

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
031	01-17-2006	<p><b>APPLICATION FOR EX PARTE ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND AMENDING MAILING LIST</b>  <b>SUMMARY:</b> Terry Goddard, Attorney General of Arizona, hereby applies ex parte for an order approving the substitution of Assistant Attorney Mary Mangotich Grier for Assistant Attorneys General Graham M. Clark, Jr. and Courtney A. Fligeltaub, as counsel for the Defendant State of Arizona in its capacity as a claimant in the above-captioned proceeding, and directing that Mary Mangotich Grier be added to the official mailing list in this proceeding.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
032	01-31-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF FILING ASARCO LLC'S, ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES COPORATION'S, ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S , THE CITY OF PRESCOTT'S, AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S JOINT INITIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b>  <b>SUMMARY:</b> ASARCO LLC, Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation, Arizona Water Company, the City of Prescott, and Tucson Electric Power Company notify claimants on the court-approved mailing list of In re State Trust Lands that these Opposing Claimants filed their joint initial disclosure statement with the Court, served their joint initial disclosure statement with the Court, served their joint initial disclosure statement on the court-approved mailing list for these contested cases, and submitted their joint initial disclosure statement and disclosed documents to the Arizona Department of Water Resources.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-08-62699, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
033	01-31-2006	<p><b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S INITIAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b>  <b>SUMMARY:</b> The Gila River Indian Community submits its initial Rule 26.1 disclosure statement in In re State Trust Lands.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 32</p>
034	01-31-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF INITIAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND NOTICE OF FILING THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OF BELLA VISTA WATER CO., INC., PUEBLO DEL SOL WATER COMPANY AND THE CITY OF SIERRA VISTA</b>  <b>SUMMARY:</b> Notice of Service of the Initial Disclosure Statement Bella Vista Water Co., Inc., Pueblo Del Sol Water Company and the City of Sierra Vista.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
035	01-31-2006	<p><b>JOINDER IN JOINT INITIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OF ASARCO LLC, ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION, ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, THE CITY OF PRESCOTT, AND TUCSON ELECTRIC COMPANY, FILED PURSUANT TO RULE 26.1, ARIZ. R. CIV. P., AND SALT RIVER PROJECT'S INITIAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Flagstaff, Rio Rico Properties, Inc., City of Safford, and Paloma Irrigation and Drainage District join in initial disclosure statements filed by ASARCO LLC, Arizona Water Company, Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation, City of Prescott, Tucson Electric Power Company and Salt River Project.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U8-40757, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
036	01-31-2006	<p><b>ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S AND ASARCO LLC'S NOTICE OF SERVICE OF:</b></p> <p><b>(1) FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION;</b></p> <p><b>(2) FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES; AND</b></p> <p><b>(3) FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><b>UPON THE STATE OF ARIZONA'S LAND DEPARTMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation and ASARCO LLC, notify claimants on the court-approved mailing list of In re State Trust Lands that these claimants served the following on the Arizona State Land Department: 1) first set of requests for admission; 2) first set of interrogatories; and 3) first set of request for production of documents in accordance with the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-u8-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
037	01-31-2006	<p><b>CITIES' INITIAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND NOTICE OF FILING DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") submit their Initial Rule 26.1 Disclosure Statement.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-37521, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 6</p>
038	01-31-2006	<p><b>INITIAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OF CITY OF PHOENIX AND NOTICE OF FILING DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Phoenix submits its initial Disclosure Statement in this contested case.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-7927, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 5</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
039	01-31-2006	<p><b>JOINT INITIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OF ASARCO LLC, ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION, ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, THE CITY OF PRESCOTT, AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, FILED PURSUANT TO RULE 26.1, ARIZ. R. CIV. P.</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC, Arizona Water Company, Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation, the City of Prescott, and Tucson Electric Power Company, file their initial disclosure statement pursuant to Rule 26.1, Ariz. R. Civ. P., and Special Master's May 19, 2005, Scheduling Order.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 26</p>
040	01-31-2006	<p><b>BHP COPPER, INC.'S NOTICE OF SERVICE OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: BHP Copper Inc. submit its Notice of Service of Discovery Requests.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-0003142, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
041	01-31-2006	<p><b>BHP COPPER INC.'S INITIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND NOTICE OF SERVICE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: BHP Copper Inc. submits its Initial Disclosure Statement pursuant to Arizona Rule of Civil Procedure 26.1 and the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-0003142, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 15+3(attachments)=18(total)</p>
042	01-31-2006	<p><b>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S INITIAL RULE 26.1 STATEMENT AND NOTICE THEREOF</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project submits its initial Rule 26.1 disclosure statement.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 29+14(attachments)=43(total).</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
043	01-31-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF FILING INITIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OF THE SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE, YAVAPAI-APACHE NATION, TONTO APACHE TRIBE AND PASCUA-YAQUI TRIBE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The San Carlos Apache Tribe, Yavapai-Apache Nation, Tonto Apache Tribe and Pascua-Yaqui Tribe file their joint Notice of Filing Initial Disclosure Statement in <i>In re State Trust Lands</i>, Contested Case No. W1-104.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
044	01-31-2006	<p><b>INITIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OF THE SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE, YAVAPAI-APACHE NATION, TONTO APACHE TRIBE, AND PASCUA-YAQUI TRIBE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The San Carlos Apache Tribe, Yavapai-Apache Nation, Tonto Apache Tribe and Pascua-Yavapai Tribe file their joint Initial Disclosure Statement in <i>In re State Trust Lands</i>, Contested Case No. W1-104.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 11</p>
045	01-31-2006	<p><b>CITY OF GOODYEAR'S NOTICE OF NON-FILING OF DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Goodyear files its Notice of Non-Filing of Disclosure Statement as required by Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-37573, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
046	01-31-2006	<p><b>INITIAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY, PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT AND NOTICE OF FILING DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Public Service Company, Phelps Dodge Corporation and Roosevelt Water Conservation District submit their initial Disclosure Statement in this contested case.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 9+8(attachments)=17(total)</p>
047	02-01-2006	<p><b>UNITED STATES' NOTICE OF FILING INITIAL DISCLOSURES</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The United States' provides notice of filing initial disclosures with the Arizona Department of Water Resources pursuant to Arizona Rule of Civil Procedure 26.1 and the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005 and amended Order dated December 19, 2005.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>

**MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT**

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>048</b>	<b>02-01-2006</b>	<p><b>UNITED STATES' INITIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> The United States' initial disclosure statement pursuant to Arizona Rule of Civil Procedure 26.1 and the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005 as amended by Order dated December 19, 2005.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 7</p>
<b>049</b>	<b>02-01-2006</b>	<p><b>DISCLOSURES OF THE NAVAJO NATION PURSUANT TO THE SCHEDULING ORDER (May 19, 2005)</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Navajo Nation makes disclosures pursuant to the Scheduling Order (May 19, 2005)  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 10</p>
<b>050</b>	<b>02-01-2006</b>	<p><b>BHP COPPER, INC.'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES TO THE STATE OF ARIZONA</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure 26, 33.1, 34 and the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005 ("Scheduling Order"), BHP Copper Inc. ("BHP") requests that the State of Arizona (the "State") produce the designated documents named below for inspection and copying by BHP and answer the following Interrogatories, under oath by March 17, 2006. For the purposes of these Requests for the Production of Documents and Non-Uniform Interrogatories, definitions and instructions have been submitted.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 7</p>
<b>051</b>	<b>02-01-2006</b>	<p><b>EXHIBIT A TO STATE'S NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO OPPOSING CLAIMANTS</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Pursuant to Rules 26, 33, and 34, Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that the above-named Opposing Claimant, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the following non-uniform interrogatories, and produce each of the documents described hereinafter for inspection and copying by the State, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, or at such other time and place, within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 23</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

---

052	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that BHP Copper, Inc., on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
-----	------------	--

053	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that City of Glendale, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
-----	------------	--

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
054	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that City of Sierra Vista, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
055	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that City of Chandler, Inc., on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
056	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that City of Mesa, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
057	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Pueblo Del Sol Water Company, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
058	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Town of Clarkdale, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

---

059	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
-----	------------	--

060	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Franklin Irrigation District, on or before March 15, 2006, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
-----	------------	---

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
061	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that City of Flagstaff, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
062	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Rio Rico Properties, Inc., on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

---

063	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Rio Rico Utilities, Inc., on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
-----	------------	--

064	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that City of Show Low, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
-----	------------	--

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
065	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Bella Vista Water Company, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
066	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that City of Cottonwood, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
067	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that City of Safford, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
068	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Arizona Public Service Company, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

---

069	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Phelps Dodge Corporation, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
-----	------------	--

070	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that City of Phoenix, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
-----	------------	---

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

---

071	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Roosevelt Water Conservation District, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
-----	------------	---

072	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
-----	------------	--

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

---

073	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Salt River Valley Water Users Association, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
-----	------------	---

074	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Gila Valley Irrigation District, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
-----	------------	---

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
075	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that City of Scottsdale, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
076	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Town of Jerome, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
077	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Town of Gilbert, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
078	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that Maricopa Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
079	02-01-2006	<p><b>STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the State of Arizona acting by and through the Arizona State Land Department ("State") hereby requests that City of Sedona, on or before March 15, 2006, answer, in writing and under oath, the non-uniform interrogatories, and produce for inspection and copying by the State each of the documents, stated or described on Exhibit A to State's Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants ("Exhibit A") which is attached hereto, with such production and copying to continue from day-to-day thereafter for so long as the examination and copying of such documents may be required, at the offices of the Attorney General, or at such other time and place within the jurisdiction of the Court, as are mutually agreeable to the parties.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
080	02-01-2006	<p><b>ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S AND ASARCO LLC'S NOTICE OF SERVICE OF:</b></p> <p><b>(1)FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION;</b></p> <p><b>(2)FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES; AND</b></p> <p><b>(3)FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS SERVED UPON THE STATE OF ARIZONA'S LAND DEPARTMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation and ASARCO LLC, notify claimants on the court-approved mailing list of In re State Trust Lands that these claimants served the following on the Arizona State Land Department: 1) first set of requests for admission; 2) first set of interrogatories; and 3) first set of request for production of documents in accordance with the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-U8-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 17</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
081	02-07-2006	<p><b>JOINDER IN INITIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY, PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Town of Jerome, the Town of Clarkdale, the City of Cottonwood(collectively, the "Verde Valley Communities") and the Gila Valley Irrigation District, the Franklin Irrigation District (collectively, the "Upper Valley Irrigation Districts"), and the Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District and Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District: ("Central Valley Irrigation Districts"), join in the Initial Disclosure Statement filed by counsel for the Arizona Public Service Company, Phelps Dodge Corporation and Roosevelt Water Conservation District this date.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-47811, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
082	02-08-2006	<p><b>JOINDER IN SALT RIVER PROJECT'S INITIAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND NOTICE THEREOF</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District and Maricopa County Municipal Water Conservation District No. 1 join in the Initial Rule 26.1 Disclosure Statement filed on January 31, 2006 by the Salt River Project.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: U8-63606, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
083	02-14-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS (COPY)</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Arizona Department of Water Resources, technical advisor to the Court in the above referenced matter, hereby gives notice of a new mailing address, effective immediately. The new address is:</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Arizona Department of Water Resources Legal Division 3550 North Central Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Phoenix, AZ 85012</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
084	02-15-2006	<p><b>APPLICATION FOR EX PARTE ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Chandler, by its undersigned attorney, hereby gives notice that Michael D. House is hereby substituted for and in the place of Dennis M. O'Neill as City Attorney for the City of Chandler in the above captioned proceedings.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
085	03-01-2006	<p><b>ORDER SUBSTITUTING COUNSEL</b></p> <p>SUMMARY: IT IS ORDERED removing Dennis M. O'Neill from the court-approved mailing list and adding Michael D. House, City Attorney, City of Chandler, City Attorney's Office, P.O. Box 4008, Mail Stop 602, Chandler, Arizona 85244-4008; IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Cynthia J. Haglin, Assistant City Attorney, shall also continue to serve as counsel of record in the above-entitled actions.</p> <p>CLAIMANT NO: None Given</p> <p>PAGES: 1</p>
086	03-17-2006	<p><b>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S ANSWERS TO STATE'S STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p>SUMMARY: Arizona Public Service Company submits its Answers to the State's First Set of Non-Uniform Interrogatories and its Response to the State's Requests for Production of Documents.</p> <p>CLAIMANT NO: 39-34900, et al.</p> <p>PAGES: 5</p>
087	03-17-2006	<p><b>PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S ANSWERS TO STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p>SUMMARY: Phelps Dodge Corporation submits its Answers to the State's First Set of Non-Uniform Interrogatories and its Response to the State's Requests for Production of Documents.</p> <p>CLAIMANT NO: 39-62935, et al.</p> <p>PAGES: 5</p>
088	03-17-2006	<p><b>ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT'S ANSWERS TO STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p>SUMMARY: Roosevelt Water Conservation District submits its Answers to the State's First Set of Non-Uniform Interrogatories and its Response to the State's Requests for Production of Documents.</p> <p>CLAIMANT NO: 39-3421, et al.</p> <p>PAGES: 5</p>
089	03-17-2006	<p><b>CITY OF PHOENIX ANSWERS TO STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p>SUMMARY: The City of Phoenix submits its Answers to the State's First Set of Non-Uniform Interrogatories and its Response to the State's Requests for Production of Documents.</p> <p>CLAIMANT NO: 39-07-7927, et al.</p> <p>PAGES: 4</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
090	03-17-2006	<p><b>JOINDER IN INITIAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY, PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION AND ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Show Low joins in the Initial Disclosure Statement filed by Counsel for the Arizona Public Service Company, Phelps Dodge Corporation and Roosevelt Water Conservation District this date.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-84279, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
091	03-20-2006	<p><b>SALT RIVER PROJECT'S ANSWERS TO STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Salt River Project submits its Answers to the State's First Set of Non-Uniform Interrogatories and its Response to the State's Requests for Production of Documents.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-1040, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 4+7(attachments)=11(total).</p>
092	03-20-2006	<p><b>THE CITIES' ANSWERS TO STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa and Scottsdale ("Cities") submit their Answers to the State's First Set of Non-Uniform Interrogatories and its Response to the State's Requests for Production of Documents.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-37521, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 8</p>
093	03-20-2006	<p><b>BHP COPPER INC.'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF ARIZONA'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: BHP Copper Inc.'s Response to the State of Arizona's First Request for Production of Documents and Non-Uniform Interrogatories.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-0003142, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 4</p>
094	03-22-2006	<p><b>CITY OF PHOENIX ERRATA TO ANSWERS TO STATE'S FIRST SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The City of Phoenix submits its Errata to include the Verification of Tom Buschatzke, Water Resources Management Advisor, to the City of Phoenix' Answers to the State's First Set of Non-Uniform Interrogatories and its Response to the State's Requests for Production of Documents which was filed on March 17, 2006.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-7927, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
095	03-27-2006	<p><b>EX PARTE ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND AMENDING MAILING LIST</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> IT IS ORDERED approving the substitution of Assistant Attorney General Mary Mangotich Grier for Graham M. Clark, Jr. and Courtney A. Fligeltau, as counsel for the State of Arizona as a claimant in these proceedings. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the mailing list in this proceeding shall be amended to delete the names and address presently listed for Graham M. Clark, Jr. and Courtney A. Fligeltau and to add in place thereof the following:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Mary Mangotich Grier Assistant Attorney General Natural Resources Section Office of the Attorney General 1275 W. Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2926</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
096	03-28-2006	<p><b>ANSWER TO STATE’S NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO OPPOSING CLAIMANTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Flagstaff answers the State’s Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U8-40757 <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
097	03-28-2006	<p><b>ANSWER TO STATE’S NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO OPPOSING CLAIMANTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The City of Safford answers the State’s Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U8-63161, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
098	03-28-2006	<p><b>ANSWER TO STATE’S NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO OPPOSING CLAIMANTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Rio Rico Properties, Inc. answers the State’s Non-Uniform Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents to Opposing Claimants. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-09-7774, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
099	04-04-2006	<p><b>EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Moyes Storey Ltd. Applies to the Court for leave to withdraw as counsel of record in these matters for Rio Rico Utilities Inc.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-09-76107, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
100	04-05-2006	<p><b>APPLICATION TO WITHDRAW FROM CONTESTED CASE PROCEEDINGS</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. applies to withdraw as a party to this Contest Case.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-09-76107, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
101	04-06-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF DEPOSITION PURSUANT TO RULE 30(b)(6)</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Notice of Deposition Pursuant to Ariz. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6).  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-0003142, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 6</p>
102	04-07-2006	<p><b>INITIAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND NOTICE OF FILING THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OF BELLA VISTA WATER CO., INC., PUEBLO DEL SOL WATER COMPANY AND THE CITY OF SIERRA VISTA</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Bella Vista Water Co., Inc., Pueblo Del Sol Water Company and the City of Sierra Vista provide their initial Disclosure Statement.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 7</p>
103	04-07-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF DEPOSITION</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Notice of Deposition of Commissioner Mark Winkleman.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-0003142, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
104	04-13-2006	<p><b>RETURNED MAIL</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Undeliverable mail Re: Ex Parte Order Approving Substitution of Counsel and Amending Mailing List  File Date: 06-13-2003  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Applicable  <u>PAGES:</u> Envelope containing the returned mail (1)</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>105</b>	<b>04-26-2006</b>	<b>APPLICATION FOR EX PARTE ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND AMENDING MAILING LIST</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Terry Goddard hereby applies ex parte for an order approving the substitution of Assistant Attorney Mary Mangotich Grier for Assistant Attorneys General Graham M. Clark, Jr. and Courtney A. Fligeltaub, as counsel for the Defendant State of Arizona in its capacity as a claimant in the above-captioned proceeding, and directing that Mary Mangotich Grier be added to the official mailing list in this proceeding. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 3
<b>106</b>	<b>04-26-2006</b>	<b>EX PARTE ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND AMENDING MAILING LIST</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : IT IS ORDERED approving the substitution of Assistant Attorney General Mary Mangotich Grier for Graham M. Clark, Jr. and Courtney A. Fligeltaub, as counsel for the State of Arizona as a claimant in these proceedings. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 2

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
107	04-27-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OF PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Phelps Dodge Corporation submits its Notice of Service of First Supplemental Rule 26.1 Disclosure Statement in this contested case.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-62935, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
108	05-08-2006	<p><b>ORDER APPROVING APPLICATION TO WITHDRAW FROM CONTESTED CASE PROCEEDINGS</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> IT IS HEREBY ORDERED withdrawing Rio Rico Utilities Inc. as a party to Contested Case Nos. W1-104 and 6417-100, In re State Trust Lands.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> Not Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
109	05-10-2006	<p><b>APPLICATION FOR EX PARTE ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND AMENDING MAILING LIST (COPY)</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Terry Goddard, Attorney General of Arizona, hereby applies ex parte for an order approving the substitution of Assistant Attorney Gernal Patrick B. Sigl for Assistant Attorneys General Mary Mangotich Grier and Shanti A. Rosset, as counsel for the Defendant State of Arizona in its capacity as a claimant in the above-captioned pceeding, and directing that Patrick B. Sigl be added to the official mailing list in this proceeding.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
110	05-16-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF LOCATION OF JUNE 20, 2006 STATUS CONFERENCE</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Special Master informs of the courtroom location of the status conference previously set on Tuesday, June 20, 2006, at 9:00 a.m.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
111	05-18-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> United States' Notice of Change of Address  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
112	05-19-2006	<p><b>STATE'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> Motion by State of Arizona seeking leave to amend its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 4 + attachments</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
113	05-19-2006	<p><b>SEPARATE STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA'S AMENDED MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The State of Arizona submits its Separate Statement of Facts in support of its motion for partial summary judgment establishing the existence of federal reserved water rights for state trust lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 13</p>
114	05-19-2006	<p><b>AFFIDAVIT OF CYNTHIA J. STEFANOVIC IN SUPPORT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA'S AMENDED MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Cynthia J. Stefanovic provides testimony by affidavit in support of the State of Arizona's motion for partial summary judgment establishing the existence of implied federal reserved water rights for state trust lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 5</p>
115	05-30-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO STATE'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation respond to the State's Motion for Leave to Amend Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 6</p>
116	05-31-2006	<p><b>ORDER APPROVING EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED withdrawing Lee Storey and Steve Wene of the Moyes Storey Law Firm as counsel of record for Rio Rico Utilities Inc. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED adding Donald R. Baker, Vice President and General Manager of Rio Rico Utilities, Inc. to the Court's mailing list.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
117	05-31-2006	<p><b>MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The United States' motion for an extension of time to June 30, 2006 to file motions for summary judgment.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
118	05-19-2006	<p><b>STATE OF ARIZONA'S AMENDED MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ESTABLISHING THE EXISTENCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The State of Arizona moves for entry of partial summary judgment establishing the existence of federal reserved water rights for State Trust Lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 15</p>
119	06-05-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S JOINDER IN UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED DETERMINATION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation join in the Motion for Extension of Time for Filing Motions for Summary Judgment, filed by the United States and dated May 31, 2006, and request expedited determination of that Motion.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
120	06-05-2006	<p><b>ORDER APPROVING SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL AND AMENDING MAILING LIST</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED approving the substitution of Assistant Attorney General Patrick B. Sigl for Assistant Attorneys General Mary Mangotich Grier and Shanti A. Rosset, as counsel for the State of Arizona as a claimant in these proceedings. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the mailing list in this proceeding shall be amended to delete the names and address presently listed for Assistant Attorneys General Mary Mangotich Grier and Shanti A. Rosset, and to add in place thereof the following:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Patrick B. Sigl Assistant Attorney General Natural Resources Section Office of the Attorney General 1275 W. Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2926</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
121	06-06-2006	<p><b>MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Motion for Extension of Time for Filing Motions for Summary Judgment.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
122	06-09-2006	<p><b>JOINT MOVANTS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO STATE OF ARIZONA'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Joint Movants submit their Response in opposition to the State of Arizona's Motion for Leave to Amend Motion for Partial Summary Judgment filed May 19, 2006. The Joint Movants include Arizona Public Service Company; BHP Copper Inc.; Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District; the Cities of Chandler, Cottonwood, Glendale, Mesa, Phoenix, Scottsdale, and Show Low; Franklin Irrigation District; Gila Valley Irrigation District; Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation &amp; Drainage District; Phelps Dodge Corporation; Roosevelt Water Conservation District; Salt River Valley Water Users' Association; and the Towns of Clarkdale and Jerome.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 14+10(attachments)=24(total)</p>
123	06-09-2006	<p><b>JOINT MOVANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Joint Movants submit their motion for summary judgment with respect to the State of Arizona's claims to federal reserved water rights for state trust lands. The Joint Movants include Arizona Public Service Company; BHP Copper Inc.; Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District; the Cities of Chandler, Cottonwood, Glendale Mesa, Phoenix, Scottsdale, and Show Low; Franklin Irrigation District; Gila Valley Irrigation District; Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation &amp; Drainage District; Phelps Dodge Corporation; Roosevelt Water Conservation District; Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District; Salt River Valley Water Users' Association; and the Towns of Clarkdale and Jerome.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 35+7(attachments)=42(total)</p>
124	06-09-2006	<p><b>JOINT MOVANTS' MOTION OT EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Joint Movants submit their motion to exceed the page limitation for purposes of their motion for summary judgment with respect to the State of Arizona's claims to federal reserved water rights for state trust lands. The Joint Movants include Arizona Public Service Company; BHP Copper Inc.; Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District; the Cities of Chandler, Cottonwood, Glendale Mesa, Phoenix, Scottsdale, and Show Low; Franklin Irrigation District; Gila Valley Irrigation District; Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation &amp; Drainage District; Phelps Dodge Corporation; Roosevelt Water Conservation District; Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District; Salt River Valley Water Users' Association; and the Towns of Clarkdale and Jerome.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 4+11(attachments) = 15(total)</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
125	06-09-2006	<p><b>JOINT MOVANTS' SEPARATE STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Joint Movants submit their separate statement of facts in support of their motion for summary judgment with respect to the State of Arizona's claims to federal reserved water rights for state trust lands. The Joint Movants include Arizona Public Service Company; BHP Copper Inc.; Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District; the Cities of Chandler, Cottonwood, Glendale Mesa, Phoenix, Scottsdale, and Show Low; Franklin Irrigation District; Gila Valley Irrigation District; Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation &amp; Drainage District; Phelps Dodge Corporation; Roosevelt Water Conservation District; Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District; Salt River Valley Water Users' Association; and the Towns of Clarkdale and Jerome.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 27 + 712(attachments)=739(total)</p>
126	06-09-2006	<p><b>RESPONSE OF THE NAVAJO NATION TO UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Navajo Nation files its response to the United States' Motion for Extension of Time for Filing Motions for Summary Judgment (May 31, 2006).</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
127	06-12-2006	<p><b>ORDER POSTPONING TIME FOR OTHER PARTIES TO FILE MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DENYING REQUEST TO CHANGE STATUS CONFERENCE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Special Master postpones the June 9, 2006, deadline for other parties to file motions for summary judgment and denies a request to change the status conference set on June 20, 2006.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
128	06-16-2006	<p><b>MOTION OF THE NAVAJO NATION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT WATER RIGHTS FOR THE ARIZONA STATE TRUST LANDS MUST BE OBTAINED PURSUANT TO STATE LAW</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Navajo Nation files its motion for summary judgment pursuant to the Scheduling Order(May 19, 2005).</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
129	06-16-2006	<p><b>BRIEF OF THE NAVAJO NATION IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT TAT WATER RIGHTS FOR THE ARIZONA STATE TRUST LANDS MUST BE OBTAINED PURSUANT TO STATE LAW</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Navajo Nation files its brief in support of its motion for summary judgment pursuant to the Scheduling Order (May 19, 2005).</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

---

PAGES: 32

- |     |            |  |
|-----|------------|--|
| 130 | 06-16-2006 | <p><b>STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF NAVAJO NATION'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b><br/> <u>SUMMARY</u>: The Navajo Nation files its statement of undisputed material facts in support of its motion for summary judgment pursuant to the Scheduling Order (May 19, 2005).<br/> <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given<br/> <u>PAGES</u>: 5</p>   |
| 131 | 06-16-2006 | <p><b>NAVAJO NATION'S MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION</b><br/> <u>SUMMARY</u>: The Navajo Nation files its motion to exceed page limitation.<br/> <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given<br/> <u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>   |
| 132 | 06-27-2006 | <p><b>ORDER SETTING THE NEW TIMES FOR FILING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, RESPONSES, AND REPLIES; SETTING ORAL ARGUMENT ON ALL SUMARRY JUDGEMENT MOTIONS; DIRECTING ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES AND DISCOVERY; AND REMOVING A PARTY FROM THE MAILING LISTS</b><br/> <u>SUMMARY</u>: The special master sets a briefing schedule for the State of Arizona's motion for leave to amend; sets new times for filing motions for summary judgment, requires additional disclosures by the State of Arizona and allows discovery; and removes a party from the Court-approved mailing lists.<br/> <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given<br/> <u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>   |
| 133 | 06-27-2006 | <p><b>MINUTE ENTRY</b><br/> <u>SUMMARY</u>: The last day for filing Responses to the motion for leave to amend is June 28, 2006. The State may file a Reply by July 24, 2006. The Special Master will rule on the motion for leave to amend by August 11, 2006. The deadline to file Motions for Summary Judgment is extended to June 30, 2006. The State shall have until Friday, July 7, 2006, to file additional disclosure regarding the Prescott AMA lands, and all discovery shall be completed by August 11, 2006. The last day to file Replies to all Motions for Summary Judgment will be November 3, 2006. Oral argument will be heard on all Motions for Summary Judgment on December 7, 2006, beginning at 9:00 a.m. and ending not later than 5:00 p.m. The parties shall submit a proposed schedule for oral argument prior to the hearing.<br/> <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: Not Applicable<br/> <u>PAGES</u>: 6</p> |

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
134	06-28-2006	<p><b>RESPONSE OF THE SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE, YAVAPAI-APACHE NATION, TONTO APACHE TRIBE, AND PASCUA-YAQUI TRIBE TO STATE'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND PARTIAL MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Response of the San Carlos Apache Tribe, Yavapai-Apache Nation, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Pascua-Yaqui Tribe to the State's Motion for Leave to Amend Partial Motion for Summary Judgment.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 6</p>
135	06-29-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF FILING ASARCO LLC'S, ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S, ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S, THE CITY OF PRESCOTT'S, AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC, Arizona Water Company, Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation, the City of Prescott, and Tucson Electric Power Company, give notice that they jointly filed their first supplemental disclosure statement pursuant to Rule 26.1, ARIZ. R. CIV. P., and in accordance with the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>
136	06-30-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE EXISTENCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC AND Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation file their Statement of Facts In Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding The Existence of Federal Reserved Water Rights for State Trust Lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699 et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 13</p>
137	06-30-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE EXISTENCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation file their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding the Existence of federal Reserved Water Rights for State Trust Lands pursuant to the Special Master's May 19, 2005 and June 12, 2006 scheduling orders.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 39</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
138	06-30-2006	<p><b>SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE, YAVAPAI-APACHE NATION, TONTO APACHE TRIBE, AND PASCUA-YAQUI TRIBE'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The San Carlos Apache Tribe, Yavapai-Apache Nation, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Pascua-Yavapai submit their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment with respect to the State of Arizona's claims to federal reserved water rights for State trust lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 10</p>
139	06-30-2006	<p><b>SAN CARLOS APACHE TRIBE, YAVAPAI-APACHE NATION, TONTO APACHE TRIBE, AND PASCUA-YAQUI TRIBE'S STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The San Carlos Apache Tribe, Yavapai-Apache Nation, Tonto Apache Tribe, and Pascua-Yavapai submit their Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of Partial Summary Judgment with respect to the State of Arizona's claims to federal reserved water rights for State trust lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-07-12676, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 4</p>
140	06-30-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION AND FORM OF ORDER</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation submit their motion to exceed the page limitation for purposes of their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding the Existence of Federal Reserved Water Rights for State Trust Lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 4</p>
141	06-30-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S, ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S, THE CITY OF PRESCOTT'S, AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC, Arizona Water Company, Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation, the City of Prescott, and Tucson Electric Power Company, jointly filed their first supplemental disclosure statement pursuant to Rule 26.1, ARIZ. R. CIV. P., and in accordance with the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 10</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
142	06-30-2006	<p><b>APPENDICE'S TO ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE EXISTENCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS AND SUPPORTING STATEMENT OF FACTS VOLUME ONE FEDERAL STATUTES AND OTHER CONGRESSIONAL MATERIALS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation file Volume One of the Appendices to their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding the Existence of Federal Reserved Water Rights and Supporting Statement of Facts.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.  <u>PAGES</u>: 247</p>
143	06-30-2006	<p><b>APPENDICE'S TO ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE EXISTENCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS AND SUPPORTING STATEMENT OF FACTS VOLUME TWO NON-CONGRESSIONAL MATERIALS (1 OF 2)</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation file Volume Two of the Appendices to their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding the Existence of Federal Reserved Water Rights and Supporting Statement of Facts.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.  <u>PAGES</u>: 355</p>
144	06-30-2006	<p><b>APPENDICE'S TO ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE EXISTENCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS AND SUPPORTING STATEMENT OF FACTS VOLUME TWO NON-CONGRESSIONAL MATERIALS (2 OF 2)</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation file Volume Two of the Appendices to their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding the Existence of Federal Reserved Water Rights and Supporting Statement of Facts.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.  <u>PAGES</u>: 288</p>
145	06-30-2006	<p><b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S MOTION TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMITATION FOR ITS MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGEMENT IN RE: STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits a Motion to exceed the page limitation for its Motion for Summary Judgment in Re: State Trust Lands.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.  <u>PAGES</u>: 3</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>146</b>	<b>06-30-2006</b>	<b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGEMENT</b> <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian Community submits a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment requesting the Court to deny federally reserved water rights to state trust lands. <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al. <u>PAGES:</u> 31

---

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
146	06-30-2006	<p><b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGEMENT</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> The Gila River Indian Community submits a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment requesting the Court to deny federally reserved water rights to state trust lands.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 31</p>
147	7-03-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF FILING ASARCO LLC'S ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S, ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S THE CITY OF PRESCOTT'S, AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> ASARCO LLC, Arizona water company, Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation, the City of Prescott, and Tucson Electric Power Company, give notice that the jointly filed their first supplemental disclosure statement pursuant to Rule 26.1, Ariz. R. Civ. P., and in accordance with the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-08-62699, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
148	7-03-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S, ABATIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S, THE CITY OF PRESCOTT'S, AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> ASARCO LLC, Arizona Water Company, Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation, the City of Prescott, and Tucson Electric Power Company, jointly file their first supplemental disclosure statement pursuant to Rule 26.1, Ariz. R. Civ. P., and in accordance with the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-08-62699, et al.  <u>PAGES:</u> 10</p>
149	7-05-2006	<p><b>UNITED STATES FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> The United States' motion for summary judgment on the issue of the State of Arizona's entitlement to a federal reserved water right.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given.  <u>PAGES:</u> 2</p>
150	7-05-2006	<p><b>UNITED STATES' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT</b>  <u>SUMMARY:</u> The United States' memorandum in support of motion for summary judgment.  <u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given  <u>PAGES:</u> 15+31 (attachments)=46(total)</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
151	7-05-2006	<p><b>UNITED STATES' STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The United States' statement of undisputed material facts in support of united states' motion for summary judgment.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 5</p>
152	07-06-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S, ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S, ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S, THE CITY OF PRESCOTT'S, AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC, Arizona Water Company, Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation, the City of Prescott, and Tucson Electric Power Company, jointly file their second supplemental disclosure statement pursuant to Rule 26.1, Ariz. R. Civ. P., and in accordance with the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 4+1(attachment)=5(total)</p>
153	07-07-2006	<p><b>STATE'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The State of Arizona is filing its second supplemental disclosure statement.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 10</p>
154	07-07-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF FILING OF STATE OF ARIZONA'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RULE 26.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The State of Arizona notifies parties on the mailing list of In Re State Trust Lands of the filing of its second supplemental disclosure statement.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
155	07-10-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S, ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S, ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S, THE CITY OF PRESCOTT'S, AND TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC, Arizona Water Company, Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation, the City of Prescott, and Tucson Electric Power Company, jointly file their second supplemental disclosure statement pursuant to Rule 26.1, Ariz. R. Civ. P., and in accordance with the Special Master's Scheduling Order dated May 19, 2005.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 4+1 exhibit (compact disk)</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
156	07-18-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF DEPOSITION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Notice that cooperating Claimants will take the deposition of the person whose name is stated below at the time and place stated below before an officer authorized by law to administer oaths. The deposition will be recorded by stenographic means.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
157	07-18-2006	<p><b>NOTICE OF DEPOSITION PURSUANT TO RULE 30(b)(6)</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Notice that pursuant to Rules 26 and 30, Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, The cooperating Claimants will take the deposition of the person(s) most qualified to testify on behalf of the State Land Department for the State of Arizona on the subject categories listed on the Exhibit A before an officer authorized by law to administer oaths. The deposition will be recorded by stenographic means.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 4</p>
158	07-18-2006	<p><b>OPPOSING PARTIES' SECOND SET OF NON-UNIFORM INTERROGATORIES TO STATE OF ARIZONA</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Pursuant to Arizona Rule of Civil Procedure 33.1, the cooperating Claimants request that the State of Arizona (the "State") answer the following Interrogatories, under oath, by August 28, 2006.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 11</p>
159	07-24-2006	<p><b>STATE OF ARIZONA'S CONSOLIDATED REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ESTABLISHING THE EXISTENCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: State of Arizona's Consolidated Reply in support of Its Motion for Leave to Amend Its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Establishing the Existence of Federal Reserved Water Rights for State Trust Land.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 16</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
160	08-02-2006	<b>NOTICE OF CORRECTION FOR EXHIBITS TO STATE OF ARIZONA'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Notice of correction of exhibits A-C attached to the State of Arizona's Second Supplemental Rule 26.1 disclosure Statement. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 19
161	08-08-2006	<b>ORDER DENYING THE STATE OF ARIZONA'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGEMENT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Special master denies the State of Arizona's Motion for Leave to Amend Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 5
162	08-10-2006	<b>ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S REVISED MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE EXISTANCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : ASARCO LLC and Abitibi Consolidated sales Corporation file their Revised Motion for Partial Summary Judgment regarding the Existence of Federal reserved Water Rights for State Trust Lands, Complying with the Order Granting ASARCO LLC's and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation's Motion to Exceed Page Limitation filed on August 1, 2006. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : 39-08-62699 et al. <u>PAGES</u> : 30

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
163	09-15-2006	<p><b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE TO THE ARIZONA STATE LAND DEPARTMENT'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGEMENT <i>IN RE: STATE TRUST LANDS</i></b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> Gila River Indian Community submits its Response in Opposition to the Arizona State Land Department's motion for Partial Summary Judgment in re: State Trust Lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-11-54-78, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 28</p>
164	09-15-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO STATE OF ARIZONA'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE EXISTENCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> ASARCO LLC and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation file their response to the State of Arizona's Motions for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding the Existence of Federal Reserved Water Rights for State Trust Lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-08-62699, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 10</p>
165	09-15-2006	<p><b>RESPONSE TO STATE OF ARIZONA'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGEMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> City of Flagstaff, City of Stafford, and Rio Rico Properties, Inc. file their Response to State of Arizona's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U8-40757, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 16</p>
166	09-15-2006	<p><b>SEPARATE STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF ITS RESPONSE TO STATE OF ARIZONA'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND CONTROVERTING STATEMENT OF FACTS TO STATE OF ARIZONA'S STATEMENT OF FACTS.</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> City of Flagstaff, City of Stafford, and Rio Rico Properties, Inc. file their Separate Statement of facts in Support of Their Response to State of Arizona's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Controverting Statement of Facts to State of Arizona's Statement of Facts.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-U8-40757, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 4</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
167	09-15-2006	<p><b>JOINT MOVANTS' RESPONSE TO STATE OF ARIZONA'S MOTIONS FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Joint Movants submit their response to the State of Arizona's motion for partial summary judgment with respect to the State's claims to federal reserved water rights for state trust lands. The Joint Movants include Arizona Public Service Company; BHP Copper Inc.; Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District; the Cities of Chandler, Cottonwood, Glendale, Mesa, Phoenix, Scottsdale, and Show Low; Franklin Irrigation District; Gila Valley Irrigation District; Maricopa-Stanfield irrigation &amp; Drainage District; Phelps Dodge Corporation; Roosevelt Water Conservation District; Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District; Salt River Valley Water user's Association; and the Towns of Clarkdale and Jerome.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 11 +7 (attachments) = 18 (total)</p>
168	09-15-2006	<p><b>JOINT MOVANTS' RESPONSE TO STATE OF ARIZONA'S SEPARATE STATEMENT OF FACTS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Joint Movants submit their response to the State of Arizona's separate statements of facts associated with the State's motion for partial summary judgment with respect to the State's claims to federal reserved water rights for state trust lands. The Joint Movants include Arizona Public Service Company; BHP Copper Inc.; Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District; the Cities of Chandler, Cottonwood, Glendale, Mesa, Phoenix, Scottsdale, and Show Low; Franklin Irrigation District; Gila Valley Irrigation District; Maricopa-Stanfield irrigation &amp; Drainage District; Phelps Dodge Corporation; Roosevelt Water Conservation District; Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District; Salt River Valley Water user's Association; and the Towns of Clarkdale and Jerome.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-34900, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 12 +7 (attachments) = 19 (total)</p>
169	09-15-2006	<p><b>PHELPS DODGE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO AND JOINDER IN ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S REVISED MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE EXISTENCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Phelps Dodge Corporation submits its Response to and joiner in ASARCO LLC's and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation's Revised Motion for Partial Summary Judgment regarding the Existence of Federal reserved Water Rights for State Trust Lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-62935, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 3 + 1 (attachment) = 4 (total)</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
170	09-15-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> LLC's and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation FILE their Response to the Gila River Indian Community's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> 39-08-62299, et al.</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 3</p>
171	09-15-2006	<p><b>STATE OF ARIZONA'S RESPONSE TO OPPOSING CLAIMANTS' MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF THE FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS DOCTRINE TO STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The State of Arizona submits it Consolidated Response to Opposing Claimants Cross Motions for Summary Judgment regarding the Application of the Federal Water Rights doctrine to state trust lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 45</p>
172	09-15-2006	<p><b>SEPARATE CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA'S CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO OPPOSING CLAIMANTS MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF THE FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS DOCTRINE TO STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The State of Arizona submits its Separate Statement of Facts in support of its Consolidated Response to Opposing Claimants Motion for Summary Judgment opposing the existence of federal reserved water rights for state trust lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 9 + 52 (exhibits) = 61 (total)</p>
173	09-20-2006	<p><b>RESPONSE OF THE NAVAJO NATION TO STATE OF ARIZONA'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ESTABLISHIG THE EXISTENCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY:</u> The Navajo nation files its response to the to the State of Arizona's motion for Partial Summary Judgment Establishing the Existence of Federal Reserved Water Rights for State Trust lands (Sept. 15, 2006).</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO:</u> None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES:</u> 12</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

---

174	09-20-2006	<b>UNITED STATES' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO THE STATE OF ARIZONA'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ESTABLISHING THE EXISTENCE OF FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS FOR STATE TRUST LANDS.</b>
-----	------------	---

SUMMARY: The United States' response to the State's motion for partial summary judgment filed Nov. 21, 2002 in the little Colorado River general stream adjudication and June 21, 2004 in the Gila Rive general stream adjudication.

CLAIMANT NO: None Given

PAGES: 4

175	09-26-2006	<b>NOTICE OF LOCATION OF ORAL ARGUMENT</b>
-----	------------	--

SUMMARY: The Special Master informs of the courtroom location of the oral argument previously set on Thursday, December 7, 2006, at 9:00 a.m.

CLAIMANT NO: None Given

PAGES: 2

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
---------	-----------	----------------------

---

**176**    **10-23-2006**    **ORDER**

SUMMARY: Order approving the substitution of Susan B. Montgomery and Robyn L. Interpreter, for John H. Ryley, as co-counsel of record for the above-named Tribes as a claimant in these proceedings. Joe P. Sparks remains as co-counsel of record for the Tribes.

CLAIMANT NO: None Given

PAGES: 2

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
177	11-03-2006	<p><b>STATE OF ARIZONA'S CONSOLIDATED REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF THE FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS DOCTRINE TO STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The State of Arizona submits its Consolidated Reply in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding the Application of the Federal Reserved Rights Doctrine To State Trust Lands in Arizona.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given  <u>PAGES</u>: 36</p>
178	11-03-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S REPLY TO STATE OF ARIZONA'S RESPONSE TO OPPOSING CLAIMANT'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF THE FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS DOCTRINE TO STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation file their Reply to the State of Arizona's Response to Opposing Claimants' Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding the Application of the Federal Reserved Water Rights Doctrine to State Trust lands.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.  <u>PAGES</u>: 20</p>
179	11-03-2006	<p><b>ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S JOINDER IN JOINT MOVANT'S RESPONSE TO STATE'S SEPARATE CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FACTS FILED IN SUPPORT OF ITS RESPONSE TO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: ASARCO LLC and Abitibi Consolidated Sales Corporation file their Joinder in joint Movants' Response to State's Separate Consolidated Statement of Facts Filed in Support of Its Response to Motions for Summary Judgment.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-08-62699, et al.  <u>PAGES</u>: 2</p>
180	11-03-2006	<p><b>JOINT MOVANT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Joint Movants submit this Reply in Support of their Motion for Summary Judgment. The Joint Movants include Arizona Public Service Company; BHP Copper Inc.; Central Arizona irrigation and Drainage District; the Cities of Chandler, Cottonwood, Mesa, Glendale, Phoenix, Scottsdale, and Show Low; Franklin Irrigation District; Gila Valley Irrigation District; Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation &amp; Drainage District; Phelps Dodge Corporation; Roosevelt Water Conservation District; Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District; Salt River Valley Water Users' Association; and the Towns of Clarkdale and Jerome.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-34900  <u>PAGES</u>: 19 + 5 (attachment) = 24 total</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
181	11-03-2006	<p><b>JOINT MOVANTS' RESPONSE TO STATE'S SEPARATE CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FACTS FILED IN SUPPORT OF ITS RESPONSE TO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Joint Movants submit their response to the State of Arizona's September 15, 2006 separate statement of facts associated with the State's response to summary judgment motions. The Joint Movants include Arizona Public Service Company; BHP Copper Inc.; Central Arizona irrigation and Drainage District; the Cities of Chandler, Cottonwood, Mesa, Glendale, Phoenix, Scottsdale, and Show Low; Franklin Irrigation District; Gila Valley Irrigation District; Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation &amp; Drainage District; Phelps Dodge Corporation; Roosevelt Water Conservation District; Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District; Salt River Valley Water Users' Association; and the Towns of Clarkdale and Jerome.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-34900</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 7 + 5 (attachment) = 12 total</p>
182	11-03-2006	<p><b>GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S REPLY TO THE STATE OF ARIZONA'S RESPONSE TO OPPOSING CLAIMANTS' MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF THE FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS DOCTRINE TO STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its Reply to the State of Arizona's Response to the Opposing Claimants' Motions for Summary Judgment Regarding the Application of the Federal Reserved Water Rights Doctrine to State Trust Lands.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 24</p>
183	11-06-2006	<p><b>UNITED STATES' REPLY TO STATE OF ARIZONA'S RESPONSE TO OPPOSING CLAIMANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF THE FEDERAL RESERVED WATER RIGHTS DOCTRINE TO STATE TRUST LANDS</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The United States' consolidated response filed September 15, 2006 in the little Colorado River general stream adjudication and Gila River general stream adjudication.</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 8</p>
184	11-20-2006	<p><b>ORDER GRANTING ASARCO LLC'S AND ABITIBI CONSOLIDATED SALES CORPORATION'S MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Order granting Asarco LLC's and Abitibi Consolidated sales Corporation's Motion to exceed page limitation</p> <p><u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given</p> <p><u>PAGES</u>: 1</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>185</b>	<b>11-20-2006</b>	<b>ORDER GRANTING GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : Order granting Gila River Indian Community's Motion to exceed page limitation <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 1
<b>186</b>	<b>11-28-2006</b>	<b>REPLY OF THE NAVAJO NATION IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : The Navajo Nation files its reply in support of its motion for summary judgment. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 10

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

Doc. No	File Date	Document Description
187	12-04-2006	<p><b>STATE OF ARIZONA'S PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER FOR DECEMBER 7, 2006 ORAL ARGUMENT</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Proposed Schedule for December 7, 2006 oral Argument.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given  <u>PAGES</u>: 4</p>
188	12-04-2006	<p><b>MOTION OF THE NEW MEXICO COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF AS AMICUS CURIAE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: Motion to file Amicus Curiae in support of Arizona State Trust Lands.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given  <u>PAGES</u>: 23</p>
189	12-05-2006	<p><b>JOINT MOVANTS' RESPONSE TO MOTION OF THE NEW MEXICO COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS FOR LEAVE TO FILE AS AMICUS CURIAE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Joint Movants submit their response to the motion by the New Mexico Commissioner of Public Lands to file an amicus brief in this proceeding. The Joint Movants contend that the Court should deny the motion. Alternatively, if the motion is granted, the Joint Movants request that the Court allow the Opposing Claimants sufficient time to respond in writing to the amicus filing. The Joint Movants include Arizona Public Service Company; BHP Copper Inc.; Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District; the Cities of Chandler, Cottonwood, Glendale, mesa, Phoenix, Scottsdale, and Show Low; Franklin Irrigation and Drainage District; Gila Valley Irrigation District; Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage district; Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power district; Salt River Valley Users' Association; and the Towns of Clarkdale and Jerome.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-L8-34900  <u>PAGES</u>: 11 + 25 (attachment) =36 (total)</p>
190	12-05-2006	<p><b>SUBMISSION BY THE NAVAJO NATION OF PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON DECEMBER 7, 2006</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Navajo Nation submits a proposed schedule for oral argument.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: None Given  <u>PAGES</u>: 4</p>
191	12-06-2006	<p><b>RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF THE NEW MEXICO COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF AS AMICUS CURIAE</b></p> <p><u>SUMMARY</u>: The Gila River Indian Community submits its Response in Opposition to the Motion of the New Mexico Commissioner of Public Lands for Leave to File Brief as Amicus Curiae.  <u>CLAIMANT NO</u>: 39-11-54-78, et al.  <u>PAGES</u>: 7</p>

MARICOPA COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

W1-104

In re State Trust Lands

---

<b>Doc. No</b>	<b>File Date</b>	<b>Document Description</b>
<b>192</b>	<b>12-10-2006</b>	<b>MINUTE ENTRY</b> <u>SUMMARY</u> : It was ordered denying the Motion of the New Mexico Commissioner of Public Lands for Leave to File Brief Amicus curiae. <u>CLAIMANT NO</u> : None Given <u>PAGES</u> : 5

---