

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
096	01-04-2007	<p>MOTION AND CONSENT OF LOCAL COUNSEL FOR PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF DONALD R. PONGRACE <u>SUMMARY:</u> Motion and Consent of Local Counsel for Pro Hac Vice Admission of Donald R. Pongrace <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 2 + 12 (attachments) = 14 (total)</p>
097	01-08-2007	<p>MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY:</u> It was ordered denying the request for expedited consideration. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 1</p>
098	01-10-2007	<p>PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S JOINDER IN COMMENTS OF SALT RIVER PROJECT ON ISSUES RE INTERPRETATION OF MAY 16, 1991 SPEACILA PROCEDURAL ORDER <u>SUMMARY:</u> Phelps Dodge Corporation and Roosevelt Water Conservation District file their Joinder in the Comments filed by Salt River Project on specified legal issues identified by the Court concerning interpretation of the may 16, 1991 Special Procedural Order. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
099	01-10-2007	<p>COMMENTS OF THE SALT RIVER PROJECT ON ISSUE RE: INTERPRETATION OF MAY 16, 1991 SPECIAL PROCEDURAL ORDER <u>SUMMARY:</u> Pursuant to the Court's request, the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District and the Salt River Valley Users' Association (collectively, "SRP") and other joining parties submit their comments on the following two issues requiring interpretation of the provisions of the Arizona Supreme Court's May 16, 1991 Special Procedural Order Providing for Approval of Indian Water Settlements (the "Supreme Court Order"): (1) Are the objections that the court may consider in determining whether to approve the settlement limited to those set forth in the Supreme Court Order, or may other objections be considered? (2) In deciding whether the water rights provided to the tribe under the settlement are "no more extensive" than the tribe and the United States in its behalf would have been able to establish at a trial on the merits of the tribe's water right claims, should the court consider only settlement water supplies subject to adjudication in this case, or, instead, consider all settlement water supplies (including CAP water)? <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 10</p>
100	01-10-2007	<p>MOTION AND SUPPORTING MEMORANDUM OF LGWU RESPONDING TO THRESHOLD ISSUES IDENTIFIED BY THE COURT <u>SUMMARY:</u> Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively and severally "LWGU") respond to the threshold issues identified by the Court during the December 19, 2006 status conference. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-L8-36004, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 15</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
101	01-10-2007	<p>THE CITY OF TUCSON JOINDER IN THE UNITED STATES MEMORANDUM ON THE ARIZONA SUPREME COURT'S SPECIAL PROCEDURAL ORDER PROVIDING FOR THE APPROVAL OF FEDERAL WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENTS, INCLUDING THOSE OF INDIAN TRIBES</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Tucson Joinder in the United States Memorandum on the Arizona Supreme Court's Special procedural Order Providing for the Approval of Federal Water Rights Settlements, Including Those of Indian Tribes. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-09-72680, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 4</p>
102	01-10-2007	<p>ASARCO LLC'S MEMORANDUM ON THE EFFECT OF THE SPECIAL PROCEDURAL ORDER PROVIDING FOR THE APPROVAL OF FEDERAL WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENTS, INCLUDING THOSE OF INDIAN TRIBES (FILED MAY 16, 1991)</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC submits its interpretation of the Special Procedural order Providing for the Approval of federal Water Rights Settlements, Including Those of Indian Tribes (filed May 16, 1991), in accordance with this Court's instruction at the December 19, 2006 Status Conference on the proceedings in this Contested Case. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given <u>PAGES</u>: 9</p>
103	01-10-2007	<p>THE TRIBES' MOTION FOR ORDER DETERMINING THE APPLICATION OF THE ARIZONA SUPREME COURT'S SPECIAL PROCEDURAL ORDER AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS OF AUTHORITIES</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Tribes' Motion for order Determining the Application of the Arizona Supreme Court's 1991 Special Procedural Order and memorandum of Points of Authorities <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 16</p>
104	01-10-2007	<p>MINUTE ENTRY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: In Re Status Conference <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given <u>PAGES</u>: 4</p>
105	01-10-2007	<p>MEMORANDUM</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Memorandum of the United States and the Gila River Indian Community on the Arizona Supreme Court's Special procedural order providing for the Approval of federal Water Rights Settlement, including those of Indian tribes. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78 <u>PAGES</u>: 10 + 10 (attachment) = 20 (total)</p>
106	01-12-2007	<p>MEMORANDUM OF THE NAVAJO NATION RESPONDING TO THE ISSUES IDENTIFIED BY THE COURT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Navajo Nation responds to the issues identified by the Court. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given <u>PAGES</u>: 12</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
107	01-19-2007	<p>ASARCO LLC's RESPONSE TO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION BY GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY, PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT AND ASARCO LLC's CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AGAINST ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES, GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY, PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> ASARCO LLC submits its response to motions for summary disposition by Gila River Indian Community, Phelps Dodge Corporation and Roosevelt Water Conservation District and ASARCO LLC's cross-motion for summary Judgment against Arizona Department of water resources, Gila River Indian Community, Phelps Dodge Corporation and Roosevelt Water Conservation District, in accordance with this Court's Minute Entry filed January 10, 2007.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 13</p>
108	01-19-2007	<p>ASARCO LLC's STATEMENT OF FACTS SUPPORT OF CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> ASARCO LLC submits its statement of facts support of cross-motion for summary judgment, in accordance with this court's order interpretation of the Special Procedural Order Providing for the Approval of Federal Water Rights Settlements, Including those of Indian Tribes (filed May 16,1991), in accordance with this Court's instruction at the December 19, 2006 Status Conference on the proceedings in this contested Case.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 5</p>
109	01-23-2007	<p>ORDER: PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF DONALD ROSS PONGRACE</p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> It is Ordered that Donald Ross Pongrace be admitted pro hac vice as counsel for Contested Case No. W1-207.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 1</p>
110	01-23-2007	<p>MINUTE ENTRY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> In Re Oral Argument: legal issues raised by the Arizona Supreme Court's Special Procedural order.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 5</p>
111	01-29-2007	<p>APACHE TRIBES' AND LGWU'S JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSES TO SETTLING PARTIES' MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITIONS AND RESPONSES TO OBJECTIONS AND MOTION TO VACATE AND RESET THE FEBRUARY 21, 2007, HEARING DATE</p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Apache tribes' and LGWU'S joint motion for extension of time to file responses to settling parties' motions for summary dispositions and responses to objections and motion to vacate and reset the February 21, 2007, hearing date.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-12167, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 7 + 1 (attachment) = 8</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
112	01-30-2007	<p>PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION AGAINST ASARCO AND RESPONSE TO ASARCO'S CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Phelps dodge Corporation and Roosevelt Water Conservation District file their Joint Reply in Support of Motion for Disposition against ASARCO LLC's and their Response to ASARCO's Cross Motion for Summary Judgment. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-62935, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 4</p>
113	01-30-2007	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION OF THE OBJECTION FILED JACK D. CAMPBELL</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Gila River Indian Community AND THE United States submits their Joint Response in Opposition to and Motion for Summary Disposition of the Objection filed by jack D. Campbell. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78 <u>PAGES</u>: 6</p>
114	01-30-2007	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY AND UNITED STATES REPLY TO ASARCO LLC'S RESPONSE TO MOTION SUMMARY DISPOSITION FOR GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY, [ET AL.] AND RESPONSE TO ASARCO LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINST ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES, GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY , PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION, AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community and the United states submit their reply to ASARCO LLC's response to motions for summary disposition by Gila River Indian Community, Phelps Dodge Corporation, and Roosevelt water Conservation District and Response to ASARCO LLC's motion for summary Judgment against Arizona department of water resources, Gila River Indian Community, Phelps corporation, and Roosevelt water conservation district. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u>:14</p>
115	01-31-2007	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES' AND LGWU'S JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSES TO SETTLING PARTIES' MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION AND RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS AND MOTION TO VACATE AND RESET THE February 21, 2007 HEARING DATE</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River project responds to the motion for extension of time filed by the Apache tribes and the Lower Gila water Users. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 9 + 33(attachment) = 42</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
116	01-31-2007	THE NAVAJO NATION FILES ITS RESPONSE TO GRIC'S, SRP'S, PHELPS DODGE AND RWCD'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION AND NAVAJO NATION'S MOTION FOR ADOPTION OF SUPPLEMENTAL FINDINGS. <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Navajo nation files its Response to GRIC's , SRP's, Phelps Dodge and RWCD's Motions for summary disposition and Navajo nation's Motion for Adoption of Supplemental Findings. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 16 + 1 (attachment) = 17
117	01-31-2007	MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : It was ordered denying the requests for an extension and a continuance. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
118	02-02-2007	<p>REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION OF NAVAJO NATION'S OBJECTIONS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The salt river project Agricultural Improvement and Power District and the Salt River Valley water Users' Association submits their reply in Support of motion for Summary Disposition of the objections presented by the Navajo Nation.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 8</p>
119	02-02-2007	<p>THE APACHE TRIBES' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SERVE ELECTRONIC COPIES OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS UPON SETTTLING PARTIES' MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION DUE ON FEBRUARY 5, 2007, IN LIEU OF PAPER COPIES AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY EXPEDITED BRIEFING AND RULING</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto Apache Tribe and Yavapai-Apache Nation's Motion for Leave to Serve Electronic Copies of Supporting Documents upon Electronic Copies of Supporting Documents upon Settling parties for Response to Settling Parties' Motion for Summary Disposition Due on February 5, 2007, in Lieu of paper Copies and Motion for Expedited briefing and ruling</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-12167, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 4 + 1 (attachment) = 5 (total)</p>
120	02-02-2007	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY AND UNITED STATES REPLY TO THE NAVAJO NATION'S RESPONSE TO GRIC'S, SRP'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION; AND , THEIR RESPONSE TO THE NAVAJO NATION'S MOTION FOR ADOPTION OF SUPPLEMENTAL FINDINGS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Gila River Indian Community and the United States submit their Sur-Reply tot the Navajo Nation's Response to GRIC's, SRP's Motion for Summary Disposition and their Response to the Navajo Nation's Motion for Adoption of supplemental findings.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 11</p>
121	02-05-2007	<p>LOWER GILA WATER USERS' CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY ET AL. MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses respond to the Motion for Summary Disposition filed by the Gila River Indian Community and others.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 31</p>
122	02-05-2007	<p>LOWER GILA WATER USERS' STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR RESPONSE TO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise ranch, Paloma irrigation & Drainage District, and various Individuals and businesses submit their statement of facts in support of their response to motions for summary disposition.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 6 + 24 (attachment) = 30 (total)</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
123	02-05-2007	LOWER GILA WATER USERS' ARIZ. R. CIV.P. RULE 56 (f) AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF DISCOVERY <u>SUMMARY</u> : Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise ranch, Paloma irrigation & Drainage District, and various Individuals and businesses submit their Affidavit in support of their opposition to Motions for Summary Disposition. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-36004, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 5
124	02-05-2007	NOTICE OF FILING OF EXHIBITS TO THE APACHE TRIBES' STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO THE MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION TO THE TRIBES' OBJECTIONS TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT <u>SUMMARY</u> : Notice of Filing of Exhibits to The Apache Tribes' Statement of fact to support of Its Consolidated response to the Motions for Summary Disposition to the Tribes' Objections to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-12676, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 3 + 1000 (or more Exhibits)
125	02-05-2007	NOTICE OF FILING THE APACHE TRIBE'S RULE 56(F) AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF DISCOVERY <u>SUMMARY</u> : Notice of filing Apache Tribes' ARCP Rule 56 (f) Affidavit in Support of Discovery <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-12676,et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 5 + 4 (attachment) = 9(total)
126	02-05-2007	THE APACHE TRIBES' STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO THE MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION TO THE TRIBES' OBJECTIONS TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT. <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Apache Tribes' Statement of Facts in Support of Its Consolidated Response to the Motions for Summary Disposition to the Tribes' Objections to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-12676,et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 35
127	02-05-2007	THE APACHE TRIBES' STATEMENT CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO THE MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION AND/OR RESPONSE TO THE TRIBES' OBJECTIONS TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Apache Tribes' Statement Consolidated Response to the Motions for Summary Disposition and/or Response to the Tribes' Objections to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement AND cross-motion for Summary Disposition. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-07-12676,et al <u>PAGES</u> : 76
128	02-07-2007	MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : In Re: proposed Gila River Indian Community Settlement Proceedings, oral argument. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 4

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
129	02-15-2007	<p>PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S MOTION RESPONSE TO APACHE TRIBES AND LGWU'S ARCP RULE 56 (F) AFFIDAVITS IN SUPPORT OF DISCOVERY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Phelps Dodge Corporation's and Roosevelt Water Conservation District file their response to the Apache Tribes' ARCP rule 56 (f) Affidavits in Support of Discovery <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39—62935, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
130	02-15-2007	<p>PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S JOINT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION REGARDING OBJECTIONS FILED BY THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS AND PARTIAL JOINDER IN REPLY FILED BY SALT RIVER PROJECT AND SRP'S RESPONSE TO LOWER GILA WATER USERS' STATEMENT OF FACTS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Phelps Dodge Corporation's and Roosevelt Water Conservation District file their: (i) Joint reply in support of their motion for summary disposition of the objections filed by certain claimants identified as the "Lower Gila Water Users"; (ii) partial Joinder in the separate Reply filed by the Salt River Project to the Lower Gila Water Users' statement of facts. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-62935, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 9</p>
131	02-15-2007	<p>RESPONSE TO PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO THE APACHE TRIBES' STATEMENT OF FACTS TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER SUPPLY SETTLEMENT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Response to Phelps Dodge Corporation and Roosevelt Water Conservation District's response to the Apache Tribes' Statement of facts to the Gila River Indian Community water Supply Settlement. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-62935, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 7</p>
132	02-15-2007	<p>REPLY IN SUPPORT OF PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION TO THE APACHE TRIBES' OBJECTIONS TO THE APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT AND RESPONSE TO THE APACHE TRIBE'S CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: : Phelps Dodge Corporation's and Roosevelt Water Conservation District file their Reply in Support of Phelps Dodge Corporation's and Roosevelt Water Conservation District motion for summary disposition to the Apache tribes' Objections to the application for approval of the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement and Response to the Apache Tribe's Cross-Motion for Summary Disposition <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-62935, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 16</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
133	02-15-2007	<p>RESPONSE TO THE APACHE TRIBES' STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION OF THE TRIBES' OBJECTIONS TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Irrigation District and the Franklin Irrigation District respond to the Apache tribes' Statement of facts in support of its consolidated response to the Motions for summary disposition of the Tribes' Objections to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U862840, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 29 + 119 (attachment) = 148 (total)</p>
134	02-15-2007	<p>REPLY TO THE APACHE TRIBES' RESPONSE TO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION AND RESPONSE TO CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila Valley Irrigation District and the Franklin Irrigation District reply to the Apache tribes' Response to Motions for Summary Disposition and Respond to Cross-Motion for Summary Disposition.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U862840, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 11</p>
135	02-15-2007	<p>JOINDER IN REPLIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District, central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District, and Central Arizona Water Conservation District Join in various replies filed by, and joined in by, the Salt River Project on the pending motions for summary disposition of objections to the motion for approval of the Gila River Indian community Water Rights Settlement.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: U8-63606</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
136	02-15-2007	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S RESPONSE TO LOWER GILA WATER USERS' STATEMENT OF FACTS IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District and the Salt River Valley Water Users' Association (collectively referred to hereinafter as "SRP") submit its Response to the Statement of Facts submitted by the Lower Gila Water Users (collectively, "Objectors") in Opposition to SRP's motion for summary Disposition of their objections. SRP also joins in the Response to Objectors' Statement of facts prepared by the Gila River Indian Community.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 6</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
137	02-15-2007	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION OF OBJECTIONS BY LOWER GILA WATER USERS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District and the Salt River Valley Water Users' Association (collectively referred to hereinafter as "SRP") submit their reply in support of their motion for summary disposition of the objections presented by the "Lower Gila Water Users" (referred hereinafter as "Objectors"). SRP also joins in the Replies in Support of motion for summary disposition these objections submitted by the Gila River Indian Community, the United States, the Roosevelt Water Conservation District ("RWCD") and Phelps Dodge Corporation ("Phelps Dodge"). <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 11 + 48(attachment) = 59(total)</p>
138	02-15-2007	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION OF OBJECTIONS BYTHE APACHE TRIBES</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District and the Salt River Valley Water Users' Association (collectively "SRP") submit this separate reply in support of their motion for summary disposition of the objections presented by the San Carlos and Tonto Apache Tribes and the Yavapai Apache nation (the "Apache Tribes") This separate reply is limited to one issue raised by the Apache Tribes in their response to the motions for summary disposition, regarding the effect of court approval of the settlement agreement ("Agreement"), stipulation and proposed judgment and decree on the ability of nonparties to the Agreement to subsequently challenge the water right claims of the non-Indian settling parties in the adjudication. In all other respects, SRP joins in the replies in support of motion for summary disposition of the Apache Tribes' objections and the Responses to Apache Tribes' statement of facts, submitted by the Gila River Indian Community (except for section III of that reply), the United States, the Roosevelt Water Conservation District ("RWCD") and Phelps Dodge Corporation ("Phelps Dodge"). <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 6</p>
139	02-15-2007	<p>ORDER</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: It was ordered as follows (1) The Apache tribe shall serve an electronic copy of the supporting documents to be filed with the Clerk of Court along with their Response to the Various motions for Summary Disposition which are due on Monday, February 5, 2007, upon the Settling Parties in lieu of a paper copy. (2) Any Settling party who would prefer to have a paper copy of the supporting documents may request a paper in writing to counsel for the Apache Tribes. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given <u>PAGES</u>: 1</p>
140	02-16-2007	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO THE APACHE TRIBES' STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION OF THE TRIBES' OBJECTIONS TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Gila River Indian Community submits its response to the Apache Tribes' Statement of facts in Support of its consolidated response to the Motions for Summary Disposition to the Tribes Objections to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 21</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
141	02-16-2007	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO THE NOTICE OF FILING THE APACHE TRIBES' RULE 56 (F) AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF DISCOVERY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Gila River Indian Community submits its response to the notice of filing Apache Tribes' 56 (f) Affidavit in Support of Discovery. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 10</p>
142	02-16-2007	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS' STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO THE MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION OF THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS' OBJECTIONS TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Gila River Indian Community submits its Response to the Lower Gila Water Users' statement of facts in support of its consolidated response to the motions for summary disposition of the Lower Gila Water Users' objections to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 5</p>
143	02-16-2007	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S REPLY TO THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS' CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TOT GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY ET AL. MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Gila River Indian Community's submits its reply to the Lower Gila Water Users' Consolidated Response to Gila River Indian Community et al. Motions for Summary Disposition <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 8</p>
144	02-16-2007	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S REPLY TO THE APACHE TRIBES' RESPONSE TO COMMUNITY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION AND REPLY TO APACHE TRIBES' CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Gila River Indian Community's submits its reply to the Apache Tribes' Response to Community's Motion for Summary Disposition and Reply to Apache Tribes' Cross-Motion for Summary Disposition <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u>: 11</p>
145	02-16-2007	<p>NOTICE OF NEW ADDRESS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Attorneys of the Town of Gilbert file their Notice of Change of Address. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L835405 <u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
146	02-23-2007	<p>MINUTE ENTRY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: In re Oral argument: Objection of the Navajo nation. It was further ordered denying the Navajo nation's motion for Adoption of Supplemental Findings. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given <u>PAGES</u>: 4</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
147	02-26-2007	MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is Ordered, (1) Denying Asarco's Cross-Motion for summary judgment. (2) Granting the Moving parties' motion for summary disposition except with respect to that portion of Asarco's objection that relates to the quantity of water originating from sources subject to this adjudication that GRIC would receive should the Court Approve the Community's Proposed Settlement. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 3

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
148	03-07-2007	MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : Oral argument re Motions for summary Disposition on the Objections Filed by: The Apache Tribes and The Lower Gila Water Users <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 5
149	03-14-2007	MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : in re Report concerning presentation and service of Notice of Settlement. It was ordered, the at the settling parties shall file a report by May 9, 2007, describing actions taken to comply with these directives and the results. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
150	03-23-2007	MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is ordered nunc pro tunc amending the March 14, 2007, minute entry to reflect the caption to read In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement proceeding, show that the order cited was entered on May 24, 2006, and incorporate directive paragraphs 5 and 6 of that order. The remainder of the minute entry is unchanged. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 1
151	03-30-2007	LWGU' RESPONSE TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY AND UNITED STATES' JOINT MOTION FOR REQUESTING A SCHEDULING CONFERENCE <u>SUMMARY</u> : Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise ranch, paloma irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and business (Collectively and severally "LWGU") respond to the Gila River Indian community and United States' Motion Requesting a Scheduling Conference <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39L8-36004 <u>PAGES</u> : 4

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
152	03-30-2007	LWGU' RESPONSE TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY AND UNITED STATES' JOINT MOTION FOR REQUESTING A SCHEDULING CONFERENCE <u>SUMMARY</u> : Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise ranch, paloma irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and business (Collectively and severally "LWGU") respond to the Gila River Indian community and United States' Motion Requesting a Scheduling Conference <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39L8-36004 <u>PAGES</u> : 4
153	04-02-2007	NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS <u>SUMMARY</u> : ASARCO LLC gives notice of change of address and firm affiliation for Counsel Of record Michael J. Pearce in this contested case. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
154	04-02-2007	ASARCO LLC'S RESPONSE TO JOINT MOTION REQUESTING SCHEDULING CONFERENCE AND MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OR CONSIDERATION OF FEBRUARY 26, 2007 MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : ASARCO LLC submit its response to the Gila River Indian Community's and united states Joint motion requesting a scheduling conference for an evidentiary hearing on remaining issues concerning objections to the community's Water Rights Settlement Agreement. In conjunction therewith, ASARCO LLC also moves for an order clarifying or reconsidering the Court's February 26, 2007 Minute Entry ruling on ASARCO LLC's Objection and motion for summary Judgment. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 8
155	04-02-2007	MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is ordered setting telephonic conference on February 26, 2007 at 11:30 a.m. (30 minutes allotted) in this division at: Northeast Regional Court Center. 18380 North 40 th Street Courtroom 112, Phoenix, AZ 85032. parties may appear telephonically by calling 602-506-0095 at the above time and date. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
156	04-02-2007	UNITED STATES AND GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S CONSOLIDATED REPLY TO THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS AND ASARCO RESPONSE TO THE REQUEST FOR A SCHEDULING ORDER <u>SUMMARY</u> : The United States and the Gila River Indian Community submit their Consolidated Reply to the Lower Gila Water Users and ASARCO Response to the Request for a Scheduling Order <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78,et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 8
157	04-16-2007	LETTER BY GAY RIBA <u>SUMMARY</u> : Letter mailed by Gay Riba dated 04-15-07. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 6

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

158	04-23-2007	THE APACHE TRIBES' CONSOLIDATED PETITION FOR INTERLOCUTORY REVIEW OF THE ORDERS OF THE SUPERIOR COURT RE: THE APACHE TRIBES' OBJECTIONS TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT
-----	------------	---

SUMMARY: The Apache Tribes' Consolidated Petition for interlocutory review of the orders Of the superior court RE: The Apache Tribes' objections to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

CLAIMANT NO: None Given

PAGES: 30

159	04-23-2007	THE APACHE TRIBES' REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE STAY OF PROCEEDINGS PENDING A DECISION ON THE TRIBES' PETITION FOR INTERLOCUTORY REVIEW OF THE ORDERS OF THE TRIAL COURT RE: THE APACHE TRIBES' OBJECTIONS TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT
-----	------------	---

SUMMARY: The Apache Tribes' Request for Immediate Stay of Proceedings Pending A Decision on the Tribes' Petition for Interlocutory Review of the Orders of the Trial Court RE: The Apache Tribes' Objections to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

CLAIMANT NO: None Given

PAGES: 7

160	04-23-2007	APPENDIX TO THE APACHE TRIBES' CONSOLIDATED PETITION FOR INTERLOCUTORY REVIEW OF THE ORDERS OF THE SUPERIOR COURT RE: THE APACHE TRIBES' OBJECTIONS TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT
-----	------------	---

SUMMARY: Appendix to the Apache Tribes' Consolidated Petition for Interlocutory Review of the orders of the Superior Court RE: The Apache Tribes' Objections to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

CLAIMANT NO: None Given

PAGES: 1000+

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
161	04-26-2007	ORDER <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is ordered that the Honorable A. John Pelander, Chief Judge of the Arizona Court of Appeals, Division Two, be and hereby is designated to sit on the case and participate in this matter until further order of this court. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
162	04-26-2007	ORDER <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is ordered that the requests for stay by LGWU and the apache Tribes shall be considered during conference by the duly comprised water adjudication court on May 22, 2007. Additionally it is further ordered that LGWU and the Apache Tribes shall serve a copy of this order on all parties on the court-approved mailing list for contested case no. W1-207. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
163	05-09-2007	SETTLING PARTIES' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE NOTICE REQUIREMENTS OF THE MAY 24, 2006, ORDER FOR SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Settling parties submit their report on compliance with the notice requirements of the may 24, 2006, Order for special proceedings for consideration of the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78 <u>PAGES</u> : 8 + 228(attachment) = 236(Total)
164	05-17-2007	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY <u>SUMMARY</u> : The undersigned attorneys herby make their appearance as counsel in behalf of the Gila River Indian community, in the above-entitled case, both as to the petition for interlocutory review filed by the Apache tribes and the petition for interlocutory review filed by the lower Gila Water Users. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 3
165	05-17-2007	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY <u>SUMMARY</u> : The undersigned attorneys herby makes his appearance as additional counsel in behalf of the Gila River Indian community, in the above-entitled case, both as to the petition for interlocutory review filed by the Apache tribes and the petition for interlocutory review filed by the lower Gila Water Users. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 5
166	05-22-2007	ASARCO's EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE <u>SUMMARY</u> : ASARCO LLC discloses its expert witness to be called at the evidentiary hearing in this Contested Case. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 5

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No **File Date** **Document Description**

166 **05-29-2007** **LOWER GILA WATER USERS EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE**
SUMMARY: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (severally and collectively "LGWU") disclose their expert witnesses to be called during the evidentiary hearing in this Contested Case.
CLAIMANT NO: 39L8-36004, et al.
PAGES: 6

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
161	04-26-2007	ORDER <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is ordered that the Honorable A. John Pelander, Chief Judge of the Arizona Court of Appeals, Division Two, be and hereby is designated to sit on the case and participate in this matter until further order of this court. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
162	04-26-2007	ORDER <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is ordered that the requests for stay by LGWU and the apache Tribes shall be considered during conference by the duly comprised water adjudication court on May 22, 2007. Additionally it is further ordered that LGWU and the Apache Tribes shall serve a copy of this order on all parties on the court-approved mailing list for contested case no. W1-207. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
163	05-09-2007	SETTLING PARTIES' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE NOTICE REQUIREMENTS OF THE MAY 24, 2006, ORDER FOR SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Settling parties submit their report on compliance with the notice requirements of the may 24, 2006, Order for special proceedings for consideration of the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78 <u>PAGES</u> : 8 + 228(attachment) = 236(Total)
164	05-17-2007	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY <u>SUMMARY</u> : The undersigned attorneys herby make their appearance as counsel in behalf of the Gila River Indian community, in the above-entitled case, both as to the petition for interlocutory review filed by the Apache tribes and the petition for interlocutory review filed by the lower Gila Water Users. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 3
165	05-17-2007	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY <u>SUMMARY</u> : The undersigned attorneys herby makes his appearance as additional counsel in behalf of the Gila River Indian community, in the above-entitled case, both as to the petition for interlocutory review filed by the Apache tribes and the petition for interlocutory review filed by the lower Gila Water Users. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 5
166	05-22-2007	ASARCO's EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE <u>SUMMARY</u> : ASARCO LLC discloses its expert witness to be called at the evidentiary hearing in this Contested Case. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 5

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

167	05-29-2007	LOWER GILA WATER USERS EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE <u>SUMMARY</u> : Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (severally and collectively "LGWU") disclose their expert witnesses to be called during the evidentiary hearing in this Contested Case. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39L8-36004, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 6
-----	------------	--

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
168	05-29-2007	<p>NOTICE OF FILING GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S DISCLOSURE STATEMENT ON THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THE COMMUNITY WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO ESTABLISH MORE EXTENSIVE WATER RIGHTS AT TRIAL THEN AER ESTABLISHED IN ITS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its notice of filing of disclosure Statement on the issue of whether the community would have able to establish more Extensive water rights at trial then are established in its settlement agreement.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 91</p>
169	06-1-2007	<p>ERRATA TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITYS EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 26.1(a)(6)</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits an Errata to its Expert Witness Disclosure Statement Pursuant to rule 26.1(a)(6)</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 7</p>
170	06-1-2007	<p>NOTICE OF FILING GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITYS ERRATA TO ITS EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 26.1(a)(6)</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its notice of filing Errata its expert Witness disclosure statement pursuant to rule 26.1(a)(6)</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
171	06-11-2007	<p>NOTICE OF FIRST DISCOVERY REQUEST OF GILS RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY AND UNITED STATES</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila bend, Arlington Canal Company, enterprise ranch, Paloma Irrigation & drainage district, and various individuals and businesses (collectively and severally "LGWU") give notice of their discovery request of the Gila River Indian Community and the United States.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004 and 39-L8-36005 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
172	06-11-2007	<p>NOTICE OF FIRST DISCOVERY REQUEST OF SALT RIVER PROJECT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively and severally "LGWU") give notice of their discovery request of the Salt River Project.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004 and 39-L8-36005 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
173	06-25-2007	<p>MINUTE ENTRY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: In Re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Settlement Proceedings</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>:4</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
174	07-09-2007	<p>LOWER GILA WATER USERS MOTION FOR EXPEDITED TELEPHONIC HEARING ON THEIR MOTION REGARDING THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively 'LGWU') move for an expedited telephonic hearing relating to their discovery requests of the Arizona Department of Water Resources and for an independent third-party review of settlement.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004 and 39-L8-36005 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
175	07-09-2007	<p>LOWER GILA WATER USERS MOTION TO COMPEL COMPLETE DISCLOSURE OF ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCE'S RECORDS, MOTION FOR INDEPENDENT THIRD-PARTY TECHNICAL REVIEW OF THE SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR DEPOSITIONS OF RICHARD BURTELL AND GREGG HOUTZ</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively 'LGWU') move for the Arizona Department of Water Resources to disclose all records relating to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement, independent third-party review of settlement, and the deposition of Richard Burtell and Gregg Houtz who worked on the settlement and its Technical Assessment.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004 and 39-L8-36005 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 55</p>
176	07-11-2007	<p>MINUTE ENTRY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Settlement Proceedings. It is order granting the motion and setting oral argument on the motion for July 30,2007</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 1</p>
177	07-12-2007	<p>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES OBJECTION TO LOWER GILA WATER USERS MOTION TO COMPEL COMPLETE DISCLOSURE OF ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECORDS AND MOTION FOR DEPOSITION OF GREGG HOUTZ</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Department of Water Resources on behalf of the settling party, State of Arizona, files its objection to the motion to compel complete disclosure of the Arizona Department of Water Resources records and motion to compel the deposition of Gregg Houtz filed by objector lower Gila Water Users.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 12</p>
178	07-12-2007	<p>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RESPONSE TO THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS MOTION FOR EXPEDITED TELEPHONIC HEARING</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Arizona Department of Water Resources, acting as technical advisor to the Court, submits its response to the Lower Gila Water Users' motion for expedited telephonic hearing.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
179	07-12-2007	<p>ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RESPONSE TO THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF DOCUMENTS, MOTION TO DEPOSE RICHARD BURTELL, AND MOTION FOR INDEPENDENT THIRD-PARTY TECHNICAL REVIEW OF THE GRIC SETTLEMENT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Arizona Department of Water Resources, acting as technical advisor to the Court, submits its response to the lower Gila water users' motion to compel disclosure of Documents, motion for deposition of Richard Burtell and motion for independent third-party technical review of the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 73</p>
180	07-13-2007	<p>NOTICE OF LGWU DISCOVERY REPOSE TO DISCOVERY REQUEST OF GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY, UNITED STATES AND SALT RIVER PROJECT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively and severally "LGWU") give notice filing their discovery response to the discovery request of the Gila river Indian Community, the United States and Salt River Project.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004 and 39-L8-36005 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
181	07-13-2007	<p>LGWU DISCOVERY RESPONSE TO DISCOVERY REQUEST OF GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY, UNITED STATES AND SALT RIVER PROJECT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively and severally "LGWU") discovery response to the discovery request of the Gila River Indian Project Community, the United States and Salt River Project served on May 18, 2007.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004 and 39-L8-36005 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 37</p>
182	07-17-2007	<p>LGWU RESPONSE TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS OF THE PHELPS DODGE AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT AND NOTICE OF SERVICE</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively and severally "LGWU") respond to discovery requests of the Phelps Dodge and Roosevelt Water Conservation District.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004 and 39-L8-36005 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 8</p>
183	07-17-2007	<p>LOWER GILA WATER USERS REPLY TO MOTION COMPEL COMPLETE DISCLOSURE OF ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECORDS MOTION FOR INDEPENDENT THIRD-PARTY TECHNICAL REVIEW OF THE SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR DEPOSITIONS OF RICHARD BURTELL AND GREGG HOUTZ</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively and severally "LGWU") reply to their motion for the Arizona Department of Water Resources to disclose all records relating to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement independent third-party review of settlement and the dispositions of Richard Burtell and Gregg Houtz who worked on the settlement and its technical assessment.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004 and 39-L8-36005 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 23</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
184	07-20-2007	<p>SALT RIVER PROJECTS RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS BY LOWER GILA WATER USERS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River submits its responses to the June 8, 2007 discovery requests from the lower Gila Water Users.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 37</p>
185	07-23-2007	<p>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF ASARCO LLC'S RESPONSE TO NON UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES, REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY THE UNITED STATES, THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY AND THE SALT RIVER PROJECT AND ASARCO LLC'S RESPONSE TO NON UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES, REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC hereby gives notice of response to the non-uniform interrogatories, request for admission and requests for production of documents propounded by (1) the United States, the Gila River Indian community and the Salt River Project; and (2) Phelps Dodge Corporation and Roosevelt Water Conservation District.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
186	07-24-2007	<p>NOTICE OF SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: K. Russell Romney is substituted in the place of James M. Flenner, Assistant City Attorney for all further purposes in connection with this case.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
187	07-27-2007	<p>JOINT MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF THE JOINT STIPULATION AND MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR FILING MOTIONS IN <i>LIMINE</i> TO AUGUST 3, 2007</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian community, the United States, Salt River Project, San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District, Phelps Dodge Corporation, Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District, Maricopa Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District, Roosevelt Water Conservation District, Franklin Irrigation District, Gila Valley Irrigation District, and the Lower Gila Water Users file their joint motion for expedited consideration of the joint stipulation and motion to extend the time for filing motions in <i>limine</i> to August 3, 2007.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 6</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
188	07-27-2007	JOINT MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF THE JOINT STIPULATION AND MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR FILING MOTIONS IN <i>LIMINE</i> TO AUGUST 3, 2007 <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian community, the United States, Salt River project, San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District, Phelps Dodge Corporation, Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District, Maricopa Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District, Roosevelt Water Conservation District, Franklin Irrigation District, Gila Valley Irrigation District, and the Lower Gila water users file their joint motion for expedited consideration of the joint stipulation and motion to extend the time for filing motions in <i>limine</i> to August 3, 2007. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78 et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 6
189	07-30-2007	GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE TO THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS' FIRST DISCOVERY REQUEST OF GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY AND UNITED STATES <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community presents its Response to the Lower Gila Water Users' First Discovery Request of Gila River Indian Community and United States. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 44
190	07-30-2007	ORDER <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is ordered the date by which Motions in Limine with regard to the evidentiary hearing in W1-207 is extended until Friday, August 3, 2007. Responses to any motions in Limine must be filed by Wednesday, August 15, 2007. The Evidentiary hearing will commence at 8:30 a.m. on Monday, August 20, 2007. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 1
191	07-30-2007	JOINT PRE-HEARING STATEMENT <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community, the United States, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District and Salt River Valley Water Users' Association [Collectively Salt River Project], San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District, Phelps Dodge Corp., Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District, Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation & Drainage District, Roosevelt Water Conservation District, Gila Valley Irrigation District, Franklin Irrigation District and the Lower Gila Water Users file their joint pre-hearing statement. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78 et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 109

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
192	08-01-2007	MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is ordered granting Motion to Compel Disclosure of documents in part. Ms. Ronald shall provide a privilege log to Mr. Nelson on or before August 6, 2007. In is further ordered granting the Motion to Depose Richard Burtell regarding matters related to the quantity of water to be received by the Gila River Indian Community under the proposed settlement. Mr. Burtell shall submit to this deposition on or before August 10, 2007. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 3
193	08-07-2007	ORDER <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is ordered the date by which motions in Limine with regard to the Evidentiary Hearing in W1-207 is extended until Tuesday, August 7, 2007. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 1
194	08-07-2007	MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community and the United States submit their Motion to exceed the 15 page limitation on two of its Limine filed with the Court on this same day. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 6
195	08-07-2007	MOTION FOR DETERMINATION OF LEGAL ISSUES REGARDING EFFLUENT, AGRICULTURAL RETURN FLOW, AND SURFACE DRAINAGE WATER AND MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community and the United States submit their Motion for Determination of Legal Issues regarding Effluent, Agricultural return Flow, and Surface drainage Water and Motion in Limine to preclude the introduction of certain evidence at the hearing set for August 20, 2007. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 14
196	08-07-2007	MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE ON ISSUES OTHER THAN QUANTITY <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community and the United States submit their Motion in Limine to preclude the introduction of evidence on issues other than quantity at the hearing set for August 20, 2007 <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 25
197	08-07-2007	MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE ALL EVIDENCE REALTING TO SUBPARAGRAPHS 4.4.1, 4.4.2, 4.4.3, 4.4.4, 4.4.6, 4.4.7, 4.4.8, 4.4.10, 4.4.13, 4.4.14, OR 4.4.15 OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community, the United States submit their Motion in Limine to preclude evidence relating to certain portions of Subparagraph 4.4 of the settlement agreement. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 28

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
198	08-07-2007	<p>ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian community and the United States submit their Motion to Exceed the 15 page limitation on two of its Motions in Limine filed with the Court on this same day. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
199	08-03-2007	<p>JOINT STIPULATION AND MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR FILING MOTIONS IN LIMINE TO AUGUST 7, 2007 <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian Community, the United States, Salt River Project, San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District, Phelps Dodge Corporation, Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District, Maricopa Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District, Roosevelt Water Conservation District, Franklin Irrigation District, Gila Valley Irrigation District and The Lower Gila Water Users file their joint Stipulation and Motion To Extend The Time for Filing Motions In <i>Limine</i> to August 7, 2007. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 8</p>
200	08-07-2007	<p>SETTLING PARTIES' MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE TESTIMONY BY STEPHEN D. NOEL <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Settling Parties, as defined in the Joint Pre-Hearing Statement, submit their motion in limine to preclude testimony by Stephen D. Noel, the expert witness listed by the Lower Gila Water Users. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 11 + 80 (attachments) = 91 (total)</p>
201	08-07-2007	<p>NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF RICHARD BURTELL <u>SUMMARY:</u> Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively "LGWU") hereby give notice of the deposition of Richard Burtell. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-L8-36004 and 39-L8-36005 et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
202	08-08-2007	<p>LOWER GILA WATER USERS MOTION ON THE PLEADINGS REGARDING THE ADMISSIONS OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY <u>SUMMARY:</u> Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively "LGWU") file their Motion on the Pleadings regarding the admission of the Gila River Community. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-L8-36004 and 39-L8-36005 et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 6 + 54 (attachments) = 60 (total)</p>
203	08-09-2007	<p>SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM AND PARTIAL WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION // LIMINE TO PRECLUDE ALL EVIDENCE RELATING TO SUBPARAGRAPHS 4.4.1, 4.4.2, 4.4.3, 4.4.4, 4.4.6, 4.4.7, 4.4.8, 4.4.10, 4.4.13, 4.4.14, OR 4.4.15 OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian Community, the United States submit this supplemental memorandum and partial withdrawal of their motion <i>in limine</i> to preclude evidence relating to certain portions of subparagraph 4.4 of the settlement agreement. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 12</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
204	08-09-2007	<p>SUPPLEMENT TO SETTLING PARTIES' MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE TESTIMONY BY STEPHEN D. NOEL</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Settling Parties, as defined in the Joint Pre-Hearing Statement, submit a supplement to their motion in limine to preclude testimony by Stephen D. Noel, the expert witness listed by the Lower Gila Water Users.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3 + 7 (attachments) = 10 (total).</p>
205	08-10-2007	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE TO THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS MOTION FOR EXPEDITED TELEPHONIC HEARING ON THEIR MOTION ON THE PLEADINGS REGARDING THE ADMISSIONS OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its response in opposition to the Lower Gila Water Users Motion on the Pleadings Regarding the Admissions of the Gila River Indian Community.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
206	08-13-2007	<p>SRP's RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF LOWER GILA WATER USERS FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District and The Salt River Valley Water Users' Association (hereinafter "SRP") submit this response Opposing The Lower Gila Water Users' ("LGWU") Motion for judgment on the pleadings, Filed on august 9, 2007. SRP also opposes the LGWU's alternative request for time to amend the pretrial statement, for an extension of the scheduled due date for filing responses to motions in limine, and for an extension of the date of the evidentiary hearing In this special proceeding. Finally, SRP urges the court to hold an expedited hearing on the LGWU Motion and requests for extensions of time, so that they may be expeditiously disposed of.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 5 + 38 (attachments) = 43 (total)</p>
207	08-13-2007	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS' MOTION ON THE ADMISSIONS OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its Response in Opposition to the Lower Gila Water Users' Motion on the Pleadings Regarding the Admissions of the Gila River Indian Community.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 10 + 51 (attachments) = 61 (total).</p>
208	08-13-2007	<p>MINUTE ENTRY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: It is ordered denying their request and the motion without prejudice to the Court permitting the Lower Gila Water Users to amend portions of the pretrial statement or granting other relief. It is further ordered signing the minute entry as an order of the Court.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
209	08-14-2007	<p>LOWER GILA WATER USERS MOTION FOR EXPEDITED TELEPHONIC HEARING ON THEIR MOTION ON THE PLEADINGS REGARDING THE ADMISSIONS OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY.</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively "LGWU") move for an expedited telephonic hearing relating to their Motion on the Pleadings Regarding the Admissions of the Gila River Indian Community.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
210	08-15-2007	<p>LOWER GILA WATER USERS' CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO THE THREE MOTIONS IN LIMINE AND MOTION FOR DETERMINATION OF LEGAL ISSUES OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY AND UNITED STATES</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively "LGWU") file their Consolidated Response to the Motions in Limine and Motion for Determination of Legal Issues of the Gila River Indian Community and United States.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 35</p>
211	08-15-2007	<p>LOWER GILA WATER USERS' REPLY TO THE RESPONSES IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF LGWU FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS REGARDING THE ADMISSIONS OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively "LGWU") file their Reply to the Responses of the Gila River Indian Community and Salt River Project to the LGWU's Motion on the Pleadings regarding the admissions of the Gila River Indian Community.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 7</p>
212	08-15-2007	<p>LOWER GILA WATER USERS' RESPONSE TO SETTLING PARTIES' MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE TESTIMONY BY STEPHEN D. NOEL</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively "LGWU") file their Response to the Settling Parties' Motion in Limine to Preclude Testimony by Stephen D. Noel.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-36004, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 8</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
213	08-15-2007	<p>MOTION AND STIPULATION TO DISMISS ASARCO LLC'S OBJECTIONS TO THE APPROVAL OF THE COMMUNITY'S WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT CURRENTLY SET FOR HEARING ON AUGUST 20, 2007</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Gila River Indian Community, United States of America, San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District, Phelps Dodge Corporation, Salt River project and Agricultural Improvement and Power District and Salt River Valley Water Users' Association, Roosevelt Irrigation (which is a party to the settlement agreement although not a party of this litigation), Roosevelt Water Conservation District, Gila Valley Irrigation District, Arizona Water Company, City of Casa Grande, City of Chandler, City of Coolidge, City of Glendale, City of Goodyear, City of Peoria, City of Phoenix, City of Safford, City of Scottsdale, City of Tempe, Town of Florence, Town of Mammoth, Town of Kearny, Town of Duncan, Town of Gilbert, Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation & Drainage District, Central Irrigation & Drainage District, Hohokam Irrigation & Drainage District Buckeye irrigation, Arizona Game and Fish Commission, and Franklin Irrigation District and ASARCO LLC submit their Motion and Stipulation to Dismiss ASARCO LLC's Objections to the Approval of the Community's Water Rights Settlement Agreement Currently Set for Hearing on August 20, 2007.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-1154-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 7</p>
214	08-15-2007	<p>JOINT STIPULATION AMENDING THE LIST OF EXHIBITS LIST FOR THE W1-207 EVIDENTIARY HEARING</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community and the Lower Gila Water Users file their Joint Stipulation Amending the Exhibit List for the W1-207 Evidentiary Hearing.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-1154-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 13</p>
215	08-16-2007	<p>MINUTE ENTRY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The request to preclude the testimony of Stephen D. Noel is denied subject to any limitations the Court may impose upon the scope of Mr. Noel's testimony.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 1</p>
216	08-16-2007	<p>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS' MOTION ON THE PLEADINGS REGARDING THE ADMISSIONS OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its response in Opposition to the Lower Gila Water Users' Motion on the Pleadings Regarding the Admissions of the Gila River Indian Community.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 60</p>
217	08-20-2007	<p>MINUTE ENTRY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The court has considered the memoranda filed in support of, and opposition to the Motion for Determination of Legal issues filed by various parties, including the Gila River Indian Community, as well as the case law applicable to the requested relief.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
218	08-20-2007	MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is Ordered granting the Motion in Limine, in part. Those participating in the August 20, 2007 hearing will only be permitted to present evidence the Court deems relevant to the inquiry set forth. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
219	08-20-2007	MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Court has reviewed the materials related the Motion in Limine seeking to preclude evidence regarding issues raised in certain subparagraphs in the Amended and Restated Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement"). <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2
220	08-22-2007	NOTICE OF LODGING OF REVISED PROPOSED JUDGMENT AND DECREE <u>SUMMARY</u> : Gila River Indian Community, San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District, Salt River project and Agricultural Improvement and Power District and Salt River Valley Water Users' Association, Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation & Drainage District, AND Central Arizona Water Conservation District file this Notice of Lodging of a Revised Proposed Judgment and Decree. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 18
221	08-23-2007	LOWER GILA WATER USER'S PREHEARING MEMORANDUM ON PRIORITY AND OTHER ATTRIBUTES OF WATER RIGHTS RELATING TO THE QUANTITY IN THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT <u>SUMMARY</u> : Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively "LGWU") file their Prehearing Memorandum. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-36004, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 8
222	08-23-2007	LOWER GILA WATER USER'S RESPONSE AND OBJECTION TO THE REVISED PROPOSED JUDGMENT AND DECREE AS LODGED ON AUGUST 22, 2007 <u>SUMMARY</u> : Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively "LGWU") file their Response objecting to the Revised Proposed Judgment and Decree on August 22, 2007. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-36004, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 20
223	08-24-2007	MINUTE ENTRY <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is Ordered that counsel for the Gila River Indian Community shall submit a Form of Decree in Word from via electronic transmission. It is further ordered releasing all exhibits not offered in evidence to the party causing them to be marked. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 3

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
224	08-29-2007	<p>REPLY TO LGWU RESPONSE TO THE REVISED PROPOSED JUDGMENT AND DECREE BY GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY, THE UNITED STATES, AND THE SALT RIVER PROJECT</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Gila River Indian Community, United States of America, San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District, Salt River project and Agricultural Improvement and Power District and Salt River Valley Water Users' Association, Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation & Drainage District, and Central Arizona Water Conservation District file this Notice of Lodging of a Revised Proposed Judgment and Decree.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 8</p>
225	08-30-2007	<p>PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO LOWER GILA WATER USERS' OBJECTION TO THE REVISED PROPOSED FORM OF JUDGMENT AND DECREE AS LODGED ON AUGUST 22, 2007</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Phelps Dodge Corporation and Roosevelt Water Conservation District file their response to the Lower Gila Water Users' Objection to the form of judgment submitted by the Gila River Indian Community and other settling parties on August 22, 2007.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-62935, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 8</p>
226	09-13-2007	<p>MINUTE ENTRY</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: It is ordered overruling the objections except to the extent reflected in the Court's revisions to the form of judgment previously lodged. It is further ordered signing this minute entry as a formal Order of the Court.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
227	09-13-2007	<p>JUDGMENT AND DECREE</p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Judgment and Decree.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 6</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
228	09-27-2007	GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S STATEMENT COSTS AND NOTICE OF TAXATION OF COSTS AGAINST THE LOWER GILA RIVER WATER USERS <u>SUMMARY</u> : Statement of costs and notice of taxation of costs. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 21
229	09-28-2007	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S STATEMENT OF COSTS AND NOTICE OF TAXATION OF COSTS AGAINST THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community submits its Certificate of Service of Its Statement of Costs and Notice of taxation of Costs Against the Lower Gila Water users. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 23
230	10-03-2007	TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS IN THE FORM OF ATTORNEYS FEES AGAINST THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS <u>SUMMARY</u> : Attachments "E". Packet 3 of 3. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 25
231	10-03-2007	TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS IN THE FORM OF ATTORNEYS FEES AGAINST THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS <u>SUMMARY</u> : Attachments "B, C, and D". Packet 2 of 3. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 33
232	10-03-2007	TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS IN THE FORM OF ATTORNEYS FEES AGAINST THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS <u>SUMMARY</u> : Attachments "A". Packet 1 of 3. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 25
233	10-03-2007	GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS IN THE FORM OF ATTORNEYS FEES AGAINST THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community submits Motion for Sanctions against the Lower Gila Water Users [Arlington, Enterprise, PIDD-Paloma, Town of Gila Bend, Gila Growers Gin, Delmar John, John Farms Partnership, John Farms LLP, Gary Gable, Warren Cater Gable]. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 16
234	10-04-2007	LOWER GILA WATER USERS' OBJECTION TO STATEMENT OF COSTS OF THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY, MOTION TO STRIKE AND RULE 11 SANCTIONS <u>SUMMARY</u> : Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively "LWGU") file their Objection to Statement of Costs of the Gila River Indian Community. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-36004, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 9

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
235	10-10-2007	GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S REPLY TO LGWU'S OBJECTIONS TO COSTS & RESPONSE TO LGWU'S MOTION TO STRIKE AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community submits its Reply to the Lower Gila Water Users Objections to the Community's Request for Costs and Response to the Lower Gila Water Users Motion to Strike and Motion for Rule 11 Sanctions. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 9
236	10-17-2007	MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION <u>SUMMARY</u> : Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively "LWGU") hereby submit their Motion to Exceed Page Limitation for filing their Response to the Motion for Sanctions by the Gila River Indian Community and Reply to LGWU's Motion to Strike and Motion for Sanctions relating to GRIC's statement of costs. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-36004, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 3
237	10-17-2007	LOWER GILA WATER USERS' RESPONSE TO GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS IN THE FORM OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AGAINST THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS AND REPLY TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE TO LGWU'S MOTION TO STRIKE AND MOTION FOR SANCTIONS. <u>SUMMARY</u> : : Town of Gila Bend, Arlington Canal Company, Enterprise Ranch, Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District, and various individuals and businesses (collectively "LWGU") file their Response to the Gila River Indian Community's Motion for Sanctions and Reply to GRIC's Response to the LGWU's Motion to Strike and Motion for Sanctions. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-L8-36005, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 25
238	10-23-2007	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION <u>SUMMARY</u> : It is hereby ordered granting the Lower Gila Water Users leave to exceed the 15 page limitation for their consolidated Response to the Motion for Sanctions filed by the Gila River Indian Community ("GRIC") and Reply to LGWU's Motion to Strike and Motion for Sanctions relating to GRIC's statement of costs, submitted on October 17, 2007. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 1
239	10-24-2007	GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S REPLY TO THE LOWER GILA WATER USERS' RESPONSE TO THE COMMUNITY'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS IN THE FORM OF ATTORNEY FEES <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Gila River Indian Community submits its Reply to the Lower Gila Water Users' Response to the Community's Motion for Sanctions in the form of attorney's fees. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 13 + 100 or more pages.

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-207

In re Proposed Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
240	12-11-2007	ASARCO LLC'S PETITION FOR INTERLOCUTORY REVIEW <u>SUMMARY</u> : ASARCO LLC's Petition for Interlocutory review. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 100 or more
241	12-12-2007	APACHE TRIBE'S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT ORIGINAL PETITION FOR INTERLOCUTORY REVIEW RE: FINAL JUDGMENT AND DECREE, ENTERED SEPTEMBER 14, 2007 <u>SUMMARY</u> : Apache Tribes' Motion to supplement original petition for interlocutory review Re: Final Judgment and Decree, entered September 14, 2007 <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 14