165	439	-9%	Film	439	
1987	MH	8700001	MH	8700578	578	32%	Film	578	
1988	MH	8800001	MH	8800474	474	-18%	Film	474	
1989	MH	8900001	MH	8900577	577	22%	Film	577	
1990	MH	9000001	MH	9000958	958	66%	Film	958	
1991	MH	9100001	MH	9101349	1,349	41%	Film	1,349	
1992	MH	9200001	MH	9201545	1,545	15%	Film	1,545	
1993	MH	9300001	MH	9301702	1,702	10%	Film	1,702	
1994	MH	9400001	MH	9401960	1,960	15%	Film	1,960	
1995	MH	9500001	MH	9501716	1,716	-12%	Film	1,716	Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the remainder.
1996	MH	9600001	MH	9601052	1,052	-39%	Film	1,052	
1997	MH	9700001	MH	9701475	1,475	40%	Film	1,475	
1998	MH	9800001	MH	9801674	1,674	13%	Film	1,674	
1999	MH	9900001	MH	9901699	1,699	1%	CSC	1,699	
2000	MH	2000 000001	MH	2000 001601	1,601	-6%	CSC	1,601	
2001	MH	2001 000001	MH	2001 001658	1,658	4%	CSC	1,658	
2002	MH	2002 000001	MH	2002 001990	1,990	20%	CSC	1,990	
2003	MH	2003 000001	MH	2003 002282	2,282	15%	CSC	2,282	
2004	MH	2004 000001	MH	2004 002005	2,005	-12%	CSC	2,005	
2005	MH	2005 000001	MH	2005 001991	1,991	-1%	CSC	1,991	
2006	MH	2006 000001	MH	2006 002463	2,463	24%	CSC	2,463	
2007	MH	2007 000001	MH	2007 002230	2,230	-9%	CSC	2,230	
2008	MH	2008 000001	MH	2008 002953	2,953	32%	CSC	2,953	
2009	MH	2009 000001	MH	2009 003266	3,266	11%	CSC	3,266	
2010	MH	2010 000001	MH	2010 002913	2,913	-11%	CSC	2,913	
2011	MH	2011 000001	MH	2011 003620	3,620	24%	CSC	3,620	**Please note the beginning and ending case numbers. Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this category.
2012	MH	2012 000001	MH	2012 004190	4,190	16%	CSC	4,190	
2013	MH	2013 000001	MH	2013 004127	**4,058	-3%	CSC	4,058	
2014	MH	2014 000001	MH	2014 004369	**4,200	3%	CSC	4,200	
2015	MH	2015 000001	MH	2015 004944	**4,138	-1%	CSC	4,138	
2016	MH	2016 000001	MH	2016 006229	§§ 5,288	28%	CSC	5,288	§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS.
2017	MH	2017 000001	MH	2017 007547	§§ 6,723	27%	CSC	6,723	
2018	MH	2018 000001	MH	2018 008059	§§ 8,059	20%	CSC	8,059	
2019	MH	2019 000001	MH	2019 050005	§§ 8,584	7%	CSC	8,584	
2020	MH	2020 000001	MH	2020 010090	§§ 9,302	8%	CSC	9,302	
<b>TOTAL MENTAL HEALTH CASES:</b>								<b>131,438</b>	Mental Health index and location is current through December 31, 2020.
<b>MENTALLY ILL SEXUALLY VIOLENT</b>									
2003	MS	2003 000001	MS	2003 000019	19	0	CSC	19	Administrative Order 2003-035 ordered this new case type for Mentally ill Sexually Violent persons, denoted by the alpha prefix MS. This case type started on May 1, 2003, and follows the same administrative case file confidentiality requirements, case processing and business rules as are currently applied to MH case types.
2004	MS	2004 000001	MS	2004 000024	24	26%	CSC	24	
2005	MS	2005 000001	MS	2005 000029	29	21%	CSC	29	
2006	MS	2006 000001	MS	2006 000016	16	-45%	CSC	16	
2007	MS	2007 000001	MS	2007 000021	21	31%	CSC	21	
2008	MS	2008 000001	MS	2008 000019	19	-10%	CSC	19	
2009	MS	2009 000001	MS	2009 000019	19	0%	CSC	19	
2010	MS	2010 000001	MS	2010 000023	23	21%	CSC	23	
2011	MS	2011 000001	MS	2011 000011	11	-52%	CSC	11	2011-Minute entry Order received designating the following CV cases transferred to MS case type: CV1999-010375;MS1999-000001, CV1997-015634;MS1997-000001, CV1999-008811;MS1999-000002, CV2000-004613;MS2000-000001, CV2000-006593;MS2000-000002, CV2000-009501;MS2000-000003, CV2002-020221;MS2002-000001.
2012	MS	2012 000001	MS	2012 000005	5	-55%	CSC	5	
2013	MS	2013 000001	MS	2013 000012	12	140%	CSC	12	
2014	MS	2014 000001	MS	2014 000009	9	-25%	CSC	9	
2015	MS	2015 000001	MS	2015 000010	10	11%	CSC	10	
2016	MS	2016 000001	MS	2016 000007	§§ 7	-30%	CSC	7	
2017	MS	2017 000001	MS	2017 000001	§§ 1	-86%	CSC	1	§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS.
2018	MS	2018 000001	MS	2018 000002	§§ 2	100%	CSC	2	
2019	MS	2019 000001	MS	2019 000005	§§ 5	150%	CSC	5	

Year	Beginning Case Number		Ending Case Number		Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
2020	MS	2020 000001	MS	2020 000005	5	0%	CSC	5	Mental III Sexually Violent index and location is current through December 31, 2020.
<b>TOTAL MENTALLY ILL SEXUALLY VIOLENT CASES:</b>									
<b>237</b>									
<b>PROBATE</b>									
1884	P	65	P	78	14	*	Film	14	P1 through P64 range from 1871 through 1899. They were not assigned consecutively in date order, however they were filmed in numerical order. Additionally, letters were used to designate some of these cases, such as "1-B". These files may be viewed on microfilm at CSC. In early Probate files, wills were not filed with the case. There is no historical information as to the location of the wills or what became of them, however there is some indication they were "kept in a separate book for that purpose" and later filmed. That film was inadvertently destroyed in 1984. Additionally, there is written information that sometime in the mid 1970's a number of Probate files and related materials were unintentionally destroyed. There is no actual documentation for the information and only second-hand knowledge of the film's destruction. In July 1997, original Probate cases P1 through P1833 were transferred to the Arizona State Archives for historical retention. These files are also available on film at CSC. Adoptions were filed in Probate from 1910 to the mid 1940's. Many adoption matters have been removed from the Probate files and Probate Docket Books and stored separately. However, many Probate rolls do contain adoptions and are not for public viewing. Consult CSC public records staff for assistance with this matter. The actual count reflected in this index was generated by an audit and count of the historical Probate files.
1885	P	79	P	86	8	*	Film	8	
1886	P	87	P	93	7	*	Film	7	
1887	P	94	P	121	28	*	Film	28	
1888	P	122	P	145	24	*	Film	24	
1889	P	146	P	168	23	*	Film	23	
1890	P	169	P	181	13	*	Film	13	
1891	P	182	P	197	16	*	Film	16	
1892	P	198	P	227	30	*	Film	30	
1893	P	228	P	262	35	*	Film	35	
1894	P	263	P	299	37	*	Film	37	
1895	P	300	P	321	22	*	Film	22	
1896	P	322	P	371	50	*	Film	50	
1897	P	372	P	417	46	*	Film	46	
1898	P	418	P	491	74	*	Film	74	
1899	P	492	P	541	50	*	Film	50	
1900	P	542	P	650	109	*	Film	109	
1901	P	651	P	724	74	*	Film	74	
1902	P	725	P	786	62	*	Film	62	
1903	P	787	P	889	103	*	Film	103	
1904	P	890	P	970	81	*	Film	81	
1905	P	971	P	1051	81	*	Film	81	
1906	P	1052	P	1135	84	*	Film	84	
1907	P	1136	P	1251	116	*	Film	116	
1908	P	1252	P	1368	117	*	Film	117	
1909	P	1369	P	1482	114	*	Film	114	
1910	P	1483	P	1585	103	*	Film	103	
1911	P	1586	P	1699	114	*	Film	114	
1912	P	1700	P	1833	134	*	Film	134	
1913	P	1834	P	2003	170	*	Film	170	
1914	P	2004	P	2156	153	*	Film	153	
1915	P	2157	P	2307	151	*	Film	151	
1916	P	2308	P	2699	392	*	Film	392	
1917	P	2700	P	2700	1	*	Film	1	
1918	P	2701	P	2963	263	*	Film	263	
1919	P	2964	P	3306	343	*	Film	343	
1920	P	3307	P	3736	430	*	Film	430	
1921	P	3737	P			*	Film	0	
1922	P		P			*	Film	0	
1923	P		P			*	Film	0	
1924	P		P			*	Film	0	
1925	P		P			*	Film	0	
1926	P		P			*	Film	0	
1927	P		P			*	Film	0	
1928	P		P			*	Film	0	
1929	P		P	7585		*	Film	0	
1930	P	7586	P	8160	575	*	Film	575	
1931	P	8161	P	8755	595	3%	Film	595	
1932	P	8756	P	9289	534	-10%	Film	534	
1933	P	9290	P	9868	579	8%	Film	579	
1934	P	9869	P	10500	632	9%	Film	632	
1935	P	10501	P	11155	655	4%	Film	655	
1936	P	11156	P	11915	760	16%	Film	760	
1937	P	11916	P	12712	797	5%	Film	797	
1938	P	12713	P	13464	752	-6%	Film	752	
1939	P	13465	P	14227	763	1%	Film	763	
1940	P	14228	P	15010	783	3%	Film	783	
1941	P	15011	P	15867	857	9%	Film	857	
1942	P	15868	P	16863	996	16%	Film	996	
1943	P	16864	P	17877	1,014	2%	Film	1,014	
1944	P	17878	P	19070	1,193	18%	Film	1,193	
1945	P	19071	P	20283	1,213	2%	Film	1,213	
1946	P	20284	P	21672	1,389	15%	Film	1,389	
1947	P	21673	P	22938	1,266	-9%	Film	1,266	
1948	P	22939	P	24309	1,371	8%	Film	1,371	
1949	P	24310	P	25696	1,387	1%	Film	1,387	

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
1950	P 25697	P 27113	1,417	2%	Film	1,417	
1951	P 27114	P 28729	1,616	14%	Film	1,616	
1952	P 28730	P 30568	1,839	14%	Film	1,839	
1953	P 30569	P 32371	1,803	-2%	Film	1,803	
1954	P 32372	P 34187	1,816	1%	Film	1,816	
1955	P 34188	P 36183	1,996	10%	Film	1,996	
1956	P 36184	P 38235	2,052	3%	Film	2,052	
1957	P 38236	P 40520	2,285	11%	Film	2,285	
1958	P 40521	P 42964	2,444	7%	Film	2,444	
1959	P 42965	P 45573	2,609	7%	Film	2,609	
1960	P 45574	P 48458	2,885	11%	Film	2,885	
1961	P 48459	P 51435	2,977	3%	Film	2,977	
1962	P 51436	P 54616	3,181	7%	Film	3,181	
1963	P 54617	P 57935	3,319	4%	Film	3,319	
1964	P 57936	P 61334	3,399	2%	Film	3,399	
1965	P 61335	P 64223	2,889	-15%	Film	2,889	
1966	P 64224	P 68742	4,519	56%	Film	4,519	
1967	P 68743	P 72606	3,864	-14%	Film	3,864	
1968	P 72607	P 76509	3,903	1%	Film	3,903	
1969	P 76510	P 80824	4,315	11%	Film	4,315	
1970	P 80825	P 85216	4,392	2%	Film	4,392	
1971	P 85217	P 89654	4,438	1%	Film	4,438	
1972	P 89655	P 93998	4,344	-2%	Film	4,344	
1973	P 93999	P 98501	4,503	4%	Film	4,503	
1974	P 98502	P 102000	3,499	-22%	Film	3,499	
1975	P 102001	P 105857	3,857	10%	Film	3,857	
1976	P 105858	P 109625	3,768	-2%	Film	3,768	
1977	P 109626	P 113415	3,790	1%	Film	3,790	
1978	P 113416	P 117485	4,070	7%	Film	4,070	
1979	P 117486	P 121662	4,177	3%	Film	4,177	
1980	P 121663	P 125903	4,241	2%	Film	4,241	
1981	P 125904	P 130380	4,477	6%	Film	4,477	
1982	P 130381	P 134896	4,516	1%	Film	4,516	
1983	P 134897	P 139321	4,425	-2%	Film	4,425	
1984	P 139322	P 143892	4,571	3%	Film	4,571	
1985	P 143893	P 149258	5,366	17%	Film	5,366	
1986	P 149259	P 153605	4,347	-19%	Film	4,347	
1987	PB 8700001	PB 8704424	4,424	2%	Film		
1987	PB 8790001	PB 8790736	736	0%	Film		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1987</b>						<b>5,160</b>	
1988	PB 8800001	PB 8804464	4,464	1%	Film		
1988	PB 8890001	PB 8890840	840	14%	Film		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1988</b>						<b>5,304</b>	
1989	PB 8900001	PB 8904463	4,463	0%	Film		
1989	PB 8990001	PB 8990845	845	1%	Film		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1989</b>						<b>5,308</b>	
1990	PB 9000001	PB 9004624	4,624	4%	Film		
1990	PB 9090001	PB 9090893	893	6%	Film		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1990</b>						<b>5,517</b>	
1991	PB 9100001	PB 9104555	4,555	-1%	Film		
1991	PB 9190001	PB 9190831	831	-7%	Film		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1991</b>						<b>5,386</b>	
1992	PB 9200001	PB 9204832	4,832	6%	Film		
1992	PB 9290001	PB 9290891	891	7%	Film		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1992</b>						<b>5,723</b>	
1993	PB 9300001	PB 9304937	4,937	2%	Film		
1993	PB 9390001	PB 9390957	957	7%	Film		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1993</b>						<b>5,894</b>	
1994	PB 9400001	PB 9405054	5,054	2%	Film		
1994	PB 9490001	PB 9491088	1,088	14%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1994</b>						<b>6,142</b>	
1995	PB 9500001	PB 9505087	5,087	1%	Film		
1995	PB 9590001	PB 9591186	1,186	9%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1995</b>						<b>6,273</b>	
1996	PB 9600001	PB 9604057	4,057	-20%	Film		
1996	PB 9690001	PB 9691082	1,082	-9%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1996</b>						<b>5,139</b>	
1997	PB 9700001	PB 9705332	5,332	31%	Film		
1997	PB 9790001	PB 9791011	1,011	-7%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1997</b>						<b>6,343</b>	
1998	PB 9800001	PB 9805035	5,035	-6%	FT2		
1998	PB 9890001	PB 9891095	1,095	8%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1998</b>						<b>6,130</b>	

When the Probate cases were filmed in the early 1970's many files were declared active at the time of filming. These active files were not filmed and remained in the file room for filming at a later date. Sometime in the early 1980's the files were believed to have been filmed and were inadvertently destroyed without ever having been filmed.

Downtown P1 through PB97-05332 have been filmed and are available for viewing at CSC. Southeast PB87-90001 through PB93-90957 have been filmed and are available for viewing at CSC.

Beginning in 1987 all SEA cases begin with a (9), as in PB87-(9)0001.

In 1987, Probate cases were put on an automated Docket. The first PB case placed on Docket was PB87-2300. The first PB SEA case placed on Docket was PB87-90400. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at <http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov> for access to the Docket.

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
1999	PB 9900001	PB 9905321	5,321	6%	CSC		Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the remainder.
1999	PB 9990001	PB 9991138	1,138	4%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 1999</b>						<b>6,459</b>	
2000	PB 2000 000001	PB 2000 005409	5,409	2%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2000	PB 2000 090001	PB 2000 091091	1,091	-4%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2000</b>						<b>6,500</b>	
2001	PB 2001 000001	PB 2001 005602	5,602	4%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2001	PB 2001 090001	PB 2001 000965	965	-12%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2001</b>						<b>6,567</b>	
2002	PB 2002 000001	PB 2002 005554	5,554	-1%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2002	PB 2002 090001	PB 2002 091077	1,077	12%	CSC		
2002	PB 2002 070001	PB 2002 070409	409	0%	NWR		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2002</b>						<b>7,040</b>	
2003	PB 2003 000001	PB 2003 005128	5,128	-8%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2003	PB 2003 090001	PB 2003 090916	916	-15%	SEA		
2003	PB 2003 070001	PB 2003 070786	786	92%	NWR		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2003</b>						<b>6,830</b>	
2004	PB 2004 000001	PB 2004 004911	4,911	-4%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2004	PB 2004 090001	PB 2004 091055	1,055	15%	SEA		
2004	PB 2004 070001	PB 2004 070908	908	16%	NWR		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2004</b>						<b>6,874</b>	
2005	PB 2005 000001	PB 2005 003551	3,551	-28%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2005	PB 2005 090001	PB 2005 091048	1,048	-1%	SEA		
2005	PB 2005 070001	PB 2005 070837	837	-8%	NWR		
2005	PB 2005 050001	PB 2005 050889	889	0%	NER		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2005</b>						<b>6,325</b>	
2006	PB 2006 000001	PB 2006 003521	3,521	-1%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2006	PB 2006 090001	PB 2006 090851	851	-19%	SEA		
2006	PB 2006 070001	PB 2006 070887	887	6%	NWR		
2006	PB 2006 050001	PB 2006 050923	923	4%	NER		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2006</b>						<b>6,182</b>	
2007	PB 2007 000001	PB 2007 003377	3,377	-4%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2007	PB 2007 090001	PB 2007 090843	843	-1%	SEA		
2007	PB 2007 070001	PB 2007 070896	896	1%	NWR		
2007	PB 2007 050001	PB 2007 051026	1,026	11%	NER		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2007</b>						<b>6,142</b>	
2008	PB 2008 000001	PB 2008 003082	3,082	-9%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2008	PB 2008 090001	PB 2008 091087	1,087	29%	SEA		
2008	PB 2008 070001	PB 2008 070791	791	-12%	NWR		
2008	PB 2008 050001	PB 2008 051116	1,116	9%	NER		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2008</b>						<b>6,076</b>	
2009	PB 2009 000001	PB 2009 002642	2,642	-14%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2009	PB 2009 090001	PB 2009 090906	906	-17%	SEA		
2009	PB 2009 070001	PB 2009 070785	785	-1%	NWR		
2009	PB 2009 050001	PB 2009 051155	1,155	3%	NER		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2009</b>						<b>5,488</b>	
2010	PB 2010 000001	PB 2010 002488	2,488	-6%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2010	PB 2010 090001	PB 2010 091225	1,225	35%	SEA		
2010	PB 2010 070001	PB 2010 070814	814	4%	NWR		
2010	PB 2010 050001	PB 2010 051142	1,142	-1%	NER		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2010</b>						<b>5,669</b>	
2011	PB 2011 000001	PB 2011 002461	2,461	-1%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2011	PB 2011 090001	PB 2011 091422	1,422	16%	SEA		
2011	PB 2011 070001	PB 2011 070897	897	10%	NWR		
2011	PB 2011 050001	PB 2011 051346	1,346	18%	NER		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2011</b>						<b>6,126</b>	
2012	PB 2012 000001	PB 2012 002543	2,543	3%	CSC		All Probate cases filed in 1998 and after as well as all active cases from 1994 through 1997 are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2012	PB 2012 090001	PB 2012 091420	1,420	0%	SEA		
2012	PB 2012 070001	PB 2012 070960	960	7%	NWR		
2012	PB 2012 050001	PB 2012 051367	1,367	2%	NER		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2012</b>						<b>6,290</b>	
2013	PB 2013 000001	PB 2013 002951	##7,829		CSC		## Beginning in 2013, new case filings will not be listed by each individual Clerk of Court location. Due to the Electronic Court Record, case numbers are not issued sequentially; totals were derived from the Case Management System. Please note the beginning and ending case numbers.
2013	PB 2013 090001	PB 2013 091560	##		SEA		
2013	PB 2013 070001	PB 2013 071113	##		NWR		
2013	PB 2013 050001	PB 2013 051779	##		NER		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2013</b>						<b>7,829</b>	
2014	PB 2014 000001	PB 2014 003622	##8,263		CSC		## Beginning in 2013, new case filings will not be listed by each individual Clerk of Court location. Due to the Electronic Court Record, case numbers are not issued sequentially; totals were derived from the Case Management System. Please note the beginning and ending case numbers.
2014	PB 2014 090001	PB 2014 091579	##		SEA		
2014	PB 2014 070001	PB 2014 070855	##		NWR		
2014	PB 2014 050001	PB 2014 050538	##		NER		
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2014</b>						<b>8,263</b>	
2015	PB 2015 000001	PB 2015 003622	##8627		CSC		



Year	Beginning Case Number		Ending Case Number		Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS	
2015	PB	2015 090001	PB	2015 091579	##		SEA		<p>§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS.</p> <p>Probate index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.</p>	
2015	PB	2015 070001	PB	2015 070855	##		NWR			
2015	PB	2015 050001	PB	2015 050538	##		NER			
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2015</b>								<b>8,627</b>		
2016	PB	2016 000001	PB	2016 091875	§§ 8,692	1%	ALL			
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2016</b>								<b>8,692</b>		
2017	PB	2017 000001	PB	2017 003888	§§ 9,569	10%	ALL			
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2017</b>								<b>9,569</b>		
2018	PB	2018 000001	PB	2018 095265	§§ 9,387	-2%	ALL			
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2018</b>								<b>9,387</b>		
2019	PB	2019 000001	PB	2019 094710	§§ 9,599	2%	ALL			
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2019</b>								<b>9,599</b>		
2020	PB	2020 000001	PB	2020 094028	§§ 9,149	-5%	ALL			
<b>TOTAL PB CASES 2020</b>								<b>9,149</b>		
<b>TOTAL PROBATE CASES:</b>								<b>377,694</b>		
<b>SMALL TAX</b>										
1988	ST	8800001	ST	8800011	11	0%	Film	<b>11</b>		<p>ST files are transferred based on activity. Check FT2 for current location information.</p> <p>All Small Tax cases are on an automated Docket. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at <a href="http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov">http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov</a> for access to the Docket.</p> <p>ST88-00001 through ST96-01946 have been filmed and are available for viewing at CSC.</p> <p>All Small Tax documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.</p> <p>**Please note the beginning and ending case numbers. Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this category.</p> <p>§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS.</p> <p>Small Tax index and location is current through December 31, 2020.</p>
1989	ST	8900001	ST	8900032	32	191%	Film	<b>32</b>		
1990	ST	9000001	ST	9000061	61	91%	Film	<b>61</b>		
1991	ST	9100001	ST	9100081	81	33%	Film	<b>81</b>		
1992	ST	9200001	ST	9200078	78	-4%	Film	<b>78</b>		
1993	ST	9300001	ST	9301366	1,366	1651%	Film	<b>1,366</b>		
1994	ST	9400001	ST	9401164	1,164	-15%	Film	<b>1,164</b>		
1995	ST	9500001	ST	9502502	2,502	115%	Film	<b>2,502</b>		
1996	ST	9600001	ST	9601946	1,946	-22%	Film	<b>1,946</b>		
1997	ST	9700001	ST	9701019	1,019	-48%	CSC	<b>1,019</b>		
1998	ST	9800001	ST	9800579	579	-43%	CSC	<b>579</b>		
1999	ST	9900001	ST	9900419	419	-28%	CSC	<b>419</b>		
2000	ST	2000 000001	ST	2000 000399	399	-5%	CSC	<b>399</b>		
2001	ST	2001 000001	ST	2001 000280	280	-30%	CSC	<b>280</b>		
2002	ST	2002 000001	ST	2002 000314	314	12%	CSC	<b>314</b>		
2003	ST	2003 000001	ST	2003 000261	261	-17%	CSC	<b>261</b>		
2004	ST	2004 000001	ST	2004 000370	370	42%	CSC	<b>370</b>		
2005	ST	2005 000001	ST	2005 000155	155	-58%	CSC	<b>155</b>		
2006	ST	2006 000001	ST	2006 000158	158	2%	CSC	<b>158</b>		
2007	ST	2007 000001	ST	2007 000309	309	96%	CSC	<b>309</b>		
2008	ST	2008 000001	ST	2008 000943	943	205%	CSC	<b>943</b>		
2009	ST	2009 000001	ST	2009 001844	1,844	96%	CSC	<b>1,844</b>		
2010	ST	2010 000001	ST	2010 001281	1,281	-31%	CSC	<b>1,281</b>		
2011	ST	2011 000001	ST	2011 000946	946	-26%	CSC	<b>946</b>		
2012	ST	2012 000001	ST	2012 000841	841	-11%	CSC	<b>841</b>		
2013	ST	2013 000001	ST	**2013 000797	**1002	19%	CSC	<b>1,002</b>		
2014	ST	2014 000001	ST	**2014 000653	**627	-37%	CSC	<b>627</b>		
2015	ST	2015 000001	ST	**2015 000614	**335	-37%	CSC	<b>335</b>		
2016	ST	2016 000001	ST	**2016 000580	§§ 332	-37%	CSC	<b>332</b>		
2017	ST	2017 000001	ST	2017 000180	§§ 276	-17%	CSC	<b>276</b>		
2018	ST	2018 000001	ST	2018 000578	§§ 300	9%	CSC	<b>300</b>		
2019	ST	2019 000001	ST	2019 000572	§§ 238	-21%	ALL	<b>238</b>		
2020	ST	2020 000001	ST	2020 000697	§§ 171	-28%	ALL	<b>171</b>		
<b>TOTAL SMALL TAX FILES:</b>								<b>20,640</b>		
<b>SEARCH WARRANT</b>										
1982	SW	1	SW	81	81	0%	FT2	<b>81</b>	<p>All Search Warrant files are sealed until the warrant itself has been executed.</p> <p>In 1988 Search Warrant cases were put on an automated Docket. The first SW case placed on Docket was SW88-00001. The first SW SEA case placed on Docket was SW91-90001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at <a href="http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov">http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov</a> for access to the Docket.</p>	
1983	SW	82	SW	286	205	153%	FT2	<b>205</b>		
1984	SW	287	SW	434	148	-28%	FT2	<b>148</b>		
1985	SW	435	SW	695	261	76%	FT2	<b>261</b>		
1986	SW	696	SW	922	227	-13%	FT2	<b>227</b>		
1987	SW	8700001	SW	8700311	311	37%	FT2	<b>311</b>		
1988	SW	8800001	SW	8800324	324	4%	FT2	<b>324</b>		
1989	SW	8900001	SW	8900285	285	-12%	FT2	<b>285</b>		
1990	SW	9000001	SW	9000205	205	-28%	FT2	<b>205</b>		
1991	SW	9100001	SW	9100289	289	41%	FT2			
1991	SW	9190001	SW	9190091	91	0%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 1991</b>								<b>380</b>		
1992	SW	9200001	SW	9200342	342	18%	FT2			
1992	SW	9290001	SW	9290021	21	-77%	SEA			

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 1992</b>						<b>363</b>	
1993	SW 9300001	SW 9300337	337	-1%	CSC		
1993	SW 9390001	SW 9390022	22	5%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 1993</b>						<b>359</b>	
1994	SW 9400001	SW 9400324	324	-4%	CSC		
1994	SW 9490001	SW 9490029	29	32%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 1994</b>						<b>353</b>	
1995	SW 9500001	SW 9500179	179	-45%	CSC		
1995	SW 9590001	SW 9590015	15	-48%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 1995</b>						<b>194</b>	
1996	SW 9600001	SW 9600200	200	12%	CSC		
1996	SW 9690001	SW 9690033	33	120%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 1996</b>						<b>233</b>	
1997	SW 9700001	SW 9700350	350	75%	CSC		
1997	SW 9790001	SW 9790040	40	21%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 1997</b>						<b>390</b>	
1998	SW 9800001	SW 9800324	324	-7%	CSC		
1998	SW 9890001	SW 9890014	14	-65%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 1998</b>						<b>338</b>	
1999	SW 9900001	SW 9900410	410	27%	CSC		
1999	SW 9990001	SW 9990049	49	250%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 1999</b>						<b>459</b>	
2000	SW 2000 000001	SW 2000 000599	599	46%	CSC		
2000	SW 2000 090001	SW 2000 090048	48	-2%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2000</b>						<b>647</b>	
2001	SW 2001 000001	SW 2001 000280	280	-53%	CSC		
2001	SW 2001 090001	SW 2001 000193	193	302%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2001</b>						<b>473</b>	
2002	SW 2002 000001	SW 2002 001087	1087	288%	CSC		
2002	SW 2002 090001	SW 2002 090194	194	1%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2002</b>						<b>1,281</b>	
2003	SW 2003 000001	SW 2003 001586	1,586	46%	CSC		
2003	SW 2003 090001	SW 2003 090200	200	3%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2003</b>						<b>1,786</b>	
2004	SW 2004 000001	SW 2004 002821	2,821	78%	CSC		
2004	SW 2004 090001	SW 2004 090201	201	1%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2004</b>						<b>3,022</b>	
2005	SW 2005 000001	SW 2005 004038	4,038	43%	CSC		
2005	SW 2005 090001	SW 2005 090161	161	-20%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2005</b>						<b>4,199</b>	
2006	SW 2006 000001	SW 2006 005225	5,225	29%	CSC		
2006	SW 2006 090001	SW 2006 090387	387	140%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2006</b>						<b>5,612</b>	
2007	SW 2007 000001	SW 2007 005992	5,992	15%	CSC		
2007	SW 2007 090001	SW 2007 090224	224	-42%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2007</b>						<b>6,216</b>	
2008	SW 2008 000001	SW 2008 007000	7,000	17%	CSC		
2008	SW 2008 090001	SW 2008 090199	199	-11%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2008</b>						<b>7,199</b>	
2009	SW 2009 000001	SW 2009 008489	7,065	1%	CSC		
2009	SW 2009 090001	SW 2009 090135	125	-37%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2009</b>						<b>7,190</b>	
2010	SW 2010 000001	SW 2010 010197	16,099	128%	CSC		
2010	SW 2010 090001	SW 2010 090033	32	-74%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2010</b>						<b>16,131</b>	
2011	SW 2011 000001	SW 2011 010200	10,200	-37%	CSC		
2011	SW 2011 090001	SW 2011 090022	22	-31%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2011</b>						<b>10,222</b>	
2012	SW 2012 000001	SW 2012 011016	11,016	8%	CSC		
2012	SW 2012 090001	SW 2012 090011	11	-50%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2012</b>						<b>11,027</b>	
2013	SW 2013 000001	SW 2013 **021722	22,082	100%	CSC		
2013	SW 2013 090001	SW 2013 ##090017	36	227%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2013</b>						<b>22,118</b>	
2014	SW 2014 000001	SW 2014 **011606	20,961	-5%	CSC		
2014	SW 2014 090001	SW 2014 ##090018	4	-89%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2014</b>						<b>20,965</b>	
2015	SW 2015 000001	SW 2015 **024500	20,828	-1%	CSC		
2015	SW 2015 090001	SW 2014 ##090022	22	450%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2015</b>						<b>20,850</b>	
2016	SW 2016 000001	SW 2016 102526	§§ 23,689	14%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2016</b>						<b>23,689</b>	
2017	SW 2017 000001	SW 2017 039976	§§ 24,967	5%	ALL		

Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the remainder.

\*\*Please note the beginning and ending case numbers. Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this category.  
##Case numbers were exclusively assigned to SEA location.

§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS.

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2017</b>						<b>24,967</b>	
2018	SW 2018 000001	SW 2018 147535	\$§ 16,055	-36%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2018</b>						<b>16,055</b>	
2019	SW 2019 000001	SW 2019 090050	\$§ 20,046	25%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2019</b>						<b>20,046</b>	
2020	SW 2020 000001	SW 2020 564228	\$§ 20,043	0%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL SW CASES 2020</b>						<b>20,043</b>	
<b>TOTAL SEARCH WARRANT CASES:</b>						<b>248,854</b>	Search Warrant index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.
<b>TAX APPEAL</b>							
1968	TA 1	TA 203	203	0%	Film	<b>203</b>	
1969	TA 204	TA 230	27	-87%	Film	<b>27</b>	
1970	TA 231	TA 263	33	22%	Film	<b>33</b>	
1971	TA 264	TA 305	42	27%	Film	<b>42</b>	
1972	TA 306	TA 346	41	-2%	Film	<b>41</b>	
1973	TA 347	TA 431	85	107%	Film	<b>85</b>	
1974	TA 432	TA 471	40	-53%	Film	<b>40</b>	
1975	TA 472	TA 513	42	5%	Film	<b>42</b>	
1976	TA 514	TA 543	30	-29%	Film	<b>30</b>	
1977	TA 544	TA 573	30	0%	Film	<b>30</b>	
1978	TA 574	TA 597	24	-20%	Film	<b>24</b>	
1979	TA 598	TA 612	15	-38%	Film	<b>15</b>	
1980	TA 613	TA 623	11	-27%	Film	<b>11</b>	
1981	TA 624	TA 640	17	55%	Film	<b>17</b>	
1982	TA 641	TA 687	47	176%	Film	<b>47</b>	
1983	TA 688	TA 717	30	-36%	Film	<b>30</b>	
1984	TA 718	TA 739	22	-27%	Film	<b>22</b>	
1985	TA 740	TA 782	43	95%	Film	<b>43</b>	
1986	TA 783	TA 878	96	123%	Film	<b>96</b>	
1987	TX 8700001	TX 8700269	269	180%	Film	<b>269</b>	
1988	TX 8800001	TX 8800766	766	185%	Film	<b>766</b>	
1989	TX 8900001	TX 8901204	1,204	57%	Film	<b>1,204</b>	
1990	TX 9000001	TX 9001688	1,688	40%	Film	<b>1,688</b>	
1991	TX 9100001	TX 9101634	1,634	-3%	Film	<b>1,634</b>	
1992	TX 9200001	TX 9201770	1,770	8%	Film	<b>1,770</b>	
1993	TX 9300001	TX 9301366	1,366	-23%	Film	<b>1,366</b>	
1994	TX 9400001	TX 9400996	996	-27%	Film	<b>996</b>	
1995	TX 9500001	TX 9501339	1,339	34%	Film	<b>1,339</b>	
1996	TX 9600001	TX 9600578	578	-57%	Film	<b>578</b>	
1997	TX 9700001	TX 9700872	872	51%	Film	<b>872</b>	
1998	TX 9800001	TX 9800787	787	-10%	Film	<b>787</b>	
1999	TX 9900001	TX 9900621	621	-21%	Film	<b>621</b>	
2000	TX 2000 000001	TX 2000 000843	843	36%	Film	<b>843</b>	
2001	TX 2001 000001	TX 2001 000677	677	-20%	Film	<b>677</b>	
2002	TX 2002 000001	TX 2002 000647	647	-4%	CSC	<b>647</b>	
2003	TX 2003 000001	TX 2003 000764	764	18%	CSC	<b>764</b>	
2004	TX 2004 000001	TX 2004 001053	1,053	38%	CSC	<b>1,053</b>	
2005	TX 2005 000001	TX 2005 000050	50	-95%	CSC	<b>50</b>	
2006	TX 2006 000001	TX 2006 000462	462	824%	CSC		
2006	TX 2006 050001	TX 2006 050253	253	0%	NER		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2006</b>						<b>715</b>	
2007	TX 2007 000001	TX 2007 000723	723	56%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2007</b>						<b>723</b>	
2008	TX 2008 000001	TX 2008 001014	1,014	40%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2008</b>						<b>1,014</b>	
2009	TX 2009 000001	TX 2009 001168	1,168	15%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2009</b>						<b>1,168</b>	
2010	TX 2010 000001	TX 2010 001406	1,406	20%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2010</b>						<b>1,406</b>	
2011	TX 2011 000001	TX 2011 001041	1,041	-26%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2011</b>						<b>1,041</b>	
2012	TX 2012 000001	TX 2012 000900	900	-14%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2012</b>						<b>900</b>	
2013	TX 2013 000001	TX 2013 **000797	**622	-31%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2013</b>						<b>622</b>	
2014	TX 2014 000001	TX 2014**000769	**591	-5%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2014</b>						<b>591</b>	
2015	TX 2015 000001	TX 2015**000876	**676	-5%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2015</b>						<b>676</b>	

TA1 through TX01-000667 have been filmed and are available for viewing at CSC. Please refer to CARS for case status information.

In 1988 Tax Appeal cases were put on an automated Docket. The first TX case placed on Docket was TX88-00001. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at <http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov> for access to the Docket.

Through 1999, cases on Docket are entered using 2 digits for the year and 5 digits for the remainder. Beginning with 2000, cases on Docket use 4 digits for the year and 6 digits for the remainder.

All Tax Appeal documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.

2006- Beginning June 26, 2006, TX cases were filed at NER and DT locations. The DT case numbers began with 000001.


\*\*Please note the beginning and ending case numbers. Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this category.

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
2016	TX 2016 000001	TX 2016 005645	§§ 378	-5%	CSC		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS.
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2016</b>						<b>378</b>	
2017	TX 2017 000001	TX 2017 000673	§§ 584	54%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2017</b>						<b>584</b>	
2018	TX 2018 000001	TX 2018 001177	§§ 629	8%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2018</b>						<b>629</b>	
2019	TX 2019 000001	TX 2019 001769	§§ 604	-4%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2019</b>						<b>604</b>	
2020	TX 2020 000001	TX 2020 001173	§§ 585	-3%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL TX CASES 2020</b>						<b>585</b>	
<b>TOTAL TAX APPEAL CASES:</b>						<b>30,438</b>	Tax Appeal index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.
<b>TRANSCRIPT OF JUDGMENT/TRANSFER JUDGMENT</b>							
1901	T 1	T 23	23	0%	Film	<b>23</b>	These cases are transcripts of the judgments which were granted in either municipal or justice courts, then transferred to superior court.
1902	T 24	T 39	16	-30%	Film	<b>16</b>	
1903	T 40	T 50	11	-31%	Film	<b>11</b>	
1904	T 51	T 64	14	27%	Film	<b>14</b>	
1905	T 65	T 70	6	-57%	Film	<b>6</b>	
1906	T 71	T 78	8	33%	Film	<b>8</b>	
1907	T 79	T 92	14	75%	Film	<b>14</b>	
1908	T 93	T 99	7	-50%	Film	<b>7</b>	
1909	T 100	T 109	10	43%	Film	<b>10</b>	
1910	T 110	T 123	14	40%	Film	<b>14</b>	
1911	T 124	T 132	9	-36%	Film	<b>9</b>	Downtown Transcript of Judgment cases T1 through TJ01-004390 are microfilmed and may be viewed at CSC. Always check the CARS for roll and frame numbers. Southeast Transcript of Judgment cases TJ87-90001 through TJ91-90212 have been filmed and may be viewed at CSC.
1912	T 133	T 152	20	122%	Film	<b>20</b>	
1913	T 153	T 172	20	0%	Film	<b>20</b>	
1914	T 173	T 196	24	20%	Film	<b>24</b>	
1915	T 197	T 233	37	54%	Film	<b>37</b>	
1916	T 234	T 275	42	14%	Film	<b>42</b>	
1917	T 276	T 315	40	-5%	Film	<b>40</b>	
1918	T 316	T 355	40	0%	Film	<b>40</b>	
1919	T 356	T 376	21	-48%	Film	<b>21</b>	
1920	T 377	T 433	57	171%	Film	<b>57</b>	
1921	T 434	T 530	97	70%	Film	<b>97</b>	
1922	T 531	T 633	103	6%	Film	<b>103</b>	
1923	T 634	T 746	113	10%	Film	<b>113</b>	
1924	T 747	T 870	124	10%	Film	<b>124</b>	
1925	T 871	T 975	105	-15%	Film	<b>105</b>	
1926	T 976	T 1087	112	7%	Film	<b>112</b>	
1927	T 1088	T 1174	87	-22%	Film	<b>87</b>	
1928	T 1175	T 1348	174	100%	Film	<b>174</b>	
1929	T 1349	T 1520	172	-1%	Film	<b>172</b>	
1930	T 1521	T 1697	177	3%	Film	<b>177</b>	
1931	T 1698	T 1948	251	42%	Film	<b>251</b>	
1932	T 1949	T 2151	203	-19%	Film	<b>203</b>	
1933	T 2152	T 2354	203	0%	Film	<b>203</b>	
1934	T 2355	T 2505	151	-26%	Film	<b>151</b>	
1935	T 2506	T 2583	78	-48%	Film	<b>78</b>	
1936	T 2584	T 2663	80	3%	Film	<b>80</b>	
1937	T 2664	T 2730	67	-16%	Film	<b>67</b>	
1938	T 2731	T 2855	125	87%	Film	<b>125</b>	
1939	T 2856	T 2993	138	10%	Film	<b>138</b>	
1940	T 2994	T 3100	107	-22%	Film	<b>107</b>	
1941	T 3101	T 3207	107	0%	Film	<b>107</b>	
1942	T 3208	T 3267	60	-44%	Film	<b>60</b>	
1943	T 3268	T 3328	61	2%	Film	<b>61</b>	
1944	T 3329	T 3365	37	-39%	Film	<b>37</b>	
1945	T 3366	T 3414	49	32%	Film	<b>49</b>	
1946	T 3415	T 3482	68	39%	Film	<b>68</b>	
1947	T 3483	T 3533	51	-25%	Film	<b>51</b>	
1948	T 3534	T 3664	131	157%	Film	<b>131</b>	
1949	T 3665	T 3971	307	134%	Film	<b>307</b>	
1950	T 3972	T 4289	318	4%	Film	<b>318</b>	
1951	T 4290	T 4591	302	-5%	Film	<b>302</b>	
1952	T 4592	T 4885	294	-3%	Film	<b>294</b>	
1953	T 4886	T 6208	1,323	350%	Film	<b>1,323</b>	
1954	T 6209	T 6596	388	-71%	Film	<b>388</b>	
1955	T 6597	T 6872	276	-29%	Film	<b>276</b>	
1956	T 6873	T 7088	216	-22%	Film	<b>216</b>	
1957	T 7089	T 7356	268	24%	Film	<b>268</b>	
1958	T 7357	T 7743	387	44%	Film	<b>387</b>	

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS	
1959	T 7744	T 8030	287	-26%	Film	287	Case number T8800, filed on 11/10/61, is on film; however, the next 150 cases are missing. The last thorough search was conducted in 1992, with no success. These files, T8801- T8950, have been declared lost.	
1960	T 8031	T 8398	368	28%	Film	368		
1961	T 8399	T 8878	480	30%	Film	480		
1962	T 8879	T 9292	414	-14%	Film	414		
1963	T 9293	T 9781	489	18%	Film	489		
1964	T 9782	T 10269	488	0%	Film	488		
1965	T 10270	T 11914	1,645	237%	Film	1,645		
1966	T 11915	T 13107	1,193	-27%	Film	1,193		
1967	T 13108	T 14286	1,179	-1%	Film	1,179		
1968	T 14287	T 15384	1,098	-7%	Film	1,098		
1969	T 15385	T 16482	1,098	0%	Film	1,098		
1970	T 16483	T 17614	1,132	3%	Film	1,132		
1971	T 17615	T 18803	1,189	5%	Film	1,189		
1972	T 18804	T 20262	1,459	23%	Film	1,459		
1973	T 20263	T 22210	1,948	34%	Film	1,948		
1974	T 22211	T 25135	2,925	50%	Film	2,925		
1975	T 25135	T 29099	3,964	36%	Film	3,964		
1976	T 29100	T 32978	3,879	-2%	Film	3,879		
1977	T 32979	T 37007	4,029	4%	Film	4,029		
1978	T 37008	T 40742	3,735	-7%	Film	3,735		
1979	T 40743	T 45407	4,665	25%	Film	4,665		
1980	T 45408	T 51465	6,058	30%	Film	6,058		
1981	T 51466	T 58345	6,880	14%	Film	6,880		
1982	T 58345	T 64043	5,698	-17%	Film	5,698		
1983	T 64044	T 68442	4,399	-23%	Film	4,399		
1984	T 68443	T 72710	4,268	-3%	Film	4,268		
1985	T 72711	T 76764	4,054	-5%	Film	4,054		
1986	T 76765	T 80910	4,146	2%	Film	4,146		
1987	TJ 8700001	TJ 8704366	4,366	5%	Film			Beginning in 1987, all SEA cases begin with a (9), as in TJ87-(9)0001.
1987	TJ 8790001	TJ 8790385	385	0%	Film			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1987</b>						<b>4,751</b>		In 1987, Transcript of Judgment cases were put on an automated Docket. The first TJ case placed on Docket was TJ87-02400. The first TJ SEA case placed on Docket was TJ87- 90100. Access to the Docket via the Internet was instituted in late 1997. Customers are directed to the Clerk's Office home page address at <a href="http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov">http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov</a> for access to the Docket.
1988	TJ 8800001	TJ 8803893	3,893	-11%	Film			
1988	TJ 8890001	TJ 8890425	425	10%	Film			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1988</b>						<b>4,318</b>		
1989	TJ 8900001	TJ 8902996	2,996	-23%	Film			
1989	TJ 8990001	TJ 8990612	612	44%	Film			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1989</b>						<b>3,608</b>		
1990	TJ 9000001	TJ 9003024	3,024	1%	Film			
1990	TJ 9090001	TJ 9090401	401	-34%	Film			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1990</b>						<b>3,425</b>		
1991	TJ 9100001	TJ 9102376	2,376	-21%	Film			
1991	TJ 9190001	TJ 9190212	212	-47%	Film			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1991</b>						<b>2,588</b>		
1992	TJ 9200001	TJ 9203910	3,910	65%	Film			
1992	TJ 9290001	TJ 9290221	221	4%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1992</b>						<b>4,131</b>		
1993	TJ 9300001	TJ 9304109	4,109	5%	Film			
1993	TJ 9390001	TJ 9390158	158	-29%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1993</b>						<b>4,267</b>		
1994	TJ 9400001	TJ 9403686	3,686	-10%	Film			
1994	TJ 9490001	TJ 9490151	151	-4%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1994</b>						<b>3,837</b>		
1995	TJ 9500001	TJ 9504221	4,221	15%	Film			
1995	TJ 9590001	TJ 9590140	140	-7%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1995</b>						<b>4,361</b>		
1996	TJ 9600001	TJ 9603921	3,921	-7%	Film			
1996	TJ 9690001	TJ 9690106	106	-24%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1996</b>						<b>4,027</b>		
1997	TJ 9700001	TJ 9704218	4,218	8%	Film			
1997	TJ 9790001	TJ 9790094	94	-11%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1997</b>						<b>4,312</b>		
1998	TJ 9800001	TJ 9805159	5,159	22%	Film			
1998	TJ 9890001	TJ 9890091	91	-3%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1998</b>						<b>5,250</b>		
1999	TJ 9900001	TJ 9904053	4,053	-21%	Film			
1999	TJ 9990001	TJ 9990190	190	109%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 1999</b>						<b>4,243</b>		
2000	TJ 2000 000001	TJ 2000 004055	4,055	0%	Film			
2000	TJ 2000 090001	TJ 2000 090175	175	-8%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2000</b>						<b>4,230</b>		
2001	TJ 2001 000001	TJ 2001 004390	4,390	8%	Film			
2001	TJ 2001 090001	TJ 2001 090181	181	3%	SEA			
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2001</b>						<b>4,571</b>		


Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	TOTAL CASES	CASE STATUS
2002	TJ 2002 000001	TJ 2002 006170	6,170	41%	CSC		All Transcript of Judgment documents filed in 2002 and after are imaged, and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.
2002	TJ 2002 090001	TJ 2002 090207	207	14%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2002</b>						<b>6,377</b>	
2003	TJ 2003 000001	TJ 2003 006835	6,835	11%	CSC		
2003	TJ 2003 090001	TJ 2003 090158	158	-24%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2003</b>						<b>6,993</b>	
2004	TJ 2004 000001	TJ 2004 006198	6,198	-9%	CSC		
2004	TJ 2004 090001	TJ 2004 090147	147	-7%	SEA		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2004</b>						<b>6,345</b>	
2005	TJ 2005 000001	TJ 2005 006709	6,709	8%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2005</b>						<b>6,709</b>	
2006	TJ 2006 000001	TJ 2006 009940	9,940	48%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2006</b>						<b>9,940</b>	
2007	TJ 2007 000001	TJ 2007 011479	11,479	15%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2007</b>						<b>11,479</b>	
2008	TJ 2008 000001	TJ 2008 014736	14,736	28%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2008</b>						<b>14,736</b>	
2009	TJ 2009 000001	TJ 2009 020451	19,163	30%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2009</b>						<b>19,163</b>	
2010	TJ 2010 000001	TJ 2010 019022	19,022	-1%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2010</b>						<b>19,022</b>	
2011	TJ 2011 000001	TJ 2011 018654	18,654	-2%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2011</b>						<b>18,654</b>	
2012	TJ 2012 000001	TJ 2012 013544	13,544	-27%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2012</b>						<b>13,544</b>	
2013	TJ 2013 000001	TJ 2013 **012752	**11,260	-17%	CSC		**Please note the beginning and ending case numbers. Several blocks of case numbers were not issued for this category.
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2013</b>						<b>11,260</b>	
2014	TJ 2014 000001	TJ 2014 **010143	**9,261	-18%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2014</b>						<b>9,261</b>	
2015	TJ 2015 000001	TJ 2015 **008042	**7,280	-18%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2015</b>						<b>7,280</b>	
2016	TJ 2016 000001	TJ 2016 012250	§§ 4,526	-18%	CSC		§§ 2016-NOTE: Beginning and ending case numbers were provided by Superior Court/CTS.
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2016</b>						<b>4,526</b>	
2017	TJ 2017 000001	TJ 2017 006129	§§ 4,796	6%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2017</b>						<b>4,796</b>	
2018	TJ 2018 000001	TJ 2018 096249	§§ 4,370	-9%	CSC		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2018</b>						<b>4,370</b>	
2019	TJ 2019 000061	TJ 2019 055164	§§ 3,473	-21%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2019</b>						<b>3,473</b>	
2020	TJ 2020 000061	TJ 2020 003020	§§ 2,570	-26%	ALL		
<b>TOTAL TJ CASES 2020</b>						<b>2,570</b>	
<b>TOTAL TRANSCRIPT OF JUDGMENT/TRANSFER JUDGMENT CASES:</b>						<b>323,327</b>	Transcript of Judgment/Transfer Judgment index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.
<b>CASE HISTORY INDEX SUMMARY</b>							
Civil Actions filed through December 31, 2020.							1,697,115
Criminal Actions filed through December 31, 2020.							1,162,744
Domestic Relations Actions filed through December 31, 2020 (includes Divorce, Reciprocal Divorce, Domestic Relations, Family Court with Children and Family Court with No Children.)							1,420,735
Lower Court Appeal, Lower Court Assessment, Mental Health, Mental SV, Probate, Small Tax, Search Warrant, Tax Appeal, and Transcript of Judgment/Transfer Judgment Actions filed through December 31, 2020.							1,198,821
Total Actions filed from 1871 through 2020. This number includes all categories of cases in the Case History Index filed through December 31, 2020, with the exception of Juvenile Cases, the Water Case and Marriage Licenses.							5,479,415

### Civil Cases Filed (1871-1950)



\*Courtesy of Maricopa County Clerk of the Superior Court


### Civil Cases Filed (1951-1999)



*\*Courtesy of Maricopa County Clerk of Superior Court*




### Civil Cases Filed (2000-Present)




*\*Courtesy of Maricopa County Clerk of Superior Court*

### Criminal Cases Filed (1879-1950)




*\*Courtesy of Maricopa County Clerk of the Superior Court*

### **Criminal Cases Filed (1951-1999)**




*\*Courtesy of Maricopa County Clerk of Superior Court*

### **Criminal Cases Filed (2000-Present)**




*\*Courtesy of Maricopa County Clerk of Superior Court*

### **Probate Cases Filed (1930-1999)**




*\*Courtesy of Maricopa County Clerk of Superior Court*

**Probate Cases Filed  
(2000-Present)**



*\*Courtesy of Maricopa County Clerk of Superior Court*

**Total Adult Cases Filed Through 2020**



*\*Courtesy of Maricopa County Clerk of Superior Court*

Year	Case Number	Number of New Volumes	Location	CASE STATUS
<b>WATER CASE</b>				
<b>ARIZONA GENERAL STREAM ADJUDICATION</b>				
1974	W1, W2, W3, W4 (Consolidated) 154 volumes; active*	1	CSC	In re the General Adjudication of All rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source (Main Case)
1991	W1-200 9 volumes; inactive	1	CSC	Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Water Rights Settlement
1993	W1-201 6 volumes; inactive	1	CSC	Fort McDowell Indian Community Water Rights Settlement
1993	W1 11-00001 W1 11-03453 active*	3,453	CSC	San Pedro River Watershed Contested Cases
1995	W1-100 29 volumes; inactive	1	CSC	In re Special Action, HB 2276
1995	W1-202 3 volumes; inactive	1	CSC	Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe Water Rights Settlement
1996	W1-203 61 volumes; active *	1	CSC	In re the Water Rights of the Gila River Indian Community
1997	W1-101 1 volume, inactive	1	CSC	In re Application of Phelps Dodge Corporation for Preliminary Injunction
1998	W1-102 5 volumes; Inactive	1	CSC	Trimble v. Chattman
1999	W1-204 21 volumes, inactive	1	CSC	In re Proposed San Carlos Apache Tribe Water Rights Settlement
1999	W1-205 2 volumes; active*	1	CSC	Roosevelt Settlement Case (Parties: U.S. Dept of the Interior, Gila River Indian Community and Roosevelt Water Conservation District). No further case name has been assigned.
2000	W1-206 20 volumes; active*	1	CSC	In re the Preclusive Effect of the Globe Equity No. 59 Decree on Specified Parties.
2003	W1-103 7 volumes; active*	1	CSC	In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed
2004	0 Volume Active	0	CSC	
2005	W-104 2 Volumes Active	2	CSC	
2006	W-104 13 Volumes Active	11	CSC	
2007	W-104 14 Volumes Active	1	CSC	
2008	W-104 17 Volumes Active	3	CSC	
2009	W-104 18 Volumes Active	1	CSC	
2010	W-104 19 Volumes Active	1	CSC	





MARRIAGE LICENSE						
1979	79 M42323	79 M56961	14,638	0%	Film	14,638
1980	80 M00001	80 M16213	16,213	11%	Film	16,213
1981	81 M00001	81 M16869	16,869	4%	Film	16,869
1982	82 M00001	82 M17556	17,556	4%	Film	17,556
1983	83 M00001	83 M17228	17,228	-2%	Film	17,228
1984	84 M00001	84 M19409	19,409	13%	Film	19,409
1985	85 M00001	85 M20970	20,970	8%	Film	20,970
1986	86 M00001	86 M21146	21,146	1%	Film	21,146
1987	87 M00001	87 M21003	21,003	-1%	Film	21,003
1988	88 M00001	88 M20600	20,600	-2%	Film	20,600
1989	89 M00001	89 M20191	20,191	-2%	Film	20,191
1990	90 M00001	90 M21331	21,331	6%	Film	21,331
1991	91 M00001	91 M21472	21,472	1%	Film	21,472
1992	92 M00001	92 M19394	19,394	-10%	Film	19,394
1993	93 M00001	93 M19988	19,988	3%	Film	19,988
1994	94 M00001	94 M20991	20,991	5%	Film	20,991
1995	95 M00001	95 M21112	21,112	1%	Film	21,112
1996	96 M00001	96 M22018	22,018	4%	Film	22,018
1997	97 M00001	97 M22499	22,499	2%	Film	22,499
1998	98 M00001	235426*	20,993	-7%	Film	20,993
1999	126006*	261650*	22,759	8%	Film	22,759
2000	46426*	285765*	22,030	-3%	Film	22,030
2001	10472*	313179*	24,288	10%	Film	24,288
2002	45739*	339449*	21,768	-10%	OnBase	21,768
2003	11059*	360319*	21,469	-1%	OnBase	21,469
2004	7405	777408	22,728	6%	OnBase	22,728
2005	1003*	412459*	23,360	3%	OnBase	23,360
2006	60289*	4196691*	24,381	4%	OnBase	24,381
2007	82599*	476782*	23,628	-3%	OnBase	23,628
2008	48742*	498853*	23,180	-2%	OnBase	23,180
2009	122657*	580975*	21,523	-7%	OnBase	21,523
2010	14563*	588301*	23,128	7%	OnBase	23,128
2011	175529*	588146*	22,742	-2%	OnBase	22,742
2012	514879*	580025*	25,115	10%	OnBase	25,115
2013	112524*	580089*	24,093	-4%	OnBase	24,093
2014	130249*	650534*	24,404	1%	OnBase	24,404
2015	149617*	691864*	25,451	4%	OnBase	25,451
2016	126133*	707920*	26,828	5%	OnBase	26,828
2017	203215	737368	26,619	-1%	OnBase	26,619
2018	214484*	764541*	25,162	-5%	OnBase	25,162
2019	218828*	789958*	24,954	-1%	OnBase	24,954
2020	714559*	826021*	23,039	-8%	OnBase	23,039
<b>TOTAL MARRIAGE LICENSES</b>						<b>918,270</b>

All licenses since 1884 are on film except for the most current months. Check the Marriage License Abstract System, CARS, or call CSC at (602) 506-5950 for current information.

If a 1980 marriage license appears to be missing, refer to the 1980 Marriage License attachment to this index for possible explanation and list of names.

Since 1990 all marriage licenses have been added to the CARS System.

All marriage licenses from 2002 forward have been imaged and can be retrieved within the Clerk's Office using the OnBase document retrieval application.

Marriage License index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.

\*The final recorded number for marriage licenses under the Book and Page System is 98M15873. Beginning in October 1998, the Book and Page System of recording licenses was replaced with the Marriage License Abstract System, now known as ML2000, in which each license is recorded by its six digit identification number. Licenses are filmed monthly in numerical but not consecutive order; therefore, an exact count of licenses recorded after September 1998 is not the difference between the beginning and ending case numbers. Rather, it is reflected by the total number of entries recorded in the ML2000 database. The beginning number is not necessarily the first number issued for that year; the numbers posted are the first and last number listed on that specific yearly report.

From 1871 through 1978, licenses were recorded in Docket Books. A marriage license number for that period consists of the docket book number and the page on which it was recorded, e.g., Book 10 Page 1234. Beginning in 1979, licenses were no longer recorded in Docket Books. The book number was changed to identify the year the license was recorded and the page number was changed to reflect the consecutive number given to the marriage license, e.g., 7900001. In 1980 an "M" was added to the number to distinguish it from other categories with the same numeric format, e.g., 80M00001.

All marriage affidavits from 1884 through 1983 are on film, in alphabetical order by female maiden name. This includes affidavits for licenses which were returned and recorded, as well as for those which were unused and never recorded. Affidavits from 1984 to present are filmed with the corresponding recorded certificates or licenses. Unused affidavits from 1984 to present are filmed on a separate roll, alphabetically by male surname.

The Clerk of Superior Court authorized the Litchfield Park City Court Clerk to issue marriage licenses on July 25, 2004. The Litchfield Park City Court Clerk's Office received marriage licenses in November 2004, numbers 383301-383382 and again in April 2006, numbers 415273-415372 for issuance. Of the total number of marriage licenses received by the Litchfield Park City Court Clerk (182 marriage licenses), 82 licenses were issued and 57 licenses have been recorded. As of April 13, 2006, the Litchfield Park City Court Clerk stopped issuing marriage licenses.

Per A.R.S. 25-127, the Clerk of Superior Court authorized the Youngtown City Court Clerk to issue marriage licenses on October 15, 2008.

The Federal Court ruled that Arizona's constitutional and statutory definitions of marriage as one man and one woman is unconstitutional under the United States Constitution. Effective October 17, 2014, Clerks of Superior Court around the state began issuing marriage licenses regardless of the applicants' gender.

The Juvenile Records section that follows appeared in the Case History Index for the first time with the 2003 edition. While Juvenile Adoption records information have been indexed back to 1934, all other categories have been indexed only back to 1968. Records for Juvenile case categories prior to 1988 are currently on microfilm. The Clerk's Office intends to add more index information on records prior to 1988 to forthcoming editions of the Case History Index.

All requests for access to juvenile records must go through the Juvenile Division of the Clerk of the Court for the reason that most juvenile records, files, exhibits and transcripts are confidential. Only the delinquency legal file of a juvenile born after January 1, 1977 is open to public inspection.

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS
<b>ADULT ADOPTIONS UNDER TITLE 8</b>							
1988	AA 1	AA 2	2	0%	Film	2	Pursuant to statutory changes in 1993, Adult Adoptions were transferred from Title 8 to Title 14 and heard in Probate Court beginning in 1994.
1989	AA 3	AA 14	12	500%	Film	12	
1990	AA 15	AA 23	9	-25%	Film	9	
1991	AA 24	AA 26	3	-67%	Film	3	
1992	AA 27	AA 30	4	33%	Film	4	
1993	AA 31	AA 39	9	125%	Film	9	

**TOTAL ADULT ADOPTIONS** 108  
All existing Adult Adoption cases, including Southeast cases AA 500000 to AA 500068 are available on microfilm.

<b>ADOPTION CERTIFICATIONS</b>							
1988							AC's are Adoption Certifications. All persons who want to adopt a child must first be certified suitable to adopt by the Court.
1989							
1990							
1991							AC 1 through AC 11 were used in the 1970s and are available on microfilm.
1992							
1993							
1994	AC 12	AC 414	403	0%	FT2	403	
1995	AC 415	AC 886	472	17%	FT2	472	
1996	AC 887	AC 1601	715	51%	FT2	715	
1997	AC 1602	AC 2269	668	-7%	FT2	668	
1998	AC 2270	AC 2840	571	-15%	FT2	571	
1999	AC 2841	AC 3459	619	8%	JCC-SE	619	
2000	AC 3460	AC 4074	615	-1%	JCC-SE	615	
2001	AC 4075	AC 4645	571	-7%	JCC-SE	571	Until June 12, 1995, AC500000 numbers were assigned to Southeast cases only. However, when the Court assigned one judicial officer to handle all ACs, a decision was made to go to one numbering system and locate all AC files at the same facility as the judicial officer. Therefore, AC501025 was the last in the 500000 series assigned.
2002	AC 4646	AC 5230	585	2%	JCC-SE	585	
2003	AC 5231	AC 5887	657	12%	JCC-SE	657	
2004	AC 5888	AC 6622	735	12%	JCC-SE	735	
2005	AC 6623	AC 7487	865	18%	JCC-SE	865	
2006	AC 7488	AC 8400	912	5%	JCC-SE	912	
2007	AC 8400	AC 9226	826	-9%	JCC-SE	826	
2008	AC 9227	AC 9949	723	-12%	JCC-SE	723	
2009	AC 9950	AC 10635	686	-5%	JCC-SE	686	
2010	AC 10636	AC 11412	777	13%	JCC-SE	777	
2011	AC 11413	AC 12101	688	-11%	JCC-SE	688	AC cases through 1993 are on microfilm located at JCC/SEF.
2012	AC 12102	AC 12845	744	8%	JCC-SE	744	
2013	AC 12846	AC 13659	811	9%	JCC-SE	811	
2014	AC 13660	AC 14517	883	9%	JCC-DF	883	
2015	AC 14518	AC 15395	873	-1%	JCC-DF	873	
2016	AC 15396	AC 16113	718	-18%	JCC-DF	718	
2017	AC 16115	AC 16672	569	-21%	JCC-DF	569	
2018	AC 16673	AC 17120	451	-21%	JCC-DF	451	
2019	AC 17121	AC 17608	488	8%	JCC-DF	488	
2020	AC 17609	AC 18060	452	-7%	JCC-DF	452	

**TOTAL ADOPTION CERTIFICATIONS** 18,077

Total Adoption Certifications index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.

<b>ADOPTION CASES (JA)</b>							
1934	A 1	A 38	38	0%	Film	38	JA cases are Juvenile Adoptions, in which parties have filed for the adoption of minor children.
1935	A 39	A 80	42	11%	Film	42	
1936	A 81	A 146	66	57%	Film	66	
1937	A 147	A 204	58	-12%	Film	58	
1938	A 205	A 265	61	5%	Film	61	
1939	A 266	A 331	66	8%	Film	66	
1940	A 332	A 414	83	26%	Film	83	Adoption cases through JA 33626 are on microfilm rolls 1 through 242. Due to an error in numbering, rolls 5 and 6 do not exist.
1941	A 415	A 463	49	-41%	Film	49	
1942	A 464	A 567	104	112%	Film	104	

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS
1943	A 568	A 712	145	39%	Film	145	
1944	A 713	A 896	184	27%	Film	184	
1945	A 897	A 1090	194	5%	Film	194	
1946	A 1091	A 1312	222	14%	Film	222	
1947	A 1313	A 1533	221	0%	Film	221	
1948	A 1534	A 1785	252	14%	Film	252	
1949	A 1786	A 2022	237	-6%	Film	237	
1950	A 2023	A 2299	277	17%	Film	277	
1951	A 2300	A 2576	277	0%	Film	277	
1952	A 2577	A 2929	353	27%	Film	353	
1953	A 2930	A 3284	355	1%	Film	355	
1954	A 3285	A 3647	363	2%	Film	363	
1955	A 3648	A 4017	370	2%	Film	370	
1956	A 4018	A 4424	407	10%	Film	407	
1957	A 4425	A 4879	455	12%	Film	455	
1958	A 4880	A 5457	578	27%	Film	578	
1959	A 5458	A 6167	710	23%	Film	710	
1960	A 6168	A 6911	744	5%	Film	744	
1961	A 6912	A 7737	826	11%	Film	826	
1962	A 7738	A 8609	872	6%	Film	872	
1963	A 8610	A 9584	975	12%	Film	975	
1964	A 9586	A 10575	990	2%	Film	990	
1965	A 10576	A 11643	1,068	8%	Film	1,068	
1966	A 11644	A 12727	1,084	1%	Film	1,084	
1967	A 12728	A 13865	1,138	5%	Film	1,138	
1968	A 13866	A 15072	1,207	6%	Film	1,207	
1969	A 15073	A 16334	1,262	5%	Film	1,262	
1970	A 16335	A 17398	1,064	-16%	Film	1,064	
1971	A 17399	A 18376	978	-8%	Film	978	
1972	A 18377	A 19287	911	-7%	Film	911	
1973	A 19288	A 20196	909	0%	Film	909	
1974	A 20197	A 21153	957	5%	Film	957	
1975	A 21154	A 21999	846	-12%	Film	846	
1976	A 22000	A 22848	849	0%	Film	849	
1977	A 22849	A 23623	775	-9%	Film	775	
1978	A 23624	A 24480	857	11%	Film	857	
1979	A 24481	A 25456	976	14%	Film	976	
1980	A 25457	A 26371	915	-6%	Film	915	
1981	A 26372	A 27320	949	4%	Film	949	
1982	A 27321	A 28305	985	4%	Film	985	
1983	A 28306	A 29179	874	-11%	Film	874	
1984	A 29180	A 30032	853	-2%	Film	853	
1985	A 30033	A 30950	918	8%	Film	918	
1986	A 30951	A 31859	909	-1%	Film	909	
1987	A 31860	A 32451	592	-35%	Film	592	
1988	JA 32452	JA 33028	577	-3%	Film	577	
1988	JA 500334	JA 500649	316		Film	316	
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 1988</b>						<b>893</b>	
1989	JA 33029	JA 33578	550	-5%	Film		
1989	JA 500650	JA 500951	302	-4%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 1989</b>						<b>852</b>	
1990	JA 33579	JA 34120	542	-1%	FT2		
1990	JA 500952	JA 501299	348	15%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 1990</b>						<b>890</b>	
1991	JA 34121	JA 34178	58	-89%	FT2		
1991	JA 501300	JA 502140	841	142%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 1991</b>						<b>899</b>	
1992	JA 34179	JA 34413	235	305%	FT2		
1992	JA 502141	JA 502867	727	-14%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 1992</b>						<b>962</b>	
1993	JA 34414	JA 34696	283	20%	FT2		
1993	JA 502868	JA 503365	498	-31%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 1993</b>						<b>781</b>	
1994	JA 34697	JA 34939	243	-14%	FT2		
1994	JA 503366	JA 503759	394	-21%	Film		
<b>TOTAL CASES 1994</b>						<b>637</b>	
1995	JA 34940	JA 35477	538	121%	FT2		
1995	JA 503760	JA 503937	178	-55%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 1995</b>						<b>716</b>	
1996	JA 35478	JA 36170	693	29%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 1996</b>						<b>693</b>	

The case prefix A was used to designate juvenile adoptions prior to 1988. Since 1988, the JA prefix has been used.

Southeast JA cases through JA 504198 are on microfilm located at JCC-SE.

There are no JA cases from the Southeast facility from 1996 through 1998. All adoptions were heard at the Juvenile Durango facility during those years.

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS
1997	JA 36171	JA 36839	669	-3%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 1997</b>						<b>669</b>	
1998	JA 36840	JA 37706	867	30%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 1998</b>						<b>867</b>	
1999	JA 37369	JA 38075	707	-18%	FT2		
1999	JA 503938	JA 504452	515	0%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 1999</b>						<b>1,222</b>	
2000	JA 38076	JA 38475	400	-43%	FT2		
2000	JA 504453	JA 504978	526	2%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2000</b>						<b>926</b>	
2001	JA 38476	JA 38793	318	-21%	FT2		
2001	JA 504979	JA 505491	513	-2%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2001</b>						<b>831</b>	
2002	JA 38794	JA 39248	455	43%	JCC-DF		
2002	JA 504492	JA 505589	1,098	114%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2002</b>						<b>1,553</b>	
2003	JA 39249	JA 39550	301	-34%	JCC-DF		
2003	JA 505590	JA 506561	971	-12%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2003</b>						<b>1,272</b>	
2004	JA 39551	JA 39945	394	31%	JCC-DF		
2004	JA 506561	JA 507111	550	-43%	JCC-SE		Case numbers 507062-507089 not used.
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2004</b>						<b>944</b>	Case numbers 400026 - 40039 not used.
2005	JA 39946	JA 40459	514	30%	JCC-DF		
2005	JA 507112	JA 507675	564	3%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2005</b>						<b>1,078</b>	
2006	JA 40460	JA 41011	552	7%	JCC-DF		
2006	JA 507676	JA 508325	650	15%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2006</b>						<b>1,202</b>	
2007	JA 41012	JA 41590	579	5%	JCC-DF		
2007	JA 508326	JA 509030	704	8%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2007</b>						<b>1,283</b>	
2008	JA 41591	JA 42194	604	4%	JCC-DF		
2008	JA 509031	JA 509614	584	-17%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2008</b>						<b>1,188</b>	
2009	JA 42195	JA 42851	632	5%	JCC-DF		JA42631 through and including JA42659; JA510032 through and including JA510039 were not used.
2009	JA 509615	JA 510232	611	5%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2009</b>						<b>1,243</b>	
2010	JA 42852	JA 43591	731	16%	JCC-DF		2010-42865, 43441-43449 were not issued.
2010	JA 42631	JA 42658	28				2010-these numbers were created in 2009 but not used.
2010	JA 510233	JA 510890	652	7%	JCC-SE		2010-510764-510769 were not issued.
2010	JA 510032	JA 510039	8		JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2010</b>						<b>1,419</b>	Correction to 2009 entry: JA42659 was issued in 2009.
2011	JA 43592	JA 44300	709	9%	JCC-DF		2011-JA 44301-44310 not issued.
2011	JA 44310	JA 44312	3				2011-511508-511519 not issued.
2011	JA 510891	JA 511571	669	3%	JCC-SE		Correction to 2010: JA 43663 was issued in 2010.
2011	JA 510764	JA 510769	5		JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2011</b>						<b>1,386</b>	
2012	JA 44301	JA 45057	715	7%	JCC-DF		2012-JA45011-JA45039 sent to JCC-SE; JA45026-45039 not used; JA512183-512185 were not used; JA512186 was issued but not used.
2012	JA 511572	JA 512228	654	-2%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2012</b>						<b>1,369</b>	
2013	JA 45058	JA 47685	824	15%	JCC-DF		2013: **JCC-SE-Paper records from January through June 2013 could not be located; unable to compute cumulative total. JCC-DF--JA 547200-547299 issued at Durango.
2013	JA 512229	JA 547550	**		JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2013</b>						<b>824</b>	
2014	JA 47686	JA 49158	942	14%	JCC-DF		2014: JCC-DF: 48580 issued in error, 48893 dead number; JCC-SE: JA547776-JA547899 issued to Durango.
2014	JA 547551	JA 548330	657	0%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2014</b>						<b>1,599</b>	
2015	JA 49159	JA 50238	1,082	15%	JCC-DF		2015: JCC-DF: JA49836 not used; JCC-SE: 548400-548599 issued to Durango, 548999 not used, 549073-549173 issued to Durango.
2015	JA 548331	JA 549290	660	0%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2015</b>						<b>1,742</b>	
2016	JA 50239	JA 51762	1,329	23%	JCC-DF		2016-SEJ: 549400-549500 issued to Durango; 549605-549705 issued to Durango; 549845-549945 issued to Durango; 550080-550180 issued to Durango; 550300-550399 issued to Durango. DUR: 51004-not used.
2016	JA 549291	JA 550462	661	0%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2016</b>						<b>1,990</b>	
2017	JA 51763	JA 53302	1,540	16%	JCC-DF		
2017	JA 550463	JA 551635	657	-1%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2017</b>						<b>2,197</b>	

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS	
2018	JA 53303	JA 54691	1,162	-25%	JCC-DF		<p><b>2018-SEJ:</b> JA551675-JA551775 DUR Block; JA551801 &amp; JA551489 not used; JA551905-JA552005 DUR Block; JA552162-JA552262 DUR Block; JA552383-JA552483 DUR Block; JA552591 not used; JA552635-JA552735 DUR Block; <b>DUR:</b> 53650-53750 SEJ Block; 54401-54501 SEJ Block</p> <p><b>2019: JCC-DF:</b> JA54970-55070 block to SE, JA55270-55370 block to SE, JA55625-55725 block to SE; <b>JCC-SE:</b> JA552869 issued in Jan, JA552900-553000 block to DUR, JA553121 not used, JA553183-553283 block to DUR, JA553353 &amp; JA553363 dead numbers, JA553494-553594 block to DUR.</p> <p><b>2020: JCC-DF:</b> JA56101-56116 new block to SEJ; JA56416-56516 new block to SEJ; JA56815-56915 new lock to SEJ; <b>JCC-SEJ:</b> JA 553713 dead number, JA553771-JA553871 DUR block, JA553888 dead number, JA554327-JA554427 DUR block, JA554494 dead number</p> <p>Juvenile Adoption index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.</p>	
2018	JA 551636	JA 552739	595	-9%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2018</b>						<b>1,757</b>		
2019	JA 54692	JA 55882	887	-24%	JCC-DF			
2019	JA 552740	JA 553676	631	6%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2019</b>						<b>1,518</b>		
2020	JA 55833	JA 56995	859	-3%	JCC-DF			
2020	JA 553677	JA 554533	551	-13%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JA CASES 2020</b>						<b>1,410</b>		
<b>TOTAL JA CASES</b>						<b>72,155</b>		
<b>JUVENILE DEPENDENCY (JD)</b>								
1988	JD 4697	JD 5105	409	0%	FT2			<p>Juvenile Dependencies are cases in which a child has no parent or guardian willing or able to care for them usually due to abuse, neglect or abandonment and is dependent upon the State.</p> <p>The case prefix J was used for both Juvenile Delinquencies and Juvenile Dependencies prior to 1978. JD has been used for Juvenile Dependencies since August 1, 1978.</p> <p>Cases between JD 500000 through JD 503670 where the petition has been dismissed as to all juveniles are considered inactive, and are available on microfilm. Active cases between these numbers that are not on microfilm are also located at the Juvenile Southeast facility.</p> <p>JD cases within the range of J 00001 to JD 11162 where the petition has been dismissed as to all juveniles are on film at the Juvenile Durango facility. All active cases between these numbers that are not on microfilm are also located at the Juvenile Durango facility. Inactive numbers are located at CSC.</p>
1988	JD 500125	JD 500313	189	0%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1988</b>						<b>598</b>		
1989	JD 5106	JD 5590	485	19%	FT2			
1989	JD 500314	JD 500427	114	-40%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1989</b>						<b>599</b>		
1990	JD 5591	JD 6000	410	-15%	FT2			
1990	JD 500428	JD 500544	117	3%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1990</b>						<b>527</b>		
1991	JD 6001	JD 6359	359	-12%	FT2			
1991	JD 500545	JD 500639	95	-19%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1991</b>						<b>454</b>		
1992	JD 6360	JD 6700	341	-5%	FT2			
1992	JD 500640	JD 500766	127	34%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1992</b>						<b>468</b>		
1993	JD 6701	JD 7050	350	3%	FT2			
1993	JD 500767	JD 500979	213	68%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1993</b>						<b>563</b>		
1994	JD 7051	JD 7541	491	40%	FT2			
1994	JD 500980	JD 501262	283	33%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1994</b>						<b>774</b>		
1995	JD 7542	JD 8113	572	16%	FT2			
1995	JD 501263	JD 501614	352	24%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1995</b>						<b>924</b>		
1996	JD 8114	JD 8682	569	-1%	FT2			
1996	JD 501615	JD 501980	366	4%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1996</b>						<b>935</b>		
1997	JD 8683	JD 9403	721	27%	FT2			
1997	JD 501981	JD 502419	439	20%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1997</b>						<b>1,160</b>		
1998	JD 9404	JD 10115	712	-1%	FT2			
1998	JD 502420	JD 502862	443	1%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1998</b>						<b>1,155</b>		
1999	JD 10116	JD 10617	502	-29%	FT2			
1999	JD 502863	JD 503272	410	-7%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 1999</b>						<b>912</b>		
2000	JD 10618	JD 11156	539	7%	FT2			
2000	JD 503273	JD 503563	291	-29%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2000</b>						<b>830</b>		
2001	JD 11157	JD 11665	509	-6%	FT2			
2001	JD 503564	JD 503843	280	-4%	FT2			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2001</b>						<b>789</b>		
2002	JD 11666	JD 12187	522	3%	JCC-DF			
2002	JD 503844	JD 504251	408	46%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2002</b>						<b>930</b>		

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS
2003	JD 12188	JD 12920	732	40%	JCC-DF		
2003	JD 504252	JD 504823	572	40%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2003</b>						<b>1,304</b>	
2004	JD 12921	JD 13697	776	6%	JCC-DF		
2004	JD 504824	JD 505423	600	5%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2004</b>						<b>1,376</b>	
2005	JD 13698	JD 14540	843	9%	JCC-DF		
2005	JD 505424	JD 506046	623	4%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2005</b>						<b>1,466</b>	
2006	JD 14541	JD 15422	882	5%	JCC-DF		
2006	JD 506047	JD 506570	523	-16%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2006</b>						<b>1,405</b>	
2007	JD 15423	JD 16337	915	4%	JCC-DF		
2007	JD 506571	JD 507085	515	-2%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2007</b>						<b>1,430</b>	
2008	JD 16338	JD 17634	1,297	42%	JCC-DF		
2008	JD 507086	JD 507684	599	16%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2008</b>						<b>1,896</b>	
2009	JD 17635	JD 18735	1,097	-15%	JCC-DF		JD18126 through and including JD18128; JD508132 through and including JD508142 were not used.
2009	JD 507685	JD 508305	611	2%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2009</b>						<b>1,708</b>	
2010	JD 18736	JD 19872	1,098	0%	JCC-DF		2010-18926,18991-1900,19241-19270,19867 were not issued.
2010	JD 18126	JD 18128	3		JCC-DF		2010-508479,508701,508856-508859 were not issued.
2010	JD 508306	JD 509027	712	17%	JCC-SE		2010-these numbers were created in 2009 but not used.
2010	JD 508132	JD 508142	11		JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2010</b>						<b>1,824</b>	
2011	JD 19876	JD 21242	1,377	25%	JCC-DF		2011-509170-509179 were not issued.
2011	JD 19241	JD 19270	30		JCC-DF		2011-20482-20485 were not issued.
2011	JD 18991	JD 19000	10		JCC-DF		Correction to 2010: 19867 issued in 2010
2011	JD 509028	JD 509810	773	9%	JCC-SE		
2011	JD 508856	JD 508859	4		JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2011</b>						<b>2,194</b>	
2012	JD 21243	JD 23050	1,807	31%	JCC-DF		2012-JD218870 not used; JD510215 was issued but not used.
2012	JD 509811	JD 510693	883	14%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2012</b>						<b>2,690</b>	
2013	JD 23051	JD 27606	1,872	4%	JCC-DF		2013: JCC-DF--JD 23551 not used-system error, JD23766 was issued but not used, JD24316-JD26999 not used due to ECR; JCC-SE--JD510730-510739 issued at Durango, JD510901-510916 issued at Durango, JD510917-JD510919 not used, JD510962 and JD527115 no used, JD511311 last number used before ECR, JD527000 first number used for ECR, JD538000-JD538027 used at Durango.
2013	JD 510694	JD 527292	400	-55%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2013</b>						<b>2,272</b>	
2014	JD 27607	JD 29704	2,102	12%	JCC-DF		2014: JCC-DF-28904 issued in error; JCC-SE-527928 dead number.
2014	JD 527293	JD 528373	1,079	170%	JCC-SE		2015: JCC-SE: 528520 was issued but not logged, 528662-dead case number, 528857-dead case number, 529151-dead case number, 529268-dead case number.
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2014</b>						<b>3,181</b>	
2015	JD 29705	JD 31885	2,181	4%	JCC-DF		2016-JCC-DF: 32885 (not used) and 33507 (dead number).
2015	JD 528374	JD 529590	1,213	12%	JCC-SE		2018-JCC-SE: JD531577 Dead Number; JD531924 Dead Number; JD531977, JD531978 not used; JD537047 used out of sequence, JD532017 not used.
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2015</b>						<b>3,394</b>	
2016	JD 31886	JD 33618	1,732	-21%	JCC-DF		2019: JCC-SE: JD532221 dead number, JD532326 used in Mar out of sequence, JD532578 not used, JD532781 dead number, JD532830 & JD532845 dead numbers, JD532923 dead number, JD553033 dead number-initiated in error.
2016	JD 529591	JD 530552	941	-22%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2016</b>						<b>2,673</b>	
2017	JD 33621	JD 35265	1,645	-5%	JCC-DF		2020:JCC-DF: 39368 dead number; JCC-SEJ: JD533090, JD533094 dead numbers; JD533814, JD533836, JD533838, JD533839, JD533848 dead numbers.
2017	JD 530553	JD 531362	809	-14%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2017</b>						<b>2,454</b>	
2018	JD 35266	JD 36840	1,575	-4%	JCC-DF		Juvenile Dependency index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.
2018	JD 531363	JD 532199	832	3%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2018</b>						<b>2,407</b>	
2019	JD 36841	JD 38879	1,534	-3%	JCC-DF		
2019	JD 532200	JD 533069	865	4%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2019</b>						<b>2,399</b>	
2020	JD 38880	JD 40372	1,502	-2%	JCC-DF		
2020	JD 533070	JD 533867	791	-9%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JD CASES 2020</b>						<b>2,293</b>	
<b>TOTAL JD CASES</b>						<b>49,984</b>	

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS
<b>JUVENILE EMANCIPATION (JE)</b>							
2005	JE1	JE7	8	0%	JCC-DF		This category began 8-12-05.
2005	JE 50000	JE 50002	3	0%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2005</b>						<b>11</b>	
2006	JE 8	JE 28	21	163%	JCC-DF		
2006	JE 50003	500016	14	367%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2006</b>						<b>35</b>	
2007	JE 22	JE 47	26	24%	JCC-DF		
2007	JE 500017	JE 500032	17	21%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2007</b>						<b>43</b>	
2008	JE 48	JE 78	31	19%	JCC-DF		
2008	JE 500033	JE 500056	24	41%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2008</b>						<b>55</b>	
2009	JE 79	JE 86	8	-74%	JCC-DF		
2009	JE 500057	JE 500067	11	-54%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2009</b>						<b>19</b>	
2010	JE 87	JE 97	11	38%	JCC-DF		
2010	JE 500068	JE 500071	4	-64%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2010</b>						<b>15</b>	
2011	JE 98	JE 108	11	0%	JCC-DF		
2011	JE 500072	JE 500080	9	125%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2011</b>						<b>20</b>	
2012	JE 109	JE 122	14	27%	JCC-DF		
2012	JE 500081	JE 500086	6	-33%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2012</b>						<b>20</b>	
2013	JE 123	JE 703	8	-43%	JCC-DF		2013: JCC-DF--JE127-JE699 not used due to ECR, ECR case number started with JE700; JCC-SE--JE500092-JE5000699 were not issued.
2013	JE 500087	JE 500706	10	67%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2013</b>						<b>18</b>	
2014	JE 704	JE 714	11	38%	JCC-DF		
2014	JE 500707	JE 500713	7	-30%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2014</b>						<b>18</b>	
2015	JE 715	JE 720	6	-45%	JCC-DF		
2015	JE 500714	JE 500721	8	14%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2015</b>						<b>14</b>	
2016	JE 721	JE 733	13	117%	JCC-DF		
2016	JE 500722	JE 500729	8	0%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2016</b>						<b>21</b>	
2017	JE 734	JE 739	6	-54%	JCC-DF		
2017	JE 500761	JE 500766	6	-25%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2017</b>						<b>12</b>	
2018	JE 740	JE 746	7	17%	JCC-DF		2018-JCC-DF; No numbers issued in Jan, Apr, May, Jun, Sep, & Dec 2018.
2018	JE 500767	JE 500775	8	33%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2018</b>						<b>15</b>	
2019	JE 747	JE 754	8	14%	JCC-DF		
2019	JE 500775	JE 500781	8	0%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2019</b>						<b>16</b>	
2020	JE 755	JE 763	9	13%	JCC-DF		
2020	JE 500783	JE 500789	7	-13%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JE CASES 2020</b>						<b>16</b>	
<b>TOTAL JE CASES</b>						<b>348</b>	Juvenile Emancipation index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.
<b>JUVENILE GUARDIANSHIP OF MINORS (JG)</b>							
2004	JG1	JG78	79	0%	JCC-DF		Guardianship of a minor was transferred to Juvenile Court effective 11-18-04.
2004	JG 500000	JG 500093	94	0%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2004</b>						<b>173</b>	
2005	JG79	JG725	647	719%	JCC-DF		
2005	JG 500094	JG 500618	525	459%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2005</b>						<b>1,172</b>	
2006	JG726	JG1453	728	13%	JCC-DF		
2006	JG 500619	JG 501232	614	17%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2006</b>						<b>1,342</b>	
2007	JG 1454	JG 2233	780	7%	JCC-DF		
2007	JG 501233	JG 501839	607	-1%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2007</b>						<b>1,387</b>	
2008	JG 2234	JG 2966	733	-6%	JCC-DF		
2008	JG 501840	JG 502419	580	-4%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2008</b>						<b>1,313</b>	
2009	JG 2967	JG 3663	678	-8%	JCC-DF		JG502911, 502912 and 502913 were issued; JG3374 through and including JG3392 were not used.
2009	JG 502420	JG 502901	485	-16%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2009</b>						<b>1,163</b>	
2010	JG 3664	JG 4303	623	-8%	JCC-DF		
2010	JG 3375	JG 3390	16				Originally issued in 2009/used in 2010



Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS
2010	JG 502902	JG 503402	479	-1%	JCC-SE		2010: Not issued: JG502953, JG503350-503359 and 503362; issued in 2009: JG3374, JG502911, 502912, and 502913
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2010</b>						<b>1,118</b>	
2011	JG 4309	JG 5042	734	18%	JCC-DF		2011-4688 not issued; 503888-503900, 503911 not issued <b>Correction to 2010:</b> 4304-4308 were used in 2010.
2011	JG 3391	JG 3392	2				
2011	JG 4004	JG 4018	15				
2011	JG 503403	JG 503912	497	4%	JCC-SE		
2011	JG 503350	JG 503359	10		JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2011</b>						<b>1,258</b>	
2012	JG 5043	JG 7266	864	18%	JCC-DF		2012-JG5639-JG6999 not used due to ECR; JG7100-JG7199 assigned for JCC-SE to use for Durango cases.
2012	JG 503913	JG 507071	437	-12%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2012</b>						<b>1,301</b>	
2013	JG 7267	JG 8078	812	-6%	JCC-DF		JG5033960-JG503979, JG504000-504019, JG504075-504089, JG504140-504159 used at Durango. 504279 is last paper filed used--as of 10/01/2012, ECR files started with JG507000.
2013	JG 503913	JG 507071	565	29%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2013</b>						<b>1,377</b>	
2014	JG 8079	JG 8942	864	6%	JCC-DF		
2014	JG 507639	JG 508320	578	2%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2014</b>						<b>1,442</b>	
2015	JG 8943	JG 9906	964	12%	JCC-DF		2013: JCC-SE--JG507100-JG507799 issued at Durango, JG507406 not issued, JG507400-507500 issued at Durango, JG507501 not issued.
2015	JG 508321	JG 509014	493	-15%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2015</b>						<b>1,457</b>	
2016	JG 9907	JG 10852	946	-2%	JCC-DF		2014: JCC-SE--JG507859 dead number, JG507983 dead number, JG508136 dead number, JG508024-JG508124 issued to Durango.
2016	JG 509015	JG 509719	490	-1%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2016</b>						<b>1,436</b>	
2017	JG 10853	JG 11781	928	-2%	JCC-DF		2015: JCC-DUR: JG9660 not used; JCC-SE: 508427-508526 issued to DUR; 508591 was issued, but not logged; 508668 dead case number; 508823-508923 issued to DUR.
2017	JG 509720	JG 510422	500	2%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2017</b>						<b>1,428</b>	
2018	JG 11782	JG 12670	889	-4%	JCC-DF		
2018	JG 510423	JG 510961	436	-13%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2018</b>						<b>1,325</b>	
2019	JG 12671	JG 13439	755	-15%	JCC-DF		2016: JCC-SE: 509173-509273, 509391 issued to Durango; 509493, 509682, 509717 not used.
2019	JG 510962	JG 511590	427	-2%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2019</b>						<b>1,182</b>	
2020	JG 13440	JG 13880	356	-53%	JCC-DF		2017: JCC-SE: JG 510999-JG511099 to DUR, JG511432-JG511532 to DUR, JG533014 dead number-issued in error.
2020	JG 511591	JG 511817	224	-48%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JG CASES 2020</b>						<b>580</b>	
<b>TOTAL JG CASES</b>						<b>20,454</b>	Juvenile Guardianship of Minors index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.

### JUVENILE INDIAN RELINQUISHMENTS (JI)

1988-1998	JJ 1	JJ 93	93		JCC-DF	<b>93</b>	JI cases deal with Indian children whose natural parents wish to relinquish their parental rights before the Court in order to free the children for adoption.
1999	JJ 94	JJ 95	2		JCC-DF	<b>2</b>	
2000	JJ 96	JJ 104	9	350%	JCC-DF	<b>9</b>	Southeast JI 500000 through JI 500007 are unavailable on microfilm.
2001	JJ 105	JJ 113	9	0%	JCC-DF	<b>9</b>	
2002	JJ 114	JJ 127	14	56%	JCC-DF	<b>14</b>	
2003	JJ 128	JJ 136	9	-36%	JCC-DF	<b>9</b>	
2004	JJ 137	JJ 153	17	89%	JCC-SEF	<b>17</b>	
2005	JJ 154	JJ 155	11	-35%		<b>11</b>	2004-case numbers 140-148 not used.
2006	JJ 156	JJ 167	9	-18%		<b>9</b>	2005-case numbers 140-146, 148, 154-156 were used.
2007	JJ 168	JJ 182	15	67%		<b>15</b>	2006- case numbers 147, 156, 160-161, 163-167 were used. Case numbers 157-159 and 162 were not used.
2008	JJ 173	JJ 192	16	7%		<b>16</b>	2007 - Case numbers 157, 158, 159, 162, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 180, 181, 182 were used; 173 through 179 were not used.
2009	JJ 193	JJ 197	3	-81%		<b>3</b>	2008 - 173 through and including 179; 183 through and including 192; NOT used was 185; added: 196 was issued.
2010	JJ 198	JJ 200	4	33%		<b>4</b>	
2011	JJ 195	JJ 201-203; 220	4	0%		<b>4</b>	
2012	JJ 221	JJ 225	5	25%	JCC-DF		
2012	JJ 203	JJ 207	5	-----	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JI CASES 2012</b>						<b>10</b>	
2013	JJ 226	JJ 227	2	-60%	JCC-DF		2009-Only numbers issued were 185, 193 and 197; 186 through and including 192, 194, 195 and 196 were not issued.
2013	JJ 208	JJ 227	20	300%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JI CASES 2013</b>						<b>22</b>	
2014	JJ N/A	JJ N/A	0	-100%	JCC-DF		2010-194-originally created in 2009 was used; JI195 created in 2009 was not issued.
2014	JJ 209	JJ 212	4	-80%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JI CASES 2014</b>						<b>4</b>	
2015	JJ 730	JJ 733	4	0%	JCC-DF		2011-203-219 were not issued.
2015	JJ 701	JJ 702	2	-50%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JI CASES 2015</b>						<b>6</b>	
2016	JJ 734	JJ 736	3	-25%	JCC-DF		2013: JCC-SE--JI 210-JI219 not issued; JCC-DF--ECR started with case number 730.
2016	JJ 703	JJ 704	2	0%	JCC-SE		

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS	
<b>TOTAL JI CASES 2016</b>						<b>5</b>	<b>2018: JCC-SE</b> -No Numbers issued for Feb, Mar, Apr, May, Jun, Jul, Aug, Oct, Nov & Dec. <b>2019: JCC-SE:</b> JI713 not issued; JI1713 issued in error.	
2017	JJ 737	JJ 740	4	33%	JCC-DF			
2017	JJ 705	JJ 708	3	50%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JI CASES 2017</b>						<b>7</b>		
2018	JJ 741	JJ 742	2	-50%	JCC-DF			
2018	JJ 708	JJ 710	2	-33%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JI CASES 2018</b>						<b>4</b>		
2019	JJ 743	JJ 744	2	0%	JCC-DF			
2019	JJ 710	JJ 713	4	100%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JI CASES 2019</b>						<b>6</b>		
2020	JJ 745	JJ 745	1	-50%	JCC-DF			
2020	JJ 714	JJ 714	0	-100%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JI CASES 2020</b>						<b>1</b>		
<b>TOTAL JI CASES</b>						<b>175</b>		Juvenile Indian Relinquishments index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.
<b>JUVENILE NOTIFICATION / MINOR ABORTION (JN)</b>								
2003	JN 1000	JN 1011	12		JCC-DF			Juvenile Notifications commenced approximately July 24, 1982. Effective July 1, 1985, JN's were filed and heard at adult court instead of juvenile court unless there was also a delinquency or dependency. On July 25, 1985, 113 JN files were destroyed where the juvenile was over the age of 18. This was an "administrative destruction"; there were a total of 401 Juvenile Notifications filed at that time.  On September 17, 1986, a memo from Judge Fidel approved the destruction of JN files after a juvenile reached the age of 18 and approved discontinuing indexing the cases effective immediately.  In January 1987, 259 JN files were destroyed. At that time, only 29 files remained where the juvenile was still under 18 years of age; the last JN number used was JN-514. Presumably, that includes those filed at both juvenile and adult court.  There is no record of when JN's ceased in adult court. There is a memo dated September 26, 1989, regarding proposed procedures "assuming the law is withheld" which would indicate that there was legislation to again allow them to be filed, but a special action stayed that law.  The Clerk's Office issued the following Notice to the File in JN 89-00001 on July 19, 1991: Enclosed is the only remaining JN file in the Clerk's Office. It is number JN 89-00001. The case was filed September 20, 1989. Per the procedures on the Clerk's Office's handling of JN cases dated September 15, 1989 and upon verbal permission of Presiding Judge C. Kimball Rose, this file is to be destroyed. The file was destroyed. Legislation was again passed in 1996 and 2000, but each had been challenged and were stayed. JN cases began again in March 2003.  <b>2013: JCC-SE--</b> JN500290-500291 not issued.  <b>2016: JCC-DF:</b> 1325 not used. <b>2018: JCC-SE:</b> No numbers issued in Jan, Apr, May, Jul, Sep & Nov.  <b>2019: JCC-DF:</b> New folders issued and numbers jumped from 1366 to 1378.
2003	JN 500000	JN 500030	31		JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2003</b>						<b>43</b>		
2004	JN 1012	JN 1028	17	42%	JCC-DF			
2004	JN 500031	JN 500104	74	139%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2004</b>						<b>91</b>		
2005	JN 1029	JN 1071	43	153%	JCC-DF			
2005	JN 500105	JN 500139	35	-53%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2005</b>						<b>78</b>		
2006	JN 1072	JN 1104	33	-23%	JCC-DF			
2006	JN 500140	JN 500170	31	-11%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2006</b>						<b>64</b>		
2007	JN 1105	JN 1128	24	-27%	JCC-DF			
2007	JN 500171	JN 500203	33	6%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2007</b>						<b>57</b>		
2008	JN 1129	JN 1155	27	13%	JCC-DF			
2008	JN 500204	JN 500226	23	-30%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2008</b>						<b>50</b>		
2009	JN 1156	JN 1182	27	0%	JCC-DF			
2009	JN 500227	JN 500239	13	-43%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2009</b>						<b>40</b>		
2010	JN 1183	JN 1210	28	4%	JCC-DF			
2010	JN 500227	JN 500239	17	31%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2010</b>						<b>45</b>		
2011	JN 1211	JN 1233	23	-18%	JCC-DF			
2011	JN 500257	JN 500270	14	-18%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2011</b>						<b>37</b>		
2012	JN 1233	JN 1253	21	-9%	JCC-DF			
2012	JN 500271	JN 500291	21	50%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2012</b>						<b>42</b>		
2013	JN 1254	JN 1277	24	14%	JCC-DF			
2013	JN 500286	JN 500298	11	-48%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2013</b>						<b>35</b>		
2014	JN 1278	JN 1303	26	8%	JCC-DF			
2014	JN 500299	JN 500315	17	55%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2014</b>						<b>43</b>		
2015	JN 1304	JN 1311	8	-69%	JCC-DF			
2015	JN 500316	JN 500326	11	-35%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2015</b>						<b>19</b>		
2016	JN 1322	JN 1330	8	0%	JCC-DF			
2016	JN 500327	JN 500332	6	-45%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2016</b>						<b>14</b>		
2017	JN 1332	JN 1342	10	25%	JCC-DF			
2017	JN 500333	JN 500340	8	33%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2017</b>						<b>18</b>		
2018	JN 1360	JN 1360	1	-90%	JCC-DF			
2018	JN 500341	JN 500346	6	-25%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2018</b>						<b>7</b>		
2019	JN 1361	JN 1386	17	1600%	JCC-DF			
2019	JN 500347	JN 500350	3	-50%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2019</b>						<b>20</b>		
2020	JN 1387	JN 1409	23	35%	JCC-DF			
2020	JN 500350	JN 500355	6	100%	JCC-SE			
<b>TOTAL JN CASES 2020</b>						<b>29</b>	Currently all files are destroyed upon the juvenile's 18th birthday, and no Index is maintained.	

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS	
<b>TOTAL JN CASES</b>						<b>732</b>	Juvenile Notifications index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.	
<b>JUVENILE ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS &amp; INJUNCTION AGAINST HARASSMENT (JP)</b>								
1999	JP	1	JP	10	10	JCC-DF	<b>10</b>	
2000	JP	11	JP	46	36	260%	JCC-DF	<b>36</b>
2001	JP	47	JP	83	37	3%	JCC-DF	<b>37</b>
2002	JP	84	JP	126	43	16%	JCC-DF	<b>43</b>
2003	JP	127	JP	158	32	-26%	JCC-DF	<b>32</b>
2004	JP	159	JP	199	41	28%	JCC-DF	<b>41</b>
2005	JP	200	JP	261	62	51%	JCC-DF	<b>62</b>
2006	JP	262	JP	300	39	-37%	JCC-DF	<b>39</b>
2007	JP	301	JP	326	26	-33%	JCC-DF	<b>26</b>
2008	JP	316	JP	384	51	96%	JCC-DF	<b>51</b>
2009	JP	356	JP	435	80	57%	JCC-DF	<b>80</b>
2010	JP	436	JP	490	45	-44%	JCC-DF	<b>45</b>
2010	JP	409	JP	413	5		JCC-DF	<b>5</b>
2010	JP	399	JP	399	1		JCC-DF	<b>1</b>
2011	JP	465	JP	469	5		JCC-DF	<b>5</b>
2011	JP	491	JP	502	12		JCC-DF	<b>12</b>
2011	JP	504	JP	505	2		JCC-DF	<b>2</b>
2011	JP	510	JP	521	12		JCC-DF	<b>12</b>
2011	JP	530	JP	539	10		JCC-DF	<b>10</b>
2012	JP	550	JP	576	27		JCC-DF	<b>27</b>
2012	JP	503	JP	548	14		JCC-SE	<b>14</b>
<b>TOTAL JP CASES 2012</b>						<b>41</b>		
2013	JP	577	JP	760	174	544%	JCC-DF	
2013	JP	549	JP	729	63	350%	JCC-SE	
<b>TOTAL JP CASES 2013</b>						<b>237</b>		
2014	JP	761	JP	818	57	-67%	JCC-DF	
2014	JP	709	JP	715	7	-89%	JCC-SE	
<b>TOTAL JP CASES 2014</b>						<b>64</b>		
2015	JP	819	JP	860	42	-26%	JCC-DF	
2015	JP	716	JP	720	5	-29%	JCC-SE	
<b>TOTAL JP CASES 2015</b>						<b>47</b>		
2016	JP	862	JP	878	15	-64%	JCC-DF	
2016	JP	721	JP	737	12	140%	JCC-SE	
<b>TOTAL JP CASES 2016</b>						<b>27</b>		
2017	JP	879	JP	914	35	133%	JCC-DF	
2017	JP	738	JP	946	10	-17%	JCC-SE	
<b>TOTAL JP CASES 2017</b>						<b>45</b>		
2018	JP	915	JP	1000	68	94%	JCC-DF	
2018	JP	946	JP	951	6	-40%	JCC-SE	
<b>TOTAL JP CASES 2018</b>						<b>74</b>		
2019	JP	1001	JP	1049	44	-35%	JCC-DF	
2019	JP	952	JP	953	2	-67%	JCC-SE	
<b>TOTAL JP CASES 2019</b>						<b>46</b>		
2020	JP	1050	JP	1067	18	-59%	JCC-DF	
2020	JP	954	JP	956	3	50%	JCC-SE	
<b>TOTAL JP CASES 2020</b>						<b>21</b>		
<b>TOTAL JP CASES</b>						<b>1,151</b>	Juvenile Protections index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.	
<b>JUVENILE RELINQUISHMENTS (JR)</b>								
1988-								
1999	JR	1	JR	223	223	JCC-DF	<b>223</b>	
2000	JR	224	JR	245	22		JCC-DF	<b>22</b>
2001	JR	246	JR	274	29	32%	JCC-DF	<b>29</b>
2002	JR	275	JR	304	30	3%	JCC-DF	<b>30</b>
2003	JR	305	JR	325	21	-30%	JCC-DF	<b>21</b>
2004	JR	326	JR	355	30	43%	JCC-DF	<b>30</b>
2005	JR	356	JR	372	17	-43%	JCC-DF	<b>17</b>
2006	JR	373	JR	381	9	-47%	JCC-DF	<b>9</b>
2007	JR	381	JR	397	20	122%	JCC-DF	<b>20</b>
2008	JR	398	JR	421	10	-50%	JCC-DF	<b>10</b>
2009	JR	404	JR	424	8	-20%	JCC-DF	<b>21</b>
2010	JR	425	JR	433	9	13%	JCC-DF	<b>9</b>
2010	JR	414	JR	414	1		JCC-DF	<b>1</b>
2011	JR	415	JR	420	6	-33%	JCC-DF	<b>6</b>
2011	JR	434	JR	438	5		JCC-DF	<b>5</b>
2012	JR	439	JR	450	12		JCC-DF	<b>12</b>
Juvenile Relinquishments involve non-Indian children whose parents wish to relinquish their parental rights before the Court in order to free the children for adoption. Due to space, all files are housed at Juvenile Southeast. <b>2003</b> - JR 500000 through JR 500108 are on microfilm. <b>2004</b> - 346-349 not used. 330 and 331 were issued in 2003. <b>2005</b> - 345 - 349, 356-361, 368-372 were issued. <b>2006</b> -362-367, 373-378, 380, 381 were issued. <b>2007</b> - Case numbers 379, 382 through 397, 406 and 407 were issued. <b>2008</b> - 398 through and including 403; 408 through and including 410; and 421. <b>2009</b> -404 and 405; 411 through and including 413; 422 through and including 424 were issued. <b>2010</b> -414 was issued; <b>415-420 were not issued.</b>								


Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS
2012	JR 445	JR 460	15		JCC-SE		2012-JR445-449 used at JCC-SE; JR450-459 used at JCC-DF.
<b>TOTAL JR CASES 2012</b>						<b>27</b>	
2013	JR 451	JR 452	2	-83%	JCC-DF		2013: JCC-DF--ECR started with case number 730; JCC-SE-JR 453-JR699 not issued.
2013	JR 450	JR 700	4	-73%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JR CASES 2013</b>						<b>6</b>	
2014	JR 730	JR 732	3	50%	JCC-DF		2016: No cases were initiated for this year.
2014	JR N/A	JR N/A	0	-100%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JR CASES 2014</b>						<b>3</b>	
2015	JR 733	JR 735	3	0%	JCC-DF		2018: JCC-DF: **No numbers issued; JCC-SE: No numbers issued Feb, Mar, Apr, Jun, Jul, Aug, Sep, Oct, Nov & Dec 2018.
2015	JR 701	JR 701	1	100%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JR CASES 2015</b>						<b>4</b>	
2016	JR 0	JR 0	0	-100%	JCC-DF		2020: JCC-DF: No numbers issued
2016	JR 0	JR 0	0	100%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JR CASES 2016</b>						<b>0</b>	
2017	JR 736	JR 739	4	0%	JCC-DF		2020: JCC-DF: No numbers issued
2017	JR 702	JR 703	2	100%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JR CASES 2017</b>						<b>6</b>	
2018	JR **	JR **	0	0%	JCC-DF		2020: JCC-DF: No numbers issued
2018	JR 704	JR 705	2	100%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JR CASES 2018</b>						<b>2</b>	
2019	JR **	JR **	0	0%	JCC-DF		2020: JCC-DF: No numbers issued
2019	JR 706	JR 706	1	100%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JR CASES 2019</b>						<b>1</b>	
2020	JR 740	JR 740	0	0%	JCC-DF		2020: JCC-DF: No numbers issued
2020	JR 707	JR 707	1	100%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JR CASES 2020</b>						<b>1</b>	
<b>TOTAL JR CASES</b>						<b>503</b>	Juvenile Relinquishments index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.
<b>JUVENILE SEVERANCE (JS)</b>							
1988	JS 7711	JS 8175	465		Film		Juvenile Severance involves terminating or severing parental rights, usually to free the child for adoption.
1988	JS 500000	JS 500370	371		Film		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1988</b>						<b>836</b>	
1989	JS 8176	JS 8620	445	-4%	Film		JS 1 to JS 10009, filed at the Juvenile Durango facility are on microfilm. JS 500000 through 504952, filed at the Juvenile Southeast facility are on microfilm.
1989	JS 500371	JS 500537	167	-55%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1989</b>						<b>612</b>	
1990	JS 8621	JS 8992	372	-16%	Film		For several years, all severances were assigned to the Southeast facility unless they became contested, at which time they were reassigned to the Durango facility.
1990	JS 500538	JS 500720	183	10%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1990</b>						<b>555</b>	
1991	JS 8993	JS 9049	57	-85%	Film		For several years, all severances were assigned to the Southeast facility unless they became contested, at which time they were reassigned to the Durango facility.
1991	JS 500721	JS 501277	557	204%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1991</b>						<b>614</b>	
1992	JS 9050	JS 9080	31	-46%	Film		For several years, all severances were assigned to the Southeast facility unless they became contested, at which time they were reassigned to the Durango facility.
1992	JS 501278	JS 501830	553	-1%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1992</b>						<b>584</b>	
1993	JS 9081	JS 9117	37	19%	Film		For several years, all severances were assigned to the Southeast facility unless they became contested, at which time they were reassigned to the Durango facility.
1993	JS 501831	JS 502356	526	-5%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1993</b>						<b>563</b>	
1994	JS 9118	JS 9156	39	5%	Film		For several years, all severances were assigned to the Southeast facility unless they became contested, at which time they were reassigned to the Durango facility.
1994	JS 502357	JS 502849	493	-6%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1994</b>						<b>532</b>	
1995	JS 9157	JS 9201	45	15%	Film		For several years, all severances were assigned to the Southeast facility unless they became contested, at which time they were reassigned to the Durango facility.
1995	JS 502850	JS 503374	525	6%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1995</b>						<b>570</b>	
1996	JS 9202	JS 9257	56	24%	Film		For several years, all severances were assigned to the Southeast facility unless they became contested, at which time they were reassigned to the Durango facility.
1996	JS 503375	JS 503914	540	3%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1996</b>						<b>596</b>	
1997	JS 9258	JS 9325	68	21%	Film		For several years, all severances were assigned to the Southeast facility unless they became contested, at which time they were reassigned to the Durango facility.
1997	JS 503915	JS 504450	536	-1%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1997</b>						<b>604</b>	
1998	JS 9326	JS 9654	329	384%	Film		For several years, all severances were assigned to the Southeast facility unless they became contested, at which time they were reassigned to the Durango facility.
1998	JS 504451	JS 504793	343	-36%	Film		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1998</b>						<b>672</b>	
1999	JS 9655	JS 9915	261	-21%	Film		In about 1999, severance proceedings for dependent children were filed as a motion to sever within the dependency case, not as a separate JS case.
1999	JS 504794	JS 505014	221	-36%	FT2		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 1999</b>						<b>482</b>	
2000	JS 9916	JS 10087	172	-34%	FT2		In about 1999, severance proceedings for dependent children were filed as a motion to sever within the dependency case, not as a separate JS case.
2000	JS 505015	JS 505147	133	-40%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2000</b>						<b>305</b>	
2001	JS 10088	JS 10252	165	-4%	JCC-DF		In about 1999, severance proceedings for dependent children were filed as a motion to sever within the dependency case, not as a separate JS case.
2001	JS 505148	JS 505254	107	-20%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2001</b>						<b>272</b>	

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS
2002	JS 10253	JS 10362	110	-33%	JCC-DF		
2002	JS 505255	JS 505459	205	92%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2002</b>						<b>315</b>	
2003	JS 10363	JS 10461	99	-10%	JCC-DF		
2003	JS 505460	JS 505596	137	-33%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2003</b>						<b>236</b>	
2004	JS 10462	JS 10564	103	4%	JCC-DF		
2004	JS 505597	JS 505727	131	-4%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2004</b>						<b>234</b>	
2005	JS 10565	JS 10723	159	54%	JCC-DF		
2005	JS 505728	JS 505888	161	23%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2005</b>						<b>320</b>	
2006	JS 10724	JS 10906	183	15%	JCC-DF		
2006	JS 505889	JS 506084	196	22%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2006</b>						<b>379</b>	
2007	JS 10907	JS 11078	172	-6%	JCC-DF		Juvenile Durango facility case numbers JS 06687 through JS 10170 were microfilmed.
2007	JS 506085	JS 506268	184	-6%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2007</b>						<b>356</b>	
2008	JS 11079	JS 11257	179	4%	JCC-DF		
2008	JS 506269	JS 506438	170	-8%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2008</b>						<b>349</b>	
2009	JS 11258	JS 11454	197	10%	JCC-DF		JS506517, JS506518, JS506519 were not issued.
2009	JS 506439	JS 506588	147	-14%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2009</b>						<b>344</b>	
2010	JS 11455	JS 11653	190	-4%	JCC-DF		2010-11486-11494 were not issued.
2010	JS 506589	JS 506756	168	14%	JCC-SE		2010-506757-506769 were not issued.
2010	JS 506517	JS 506519	3		JCC-SE		2010-these numbers were originally created in 2009.
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2009</b>						<b>361</b>	
2011	JS 11654	JS 11931	265	39%	JCC-DF		2011-11713-11725 were not issued.
2011	JS 11486	JS 11494	9		JCC-DF		2011-507026-507029 were not issued.
2011	JS 506757	JS 506769	13	-92%	JCC-SE		
2011	JS 506791	JS 507025	235		JCC-SE		
2011	JS 507030	JS 507036	7		JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2011</b>						<b>529</b>	
2012	JS 11932	JS 12319	388	46%	JCC-DF		2012-JS507185 was not used.
2012	JS 507026	JS 507348	322	37%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2012</b>						<b>710</b>	
2013	JS 12320	JS 17279	436	86%	JCC-DF		2013: JCC-DF--JS17277 not used, JS12476-JS16999 not used due to ECR; JCC-SE: JS507397-507413 not used, JS507414-507431 used at Durango, JS507473-507482 used at Durango, JS507473-JS507482 used at Durango, JS507505-JS516999 not used.
2013	JS 507349	JS 517146	286	-26%	JCC-SE		2014: JCC-SE--JS517200-JS517299 issued to Durango, JS 517382 dead number, JS517417 dead number.
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2013</b>						<b>722</b>	2015: JCC-DF: JS18059 not used; JCC-SE: Issued 517700-517799 to DUR, 517676-dead case number, 517811-dead case number.
2014	JS 17280	JS 17780	501	29%	JCC-DF		
2014	JS 517147	JS 517512	265	-39%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2014</b>						<b>766</b>	
2015	JS 17781	JS 18294	521	19%	JCC-DF		
2015	JS 517513	JS 517896	281	-44%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2015</b>						<b>802</b>	
2016	JS 18295	JS 18823	529	6%	JCC-DF		
2016	JS 517897	JS 518328	312	-40%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2016</b>						<b>841</b>	2016: JCC-SE: 517999, 518125, 518132 not used. 508165-518265 issued to Durango.
2017	JS 18825	JS 19343	519	-2%	JCC-DF		
2017	JS 518329	JS 518710	380	22%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2017</b>						<b>899</b>	
2018	JS 19344	JS 19866	473	-9%	JCC-DF		2018: JCC-SE: JS518904 not used; JCC-DF: 19400-19450 SEJ Block
2018	JS 518711	JS 519141	329	-13%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2018</b>						<b>802</b>	
2019	JS 19867	JS 20309	448	-5%	JCC-DF		2019: JCC-SE: JS519272 and JS519278 not used, JS519672 and JS519678 used out of sequence, JS519357 initiated as JS519857 in error.
2019	JS 519142	JS 519507	366	11%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2019</b>						<b>814</b>	
2020	JS 20310	JS 20722	404	-10%	JCC-DF		2020: JCC-SEJ: JS533316 issued in error-dead number, JS519672 dead number, JS519687 dead number.
2020	JS 519508	JS 519772	263	-28%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JS CASES 2020</b>						<b>667</b>	
<b>TOTAL JS CASES</b>						<b>18,243</b>	Juvenile Severance index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.
<b>JUVENILE DELINQUENCY (JV)</b>							
1988	JV 113183	JV 116604	3,422		Film		Juvenile Delinquencies are cases involving minors charged with criminal actions. Juvenile Delinquencies can be compared to criminal cases in adult court.
1989	JV 116605	JV 120266	3,662	7%	Film		
						<b>7,084</b>	
1990	JV 120267	JV 123613	3,347	-9%	Film		

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS
1990	JV 500000	JV 501894	1,895	0%	Film		The case prefix J was used before 1988 for both Juvenile Delinquencies and Juvenile Dependencies. The case type prefix JV began in 1988 for Delinquencies.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 1990</b>						<b>5,242</b>	
1991	JV 123614	JV 126376	2,763	-17%	Film		
1991	JV 501895	JV 503889	1,995	5%	Film		Juvenile Durango case numbers J 39664 through JV 152710 are on microfilm.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 1991</b>						<b>4,758</b>	
1992	JV 126377	JV 128599	2,223	-20%	Film		
1992	JV 503890	JV 505695	1,806	-9%	Film		Juvenile Durango case numbers J 39664 through JV 152710 are on microfilm.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 1992</b>						<b>4,029</b>	
1993	JV 128600	JV 130954	2,355	6%	Film		
1993	JV 505696	JV 508554	2,859	58%	Film		Juvenile Durango case numbers J 39664 through JV 152710 are on microfilm.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 1993</b>						<b>5,214</b>	
1994	JV 130955	JV 132901	1,947	-17%	Film		
1994	JV 508555	JV 510803	2,249	-21%	Film		Juvenile Durango case numbers J 39664 through JV 152710 are on microfilm.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 1994</b>						<b>4,196</b>	
1995	JV 132902	JV 135323	2,422	24%	Film		
1995	JV 510804	JV 513254	2,451	9%	Film		Juvenile Durango case numbers J 39664 through JV 152710 are on microfilm.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 1995</b>						<b>4,873</b>	
1996	JV 135324	JV 138108	2,785	15%	Film		
1996	JV 513255	JV 516106	2,852	16%	Film		Juvenile Durango case numbers J 39664 through JV 152710 are on microfilm.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 1996</b>						<b>5,637</b>	
1997	JV 138109	JV 141471	3,363	21%	Film		
1997	JV 516107	JV 519563	3,457	21%	Film		Juvenile Durango case numbers J 39664 through JV 152710 are on microfilm.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 1997</b>						<b>6,820</b>	
1998	JV 141476	JV 144229	2,754	-18%	Film		
1998	JV 519564	JV 522086	2,523	-27%	Film		Juvenile Durango case numbers J 39664 through JV 152710 are on microfilm.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 1998</b>						<b>5,277</b>	
1999	JV 144230	JV 147648	3,419	24%	Film		
1999	JV 522087	JV 524876	2,790	11%	Film		Juvenile Durango case numbers J 39664 through JV 152710 are on microfilm.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 1999</b>						<b>6,209</b>	
2000	JV 147649	JV 151423	3,775	10%	Film		
2000	JV 524877	JV 528286	3,410	22%	FT2		Juvenile Southeast facility case numbers JV 500000 to JV 526904 are on microfilm.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2000</b>						<b>7,185</b>	
2001	JV 151424	JV 154520	3,097	-18%	FT2		
2001	JV 528287	JV 531122	2,836	-17%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2001</b>						<b>5,933</b>	
2002	JV 154521	JV 157123	2,603	-16%	JCC-DF		
2002	JV 531123	JV 533619	2,497	-12%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2002</b>						<b>5,100</b>	
2003	JV 157124	JV 159856	2,733	5%	JCC-DF		
2003	JV 533620	JV 536270	2,651	6%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2003</b>						<b>5,384</b>	
2004	JV 159857	JV 162670	2,814	3%	JCC-DF		
2004	JV 536271	JV 539132	2,862	8%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2004</b>						<b>2,814</b>	
2005	JV 162671	JV 165747	3,077	9%	JCC-DF		
2005	JV 539133	JV 541821	2,689	-6%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2005</b>						<b>5,766</b>	
2006	JV 165748	JV 169126	3,379	10%	JCC-DF		
2006	JV 541822	JV 544353	2,532	-6%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2006</b>						<b>5,911</b>	
2007	JV 169127	JV 172458	3,332	-1%	JCC-DF		
2007	JV 544354	JV 547157	2,805	11%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2007</b>						<b>6,137</b>	
2008	JV 172459	JV 175828	3,366	1%	JCC-DF		
2008	JV 547158	JV 550135	2,978	6%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2008</b>						<b>6,344</b>	
2009	JV 175817	JV 179037	3,213	-5%	JCC-DF		
2009	JV 550136	JV 552911	2,776	-7%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2009</b>						<b>5,989</b>	
2010	JV 179063	JV 181891	2,822	-12%	JCC-DF		
2010	JV 552912	JV 555259	2,345	-16%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2010</b>						<b>5,167</b>	
2011	JV 181892	JV 184134	2,236	-21%	JCC-DF		
2011	JV 555260	JV 557102	1,842	-21%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2011</b>						<b>4,078</b>	
2012	JV 184107	JV 186107	1,994	-11%	JCC-DF		
2012	JV 557104	JV 558730	1,620	-12%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2012</b>						<b>3,614</b>	
2013	JV 186108	JV 197334	1,554	-22%	JCC-DF		
2013	JV 558731	JV 597175	1,439	-11%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2013</b>						<b>2,993</b>	
2014	JV 197335	JV 198900	1,579	2%	JCC-DF		
2014	JV 597179	JV 598496	1,317	-8%	JCC-SE		Delinquency files are sent to CSC as the Juvenile turns 18.
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2014</b>						<b>2,896</b>	

Year	Beginning Case Number	Ending Case Number	Number of Files	Growth Percent	Location	ANNUAL TOTAL	CASE STATUS
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2014</b>						<b>2,896</b>	used-computer error, JV558604 was not used-computer error. <b>2013: JCC-DF</b> --JV186201 not used, JV186231 not used, JV186935 not used-computer error, JV187051 thru JV187061 not used, JV187455 not used-computer error, JV187383 not used-computer error, JV187413 thru 198999 not used due to ECR, JV197001 not used, JV197230 thru JV197299 not used due to clerk error; <b>JCC-SE</b> : January through June 2013 paper records cannot be located, JV559995 thru 596999 not issued, JV597066 not issued, JV597123 not issued.
2015	JV 198901	JV 200389	1,506	-5%	JCC-DF		
2015	JV 598497	JV 599662	1,110	-16%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2015</b>						<b>2,616</b>	<b>2014: JCC-DF</b> --JV197400 dead number, JV's 198224, 198238-198247, 198271, 198287 dead numbers; JV198531 dead number, JV198723, JV198743 dead numbers; <b>JCC-SE</b> --JV597992 dead number. <b>2015: JCC-SE</b> :599151-dead case number, 599283 & 599285-dead case numbers, 599335-599336-dead case numbers, 599391-599440-issued to DUR, 599473-dead case number. <b>2016: JCC-SE</b> : 599830-599930 issued to Durango; 599969, 599970, 599973, 599996, 600003, 600015, 600363, 600381, 600491, 600493, 600508, 600528, 600590, 600602, 600700, 600729, 600767 not used. <b>2018: JCC-DF</b> : JV203416 issued in error; <b>JCC-SE</b> : JV602701 assigned in Jul, JV602819, JV602861 dead numbers, JV602888-JV602988 block to DUR. <b>2019: JCC-DF</b> : JV205952 Dead number; <b>JCC-SE</b> : JV603471 & JV603472 Dead numbers, JV603673 Dead number, JV603765 not used, JV604054 Dead number, JV604155 Dead number, JV604169 not used, JV604179 Dead number, JV604282-JV604382 block to DUR. <b>2020</b> : JV604545 not used, JV604659 dead number, JV604740 dead number, JV604834 dead number, JV605107 dead number, JV605120-JV605220 DUR block.
2016	JV 200385	JV 201728	1,353	-10%	JCC-DF		
2016	JV 599664	JV 600872	1,082	-3%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2016</b>						<b>2,435</b>	Juvenile Delinquency index and locations are current through December 31, 2020.
2017	JV 201729	JV 203352	1,626	20%	JCC-DF		
2017	JV 600873	JV 602142	1,057	-2%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2017</b>						<b>2,683</b>	
2018	JV 203353	JV 204709	1,355	-17%	JCC-DF		
2018	JV 602143	JV 603305	1,062	0%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2018</b>						<b>2,417</b>	
2019	JV 204711	JV 205967	1,251	-8%	JCC-DF		
2019	JV 603306	JV 604436	1,025	-3%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2019</b>						<b>2,276</b>	
2020	JV 205968	JV 206907	939	-25%	JCC-DF		
2020	JV 604437	JV 605255	713	-30%	JCC-SE		
<b>TOTAL JV CASES 2020</b>						<b>1,652</b>	
<b>TOTAL JV CASES</b>						<b>148,729</b>	
<b>TOTAL JUVENILE COURT CASES</b>						<b>330,659</b>	

# Total Juvenile Cases Filed Through 2020



- JA = Juvenile adoption
- JD = Juvenile dependency
- JE = Juvenile emancipation
- JG = Juvenile guardianship of minors
- JI = Juvenile Indian relinquishments
- JN = Juvenile notification/minor abortion
- JS = Juvenile severance
- JV = Juvenile delinquency
- JP = Juvenile orders of protection & injunction against harrassment
- JR = Juvenile relinquishments

*\*Courtesy of Maricopa County Clerk of Superior Court*